Singapore Mandarin Chinese : its variations and studies

Lin, Jingxia; Khoo, Yong Kang

2018

Lin, J., & Khoo, Y. K. (2018). Singapore Mandarin Chinese : its variations and studies. Chinese Language and Discourse, 9(2), 109-135. doi:10.1075/cld.18007.lin

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/136920>

<https://doi.org/10.1075/cld.18007.lin>

© 2018 John Benjamins Publishing Company. All rights reserved. This paper was published in Chinese Language and Discourse and is made available with permission of John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 22:37:22 SGT

Singapore Mandarin Chinese: Its Variations and Studies*

Jingxia Lin and Yong Kang Khoo
Nanyang Technological University

Abstract

Given the historical and linguistic contexts of Singapore, it is both theoretically and practically significant to study Singapore Mandarin (SM), an important member of Global Chinese. This paper aims to present a relatively comprehensive linguistic picture of SM by overviewing current studies, particularly on the variations that distinguish SM from other Mandarin varieties, and to serve as a reference for future studies on SM. This paper notes that (a) current studies have often provided general descriptions of the variations, but less on individual variations that may lead to more theoretical discussions; (b) the studies on SM are primarily based on the comparison with Mainland China Mandarin; (c) language contact has been taken as the major contributor of the variation in SM, whereas other factors are often neglected; and (d) corpora with SM data are comparatively less developed and the evaluation of data has remained largely in descriptive statistics.

Keywords: Global Chinese; Singapore Mandarin Chinese; grammatical variations

关键词: 全球华语、新加坡华语、语法变异

1. Introduction

Recent years have witnessed an increasing number of studies on the Chinese language from a global perspective. That is, in addition to Mainland China and Taiwan, a significant number of studies on Chinese have extended their attention to the Chinese spoken in other speech communities of the world, e.g., Hong Kong, Macau, Malaysia, Singapore, and North America. Studies have proposed different notions and interpretations for referring to such Chinese language, e.g., “global Chinese” (全球华语 *quánqiú huáyǔ*) (Diao 2015; Diao 2017; Tang 2009; Xu & Wang 2004; Xu & Dong 2013), “Big Chinese” (大华语 *dàhuáyǔ*) (Chew 2016; Li 2017; Lu 2005; Lu 2015), “World Chineses” (世界华语 *shìjiè huáyǔ*) (Huang et al. 2014; Lin et al. 2014; Lin et al. 2018), and “Generalized Mandarin as an International Language” (宽式国际汉语 *kuānshì guójì hànǔ*) (Dong & Xu 2015; Xu & Dong 2013), all of which

*The study is supported by the National Social Sciences Foundation for National Key Project “History of Overseas Chinese Grammar Studies and Database Construction” (16ZDA209) from China and Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund Tier 1 (RG145/15) from Singapore. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the following professors: K. K. Luke, Jingmin Shao, Xiaomei Wang, Feng Xu, Haiming Yang and Jing Zhou for their valuable comments on the earlier version of this paper. While this paper attempts to include all existing major studies on the grammar of Singapore Mandarin, there is bound to be studies that the authors missed out in the process. The authors are fully responsible for all the possible missing of literature to be reviewed or any errors that may be present in this paper.

accept that there are multiple varieties of the Chinese language. For instance, by taking into consideration both the historical and contemporary contexts, Diao (2015, 243) proposes that global Chinese (or Chinese in different regions of the world) is “a common language of ethnic Chinese based on the modern Chinese in early stage (Guoyu) with Putonghua as the core”. Following Diao (2015) and other studies (Diao 2017; Xu & Dong 2013; Dong & Xu 2015), this study treats the Mandarin spoken in Singapore, i.e. Singapore Mandarin (新加坡华语 *xīnjiāpō huáyǔ*), as a variety of Mandarin Chinese. That is, Singapore Mandarin (shortened as “SM”) is not simply a synonym of Putonghua (普通话 *pǔtōnghuà*), or even the Mandarin spoken in its neighboring country Malaysia (Malaysia Mandarin 马来西亚华语 *mǎláixīyà huáyǔ*), but one of the varieties that make up global Chinese. The study of SM is critical both theoretically and practically, given its historical and linguistic contexts in Singapore: it contributes to the research on global Chinese and theoretical discussion of language variation and change cross-linguistically; it also facilitates Mandarin teaching in Singapore and more efficient communication between speakers of different Mandarin varieties. This paper is an overview of studies on SM, particularly on its history (Section 2), the distinctive linguistic features that SM exhibits with respect to the other varieties of Mandarin (Section 3). With the overview, this paper aims to present a relatively full linguistic picture of SM and serve as a reference for future studies on SM by pointing out the possible gaps in the current research trends (Section 4).

2. Singapore Mandarin: History

The Chinese arrived in Singapore as early as the late 13th century (Zhang et al. 2004), though it was only until after Singapore was established as a British trading post in 1819 that the Chinese came in droves. By 1836, the Chinese people had become one of the largest ethnic groups in the region. Table 1 summarizes the changes in population demographics in Singapore since the first official census in 1824.

Table 1. Demographics of ethnic groups in Singapore (%)

Year	Chinese	Malay	Indian	Others
1824	31.0	60.2	7.1	1.7
1836	45.6	41.7	9.9	2.6
1849	52.9	32.2	11.9	3.0
1871	57.6	27.6	10.9	4.0
1911	72.4	13.8	9.2	4.7
1947	77.8	12.1	7.7	2.4
1957	75.4	13.6	8.6	1.1
1970	76.2	15.0	7.0	1.8
1980	76.9	14.6	6.4	2.1
1990	77.7	14.1	7.1	1.1
2000	76.8	13.9	7.9	1.4
2010	74.1	13.4	9.2	3.3

Source: Department of Statistics (2011); Xu and Wang (2004).

