A novel deep learning based neural network for heartbeat detection in ballistocardiograph

Lu, Han; Zhang, Haihong; Lin, Zhiping; Ng, Soon Huat

2018

Lu, H., Zhang, H., Lin, Z., & Ng, S. H. (2018). A novel deep learning based neural network for heartbeat detection in ballistocardiograph. 40th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2563-2566.

doi:10.1109/EMBC.2018.8512771

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/136679>

<https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2018.8512771>

© 2018 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works. The published version is available at:
<https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2018.8512771>.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 17:45:50 SGT

A Novel Deep Learning based Neural Network for Heartbeat Detection in Ballistocardiograph

Han LU¹, Haihong ZHANG², Zhiping LIN¹, Ng Soon Huat²

Abstract—Ballistocardiography (BCG) is a revamped technology for cardiac function monitoring. Detecting individual heart beats in BCG remains a challenging task due to various artifacts and low signal-to-noise ratio, which are not well addressed by conventional approaches based on intuitive observations of BCG waveforms. In this paper, we propose to employ deep learning networks to capture the characteristics of the variations of BCG waveforms within and across individual subjects. Particularly, we design a neural network that combines Convolutional-Neural-Network (CNN) and Extreme Learning Machine (ELM). We test the new learning method on a real BCG data set and show better detection result compared with a state-of-the-art method. We demonstrate how the advanced machine learning technology can learn and detect BCG waveforms robustly.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ballistocardiography is an unobtrusive method of cardio monitoring by sensing the mechanical movement of the body generated by heart beats. The signal carries vital information of the heart activities [1]. However, comparing to electrocardiogram (ECG), BCG signal is far more susceptible to interference and distortions. This renders the heart-beat detection a challenging task from biomedical signal processing viewpoint.

A number of methods have been proposed for BCG heartbeat detection in the literature. Some researchers used the template matching approach [2] that essentially compares a waveform against one or a few fixed template BCG waveforms. Other researchers have proposed alternative methods including an algorithm using short-time energy [3], a k-means clustering algorithm based on finding the location of the J-peaks [4] and a dispersion maximum algorithm that aims to enhance the major BCG peaks [5]. However, existing techniques often depend heavily on the assumption of consistent, distinguishing characteristics of BCG waveform components. The assumption is questionable in view of considerable variations of BCG waveforms that may be neither consistent across individuals nor consistent over time.

Deep learning has become a powerful tool in image processing, speech recognition and sentiment analysis, and has been successfully applied to detection of heartbeat in electrocardiograph [6]. Inspired by the developments of deep learning in the above fields, we here propose to introduce deep learning to detect BCG heartbeats.

Particularly, a combined method consisting of 1-D Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) [7] and Extreme Learning

Machine (ELM) [8] is proposed. In our method, CNN is used as a feature extractor of the input BCG signal and ELM performs classification decisions.

To verify the effectiveness of our method, we construct a cross-validation test using a real-world data set which comprises five subjects of two different conditions: pre-exercise and post-exercise. We examine the statistics of detection accuracy from multiple runs of the test, and show significantly better detection results compared against a recently published algorithm [5].

II. PROPOSED CNN-ELM METHOD

Our proposed CNN-ELM architecture is depicted in Fig. 1. The upper graph shows the process of feature extraction using a CNN. In this example, we consider a BCG signal at a sampling rate of 1000Hz. We take a 500-millisecond long BCG waveform segment as the input. The process comprises a series of convolution, pooling and feature mapping steps (see Table. I for details). We use the back propagation algorithm to train this network. Then we take the 732-element in the first fully-connected layer as the extracted feature. The feature vector is then fed into the ELM classifier for predicting whether or not the input BCG segment contains a valid BCG heart beat waveform, or in other words, if the BCG segment contains the I-J-K complex (class label 1) or not (class label 0).

