Intrinsic nanofilamentation in resistive switching

Migas, Dmitri B.; Borisenko, Victor E.; Wu, Xing; Cha, Dongkyu; Bosman, Michel; Raghavan, Nagarajan; Zhang, Xi Xiang; Li, Kun; Pey, Kin Leong

2013

Wu, X., Cha, D., Bosman, M., Raghavan, N., Migas, D. B., Borisenko, V. E., and et al. (2013). Intrinsic nanofilamentation in resistive switching. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 113(11), 114503-.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/100029>

<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4794519>

© 2013 American Institute of Physics. This paper was published in *Journal of Applied Physics* and is made available as an electronic reprint (preprint) with permission of American Institute of Physics. The paper can be found at the following official DOI: [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4794519>]. One print or electronic copy may be made for personal use only. Systematic or multiple reproduction, distribution to multiple locations via electronic or other means, duplication of any material in this paper for a fee or for commercial purposes, or modification of the content of the paper is prohibited and is subject to penalties under law.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 08:58:59 SGT

Intrinsic nanofilamentation in resistive switching

Xing Wu, Dongkyu Cha, Michel Bosman, Nagarajan Raghavan, Dmitri B. Migas, Victor E. Borisenko, Xi-Xiang Zhang, Kun Li, and Kin-Leong Pey

Citation: [Journal of Applied Physics](#) **113**, 114503 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4794519

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4794519>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jap/113/11?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Re-register for Table of Content Alerts

Create a profile.

Sign up today!

Intrinsic nanofilamentation in resistive switching

Xing Wu,^{1,2} Dongkyu Cha,³ Michel Bosman,⁴ Nagarajan Raghavan,⁵ Dmitri B. Migas,⁶ Victor E. Borisenko,⁶ Xi-Xiang Zhang,³ Kun Li,^{3,a)} and Kin-Leong Pey^{1,a)}

¹Singapore University of Technology and Design (SUTD), Singapore 138682

²SEU-FEI Nano-Pico Center, Key Laboratory of MEMS of Ministry of Education, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

³Imaging and Characterization Core lab, 4700 King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

⁴Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, A*STAR (Agency for Science, Technology and Research), 3 Research Link, Singapore 117602

⁵Division of Microelectronics, School of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Nanyang Technological University (NTU), Singapore 639798

⁶Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics, P.Browka 6, Minsk 220013, Belarus

(Received 7 January 2013; accepted 21 February 2013; published online 15 March 2013)

Resistive switching materials are promising candidates for nonvolatile data storage and reconfiguration of electronic applications. Intensive studies have been carried out on sandwiched metal-insulator-metal structures to achieve high density on-chip circuitry and non-volatile memory storage. Here, we provide insight into the mechanisms that govern highly reproducible controlled resistive switching via a nanofilament by using an asymmetric metal-insulator-semiconductor structure. *In-situ* transmission electron microscopy is used to study in real-time the physical structure and analyze the chemical composition of the nanofilament dynamically during resistive switching. Electrical stressing using an external voltage was applied by a tungsten tip to the nanosized devices having hafnium oxide (HfO₂) as the insulator layer. The formation and rupture of the nanofilaments result in up to three orders of magnitude change in the current flowing through the dielectric during the switching event. Oxygen vacancies and metal atoms from the anode constitute the chemistry of the nanofilament. © 2013 American Institute of Physics. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4794519>]

I. INTRODUCTION

Resistive switching phenomena in metal-insulator-metal structures have been observed many decades ago.¹ Correlated electrons in resistance random access memories (RRAM) based on transition metal oxides (TMO) exhibit contrasting electrical properties, from insulator to conductor, and vice versa.^{2,3} Recently, this phenomenon of insulative switching has gained renewed interest for nonvolatile data storage and reconfigurable electronics, considering the demand for exponential increase in data storage capacity.⁴⁻⁶ Electrically programmable RRAM has multiple advantages as compared to current FLASH memory technology which include fast writing/erasing times (<100 ns), long retention lifetime (>10 yr), low power consumption, simplified structure, ease of design, scalability and Si-based complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) process technology compatibility.^{7,8} In addition, RRAM is a good candidate for three-dimensional stacking, which further enhances data storage capability into the terabyte regime.⁹