Despite being ethnically Chinese, the Chinese immigrants did not speak Mandarin when they first arrived in the early 19th century. Instead, they spoke their regional dialects, such as Southern Min dialects (including varieties from Quanzhou, Zhangzhou, Hainan and Teochew) and Cantonese, depending on their respective hometowns. This situation, where Mandarin was not adopted as the predominant home language for Singapore Chinese communities, lasted till the time around Singapore's independence around 1965; the census shows that only 0.1% of the Chinese population spoke Mandarin as their mother tongue in 1957. As these dialects were mutually unintelligible, the new Singapore government sought to instate Mandarin Chinese as the common tongue for Singapore Chinese and decreed that all students must learn both the English language and their official, ethnically-determined mother tongue. For the ethnic Chinese, this meant that they must learn both English and Mandarin. However, the preference for using Mandarin Chinese as a home language for the ethnic Chinese was considerably low even up until 1980 (see Table 2).

Table 2. A comparison of preferred home language by Chinese in Singapore (%)

Language	1980	1990	2000	2010
English	10.2	21.4	23.9	32.6
Mandarin	13.1	30.0	45.1	47.7
Chinese vernaculars (dialects)	76.2	48.2	30.7	19.2

Source: Adapted from Cavallaro and Ng (2014)

To tackle this issue, the Singapore government launched the Speak Mandarin Campaign in 1979 to promote Mandarin Chinese amongst the Singaporean Chinese community in order to bring about social cohesion and communication within the Singaporean Chinese community and with the wider Mandarin-speaking circle (Bokhorst-Heng & Wee 2007; Dixon 2009; Newman 1988; Shang & Zhao 2017). This campaign has been quite effective, dropping the use of Chinese dialects by around 30 within ten years and by 2010, most of the dialect-speaking community has shifted to using Mandarin (Bokhorst-Heng & Wee 2007; Cai 2014; Chua 2010; Ke 2009; Ng 2006; Xu & Wang 2004).

3. Singapore Mandarin: Its variations

A significant number of studies on SM have paid particular attention to the differences between SM and Mainland China Mandarin (i.e. MCM, or Putonghua), and to a smaller extent, Taiwan Mandarin (TWM), Hong Kong Mandarin (HKM), and Malaysia Mandarin (MM). This section aims to present a comprehensive overview of the variations in the grammar of SM. For a fuller review, this section also covers variations identified in lexicon and pragmatics, two categories closely associated with grammar.

3.1 Lexical variation

Lexical variation is the one of the most well-studied aspects in variation studies

because it is more noticeable and more easily captured. The scope of previous studies on lexical variation in SM ranges from listing of words in earlier studies (Chen 1986a; Jia & Xu 2005; Lu 1990; Wang 1999; Wang 2002; Zhou 1999) to more exhaustive surveys at dictionary-length in more recent studies (Li 2017; Tsou & You 2010; Wang 1999).

The lexical variations that SM exhibits can be classified into three major categories (Chew & Xiao 1999; Shao 2010; Chen 2008; c.f. the eight categories in Wang 1999 or the seven categories in Li & Chew 2002). The first category consists of region-specific words, which are words usually coined to denote concepts that exist only in Singapore, e.g., 组屋 *zǔwū* ‘HDB flats’ and 拥车证 *yōngchēzhèng* ‘Certificate of Entitlement’. These words are what Tin (2016) terms as ‘community expressions’ (社区词 *shèqū cí*), i.e. words created and used by a local community and reflect its social, political, economic, and cultural backgrounds. The second category comprises of words with the same meanings but are expressed with different forms in different varieties. For instance, ‘taking a shower’ is expressed as 冲凉 *chōngliáng* in SM but as 洗澡 *xǐzǎo* or 淋浴 *línǚ* in MCM. The third category consists of words with the same forms but express different meanings in different regions. For instance, 周日 *zhōurì* means ‘a workday’ in SM but ‘Sunday’ in MCM. However, the words in the third category are usually only partially different, and the differences lie in three main areas: (a) semantic broadening, e.g., in addition to ‘cat’, 猫 *māo* in SM can mean ‘being stingy’, (b) semantic narrowing, e.g., while 汽车 *qìchē* in MCM is a general term for vehicles, it only refers to cars in SM, and (c) change in sentimental connotation, e.g., 一小撮 *yīxiǎocuō* ‘a small bunch’ in MCM typically connotes a less favorable meaning when modifying human beings, but its SM equivalent is used in a neutral sense.

A number of studies have also discussed, briefly or in depth, the sources of the lexical variations in SM (Chen 1984; Chen 1986b; Chen 2008; Chua 2003; Li & Chew 2002; Huang 2011; Pan 2008; Wang 2002; Xu & Wang 2004). Four major sources have been identified: (a) words created to denote concepts unique to Singapore, e.g., 组屋 *zǔwū* ‘HDB flats’ and 拥车证 *yōngchēzhèng* ‘Certificate of Entitlement’, (b) loanwords from foreign languages like English and Malay, and local Chinese dialects, especially Southern Min and Cantonese, e.g., 巴士 *bāshì* from *bus* in English and 巴刹 *bāshā* from *pasar* in Malay, 三层肉 *sāncéng ròu* ‘pork belly’ from Hokkien and 大耳窿 *dà'ěr lóng* ‘illegal money lender’ from Cantonese, (c) words that have spread from Hong Kong and Taiwan, e.g., 垃圾虫 *lājīchóng* ‘litterbug’ from Hong Kong and 菜鸟 *càiniǎo* ‘rookie’ from Taiwan, and (d) words retained from earlier stages of Chinese like Pre-Modern Chinese, e.g., 邮差 *yóuchāi* ‘postman’ and 佣人 *yōngrén* ‘domestic helper’.

Among the different aspects of language, lexicon is the most sensitive to variation and change, and these variations can happen rather rapidly (Bybee 2015). While many words are used with stable frequencies and have become part of the SM lexicon, new vocabulary is still being created to represent new concepts and existing words are either being replaced by words borrowed from other varieties or phased out because the concepts they denote no longer exist. For instance, by looking at the

changes in SM lexicon over the course of 50 years (1960-2009), Huang (2011) points out that SM in the earlier period still contains many words retained from Classical Chinese (e.g., 抑 *yì* ‘or’, 呷 *gā* ‘drink’ and 吾 *wú* ‘1st person pronoun’). However, in a later period, most of these words have been replaced by words from Modern Mandarin brought on by the influence of MCM. When examining the 1557 words unique to SM listed in Wang (1999), Chen (2008) also finds that one-third of the list have undergone changes in a relatively short period of nine years. 17 of these words are no longer found in *Lianhezaobao* (联合早报), that is, they are very likely no longer used in SM, e.g., 红毛女 *hóngmáonǚ* ‘Caucasian woman’, 那西鲁玛 *nàxīlǔmǎ* ‘nasi lemak (rice cooked in coconut milk)’, 马打 *mǎdǎ* ‘mata (police)’.

