The challenges of mainstream deammonification process for municipal used water treatment

Xu, Guangjing; Zhou, Yan; Yang, Qin; Lee, Zarraz May-Ping; Gu, Jun; Lay, Winson; Cao, Yeshe; Liu, Yu

2015

Xu, G., Zhou, Y., Yang, Q., Lee, Z. M.-P., Gu, J., Lay, W., et al. (2015). The challenges of mainstream deammonification process for municipal used water treatment. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 99(6), 2485-2490.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/106360>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6423-6>

© 2015 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. This is the author created version of a work that has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. It incorporates referee's comments but changes resulting from the publishing process, such as copyediting, structural formatting, may not be reflected in this document. The published version is available at: [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6423-6>].

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 11:35:43 SGT

Guangjing Xu^a, Yan Zhou^{a,b}, Qin Yang^{a,b}, Zarraz Lee May Ping^a, Jun Gu^{a,b}, Winson Lay^c, Yesi Cao^c, Yu Liu^{a,b*}

^b School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore.

^c Public Utility Board, Singapore

*Corresponding author.

Email: cyliu@ntu.edu.sg; Tel: +65 6790 5254; Fax: +65 6790 6813.

Abstract: The deammonification process combining partial nitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation has been considered as a viable option for energy-efficient used water treatment. So far, many full-scale sidestream deammonification plants handling high-ammonia used water have been in successful operation since Anammox bacteria were first discovered in 1990s. However, large scale application of this process for treating municipal used water with low ammonia concentration has rarely been reported. Compared to the sidestream deammonification process, the mainstream deammonification process for municipal used water treatment faces three main challenges, i.e. (i) high COD/N ratio leading to denitrifiers outcompeting Anammox bacteria; (ii) numerous difficulties in selective retention of AOB over NOB and (iii) sufficient accumulation of Anammox bacteria. Therefore, this paper attempts to provide a detailed analysis of these challenges and possible solutions towards sustainable mainstream deammonification process.

Keywords: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation; deammonification; ammonia-oxidizing bacteria; nitrite-oxidizing bacteria; soluble COD.

Introduction

The biological nitrogen removal (BNR) process has evolved from the conventional nitrification-denitrification into anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Anammox) coupled with short-cut nitrification, known as deammonification. In general, the deammonification process can be classified as sidestream for high-ammonia used water (e.g. anaerobic digester liquor) and mainstream for low-ammonia used water (e.g. municipal used water). Large scale application of the sidestream deammonification process has been widely reported (Lackner et al. 2014), while the mainstream deammonification is being explored at its infancy stage (Regmi et al. 2014; Wett et al. 2013).

In general, municipal used water has high COD and low ammonia concentrations at the ratio of 10 to 14 for the raw used water and 7 to 10 for the settled used water (Henze et al. 2008). Evidences suggest that denitrifiers can compete with Anammox bacteria if the soluble COD (sCOD) to nitrogen ratio is larger than 0.5 in the anaerobic stage (Jenni et al. 2014). This means that the COD/N ratio must be reduced significantly for the deammonification process. For such purpose, a process configuration with two stages (e.g. A-B type process) has been adopted for mainstream deammonification of municipal used water. Organic matter should be ideally captured as much as possible at the stage A through various mechanisms (e.g. biosorption, storage etc.), and the stage A effluent with relatively low COD/N ratio is further treated at stage B by mainstream deammonification (Fig. 1). So far, chemically enhanced primary treatment (CEPT) and high rate activated sludge (HRAS) for carbon capture have been explored as the stage A in the mainstream deammonification process for the municipal used water treatment. Moreover, captured organic carbon is directly channeled to anaerobic digester (AD) for high-efficiency production of methane biogas (Kartal et al. 2010).