RRAM is based on the reversible dielectric breakdown of TMO. From a microscopic point of view, resistive switching in various materials can be classified into three mechanisms: metallization, valence change, and fuse-antifuse.⁸ First, in electrochemical metallization mechanism, the migration of the highly mobile electrode metal forms a

conductive filament during SET and can be electrochemically dissolved upon reversed polarity applied voltage. For example, it is convincingly demonstrated in Refs. 10 and 11 that the electrochemically active electrode metal Ag⁺ ions can punch through the insulating layer, setting the device to ON state. The metal filament undergoes dissolution at high electrochemical current (also called Faradaic current) when a reverse voltage bias is applied, resetting the system to OFF state. The insulating layer for this mechanism is usually a solid electrolyte layer without oxygen ions, i.e., Ag₂S and Ag-Ge-Se. Second, in valence change mechanism, specific TMO materials are chosen as the insulating layer (such as TiO₂, NiO, HfO₂).^{2,3,12-15} The creation and migration of the oxygen vacancies leads to a redox reaction expressed by the valence state change of the cations. Upon SET, dislodged oxygen species from the TMO migrate to the electrode material (typically made of Ni, Ti, Ta, etc.), which serves as a good reservoir for oxygen in the ionic form.¹⁶ Under a reverse bias, the O²⁻ ions stored in the gate are driven back to the oxygen-deficient conductive path. The devices driven by the electrochemical metallization mechanism and the RRAM devices of valence change mechanism usually show bipolar behavior, in which SET and RESET voltages are of opposite bias polarities. Third, in the fuse-antifuse mechanism, the conductive filament fusion is triggered by an electric field assisted thermal runaway process. It is well documented that in most insulating and semiconducting materials, the conductivity of the material is dependent exponentially on the temperature.¹⁷ In the antifuse process, the conductive filament is

^{a)}Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic addresses: kun.li@kaust.edu.sa and peykinleong@sutd.edu.sg.

ruptured by Joule heating.^{18,19} In this case, resistive switching can be achieved with the same bias polarity, and is thus called unipolar switching behavior. Both bipolar and unipolar switching behaviors have been observed in HfO₂ based dielectric stacks.²⁰ Several materials also show both types of switching behaviors and are termed as “non-polar.”^{21,22}

In this study, we use an asymmetric electrode stack (metal-insulator-semiconductor) to study the effects of the electrode materials on switching. Careful *in-situ* electrical stressing was performed in a transmission electron microscope (TEM) on a Ni-electrode/HfO₂/n⁺-Si structure. Our findings capture the *real-time* observation of the formation and rupture of the nanofilament, demonstrating reversible switching with more than three orders of magnitude, which is compatible to state-of-the-art RRAM devices. Knowledge of the composition, structure, and dimensions of the nanofilament reveals the mechanism of resistive switching in thin oxide films, and provides better understanding of the scalability of such structures for future advanced electronic applications.

The device used in this study is a unipolar RRAM device based on an asymmetric metal-insulator-semiconductor structure: Ni as top electrode, HfO₂ as insulator, and n⁺ Si substrate as bottom electrode (inset in Fig. 1). Ni has been widely used in the main-stream CMOS technology as a source/drain contact material.²³ The motivation to replace the bottom metal electrode with a highly doped n⁺-type Si ($N_D \sim 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) is to study the role of the metal electrode on switching. In Fig. 1, the RRAM device shows well-behaved unipolar switching current-voltage (*I*-*V*) characteristics under DC sweep, confirming the switching mechanism to be due to fuse-antifuse process. The demonstrated HfO₂-based RRAM shows a high ON/OFF resistance ratio of $\sim 10^3$ with a very low reverse current. The device shows good switching performance after 500 DC cycles.