Meanwhile, studies such as Huang (2011) find that the usage frequencies of some borrowed words have either become stable or increased in more recent times, signifying that these words have been effectively added into the lexicon of SM, e.g., 家私 *jiāsī* ‘furniture’ and 三层肉 *sāncéng ròu* ‘pork belly’ from Hokkien, 巴士 *bāshì* ‘bus’ and 巴仙 *bāxiān* ‘percent’ from English, 甘榜 *gānbǎng* ‘kampung (village)’ and 巴刹 *bāshā* ‘pasar (market/bazaar)’ from Malay. Additionally, community words are constantly coined in SM to reflect changes in the community, social structures and such, e.g., 婴儿花红 *yīng’ér huāhóng* ‘baby bonus’, 陪读妈妈 *péidú māmā* ‘study mama’, 新生水 *xīnshēngshuǐ* ‘NEWater’ (Chen 2008:92–3).

The variations and changes in SM lexicon have also witnessed the close interactions between SM and MCM. Studies have shown some bi-directional lexical influence between the two varieties. For instance, in an investigation of 111 MCM community words (e.g., 粉丝 *fěnsī* ‘fans’, 炒房 *chǎofáng* ‘real estate speculation’, 防火墙 *fáng huǒ qiáng* ‘firewall’), Pan (2008) finds that 43.4% of native SM speakers can understand more than half of these words. Additionally, in the competition between SM and MCM words with the same meanings, some SM words have, in fact, been eliminated and replaced with the MCM equivalents. For instance, 骇客 *hàikè* ‘hacker’, 高解像电视 *gāojiěxiàng diànshì* ‘HDTV’, and 波道 *bōdào* ‘channel’ have all been replaced by 黑客 *hēikè*, 高清电视 *gāoqīng diànshì*, and 频道 *píndào* respectively. Furthermore, SM even sometimes directly borrowed loanwords in MCM, such as 谷歌 *gǔgē* ‘Google’, 播客 *bōkè* ‘podcast’, and 平台 *píngtái* ‘platform’. Concomitantly, examples are found where MCM takes words from SM. According to Chen (2008), about 10 of the 1557 words that were unique to SM (Wang 1999) can be found in the *Contemporary Chinese Dictionary* (Chinese Academy of Social Sciences 2005). That is to say, these words have been adopted by MCM and are no longer unique to SM.

3.2 Grammatical variations

This section presents grammatical variations in two sub-sections. Section 3.2.1 introduces grammatical categories, particularly classifiers and adverbs, where major variations have been identified. Section 3.2.2 outlines some of the major grammatical process and structures in SM. These variations suggest that SM is clearly characterized by its tolerance and accommodation of different variants in almost all grammatical aspects. As will be outlined in the following, much of these variations

have been proposed to come from the influence of other previously dominant Chinese dialects and of other languages like English.

3.2.1 *Grammatical categories.* Variations in grammatical categories have been noted in a number of studies (e.g. Chen 1986b; Chew 2002; Lu 2001; Lu 2002; Zhu 2008). According to Zhu (2008), such variations are found across almost all major grammatical categories, with the more notable ones in classifiers and adverbs.

First, among the many classifiers, 粒 *lì* ‘grain’ and 间 *jiān* ‘room’ are two of the most frequently discussed classifiers in SM. Both classifiers are used in a much more generalized way than their counterparts in MCM. For instance, for 粒 *lì* ‘grain’, Situ’s (2014) corpus-based investigation finds that in addition to modifying small and round entities such as grains and pills, 粒 *lì* ‘grain’ can also modify (a) nouns denoting relatively larger round entities, e.g., 西瓜 *xīguā* ‘watermelon’, (b) entities that are not round, e.g., 罗里电池 *luōlǐ diànbǐ* ‘battery of a lorry’, (c) human figures, e.g., 仔 *zǐ* ‘kid’, (d) abstract entities, e.g., 声 *shēng* ‘sound’, and (e) event nouns such as 进球 *jìnqiú* ‘scoring a goal’. The study also finds that 粒 *lì* ‘grain’ is used much less frequently in MCM and TWM and is limited to small and round entities in the former and to round entities in the latter. The same semantic extension is found for 间 *jiān* ‘room’, where it can be used to modify not just entities related to individual rooms, but also whole houses or apartments as units, business entities and non-profit organizations (Zhu 2008). The latter nouns are typically modified by 家 *jiā* ‘home’ or 所 *suǒ* ‘place’ in MCM.

Another notable finding about classifiers in SM is that the majority can be used in a “adjective + classifier” construction. The construction functions like an adjective in that it can occur in the predicative or attributive position and be modified by adverbs, e.g., 这张纸很大张 *zhè zhāng zhǐ hěn dà zhāng* ‘This sheet of paper is a very big sheet’ (Lu 2001; Lu et al. 2002). According to Yuan and Lin (2016), the adjectives that can occur in the construction are typically limited to 大 *dà* ‘big’ and 小 *xiǎo* ‘small’, but the types of classifiers that can enter the construction are much less restricted. These include individual classifiers (e.g., 颗 *kē* ‘grain’, 张 *zhāng* ‘sheet’), event classifiers (e.g., 件 *jiàn* ‘piece’), container measure words (e.g., 碗 *wǎn* ‘bowl’, 杯 *bēi* ‘cup’, 包 *bāo* ‘bundle’), approximation measure words (e.g., 束 *shù* ‘bunch’, 群 *qún* ‘group’), and the kind classifier (样 *yàng* ‘kind’). The study further points out that except for construction with the kind classifier 样 *yàng* ‘kind’, the adjectives in all other “adjective + classifier” constructions can be reduplicated, e.g., 大大张 *dàdàzhāng* ‘very big sheet’, 小小粒 *xiǎoxiǎolì* ‘very small grain’.