-----Fig. 1-----

Selective retention of the main functional species is the major challenge in the stage B of mainstream deammonification process. A key step here is to selectively retain ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) against nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) to sustain nitrification which is prerequisite for Anammox. Anammox bacteria have been known as very slow-growing microbes, thus the retention of Anammox bacteria is another challenge faced in the mainstream deammonification process. Meanwhile, heterotrophic denitrifiers can easily out-compete Anammox bacteria for nitrite in the presence of organic

matters (Chamchoi et al. 2008; Jenni et al. 2014; Xu et al. 2012). Several approaches have been explored to promote retention of the slow-growing Anammox bacteria. For example, Anammox bacteria could be selectively enriched in aggregated biomass (e.g. biofilm and granules), or they could be maintained through selectively recycling Anammox biomass from the sidestream deammonification unit by cyclone or screens (Wett et al. 2013).

This review aims to discuss the technical challenges, including (i) high COD/N ratio leading to denitrifiers outcompeting Anammox bacteria; (ii) stable nitrification which needs selective retention of AOB over NOB and (iii) sufficient accumulation of Anammox bacteria. Possible solutions towards sustainable and stable mainstream deammonification for municipal used water treatment will also be presented.

High COD/N ratio: a hurdle of the mainstream deammonification

Municipal used water typically has high COD/N ratio. This poses a big challenge for mainstream deammonification as high COD promotes growth of denitrifying bacteria which compete with Anammox bacteria for nitrite. In fact, according to the Gibbs free energy of denitrification and Anammox reactions, denitrification is thermodynamically more favorable than Anammox in the presence of soluble biodegradable COD (Kumar and Lin 2010). Furthermore, denitrifiers have growth rates and yields higher than Anammox bacteria. Hence, Anammox bacteria cannot compete with denitrifiers in the situation where soluble COD is sufficiently available.

As can be observed in Table 1, there is an inverse relationship between the nitrogen removal through Anammox and substrate COD/N ratios. In order to sustain growth of Anammox bacteria against denitrifiers, the ratio of biodegradable COD/N should be controlled at the level as low as possible, e.g. it has been suggested that the soluble COD/N ratio should be below 0.5 for deammonification to occur (Daigger 2014).

----- Table 1 -----

The concept of A-B stage process as illustrated in Fig. 1 has been developed to achieve significant COD reduction at stage A. The ideal stage A unit must be able to maximize removal of total COD, sCOD in particular, without significant impact on ammonia concentration. Organic matters present

in typical municipal used water can be classified into settleable particles ($>100\ \mu\text{m}$), supra-colloidal particles ($1\ \mu\text{m}$ - $100\ \mu\text{m}$), colloidal ($1\ \text{nm}$ - $1\ \mu\text{m}$) and soluble pollutants ($< 1\ \text{nm}$) (Levine et al., 1991). According to these physical properties of dissolved and particulate organic matters in municipal used water, various options, including physical, chemical, biological or their combinations have been developed for high-efficiency removal and concentration of organic carbon at stage A.

Primary settling is a physical separation process by gravity, where most fine settleable solids can be removed. Generally, 50%-70% of suspended solids and 30%-40% of total COD can be removed through primary settling of raw sewage (van Haandel and van der Lubbe 2012). This implies that the COD/N ratio would be reduced to 7-10 after such a physical pretreatment, which is still too high for a sustainable Anammox growth (Table 1). To further reduce the COD/N ratio of municipal used water, CEPT has been practiced, in which chemical coagulants, typically iron or aluminium salts and/or organic polyelectrolytes are used for completely removing particulate COD. However, chemical coagulants are ineffective for removal of sCOD. In fact, it was demonstrated that particles larger than $1.2\ \mu\text{m}$ could be removed efficiently by CEPT, but not particles smaller than $0.2\ \mu\text{m}$ (Xu et al. 2006). In general, CEPT process can lower the total COD/N ratio to 3 - 6, which is still too high for Anammox bacteria to compete with denitrifiers during anaerobic step.

HRAS process has been suggested as a key unit of the stage A to reach an even lower soluble COD/N ratio. In the HRAS system, sCOD can be removed by high-efficiency intracellular storage or biosynthesis, and further separated together with the settled COD by biological flocculation. Normally 55-65% of the total COD, including 50-60% sCOD can be removed by a HRAS process operated at a short HRT of 0.5 hour and SRT of 0.5 day, respectively (Wett et al. 2007). The effluent of the stage A with low soluble COD/N ratio is treated in stage B for deammonification, while part of stage A sludge with captured COD is then directed to anaerobic digester for biogas production (Fig. 1).