For the *in-situ* TEM experiment, a two-step electrical stress methodology was developed to capture the real-time evolution of nanofilament by limiting the compliance current at different levels. The unique feature of this methodology is its ability to precisely control the evolution of the

FIG. 1. Current-voltage of a device with metal/insulator/semiconductor structure: Ni/HfO₂/SiO₂/Si-diode. Note that the reverse current is very low. The inset shows the schematic diagram for RRAM intergration with a Si-substrate as selector. The device shows good switching performance after 500 DC cycles (red lines).

nanofilament formation, while the conventional one-step sweep method fails to capture the kinetic evolution of such phenomenon. First, a voltage sweep from 0 to 5 V at a step size of 300 ms/V was applied to the device with a leakage current limited at 10 μA to avoid catastrophic irreversible damage to the device due to high current flux. During the voltage sweep, when a large current step increase occurs of about two or three times greater than the previous current level, the insulator has been subjected to a soft breakdown stage, as seen in Fig. 2(a). Figures 2(b) and 2(c) show the dynamic observation of a sequence of morphological changes during real-time electrical stressing of the metal-insulator-semiconductor sample in TEM. The Si-substrate was viewed along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction and the flat surface corresponds to the (100) plane of the conventional Si wafer. At the surface, the (100) planes of the surface face off to the (111) planes at an angle of 54.7°. There was no obvious physical or morphological change at this soft breakdown stage, especially at the interface due to low spatial resolution of the real-time TEM analysis setting. The same sample stressed in real-time was then put into a double tilt holder to take high resolution TEM micrograph. Figure 2(d) shows the high resolution micrograph of a virgin sample for comparison. The thickness of the HfO₂ layer is $\sim 3.1 \text{ nm}$, while the interfacial layer of SiO₂ in-between HfO₂ and Si substrate is $\sim 0.7 \text{ nm}$. For conventional RRAM devices reported in the literature, the thickness of the oxide is usually $\sim 10 \text{ nm}$.^{24,25} The ultrathin oxide layer used in this study sheds light on the future scaling feasibility of RRAM devices. Furthermore, a key advantage of the Si-based bottom electrode is the ultra-smooth interface between Si and oxide, allowing us to study the “intrinsic” behavior of the conductive filament and switching phenomenon without process induced thickness/roughness variations. Figure 2(e) shows the device which suffered a soft breakdown during a real-time stress in TEM, where white dots appear at the edge of the inter-surface (as indicated by the triangular markers). We also observe the presence of a crystalline Si hillock, punching into the SiO₂ layer, which is also called the dielectric breakdown induced epitaxy (DBIE).^{26,27} From our previous experiments, it was established that the chemistry of the percolation path responsible for high electrical conduction in the broken-down gate dielectrics is an O-deficient conductive path, where oxygen atoms are washed out from the core of the percolation path.^{20,28–30} It is important to note that in these former cases, the transistor gate electrode is polycrystalline silicon not a metal.

After the voltage sweep, a constant voltage stress was applied at 3.3 V, about 0.7 V lower than the breakdown voltage, with the set leakage current limited at 100 μA , as shown in Fig. 3(a). This approach of lowering 0.7 V with reference to the breakdown voltage is commonly used in dielectric breakdown studies.³¹ The stressing was halted once defects such as filaments were observed from the real time *I*-*V* curve and the real-time TEM image monitoring. Figures 3(b)–3(e) show the micrographs taken at different breakdown stages from a video. Heavy atoms from the top metal electrode (i.e., gate) suddenly migrated into the HfO₂ dielectric and Si-substrate, forming a unique geometrical defect which was an

FIG. 2. Initial step of nanofilament formation. (a) The device has been stressed to soft breakdown regime at 4 V with a compliance current of $10 \mu\text{A}$. (b)&(c) Real time TEM micrographs show no obvious physical change when soft breakdown occurred. The times indicated in the micrographs correspond to 0 and 22 s, respectively. (d) High resolution TEM micrograph of the virgin Ni/ HfO_2 / SiO_2 /Si structure, with Ni thickness of 100 nm, HfO_2 thickness 3.1 nm, and SiO_2 0.7 nm. (e) High resolution TEM micrograph of the soft breakdown sample. Si atoms from substrate protrude into the oxide layer forming hillocks, which is known as DBIE (as indicated by white arrows). This was not observed in (b) and (c) due to a lower resolution setting in the real-time TEM analysis.