Second, variations have also been noted in many adverbs, such as 才 *cái* ‘only; then’, 比较 *bǐjiào* ‘comparatively’ and 太过 *tàiguò* ‘overly’. For instance, 才 *cái* ‘only; then’ often replaces 再 *zài* ‘then’ in SM because of the influence from Southern Min (Xing 2005), as in (1). In MCM, however, 才 *cái* usually only emphasizes something that had just happened or will happen later than expected, whereas 再 *zài* ‘again’ is for events that will be repeated, or events that will happen after another event or at a certain time. Therefore, in MCM, instead of introducing a subsequent event (as is the case in SM), 才 *cái* ‘only; then’ in (1) would express the speaker’s

opinion that it is too late to let the students to start creative writing in the second lesson.

- (1) 第一堂讲创作的基本知识，第二堂才让学生创作。

dìyī táng jiǎng chuàngzuò de jīběn zhīshi, dìèr táng cái ràng xuésheng chuàngzuò

‘Introduce the basic knowledge of creative writing in the first lesson; then let the students start creative writing in the second lesson.’ (draft of a handbook for teachers, cited from Xing 2005:178)

Lastly, it is also found that some words can belong to different grammatical categories in different varieties (e.g., Chen 1986b; Chew 2002; Zhu 2008). For example, Chen (1986b) points out that as a borrowing from Cantonese, in SM, the adjective 近 *jìn* ‘near’ can be used as a verb and take objects, as in 香港很近中国 *Xiānggǎng hěn jìn Zhōngguó* ‘Hong Kong is very near to China.’ (Chen 1986b, 149). Such phenomena are often seen in nouns, verbs and adjectives; more examples can be seen in Zhu (2008).

3.2.2 *Grammatical process and structures.* This sub-section introduces some of the major grammatical process and structures that have exhibited variation, including reduplication, aspect marking, some special constructions (特殊构式 *tèshū gòushì*), and word order.

3.2.2.1 *Reduplication.* Like in MCM and other varieties of Mandarin, reduplication in SM can in various types of nouns, verbs and adjectives. It is a process which expresses a quantitative sense of augmentation (iconic in meaning and form) or attenuation (counter-iconic in meaning and form) (Stolz et al. 2011). In MCM, for instance, verbal reduplication usually expresses attenuation while adjectival reduplication expresses either augmentation or attenuation depending on the resulting syntactic functions. However, in SM, these various forms of reduplication differ in functions and meaning when compared with MCM as the former also takes features from Southern Chinese dialects. Specifically, SM is less restrictive in terms of the types of words that can undergo reduplication (and the forms they take) and that reduplication in SM serves more functions than in MCM. This section will outline some of these variations that existing studies have pointed out.

First, where the types of words that can undergo reduplication are concerned, SM is found to be much more flexible than MCM across word classes like nouns, adjectives and even auxiliaries. For instance, nouns like 水 *shuǐ* ‘water’ and 沙 *shā* ‘sand’ can be reduplicated in SM and not in MCM. Phua and Liang (to appear) point out that such reduplication expresses simulation, that is, the entity under modification possesses a property similar to the property of the entity denoted in the reduplicated noun. For instance, the reduplicated form 水水 *shuǐshuǐ* in (2a) is used to describe the thin, watery property of the porridge. Furthermore, as in (2b), even disyllabic nouns in an attributive-head structure like 小姐 *xiǎojiě* ‘miss’ can be reduplicated in

SM to describe the property of the subject (Phua and Liang to appear; cf. Chen 1986b).

- (2) a. 那碗粥水水的。
 nà wǎn zhōu shuǐshuǐ de
 ‘That bowl of congee is a bit too watery.’ (Chu 1996:19)
- b. 她做起事来，小姐小姐那样
 tā zuò qǐ shì lái xiǎojiěxiǎojiě nà yàng
 ‘She does things like a young missy.’ (Phua & Liang to appear:4)

The same flexibility is also noted in adjectives, where adjectives that typically do not allow for reduplication in MCM, such as absolute adjectives (e.g., 假 *jiǎ* ‘fake’, 粉 *fěn* ‘powdery’) and adjectives with negative connotations (e.g., 乱 *luàn* ‘messy’, 脏 *zāng* ‘dirty’, 硬 *yìng* ‘hard’, 坏 *huài* ‘bad’), are reduplicated in SM. Another reduplication of a typically unacceptable word class is the auxiliary verb 敢 *gǎn* ‘dare’, as in (3).

- (3) 敢敢唱！
 gǎngǎn chàng
 ‘Sing bravely!’ (Chen 1986b:141)

The reduplicated form 敢敢 *gǎngǎn* ‘bravely’ functions as an adverbial for VPs. According to Chen (1986b) and Zhu (2008), 敢敢 *gǎngǎn* expresses a higher intensity in the modified verb. In addition to SM, 敢敢 *gǎngǎn* is also found in MM (Guo to appear; Phua and Liang to appear), but not in other varieties of Mandarin. There have been a number of discussions regarding the source of this reduplicated form, ranging from Min dialects (Phua and Liang to appear) to Cantonese (c.f. Zhu 2008). Lastly, in verbal reduplication, not only do reduplicated verbs in SM take the forms “VV” and VV 看 *kàn*, they also take the forms VV 一下 *yíxià* (9a) and V 看看 *kànkàn* (9b) (Zhu 2008), displaying variations in how the reduplicated verbs are expressed and constructed.

A second notable variation in SM with regards to reduplication is that reduplicated words in SM can perform more functions than in MCM. For instance, in MCM, adjectival reduplication can express augmentation when in the adverbial or complement position and attenuation when in attributive or predicative position (Huang & Shi 2016; Zhu 1982). However, adjectival reduplication in SM only expresses augmentation regardless of the syntactic position (Phua and Liang to appear). Similarly, reduplicated verbs in the form of “VV” in SM express augmentation on top of attenuation, a meaning not found in MCM. For instance, in (4), 吃吃 *chīchī* ‘eat’ emphasize that the subject completes the act of eating before the next course of action, i.e. 来 *lái* ‘come’, and thus signals a perfective aspect (Chen 1986b; Chu 1996). Therefore, since “VV” in SM can express both attenuation and augmentation, and context is crucial for the determination of the intended meaning (Phua and Liang to appear).