Obviously, the HRAS as stage A has advantages of enhancing energy recovery and reducing aeration demand. However, the challenge associated with HRAS process is the unbalanced sludge flow between sludge directed to AD for enhanced biogas production and sludge returned to stage A for COD capture. This means that when more sludge is transferred for biogas production, less sludge will be available for COD degradation and COD capture. In addition, the sludge from stage A generally has a very poor settleability due to the shorter HRT and SRT applied (Bisogni and Lawrence 1971; Chan et al. 2011). As most of COD has been captured at stage A, excess sludge produced at stage B through

deammonification would be largely reduced, due to slow growth rates of AOB and Anammox bacteria and low ammonia loading. This implies that part of excess sludge generated at stage B can be recycled to stage A for carbon capture. Therefore, it appears to be difficult to maintain a stable sludge concentration at stage A if the sludge is withdrawn for AD.

Instead of carbon capture, various anaerobic units e.g. upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) and expanded granular sludge bed (EGSB) have also been employed as core methods to remove biodegradable organic matters in used waste with simultaneous biogas generation, hence can be considered as an alternative of stage A in the A-B stage-based deammonification process. However, dissolved methane in the anaerobic processes effluent may pose a new challenge to anaerobic pretreatment of low-strength municipal used water. The dissolved methane, if not properly controlled, may eventually be emitted causing greenhouse gas emission (Liu et al. 2013).

Selective retention of AOB against NOB: a challenge of mainstream deammonification

The short-cut nitrification is the core towards sustainable deammonification, indicating the necessity of selective retention and accumulation of AOB against NOB. This prerequisite is the biggest challenge in the mainstream deammonification process treating municipal used water due to the complementary metabolism of AOB and NOB populations.

Free ammonia (FA) and free nitrous acid (FNA) have commonly been used as control parameters of nitrification in sidestream deammonification with high ammonia (Park and Bae 2009). However, such FA-based control strategy is no longer effective in mainstream deammonification of municipal used water with low ammonia concentrations of 15 to 50 mg/L (Negulescu 2011). pH control is another option but not an economically viable approach for nitrification due to high chemical costs. In general, high temperature can be considered as an effective control strategy for nitrification in warm climate as AOB grow relatively faster than NOB at temperatures higher than 25°C (Hellinga et al. 1998). As AOB grow faster than NOB, SRT can be controlled in a range shorter than NOB retention time but longer than AOB retention time. As such, NOB can be continuously washed out of the system. Although extensive efforts have been dedicated to various attempts including intermittent aeration (Ge et al. 2014), real-time control of aeration (Claros et al. 2012), inorganic carbon (NaHCO₃) (Tokutomi et al. 2010) and bioaugmentation with AOB (Bartrolí et al. 2011) to maintain sustainable mainstream nitrification, it is of yet-resolved challenge. So far, most commonly practiced engineering approaches towards nitrification are based on the

alternating dissolved oxygen (DO) control and short SRT (Wett et al. 2013).

Low DO below 0.5 mg/L is beneficial to selectively repress growth of NOB, due to their lower oxygen affinity compared to AOB (Laanbroek and Gerards 1993). This strategy has been adopted for the treatment of both high- and low-ammonium used water (Blackburne et al. 2008; Fernandes et al. 2013; Ruiz et al. 2003). However, recent studies showed that higher DO of around 1.5 mg/L was still beneficial to mainstream nitrification (Cao et al. 2013; Ge et al. 2014; Regmi et al. 2014). Using a tropical full-scale used water treatment plant in Singapore as the case study, Cao et al. (2013) showed that 75% of nitrite accumulation was achieved in the oxic units operated at the DO concentrations of 1.4-1.8 mg/L. So far, DO control has been one of the most effective ways towards nitrification as it has concomitant advantage of reducing aeration-associated operation cost.