inverted pyramid if viewing it from the Si substrate. On top of the triangular defect in the Si-substrate, a “depleted” region with a lower image contrast in the top electrode could be seen. This is an indication that the Ni atoms from the anode migrated down. A typical metal migration process requires several tens of transformation steps. Each of the transformations should occur in the range of pico- or nano-seconds. It is therefore reasonable that no intermediate stage during the migration could be observed in these experiments, because this is far beyond the time resolution of our image-recording device. A high resolution TEM micrograph in Fig. 3(f) further confirms the shape of the filament. The filament inside the dielectric is of a bowl-shape with a wider top of $\sim 20 \text{ nm}$ near the anode and shorter bottom of $\sim 10 \text{ nm}$. Figure 3(g) shows the high angle annular dark field (HAADF) STEM of the filament, where the bright contrast

FIG. 3. Nanofilament formation in SET. (a) The device has been subjected to constant voltage stressing at 3.3 V with a compliance current of $10 \mu\text{A}$. (b)–(e) Real time TEM micrographs show the formation of a metal filament, punching through the dielectric layer into the silicon substrate. The times indicated in the micrographs correspond to 0, 13, 14, and 16 s of the start of the electrical stressing during TEM analysis, respectively. (f) High resolution TEM and (g) HAADF STEM micrographs of the electrically “switched-on” device shown in (e). Heavy metal atoms punch through the insulator layers and form a triangular shaped morphology in the Si-substrate. The size of the filament inside the dielectric layer is $\sim 20 \text{ nm}$. (h) EELS mapping results of the chemistry of the nanofilament. Ni, Hf, and Si are represented in red, green, and blue, respectively. It reveals that the nanofilament is Ni-element-rich. Ni atoms diffused into the insulator layers and reached the Si-substrate, forming a triangular-shaped defect. (i) EDX signals from the center of the filament (solid line) and the intact area (dashed line). The results prove that Ni atoms from the anode were the main source for the conductive filament formation constituting to the chemical composition of the nanofilament during SET.

regions in the HfO_2 and SiO_x layers indicate that heavy metal atoms from the top metal electrode have diffused and/or drifted into the dielectric layer.

In order to study the chemistry of the filament, we employ the Z-contrast STEM analysis (contrast dominated by atomic number), EELS, and energy dispersive x ray (EDX) analysis techniques. EELS and EDX signals were collected under 80 kV e-beam rather than 300 kV to avoid possible sample damage under strong electron irradiation. Figure 3(h) shows the EELS mapping results of Ni, Hf, and

FIG. 3. (Continued.)

Si. It is clear that Ni atoms diffused in the dielectric from the top electrode, which is the anode side. Ni atoms continued to diffuse along the $\langle 111 \rangle$ direction of the silicon substrate due to the largest inner-plane distance.³² The collective effect of many Ni stacking faults finally results in the triangular shaped morphology. Such needle-shaped Ni defects due to metal diffusion along $\langle 111 \rangle$ planes have also been reported as a “process issue” in the conventional NiSi-based CMOS process for the 10 nm technology node.³³ From a physical analysis perspective, the Ni in the silicon substrate forms a good marker indicating the location of the nanofilament inside the dielectric. The EDX line profiles of various elements of interest were further collected from the center of the filament (solid line) and the intact area (dashed line), as shown in Fig. 3(i). The relative difference in the signals confirms the diffusion of Ni from the top electrode into the dielectric and the silicon substrate.

Figure 4(a) shows the real-time electrical data during RESET. The ON/OFF ratio is more than 1000. The device was significantly “switched-off,” remaining at a very low current level. Figures 4(b) and 4(c) show the real time TEM micrographs wherein the physical changes were too small to be resolved and observed. High resolution TEM and STEM micrographs in Figs. 4(d) and 4(e) clearly show that the filament has ruptured. The filament is disconnected in the dielectric, and hardly any contrast is detectable inside the HfO₂ or SiO_x layer. One of the major driving forces that causes the “switch-off”/rupture of the nanofilament is the Joule heating at high current prior to the instant of RESET.¹⁸ There were still some Ni residuals in the dielectric, but their number is much less than after the forming/SET transition. EELS and EDX results in Figs. 4(f) and 4(g) show that Ni has been depleted from the Ni-rich filament, as observed previously in Fig. 3(e). The whole process, including electrical SET and RESET, could be repeated many times on the same filament, and the switching voltage and current are well