(4) 我吃吃就来!

wǒ **chīchī** jiù lái

‘I’ll come after I am done eating.’ (Chen 1986b:140)

The verb in a resultative verbal compound can also be reduplicated in SM and expresses augmentation. For instance, 收 *shōu* ‘keep’ in 收起来 *shōu qilai* ‘keep it all away’ and 吃 *chī* ‘eat’ in 吃掉 *chīdiào* ‘finish eating’ are reduplicated in (5). Chen (1986b) and Zhu (1996) propose that such verbal reduplication expresses exhaustiveness. That is, it emphasizes that all participants (e.g., books) or every part of the participant (e.g., the food denoted by 它 *tā* ‘it’) are affected by the action expressed in the reduplicated verb.

(5) a. 这些书收收起来!

zhèxiē shū **shōushōu** qilai

‘Keep all these books away!’ (Chen 1986b:140)

b. 把它吃吃掉!

bǎ tā **chīchī** diào

‘Eat all of it!’ (Chen 1986b:140)

The augmentation feature of verbal reduplication is not available in MCM but can be found in various Chinese dialects (Arcodia et al. 2015; Fu & Hu 2012; Zhu 2008), including Min, Wu, Cantonese and a small number of Mandarin (according to Fu & Hu 2012). Therefore, the feature is hypothesized to have found its way into SM via Southern Min dialects and Cantonese, the two most dominant dialects in Singapore.

3.2.2.2 *Aspect marking*. Relatively few studies focus solely on aspect marking in SM. Most studies only mention variations in SM’s aspect markers as part of a bigger study on grammatical variations. Among these studies, the most notable discussions deal with (dis)use of aspect markers and the expressions of aspects in SM.

First, Zhu (2008) and Liu (2010) point out that the use of the perfective 了 *le*, inchoative 了 *le*, continuous 着 *zhe*, and experiential 过 *guo* seems to be discretionary in SM. That is, these markers are either used or omitted at will, resulting in sentences that are ungrammatical in MCM, e.g., the perfective 了 *le* in (6).

(6) 六个月后, 那个女人离开[了]他

liù gè yuè hòu, nàgè nǚrén líkāi [**le**] tā

‘Six months later, the woman had left him.’ (Biepeng 161, cited from Zhu 2008:95)

Second, certain aspects are expressed differently in SM. For instance, the perfective aspect 了 *le* can also be expressed as 了 *liǎo* (Chen 1986b; Khoo 2018; Lua 2014). While Chen (1986b) and Lua (2014) claim that 了 *le* and 了 *liǎo* are interchangeable and should thus be considered the same particle, Khoo (2018) notes

that the two particles exhibit different syntactic properties, and based on a corpus investigation, finds that 了 *liǎo* as a perfective aspect marker is more commonly found to occur after verb-object compounds, rather than between the verb and the object as preferred by 了 *le*. In addition to 了 *le/liǎo*, the perfective aspect in SM can also be expressed using verbal reduplication, which typically only expresses the tentative aspect in MCM (Chen 1986b); on the other hand, on top of “VV” and “VV 看 *kàn*”, the tentative aspect in SM can also be expressed in “VV 一下 *yíxià*” and “V 看看 *kànkàn*”.

SM has also developed aspect markers that are either rarely or not found in MCM. 有 *yǒu* ‘have; exist’ in the construction “有 *yǒu* + VP” is one such example, e.g., 我有看那部电影 *wǒ yǒu kàn nà bù diànyǐng* ‘I have watched that movie.’ (Chua 2003; Lock 1989; Zhu 2008). The construction can be used whether or not the event or action is past and whether or not it is completed or terminated. There remain differing opinions as to the aspect that 有 *yǒu* denotes. For instance, Chua (2003) suggests that 有 *yǒu* denotes the perfective aspect, similar to 了 *le*, while Zhu (2008) analyses 有 *yǒu* as an experiential aspect marker similar to 过 *guo*. While “有 *yǒu* + VP” has also been found in MCM, Zhu (2008) argues that 有 *yǒu* in MCM and SM underwent different developmental paths given that “有 *yǒu* + VP” can co-occur with the perfective 了 *le* in MCM, but not in SM. Another aspect marker often used in SM is 中 *zhōng* ‘in the process of’ in “VP + 中 *zhōng*”, e.g., 等待中 *děngdài-zhōng* ‘in the midst of waiting’ and 生长中 *shēngzhǎng-zhōng* ‘in the process of growing’. Zhu (2008) analyses 中 *zhōng* as a continuous aspect marker, similar to 着 *zhe*. It is postulated to be a result of TWM influence. According to Diao (2013), “VP+中 *zhōng*” first appeared in TWM and is more frequently used in TWM than in other Mandarin varieties. Therefore, Diao (2013) proposes that this construction could be a result of Japanese influence on TWM, which in turn was spread to other Mandarin varieties, including SM.

3.2.2.3 *Special constructions.* SM displays a number of variations in some of its special constructions. The following outlines the findings of these studies in terms of the “V + directional complement” constructions, comparative constructions, double object constructions and some other constructions.

V + directional complement

Existing studies have found a number of variations involving directional complements in SM, such as “V + 来 *lái* / 去 *qù* ‘hither/thither’” (Khoo & Lin 2016; Lu 2001; Zhu 2008), “V + 回 *huí* ‘back’” (Chen 1986b; Choo 2015; Lin 2015; Lu 2001; Zhu 2008), “V + 到 *dào* ‘to’ + complement” (Choo 2015; Zhu 2008; cf. Chen 1986b; Lu 2001), “V + 掉 *diào* ‘off’” (Choo 2015) and “V + 过 *guò* ‘again’” (Zhu 2008). These constructions differ from their MCM counterparts in various aspects, such as word orders, the meanings they express and their collocations.