Intermittent aeration in temporal or spatial fashion has been shown to be effective for selecting AOB against NOB. For example, 90-95% of nitrite accumulation was achieved through intermittent aeration in a SBR treating domestic used water (Guo et al. 2009; Zeng et al. 2009). This is probably due to the lag-time for NOB to respond the transition from anoxic to aerobic conditions, and inhibition of NOB by intermediate products (e.g. hydroxylamine) (Xu et al. 2012). Another approach is to use step-feed reactor configuration. Stable partial nitrification/denitrification had been reported in continuous plug-flow reactors with alternate anoxic and oxic (A/O) zones (Cao et al. 2013; Ge et al. 2014). However, it should be noted that such control strategy still needs further study in a sustainable mainstream deammonification process for municipal used water treatment.

Alternatively, top-up or bioaugmentation of AOB from sidestream to mainstream deammonification process can also be employed. This approach helps to faster start-up, maintain or recover the nitrification process. For instance, in Strass wastewater treatment plant, Austria, supplementation of AOB biomass from the sidestream deammonification system by a hydrocyclone helped to maintain a sustainable nitrification activity in the mainstream deammonification system (Wett et al. 2013). Indeed it is challenging to maintain a sustainable nitrification for low ammonia used water in full-scale application, which first requires inhibition of NOB versus AOB according to their different growth conditions, such as, DO, temperature and pH, and then followed by selective washout of NOB from the system according to SRT.

Challenges associated with effective retention of Anammox bacteria

In addition to the challenges discussed above, retention of Anammox bacteria is another challenge. Anammox bacteria grow extremely slow with a doubling time of about 11 days in a lab-scale experiment (Strous et al. 1998), and 25 days at temperature below 20°C (Hendrickx et al. 2012). Thus, a long SRT is essential for retention of Anammox biomass in the mainstream deammonification process, especially at temperatures below 20°C (Hendrickx et al. 2012; Lotti et al. 2014). Granular sludge and biofilm have been suggested for effective retention of Anammox biomass (Fernández et al. 2008). So far, Anammox granules and biofilm have been reported in various kinds of bioreactors including UASB, SBR, moving bed biofilm reactor (MBBR), dynamic membrane bioreactor (DMBR), and rotating biological contactor (RBC) (Christensson et al. 2011; Joss et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2008; Meng et al. 2014; Tang et al. 2011).

In general, the ammonia concentrations are very low in the municipal used water, so the development and maintenance of Anammox granules is very challenging. Hydrocyclone has been applied for separation of Anammox aggregates from waste sludge in the sidestream deammonification, which is then returned to the mainstream deammonification process (Wett et al. 2013). Through cyclone separation and return of Anammox aggregates, the SRT of Anammox bacteria can be significantly prolonged in the system. In fact, it was shown that larger aggregates from the underflow stream of a hydrocyclone exhibited much higher Anammox activity than the smaller ones (Nielsen et al. 2005; Vlaeminck et al. 2010). Anammox aggregates are generally larger but less compressible than the AOB and NOB which grow in smaller and more compressible flocs. According to such findings, selective separation and retention by screening or sieving have been developed for Anammox aggregates (De Clippeleir et al. 2013). Other methods for the retention of Anammox bacteria may include use of carriers or membrane systems.

Remarks

By reducing aeration demand and allowing carbon to be redirected to AD for biogas generation, deammonification application in the mainstream has the potential for a used water treatment plant to be energy neutral or even become net energy producers. Organic carbon and nitrogen are decoupled and treated individually in the different systems. To date, the HRAS process shows great promise for the removal of organic matters, including both soluble COD and particle COD. However, the stability and the effluent quality of HRAS process may need to be enhanced by CEPT method to achieve the required degree of COD/N in the deammonification stage so as to avoid inhibiting Anammox bacteria. Sustainable

mainstream deammonification remains a goal in the treatment of municipal used water, and current research in developing both mainstream nitrification control strategies and mainstream Anammox retention methods hold promise for attaining this goal in the near future.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Public Utility Board (PUB) of Singapore for financial support of this research.