A. Convolutional Neural Networks for feature extraction

Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is a state-of-the-art learning network in deep learning technology. It is widely-used in image recognition [9], video classification [10] and natural language processing (NLP) [11], thanks to the rapid development of machine learning hardware and software. Compared with traditional neural networks, CNN has two advantageous characteristics, namely, local connectivity and weight sharing. Thus, it reduces the complexity of the network model and the number of weight parameters.

A CNN structure is made up of several convolutional layers, pooling layers and fully-connected layers. In the work, we base the network design on LeNet-5 [7]. It has 7 layers excluding the output layer as defined in Table. I. Note that, because we consider only a single BCG-sensor waveform, i.e. a 1-D signal, the size of convolution kernel and some other parameters are adapted accordingly. Besides the input and output layers, the CNN has two convolutional layers (C1-C2) with ReLU (Rectified Linear Units) nonlinear unit, two pooling layers (P1-P2) connected after C1-C2 and two fully-connected layers (F1-F2). The 732×1 dimension

¹School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore han014@e.ntu.edu.sg, EZPLin@ntu.edu.sg

²Institute for Infocomm Research, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore hhzhang@i2r.a-star.edu.sg, shng@i2r.a-star.edu.sg

Fig. 1. Structure of our proposed CNN-ELM method. Sub-figure (a) and (b) are the structure of CNN and ELM algorithm respectively.

data is regarded as the extracted feature vector to be used in the subsequent classification processing by the ELM.

TABLE I
PROPOSED CNN-ARCHITECTURE.

NOTE: LAYER TYPE: C-CONVOLUTIONAL, P-POOLING, F-FULLY-CONNECTED.

Layer	No.Filter	Unit Type	Kernal Size	Strides	Output Size
Input					(500,1,1)
C1	3	ReLU	(5,1)	(1,1)	(496,1,3)
P1		Max	(2,1)	(2,1)	(248,1,3)
C2	6	ReLU	(5,1)	(1,1)	(244,1,6)
P2		Max	(2,1)	(2,1)	(122,1,6)
F1		ReLU			732
F2		ReLU			100
Output		Logistic			2

B. Extreme Learning Machine for BCG signal classification

ELM is a straightforward single-hidden-layer feed-forward neural network (SLFN) with a learning algorithm proposed in [8]. Compared with other traditional neural networks such as BP neural network [12], it has the following features. Firstly, ELM algorithm takes random weights between the input layer and hidden layer. Secondly, ELM has a simple feed-forward neural network mechanism. It has been demonstrated that ELM has certain advantages in terms of fast learning and generalization performance.

ELM-based BCG signal classification is generally divided into the following two steps: (1) Construct the BCG signal classifier: The feature matrix extracted from original BCG segments by CNN is the ELM input matrix which can be regarded as training samples, and the category matrix of the training samples is regarded as the output matrix. In our ELM architecture, we have two output categories: non-IJK segment labeled as 0 and IJK segment labeled as 1. We can get the best external weight after training the ELM network; (2) Perform the BCG signal classification for the testing dataset: The feature matrix of the testing samples is regarded as the ELM input matrix of the classifier, and the output of this classifier is the BCG segment category.

The specific steps of the ELM-based signal classification method are described as follows:

- (1) Given the training dataset of the BCG signal segments $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{t}_i) | \mathbf{x}_i \in R^n, \mathbf{t}_i \in R^m, i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$, hidden layer output function $g(\mathbf{w}, b, \mathbf{x})$, the number of hidden nodes L and test samples $\mathbf{y}$.
- (2) Randomly assign the parameters of hidden layer nodes $(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$.
- (3) Calculate the hidden layer output matrix $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_L, b_1, \dots, b_L, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_L) =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} g(\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 + b_1) & \cdots & g(\mathbf{w}_L \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 + b_L) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g(\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_N + b_1) & \cdots & g(\mathbf{w}_L \cdot \mathbf{x}_N + b_L) \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Fig. 2. The extraction of non-IJK and IJK segments in BCG according to ECG signal in two seconds. The 500-millisecond time interval leading to R (dotted line box) is taken as a non-IJK sample and the 500-millisecond time interval post R (solid line box) is an IJK sample.