For instance, while in MCM the deictic complements 来 *lái* / 去 *qù* ‘hither/thither’ typically occur after location NPs e.g., (飞)回印度去 *(fēi)huí Yīndù qù* ‘(fly) back to India’, they are more often found after location NPs in SM, e.g., (飞)回去印度

(fēi)huíqù Yìndù ‘(fly) back to India’ (Lu 2001; Zhu 2008). By comparing the word orders in self-agentive motion constructions in SM, MCM and three dialects (Wu, Cantonese and three branches of Min as discussed in Yiu 2014), Khoo and Lin (2016) find that SM allows all word orders used in MCM and the dialects. That is, SM allows the location NPs to come both before (not a VO characteristic) and after (a VO characteristic) the deictic complements. Khoo and Lin (2016) therefore argues that SM lies in between Chinese dialects and MCM with regards to VO characteristics, based on the data on self-agentive motion constructions.

Many of these directional complements are also found to be used in ways that are typically not observed in MCM. Take 回 *huí* ‘back’ as an example. In the post-verbal position, 回 *huí* typically functions as a directional complement and specifies the direction of an entity’s movement to its original place, e.g., 走回教室 *zǒu huí jiàoshì* ‘walk and return to the classroom’. However, several studies note that in SM, 回 *huí* can occur with a larger variety of verbs and has more functions than that of MCM (Chen 1986b; Choo 2015; Lin 2015; Lu 2001; Zhu 2008). Lin (2015) classifies “V+回 *huí*” into two major functions depending on whether or not 回 *huí* denotes the recurrence of the action expressed in the predicate; these two functions are further sub-classified into a total of ten sub-functions. For instance, in (7a), “V + 回 *huí*” denotes a repeated event that involves the same action and arguments, whereas (7b) does not involve recurrence of the action and is mainly concerned with the object (这条桥 *zhè tiáo qiáo* ‘this bridge’) returning to its original state.

- (7) a. 他打你，你打回他啦！
tā dǎ nǐ dǎ huí tā la
 ‘He hit you, you hit him back!’ (Lin 2015:38)
- b. 炸坏了，日本人后来就用木头来修回这条桥。
zhà huài le Rìběnrén hòulái jiù yòng mùtóu lái xiū huí zhè tiáo qiáo
 ‘(The bridge was) destroyed in the building, so the Japanese then used logs to repair this bridge.’ (Rizhishiqide Xinjiapo CD2 07/09/2005, cited from Lin 2015:36)

Such semantic or functional differences are also noted in 到 *dào* ‘to’, which is also used as a degree marker on top of a directional or resultative complement (Choo 2015; Zhu 2008) and 过 *guò*, which is used to express repetition of an event on top of being an experiential marker (Zhu 2008), as in (8a) and (8b) respectively.

- (8) a. 由于我先生的好赌，害到我们没有一天温饱。
yóuyú wǒ xiānsheng de hàodǔ hài dào wǒmen méiyǒu yītiān wēnbǎo
 ‘We often starved because of my husband’s gambling addiction.’ (Biepeng 186, cited from Zhu 2008:119)
- b. 小猴子皮皮跳起来，不服气道：“再比过，这一次我不见得会输。”
xiǎohóuzi Pípi tiàoqilai bùfúqì dào zài bǐguò zhèyīcì wǒ bùjiànde huì shū

‘Pipi, the little monkey jumped up and said sorely, “Let’s compete again. I don’t believe that I will lose again.”’ (*Wenxue* 26(89), cited from Zhu 2008:112)

Lastly, studies have also observed that these complements are able to collocate with a larger variety of verbs in SM than in MCM. 掉 *diào* ‘off’ and 去 *qù* ‘thither’ in SM two examples of this variation. Based on a corpus investigation, Choo (2015) finds that while 掉 *diào* can only collocate with verbs that typically denote removal and disappearance in MCM, the same verb can collocate with a much more diverse range of verbs, such as 抱 *bào* ‘hug’, 采 *cǎi* ‘pick’, 捞 *lāo* ‘scoop’ and 等 *děng* ‘wait’. Similarly, when functioning as a resultative complement on top of being a directional complement, 去 *qù* ‘thither’ in SM is found as a complement to a larger range of verbs and adjectives, e.g., 换 *huàn* ‘replace’, 弄乱 *nòngluàn* ‘mess up’, 干 *gān* ‘dry’ (Choo 2015).

Comparative construction

Comparative constructions in MCM are usually expressed in one of the following two types of constructions: (a) “X + 比 *bǐ* + Y + A”, and (b) “X + A + Y + measure phrase”, where X and Y represent the comparee (the term being compared) and the standard of comparison respectively, and A refers to the predicate. Besides these two typically Mandarin expressions, SM also uses two other constructions to express comparison: (c) “X + 比 *bǐ* + Y + 来得 *láide* + A”, and (d) “X + A + 过 *guò* + Y”, as in (9) (Zhu 2008; Lu 2001).

- (9) a. 熟吃大蒜没有比生吃的效果**来得强**。
Shú chī dàsuàn méiyǒu bǐ shēng chī de xiàoguǒ láide qiáng
 ‘Eating cooked garlic is not as effective as eating raw garlic.’
 (*Lianhe zaobao* 2007-9-16, cited from Zhu 2008:138)
- b. 我现在骂他，好**过**以后人家笑他！
Wǒ xiànzài mà tā hǎo guò yǐhòu rénjiā xiào tā
 ‘Him getting scolded by me now is better than getting ridiculed by other people in the future!’ (*Xiaohaibuben*, cited from Zhu 2008:139)

Sources of these constructions have also been discussed, particularly of (d), which is also known as the surpass construction. According to Chappell and Peyraube (2015), the ‘surpass’ comparative construction is widely used in southern and southwestern China, particularly in Chinese dialects like Cantonese, Hakka, and Southwestern Mandarin. It is likely that the “surpass” construction in SM is a product of Cantonese influence (Chen 1986b). English could be another possible source as Zhu (2008) points out that the surpass construction is also similar to English comparative construction (i.e. “X + copular + comparative A + than + Y”).