References:

- Bartrolí A, Carrera J, Pérez J (2011) Bioaugmentation as a tool for improving the start-up and stability of a pilot-scale partial nitrification biofilm airlift reactor. *Bioresour Technol* 102(6):4370-4375
- Blackburne R, Yuan Z, Keller J (2008) Partial nitrification to nitrite using low dissolved oxygen concentration as the main selection factor. *Biodegradation* 19(2):303-312
- Cao Y, Kwok BH, Yong WH, Chua SC, Wah YL, Ghani Y (2013) Mainstream Partial Nitrification–ANAMMOX Nitrogen Removal in the Largest Full-Scale Activated Sludge Process in Singapore: process analysis. In: *WEF/IWA Nutrient Removal and Recovery*. Presented at the WEF/IWA Nutrient Removal and Recovery, Canada
- Chamchoi N, Nitisoravut S, Schmidt JE (2008) Inactivation of ANAMMOX communities under concurrent operation of anaerobic ammonium oxidation (ANAMMOX) and denitrification. *Bioresour Technol* 99(9):3331-3336
- Chan L, Leu S-Y, Rosso D, Stenstrom MK (2011) The Relationship Between Mixed-Liquor Particle Size and Solids Retention Time in the Activated Sludge Process. *Water Environ Res* 83(12):2178-2186
- Chen H, Liu S, Yang F, Xue Y, Wang T (2009) The development of simultaneous partial nitrification, ANAMMOX and denitrification (SNAD) process in a single reactor for nitrogen removal. *Bioresour Technol* 100(4):1548-1554
- Christensson M, Ekström S, Chan AA, Le Vaillant E, Lemaire R (2013) Experience from start-ups of the first anitamo plants. *Water Sci Technol* 67(12):2677-2684
- Claros J, Serralta J, Seco A, Ferrer J, Aguado D (2012) Real-time control strategy for nitrogen removal via nitrite in a SHARON reactor using pH and ORP sensors. *Process Biochem* 47(10):1510-1515
- Daigger GT (2014) Oxygen and Carbon Requirements for Biological Nitrogen Removal Processes Accomplishing Nitrification, Nitrification, and Anammox. *Water Environ Res* 86(3):204-209

De Clippeleir H, Jimenez R, Giraldo E, Wett B, Dockett N, Riffat R, Murthy AAOS (2013) Screens as a Method for Selective Anammox Retention in Single Stage Deammonification Processes. In: WEF/IWA Nutrient Removal and Recovery. Presented at the WEF/IWA Nutrient Removal and Recovery, Canada

Du R, Peng Y, Cao S, Wu C, Weng D, Wang S, He J (2014) Advanced nitrogen removal with simultaneous Anammox and denitrification in sequencing batch reactor. *Bioresour Technol* 162(0):316-322

Fernandes H, Jungles MK, Hoffmann H, Antonio RV, Costa RHR (2013) Full-scale sequencing batch reactor (SBR) for domestic wastewater: Performance and diversity of microbial communities. *Bioresour Technol* 132(0):262-268

Fernández I, Vázquez-Padín JR, Mosquera-Corral A, Campos JL, Méndez R (2008) Biofilm and granular systems to improve Anammox biomass retention. *Biochem Eng J* 42(3):308-313

Ge S, Peng Y, Qiu S, Zhu A, Ren N (2014) Complete nitrogen removal from municipal wastewater via partial nitrification by appropriately alternating anoxic/aerobic conditions in a continuous plug-flow step feed process. *Water Res* 55:95-105

Guo JH, Peng YZ, Wang SY, Zheng YN, Huang HJ, Ge SJ (2009) Effective and robust partial nitrification to nitrite by real-time aeration duration control in an SBR treating domestic wastewater. *Process Biochem* 44(9):979-985

Hellinga C, Schellen A, Mulder J, Van Loosdrecht M, Heijnen J (1998) The SHARON process: an innovative method for nitrogen removal from ammonium-rich waste water. *Water Sci Technol* 37(9):135-142

Hendrickx TLG, Wang Y, Kampman C, Zeeman G, Temmink H, Buisman CJN (2012) Autotrophic nitrogen removal from low strength waste water at low temperature. *Water Res* 46(7):2187-2193

Henze M, van Loosdrecht M, Ekama G, Brdjanovic D (2008) *Biological Wastewater Treatment: Principles, Modelling and Design*. IWA Pub, London

James Bisogni Jr J, Lawrence AW (1971) Relationships between biological solids retention time and settling characteristics of activated sludge. *Water Res* 5(9):753-763