FIG. 4. Nanofilament rupture in RESET. (a) The device has been subjected to a constant voltage stress higher than the SET voltage, which was 2.6 V with higher compliance current of 100 μA. Unipolar RESET of the device where the ON/OFF ratio is more than three orders of magnitude. (b) and (c) Real time TEM micrographs showing the morphology of the metal filament. The times indicated in the micrographs correspond to 0 and 5 s, respectively, upon electrical stressing. No changes can be seen in the nano-structural defect. (d) High resolution TEM, (e) HAADF STEM micrographs, (f) EELS mapping, and (g) EDX result of the electrically “switched-off” device shown in (c). The filament has been disconnected between the Ni-based top electrode and the bottom electrode. It was “burned-off” in RESET due to Joule heating. There are still some Ni residuals embedded in the insulator layer. But the fragments are now again an insulator rather than a metal conductor.

reproduced during the cycles which we observed on other real-time TEM analyzes.

The process of SET and RESET of the filament is illustrated in Figs. 5(a)–5(e). Starting from a virgin unstressed device (Fig. 5(a)), the formation of the conductive filament is a two-stage process. The first stage is soft breakdown of the dielectric (Fig. 5(b)) as established in various logic gate stack reliability studies (i.e., with low compliance current of approximately 1–10 μA). In this stage, oxygen vacancies are

FIG. 5. Schematics of the evolution of the metal filament formation and rupture, and represent positive and negative voltage biases, respectively. (a) Pristine state. (b) DBIE forming process upon initial breakdown. (c) SET process, where the metal nanofilament of Ni-rich punches through the oxide and migrates along the $\langle 111 \rangle$ direction in the silicon substrate. (d) and (e) RESET process, where the Ni-rich filament ruptures under Joule heating. The voltage polarity signs “+” and “-” are in lower size to indicate lower voltages.

the physical defects responsible for the formation of the percolation path. Oxygen atoms are washed away from the percolated core, followed by the formation of DBIE. The formation of DBIE is the finger-print of the existence of the breakdown path. In the second stage (Fig. 5(c)), as the compliance current level is raised to a higher level (i.e., $\sim 10 \mu\text{A}$ – 1 mA), metal atoms from the top electrode (anode) migrate along the oxygen deficient breakdown path, driven by the high current density and temperature enhanced metal atom diffusion.^{34,35} The diameter of the metal nanofilaments ~ 10 – 20 nm . The schematic of the filament rupture and meltdown due to Joule heating during the unipolar RESET process is presented in Fig. 5(d). Some Ni atoms might remain in the insulator layers but the metal fragment dielectric is no longer conductive (Fig. 5(e)).

It is obvious that the presence of Ni fragments in the dielectric is critical for the switching behavior, as it determines the dielectric resistivity and connectivity between the top electrode and the bottom electrode. These fragments play

a prominent role in the reversible switching transitions for many endurance cycles. To develop a microscopic model for the filament, we performed first-principle calculations of different HfO_xNi_y stoichiometries. As shown in Fig. 6(a), the perfect HfO_2 ($x=2$, $y=0$) has a bandgap value of $\sim 4.6 \text{ eV}$ in this case by means of the projector-augmented wave method implemented in the VASP code.^{36–38} When oxygen atoms are removed from the lattice, oxygen vacancy defects form. In the SET state, Ni atoms diffuse and fill in the oxygen vacancy sites (take $x=1.5$, $y=0.5$ as an example), forming a connected nanofilament path. The bandgap of this chemical compound $\text{HfO}_{1.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}$ collapses to zero (Fig. 6(b)), indicating a good ohmic conductor. In the RESET state, Ni atoms dislodge from the oxygen vacancy sites ($y < 0.5$ as an example), raising the correlated hopping barrier for electrons. This phenomenon has also been found in nickel oxide based RRAM device.³⁹ Sub-bands subsequently appear in the collapsed bandgap region (Fig. 6(c)), and the conductor transforms into an insulator.