Ensure that the row of $\mathbf{H}$ is full. In the above formula, $\mathbf{w}$ is the input weights between input layer and hidden layer, b is the biases of hidden layer, $\mathbf{x}$ is the input of training dataset, N is the number of training samples and $g(x)$ is the activate function.

(4) Calculate the optimal weight β : $\beta = \mathbf{H}^+ \mathbf{T}$.

where $\mathbf{T} = [t_1 t_2 \dots t_N]$ and $\mathbf{H}^+$ is the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse matrix of $\mathbf{H}$.

(5) Calculate the output of testing samples y :

$$o = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_L, b_1, \dots, b_L, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_L) \beta \quad (2)$$

(6) Execute the BCG signal classification for testing samples, and the maximum value of the ELM output vector determines the prediction of the BCG signal class.

$$\text{Sort}(y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (o_i) \quad (3)$$

III. EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS

A. Data collection and preprocessing

The data collection was described in details in our earlier publication in [13], and here is a brief summary. We attached two fiber-optics BCG sensors to an arm-chair (while only the bottom one being used in this work for the sake of better quality) and one-lead ECG electrode (V1) to the recruited human subjects. The sensors and electrodes were connected to a neurophysiological signal amplifier from Neuroscan Inc., for simultaneous time-sampling and digitization. Data were obtained from five healthy male adults on a volunteering basis. Each of them contributed two sessions of data: pre-exercise and post-exercise.

After manual screening and rejection as reported in [13], we obtained a total of 4607 valid heartbeat samples.

B. Signal segmentation and processing

An example of the collected concurrent BCG and ECG signals is shown in Fig. 2. After deliberation and manual verification, we chose two time intervals with respect to each

ECG R peak: the 500-millisecond time interval leading to R is taken as a non-IJK sample; the 500-millisecond time interval post R is then an IJK sample. Therefore, a total of 4607 non-IJK samples and 4607 IJK samples are available for testing algorithms.

In order to evaluate our proposed method in comparison with a reference algorithm [5], we need to study the characteristics of the output values from the two methods.

(1) As for our proposed CNN-ELM method, for each sample (BCG signal segment), we can obtain an output value calculated by Equation 2. So that we can obtain 4607 non-IJK segment values and 4607 IJK segment values in total.

(2) As for Dispersion-Maximum Algorithm method [5], we use the peak of each segment to represent a segment (see Fig.3). The value in the dashed line box represents the corresponding non-IJK segment and the value in the solid line box represents the corresponding IJK segment.

Fig. 3. BCG signal processed by moving mean absolute deviation. The values above the waves are the peak value of the corresponding segments.

C. Statistical analysis and results

For these values of non-IJK segments and IJK segments, in terms of statistical approaches, it is necessary to determine probability distribution functions (PDFs) and cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the variable values. Then, we need to set a threshold for the minimum empirical error according to Equation 4. The processing results of two methods can be seen in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.

Denote the non-IJK segment values by x_1 and the IJK segment values by x_2 . Based on Bayes' theorem, we suppose that $\text{mean}(x_2) \geq \text{mean}(x_1)$, and there is a threshold x_{set} to determine if an unknown segment is IJK ($x \geq x_{set}$) or non-IJK ($x < x_{set}$). The minimum Bayesian error is then

$$\text{error}_{min} = \min\{1 - P(x_1 \leq x_{set}) + P(x_2 \geq x_{set})\} \quad (4)$$

where $P(x \leq x_{set})$ is the probability that an arbitrary value x is less than or equal to x_{set} .