Jenni S, Vlaeminck SE, Morgenroth E, Udert KM (2014) Successful application of nitrification/anammox to wastewater with elevated organic carbon to ammonia ratios. *Water Res* 49(0):316-326

Joss A, Salzgeber D, Eugster J, Koenig R, Rottermann K, Burger S, Fabijan P, Leumann S, Mohn J,

Siegrist H (2009) Full-scale nitrogen removal from digester liquid with partial nitrification and anammox in one SBR. *Environ Sci Technol* 43(14):5301-5306

Kartal B, Kuenen J, Van Loosdrecht M (2010) Sewage treatment with anammox. *Science* 328(5979):702-703

Kumar M, Lin J-G (2010) Co-existence of anammox and denitrification for simultaneous nitrogen and carbon removal—Strategies and issues. *J Hazard Mater* 178(1–3):1-9

Laanbroek H, Gerards S (1993) Competition for limiting amounts of oxygen between *Nitrosomonas europaea* and *Nitrobacter winogradskyi* grown in mixed continuous cultures. *Arch Microbiol* 159(5):453-459

Lackner S, Gilbert EM, Vlaeminck SE, Joss A, Horn H, van Loosdrecht MCM (2014) Full-scale partial nitrification/anammox experiences – An application survey. *Water Res* 55(0):292-303

Levine AD, Tchobanoglous G, Asano T (1991) Size distributions of particulate contaminants in wastewater and their impact on treatability. *Water Res* 25(8):911-922

Liu S, Yang F, Xue Y, Gong Z, Chen H, Wang T, Su Z (2008) Evaluation of oxygen adaptation and identification of functional bacteria composition for anammox consortium in non-woven biological rotating contactor. *Bioresour Technol* 99(17):8273-8279

Liu Z-h, Yin H, Dang Z, Liu Y (2013) Dissolved Methane: A Hurdle for Anaerobic Treatment of Municipal Wastewater. *Environ Sci Technol* 48(2):889-890

Lotti T, Kleerebezem R, van Loosdrecht MCM (2014) Effect of temperature change on anammox activity. *Biotechnol and Bioeng* doi:10.1002/bit.25333

Meng F, Su G, Hu Y, Lu H, Huang L-N, Chen G-H (2014) Improving nitrogen removal in an ANAMMOX reactor using a permeable reactive biobarrier. *Water Res* 58(0):82-91

Negulescu M (2011) *Municipal Waste Water Treatment*. Elsevier Science, pp 28

Nielsen M, Bollmann A, Sliekers O, Jetten M, Schmid M, Strous M, Schmidt I, Larsen LH, Nielsen LP, Revsbech NP (2005) Kinetics, diffusional limitation and microscale distribution of chemistry and organisms in a CANON reactor. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 51(2):247-256

Park S, Bae W (2009) Modeling kinetics of ammonium oxidation and nitrite oxidation under simultaneous inhibition by free ammonia and free nitrous acid. *Process Biochem* 44(6):631-640

Regmi P, Miller MW, Holgate B, Bunce R, Park H, Chandran K, Wett B, Murthy S, Bott CB (2014) Control of aeration, aerobic SRT and COD input for mainstream nitrification/denitrification. *Water Res*

299 57:162-171

300 Ruiz G, Jeison D, Chamy R (2003) Nitrification with high nitrite accumulation for the treatment of
301 wastewater with high ammonia concentration. *Water Res* 37(6):1371-1377

302 Strous M, Heijnen J, Kuenen J, Jetten M (1998) The sequencing batch reactor as a powerful tool for the
303 study of slowly growing anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing microorganisms. *Appl Microbiol*
304 *Biotechnol* 50(5):589-596

305 Tang C-J, Zheng P, Chai L-Y, Min X-B (2013) Thermodynamic and kinetic investigation of anaerobic
306 bioprocesses on ANAMMOX under high organic conditions. *Chem Eng J* 230(0):149-157

307 Tang C-J, Zheng P, Wang C-H, Mahmood Q, Zhang J-Q, Chen X-G, Zhang L, Chen J-W (2011)
308 Performance of high-loaded ANAMMOX UASB reactors containing granular sludge. *Water Res*
309 45(1):135-144