In summary, the *real-time, in-situ* TEM analysis on a metal-insulator-semiconductor structure allows the study of the dynamics, evolution, and underlying physics governing the switching mechanism which was previously at best speculated from electrical measurements without much direct evidence. We present results that convincingly show the conduction path formation in the SET stage and rupture in the RESET state in RRAM devices driven by non-polar Joule heating, which can only be attributed to the fuse-antifuse mechanism. The use of an asymmetric metal-insulator-semiconductor structure clearly identifies the presence of metal-rich conductive filaments that originate from the anode electrode. The nickel fragments present in the dielectric after filament rupture (or RESET) helps in localizing and triggering further switching events at the same location, which enhances controllability and endurance of switching, and reduces variability. This quantitative study on the filament’s chemistry, morphology, and its dynamical behavior lends strong support to the feasibility of scaling future RRAM technologies further, paving way for very high density data storage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research work was funded by the Singapore University of Technology and Design (SUTD) Research Grant No. SRG ASPE 2010 004.

FIG. 6. Bandgap calculations for HfO_xNi_y structures. Different stoichiometries are indicated, where (a) $x=2$ and $y=0$ represent perfect HfO_2 , (b) $x+y=2$ represents SET state, and (c) $x+y < 2$ represents RESET state. The vertical dashed lines show the top of the valence band E_v and the bottom of the conduction band E_c . The zero of the energy scale corresponds to 0 eV . Sub-bands are labeled. The bandgap value of perfect HfO_2 is 4.6 eV calculated by first-principles simulation using GGA + U method. Upon SET, where Ni diffuses into the HfO_2 , and occupies the oxygen vacancy sites, the bandgap collapses to zero. For the RESET, the bandgap opens a little bit when Ni atoms are dislodged from the oxygen vacancy sites.