Double object construction

Both Chen (1986b, 1993) and Chew (2002) observe that in addition to the more

prototypical order “V+IO+DO” (e.g., 给他一本书 *gěi tā yīběnshū* ‘give him a book’), double object constructions in SM can also be found in the form “V + DO + IO” (e.g., 给一本书他 *gěi yīběnshū tā* ‘give a book to him’), i.e. the “inverted double object construction”. According to Chen (1986b), the inverted construction is a borrowing from Cantonese. Using 给 *gěi* ‘give’ as the ditransitive verb, Zhu (2008) conducted a survey of the two variants (给五块钱他 *gěi wǔkuàiqián tā* ‘given five dollars to him’ and 给他五块钱 *gěi tā wǔkuàiqián* ‘give him five dollars’). It is found that even though SM allows for both word orders, the inverted double object construction is only used marginally. Lim (2017) is a relatively comprehensive study of the inverted double object construction in SM. The study, involving corpus investigation of earlier stages of spoken SM (1979-1988) and contemporary spoken SM (2013-2015) as well as carefully-designed surveys among native speakers of SM, finds that in contemporary SM, the inverted constructions are rarely used, even though a small number of such constructions existed in the earlier stages.

Other constructions

There are a range of other constructions that are found to exhibit differences in SM, such as passives (Chew 2002; Liu 2014; Lu 2001; Zhu 2008), “相信 *xiāngxìn* ‘believe’ + clause” (Lin et al. 2018; Zhu 2008) and “有信心 *yǒu xìnxīn* + clause” (Zhu 2008). The three listed examples have all been attributed to the influence of English.

For instance, in SM, the use of the verb 相信 *xiāngxìn* ‘believe’ is not as constrained as in MCM (Lin et al. 2018; Zhu 2008). In MCM, the subject of 相信 *xiāngxìn* is typically the experiencer who thinks that something or some event is true. SM (as well as HKM according to Lin et al. 2018), however, frequently uses 相信 *xiāngxìn* such that the subject is not the experiencer of believing, but an argument raised from the clause expressing the belief (Lin et al. 2018; Zhu 2008), e.g., 日本游客 *riběnyókè* ‘Japanese tourist’ in (10). Such an extended use of 相信 *xiāngxìn* is likely borrowed from English, as it corresponds to *It’s believed...* (Lin et al. 2018; Zhu 2008).

- (10) 一名日本游客相信是因为驾驶的水上摩托艇失控，撞上另一艘客轮，当场丢命。

yīmíng riběnyókè xiāngxìn shì yīnwèi jiàoshǐ de shuǐshàngmótuōtǐng Shīkòng zhuàng shàng lìng yīsōu kèlún dāngchǎng diūmìng

‘It is believed that a Japanese tourist lost life because the water jet he drove ran out of control and hit another passenger boat.’ (Channel 8-Sina Weibo News-2016-9-23, cited from Lin et al. 2018)

3.2.2.4 *Word order*. Various studies such as Chen (1986b), Chua (2003), Chew (2002), Lu (2001), Pan (2008) and Zhu (2008) have pointed out the variations that SM exhibits in terms of word orders. Zhu (2008) summarizes two major variations involving verbal predicates. First, SM displays a tendency towards the SVO order, where the object stays in the object position, whereas in MCM, the object tends to

move into the topic position. Such variations are found in examples such as 不够时间 *bùgòu shíjiān* ‘not enough time’ (vs. 时间不够 *shíjiān bùgòu* in MCM), 不见了钱包 *bùjiàn le qiánbāo* ‘lost wallet’ (vs. 钱包不见了 *qiánbāo bùjiàn le* in MCM), and 与她不同宗教信仰 *yǔ tā bùtóng zōngjiàoxìnyǎng* ‘have a different religion from hers’ (vs. 与她宗教信仰不同 *yǔ tā zōngjiàoxìnyǎng bùtóng* in MCM). Second, while MCM usually has adverbials preceding the verbal predicates, SM tends to display an opposite order, as seen in the examples 像极 *xiàngjí* ‘very similar to’ (vs. 极像 *jíxiàng* in MCM), VP + 多 *duō* / 少 *shǎo* ‘more/less’ (vs. 多 *duō* / 少 *shǎo* + VP), and V + 没有 *méiyǒu* ‘not’ (vs. 没有 *méiyǒu* ‘not’ + V in MCM).

However, there are also instances where the verbal predicates precede the adverbials when MCM only allows the opposite order. For example, SM may use both VP + 先 *xiān* ‘first’ and 先 *xiān* + VP (e.g., 我走先 *wǒ zǒu xiān* ‘I’ll go off first’ and 我先走 *wǒ xiān zǒu*), but MCM only allows the latter. These variations in word orders are mostly traceable to Min and Yue dialects (Chen 1986b; Lu 2001; Lu 2002; Zhu 2008). Some of them also share the same word order with English, e.g., VP + 多 *duō* / 少 *shǎo* ‘more/less’ (Chua 2003), VP + 先 *xiān* (Pan 2008), and ‘clause + 才 *cái* ‘only; then’ (Chen 1986b; Chua 2003; Goh 1986), and thus may also be ascribed to English.

3.3 Pragmatic and discourse variation

There have been limited studies conducted with regards to pragmatic and discourse variation in SM. Existing topics mainly cover issues like code switching and mixing (e.g., Chen 1998; Lee 2003), sentence-final particles (e.g., Pak et al. 2014; Zhu 2008), and conjunctive devices (e.g., Zhu 2008).

3.3.1 *Code-switching and mixing.* Code-switching in SM has also been suggested by Lee (2015) to be a unique feature of the variety, in comparison to MCM and TWM. This feature is observed even among undergraduate students who speak fluent Mandarin. Code-switching in SM typically involves Mandarin-English switching, which reflects that English is the underlying working language in Singapore (Lee 2015); but some Southern Chinese dialects like Hokkien, Teochew and Hakka, and even some Malay are also found in code-switching and mixing. An example can be found in (11), where Hokkien (显 *xiǎn* ‘lethargic; bored’), Cantonese (好彩 *hǎocǎi* ‘fortunately’ and 死火 *sǐhuǒ* ‘deep trouble’) and English (*chicken box*, *on MC*, *Disco*, *report*) are all used in a single utterance.