Now consider a cross-validation approach to the evaluation of performance. Specifically, we select 5000 BCG signal segments randomly as the training data and the other (4214) samples as testing data (Folder 2). This training-test process is repeated 30 times, where we evaluate our method as well as the Dispersion-Maximum Algorithm [5] and obtain the

Fig. 4. One example of the result of our proposed method presented using PDF and CDF figures. The dashdot line in the figure is the classification threshold which has the minimum percentage of error: 11.88%

Fig. 5. The same example as in Fig. 4 with result of the compared method presented in [5] using PDF and CDF figures. Percentage of error: 47.22%

result as summarised in Table. II. The table illustrates the minimum empirical classification error rate by either our CNN-ELM method or the Dispersion-Maximum. The result shows that the CNN-ELM produced far less errors in both training and test sets.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this paper, a neural network architecture for BCG signal classification is proposed, where CNN extracts the deep features of BCG I-J-K complex and ELM performs classification. Unlike conventional BCG detection methods, our method does not assume a fixed pattern or prominent peaks in the BCG complex. Compared with the dispersion-maximum algorithm, our proposed method achieved a reduction of error rate by more than 30% on average.

While this work considers classification of clearly labeled BCG and non-BCG waveforms as labeled using the ECG R-peak, our future work will look into continuous processing

TABLE II
MINIMUM CLASSIFICATION ERROR RATE (USING
SUBJECT-INDEPENDENT DETECTION MODELS).

Algorithm	Folder 1 (5000 training samples)	Folder 2 (4214 testing samples)
CNN-ELM	1.36%	12.47%
Dispersion-Maximum [5]	43.21%	45.46%

and detection where the inter-beat structure will be important as well as the intra-beat BCG characteristics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT This research was supported partially by the Singapore Academic Research Fund (AcRF) Tier 1 under Project RG 31/16.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. T. Inan, P.-F. Migeotte, K.-S. Park, M. Etemadi, K. Tavakolian, R. Casanella, J. Zanetti, J. Tank, I. Funtova, G. K. Prisk *et al.*, "Ballistocardiography and seismocardiography: A review of recent advances," *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1414–1427, 2015.
- [2] J. Shin, B. Choi, Y. Lim, D. Jeong, and K. Park, "Automatic ballistocardiogram (bcg) beat detection using a template matching approach," in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 2008. EMBS 2008. 30th Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 1144–1146.
- [3] L. Rosales, M. Skubic, D. Heise, M. J. Devaney, and M. Schaumburg, "Heartbeat detection from a hydraulic bed sensor using a clustering approach," in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 2383–2387.
- [4] K. Lydon, B. Y. Su, L. Rosales, M. Enayati, K. Ho, M. Rantz, and M. Skubic, "Robust heartbeat detection from in-home ballistocardiogram signals of older adults using a bed sensor," in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 7175–7179.
- [5] S.-T. Choe and W.-D. Cho, "Simplified real-time heartbeat detection in ballistocardiography using a dispersion-maximum method," *Biomedical Research*, vol. 28, no. 9, 2017.
- [6] I. Chamatidis, A. Katsika, and G. Spathoulas, "Using deep learning neural networks for ecg based authentication," in *Security Technology (ICCSST), 2017 International Carnahan Conference on*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [7] Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, 1998.
- [8] G.-B. Huang, Q.-Y. Zhu, and C.-K. Siew, "Extreme learning machine: a new learning scheme of feedforward neural networks," in *Neural Networks, 2004. Proceedings. 2004 IEEE International Joint Conference on*, vol. 2. IEEE, 2004, pp. 985–990.
- [9] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*, 2014.
- [10] A. Karpathy, G. Toderici, S. Shetty, T. Leung, R. Sukthankar, and L. Fei-Fei, "Large-scale video classification with convolutional neural networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2014, pp. 1725–1732.
- [11] W. Yin, K. Kann, M. Yu, and H. Schütze, "Comparative study of CNN and RNN for natural language processing," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.01923*, 2017.
- [12] W. Wang, H. Yuan, and M. Tan, "Application of bp neural network in monitoring of ocean tide level," in *Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks (CICN), 2015 International Conference on*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1238–1240.
- [13] H. Zhang, Z. Wang, K. Dong, S. H. Ng, and Z. Lin, "Towards precise tracking of electric-mechanical cardiac time intervals through joint ecg and bcg sensing and signal processing," in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2017 39th Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 751–754.