- ¹G. Dearnaley, A. M. Stoneham, and D. V. Morgan, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **33**, 1129 (1970).
- ²A. Sawa, *Mater. Today* **11**(6), 28–36 (2008).
- ³H. Y. Lee, Y. S. Chen, P. S. Chen, T. Y. Wu, F. Chen, C. C. Wang, P. J. Tzeng, M. J. Tsai, and C. Lien, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **31**(1), 44–46 (2010).
- ⁴G. W. Burr, B. N. Kurdi, J. C. Scott, C. H. Lam, K. Gopalakrishnan, and R. S. Shenoy, *IBM J. Res. Dev.* **52**(4–5), 449–464 (2008).
- ⁵G. I. Meijer, *Science* **319**(5870), 1625–1626 (2008).
- ⁶H. Takagi and H. Y. Hwang, *Science* **327**(5973), 1601–1602 (2010).
- ⁷Q. Liu, S. Long, H. Lv, W. Wang, J. Niu, Z. Huo, J. Chen, and M. Liu, *ACS Nano* **4**(10), 6162–6168 (2010).
- ⁸R. Waser, R. Dittmann, C. Staikov, and K. Szot, *Adv. Mater.* **21**(25–26), 2632–2663 (2009).
- ⁹C. Kgeler, M. Meier, R. Rosezin, S. Gilles, and R. Waser, *Solid-State Electron.* **53**(12), 1287–1292 (2009).
- ¹⁰Z. Xu, Y. Bando, W. Wang, X. Bai, and D. Golberg, *ACS Nano* **4**(5), 2515–2563 (2010).
- ¹¹M. N. Kozicki, M. Park, and M. Mitkova, *IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol.* **4**(3), 331–338 (2005).
- ¹²D. H. Kwon, K. M. Kim, J. H. Jang, J. M. Jeon, M. H. Lee, G. H. Kim, X. S. Li, G. S. Park, B. Lee, S. Han, M. Kim, and C. S. Hwang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **5**(2), 148–153 (2010).
- ¹³M. J. Lee, S. Han, S. H. Jeon, B. H. Park, B. S. Kang, S. E. Ahn, K. H. Kim, C. B. Lee, C. J. Kim, I. K. Yoo, D. H. Seo, X. S. Li, J. B. Park, J. H. Lee, and Y. Park, *Nano Lett.* **9**(4), 1476–1481 (2009).
- ¹⁴Y. B. Nian, J. Strozier, N. J. Wu, X. Chen, and A. Ignatiev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**(14), 146403 (2007).
- ¹⁵J. Y. Son, C. H. Kim, J. H. Cho, Y.-H. Shin, and H. M. Jang, *ACS Nano* **4**(6), 3288–3292 (2010).
- ¹⁶N. Raghavan, K. L. Pey, X. Wu, W. H. Liu, X. Li, M. Bosman, and T. Kauerauf, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **32**(3), 252–254 (2011).
- ¹⁷G. A. Vorob'ev and N. S. Nesmelov, *Sov. Phys. J.* **22**(1), 70–80 (1979).
- ¹⁸U. Russo, D. Ielmini, C. Cagli, and A. L. Lacaita, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **56**(2), 193–200 (2009).
- ¹⁹U. Russo, D. Ielmini, C. Cagli, and A. L. Lacaita, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **56**(2), 186–192 (2009).
- ²⁰X. Li, C. H. Tung, K. L. Pey, and V. L. Lo, in *Proceedings of International Electron Devices Meeting - IEDM*, Technical Digest (2008), pp. 779–782.
- ²¹Y. C. Yang, F. Pan, Q. Liu, M. Liu, and F. Zeng, *Nano Lett.* **9**(4), 1636–1643 (2009).
- ²²D. S. Jeong, H. Schroeder, and R. Waser, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **10**(8), G51–G53 (2007).
- ²³T. Morimoto, T. Ohguro, S. Momose, T. Iinuma, I. Kunishima, K. Suguro, I. Katakabe, H. Nakajima, M. Tsuchiaki, M. Ono, Y. Katsumata, and H. Iwai, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **42**(5), 915–922 (1995).
- ²⁴D. B. Strukov, G. S. Snider, D. R. Stewart, and R. S. Williams, *Nature* **453**(7191), 80–83 (2008).
- ²⁵J. Sun, Q. Liu, H. Xie, X. Wu, F. Xu, T. Xu, S. Long, H. Lv, Y. Li, L. Sun, and M. Liu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 053502 (2013).
- ²⁶C. H. Tung, K. L. Pey, W. H. Lin, and M. K. Radhakrishnan, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **23**(9), 526–528 (2002).
- ²⁷K. L. Pey, N. Raghavan, X. Wu, W. Liu, X. Li, M. Bosman, K. Shubhakar, Z. Z. Lwin, Y. Chen, H. Qin, and T. Kauerauf, *Microelectron. Eng.* **88**(7), 1365–1372 (2011).
- ²⁸X. Li, C. H. Tung, and K. L. Pey, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**(7), 072903 (2008).
- ²⁹X. Li, C. H. Tung, and K. L. Pey, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**(26), 262902 (2008).
- ³⁰X. Li, C. H. Tung, K. L. Pey, and V. L. Lo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94**(13), 132904 (2009).
- ³¹K. L. Pey, X. Wu, W. H. Liu, X. Li, N. Raghavan, K. Shubhakar, M. Bosman, in *IEEE International Symposium on the Physical and Failure Analysis of Integrated Circuits (IPFA)* (2010), pp. 1–12.
- ³²F. J. Giessibl, S. Hembacher, H. Bielefeldt, and J. Mannhart, *Science* **289**(5478), 422–425 (2000).
- ³³K.-S. Ko, S. Crank, P. Chen, D. Yue, S. Lavangkul, H. Mogul, S. Siddiqui, and T. Bonifield, *Microsc. Microanal.* **11**, Suppl. S02, 2096–2097 (2005).
- ³⁴L. J. Tang, K. L. Pey, C. H. Tung, M. K. Radhakrishnan, and W. H. Lin, *IEEE Trans. Device Mater. Reliab.* **4**(1), 38–45 (2004).
- ³⁵R. Ranjan, K. Pey, C. Tung, D. Ang, L. Tang, T. Kauerauf, R. Degraeve, G. Groeseneken, S. De Gendt, and L. Bera, in *Proceedings of International Electron Devices Meeting - IEDM*, Technical Digest (2006), pp. 259–262.
- ³⁶G. Kresse and J. Furthmller, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169 (1996).
- ³⁷G. Kresse and J. Furthmller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15 (1996).
- ³⁸G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 14251 (1994).
- ³⁹S. Seo, M. J. Lee, D. H. Seo, E. J. Jeoung, D. S. Suh, Y. S. Joung, I. K. Yoo, I. R. Hwang, S. H. Kim, I. S. Byun, J. S. Kim, J. S. Choi, and B. H. Park, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85**(23), 5655–5657 (2004).