310 Tokutomi T, Shibayama C, Soda S, Ike M (2010) A novel control method for nitrification: The domination
311 of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria by high concentrations of inorganic carbon in an airlift-fluidized bed
312 reactor. *Water Res* 44(14):4195-4203

313 van Haandel AC, van der Lubbe JGM (2012) *Handbook of Biological Wastewater Treatment: Design and*
314 *Optimisation of Activated Sludge Systems*. IWA Pub

315 Vlaeminck SE, Terada A, Smets BF, De Clippeleir H, Schaubroeck T, Bolca S, Demeestere L, Mast J,
316 Boon N, Carballa M, Verstraete W (2010) Aggregate Size and Architecture Determine Microbial
317 Activity Balance for One-Stage Partial Nitrification and Anammox. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
318 76(3):900-909

319 Wang C-C, Lee P-H, Kumar M, Huang Y-T, Sung S, Lin J-G (2010) Simultaneous partial nitrification,
320 anaerobic ammonium oxidation and denitrification (SNAD) in a full-scale landfill-leachate
321 treatment plant. *J Hazard Mater* 175(1-3):622-628

322 Wett B, Buchauer K, Fimml C (2007) Energy self-sufficiency as a feasible concept for wastewater
323 treatment systems. In: *IWA Leading Edge Technology Conference*, Singapore: Asian Water, pp
324 21-24

325 Wett B, Omari A, Podmirseg SM, Han M, Akintayo O, Gomez Brandon M, Murthy S, Bott C, Hell M,
326 Takacs I, Nyhuis G, O'Shaughnessy M (2013) Going for mainstream deammonification from bench
327 to full scale for maximized resource efficiency. *Water Sci Technol* 68(2):283-9

328 Xu G, Xu X, Yang F, Liu S, Gao Y (2012) Partial nitrification adjusted by hydroxylamine in aerobic

- 1 329 granules under high DO and ambient temperature and subsequent Anammox for low C/N
2
3 330 wastewater treatment. Chem Eng J 213(0):338-345
4
5 331 Xu G, Zhang Y, Gregory J (2006) Different pollutants removal efficiencies and pollutants distribution
6
7 332 with particle size of wastewater treated by CEPT process. Water Pr Technol 1(03)
8
9 333 Zeng W, Zhang Y, Li L, Peng Y-z, Wang S-y (2009) Control and optimization of nitrifying communities
10
11 334 for nitrification from domestic wastewater at room temperatures. Enzyme Microb Tech 45(3):226-232
12
13 335
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

336 **Figure and Table captions**

337 Figure 1 Process configurations of the two-stage mainstream deammonification. PST: Primary

338 Sedimentation Tank; FST: Final Sedimentation Tank.

339 Table 1 Effect of COD and COD/N on the Anammox bacteria

Table 1 Effect of COD and COD/N on the Anammox bacteria

COD type	COD/N	Process	Effect on Anammox bacteria	References
Propionate	0.75 -1.25	One-step granule	COD/N >1.25, inhibit Anammox	(Chamchoi et al. 2008)
Fat milk	0.9-2	UASB granule	COD > 300 mg/L, inhibit Anammox	(Chamchoi et al. 2008)
Starch or peptone	1- 4	One-step granule	COD/N = 4, Anammox sharply dropped.	(Du et al. 2014)
Glucose Sucrose	0.1-1	UASB granule	COD/N = 1 (COD=800) or COD/NO ₂ ⁻ >1.46 (COD=700), Anammox disappeared	(Tang et al. 2013)
Landfill leachate	0.87	One-step granule	TN removal by Anammox 68%, by Denitrification 8%	(Wang et al. 2010)
Acetate or glucose	0.27 -1.4	One-step granule	Anammox decreased with COD/N increasing to 1.4	(Jenni et al. 2014)
Acetate	0.5 0.75	One-step biofilm	COD/N 0.5 to 0.75, TN removal rate decreased from 79% to 52%	(Chen et al. 2009)

Figure

Figure 1 Process configurations of the two-stage mainstream deammonification. PST: Primary Sedimentation Tank; FST: Final Sedimentation Tank.