- (11) ‘好彩’ Miss Woo 出 chicken pox, on MC 两个礼拜, 不然我就 ‘死火’ 了, 昨晚我去 Disco, 回到家都已经 ‘显’ 到要死, 哪里还有力气去写 report?

‘Hǎo cǎi’ Miss Woo chū chicken pox, on MC liǎng gè lǐbài, bùrán wǒ jiù ‘sǐ huǒ’ le, zuó wǎn wǒ qù Disco, huí dàojiā dōu yǐjīng ‘xiǎn’ dào yàosǐ, nǎlǐ hái yǒu lìqì qù xiě report?

‘Fortunately for me, Miss Woo is down with chicken pox and is on medical leave for two weeks, otherwise I’d be in deep trouble; I went to the disco pub

last night and was so terribly lethargic when I reached home; how would I have found the energy to write the report?’ (Chen 1998:37)

According to Lee (2003), there are several motivations behind code-switching, such as the desire to express thoughts in a more local way, for rhetorical effect, clarification and changing the topic.

3.3.2 Sentence-final particles. SM also makes use of many sentence-final particles (or discourse particles) to denote different pragmatic meaning depending on the context and tone of the particles. Some of the particles in SM, e.g., 咯 *lo*, 咩 *mie*, 咧 *lie* and 而已 *éryǐ* are rarely used in MCM (Lee 2015; Zhu 2008). Even for the ones that are shared between different Mandarin varieties, variation in uses may be found, especially between SM and MCM. Pak et al. (2014) conducted a more in-depth comparison of five sentence-final particles 呢 *ne*, 吗 *ma*, 吧 *ba*, 啊 *a*, and 啦 *la* that are frequently used in SM, TWM, and MCM. The study shows that the SM particles exhibit different behaviors in different varieties of Mandarin; for instance, SM 吧 *ba* shows significance preference towards declarative sentences while that of MCM shows significant dispreference in this regard. It is also found that Singapore and Taiwanese sentence-final particles are the most similar with each other. Finally, Pak et al. (2014) also show that the pragmatic function of the particles is the least effective method when it comes to differentiating between different varieties of Mandarin.

3.3.3 Variations in conjunctive devices. There have also been studies looking at conjunctive relations in SM. For instance, Zhu (2008) points out some differences between SM and MCM with regards to the use of conjunctive devices, such as adverbials and conjunctions. It is noted that SM prefers to attach the particle 的 *de* to an adverbial evaluative element, whereas MCM does not have such a preference, as in 相反的 *xiāngfǎn de* in SM instead of 相反 *xiāngfǎn* or 恰恰相反 *qiàqià xiāngfǎn* as used in MCM to express ‘on the contrary’. Other constructions unique to SM include the form ‘X 上 *shàng*’ and 到头来 *dàotóulái* ‘in the end’; in the former, X can be either an adjective (e.g., 一般 *yībān shàng* ‘typically’ as in 一般上 *yībān shàng* ‘typically’) or a noun (e.g., 直觉 *zhíjué shàng* ‘instinct’ as in 直觉上 *zhíjué shàng* ‘instinctively’), both of which yield evaluative adverbials.

Zhu (2008) also notes that SM exhibits several differences with MCM in terms of conjunctions. Some Mandarin conjunctions are typically used in pairs, as in 不但 *bùdàn*...而且 *érqiě* ‘not only...also’ and 即使 *jíshǐ* ...也 *yě* ‘even if...also’. In SM, there is a tendency to omit the second word in the pair. There are also cases where there is a ‘mis-match’ in the conjunctions; for instance, while in MCM 不论 *bùlùn* ‘regardless’ is usually paired with 还是 *háishì* ‘still’ to mean that the outcomes will never change regardless of the criteria, in SM, 不论 *bùlùn* is more often paired with 或 *huò* ‘or’ or 或者 *huòzhě* ‘or’.

4. Possible gaps in current research

Current research on SM has not only provided an inventory of the major grammatical

variations that can be found in SM, as detailed in Section 3, but also given a multitude of factors that may have motivated these variations and even, to some extent, discussed issues arising from these variations in terms of grammaticality and standardization. However, in reviewing the current trends in the study of SM, this paper notices a few possible gaps that future studies may fill. For instance, currently, while there have been a significant number of overview studies on SM, relatively fewer studies have investigated individual features that distinguishes SM and other varieties of Mandarin; the theoretical implications of these variations were also seldom discussed in the studies of SM studies. This section will discuss three of the more prominent possible gaps in the areas of factors leading to variations, data collection and analysis and points of comparison for studying variations.

4.1 *Factors leading to variation*

Scholars of SM have proposed a range of factors that may have contributed to the variations found in SM. For instance, when discussing the lexical and grammatical variations in SM, Lu (2002) points out three major reasons for the variations. First, the Mandarin varieties of Mainland China and Singapore were isolated from each other for about 40 years due to political reasons. The pre-existing social, economic and cultural differences were then compounded with this isolation and contributed to the variations. Second, SM is influenced, to a greater degree than in MCM, by dialects (e.g., Min, Cantonese and Hakka). Third, SM was also in close contact with foreign languages, such as English and Malay, due to the multilingual environment, unlike the largely monolingual environment in which MCM exists. These reasons have also been pointed out by the many other studies on SM, as discussed in Section 3.

However, language variation does not arise solely out of language-external factors. As Chew (2007) and Lin et al. (2018) point out, there may also be language-internal reasons behind these variations. Language-internal factors refer to the motivations that exist within the properties of the language itself. An example of this is found in the VO separable compounds of SM, as provided by Lin et al. (2018). In SM, some separable compounds such as 帮忙 *bāngmáng* ‘help’ can be used as a compound verb and take objects (e.g., 帮忙他 *bāngmángtā* ‘help him’), but their counterparts in MCM can only have the object between V and O (e.g., 帮他忙 *bāngtāmáng* ‘help him’). According to Lin et al. (2018), with no clearly identifiable external factor, the variations of these VO separable compounds in SM and MCM could be results of the different degrees of lexicalization in the two varieties.

However, few studies explicitly discuss the variations of SM triggered by the internal factors or the interaction of language-internal and language-external factors, which may be critical to understanding the various forces that have characterized SM. As Lin (2018) demonstrates in her study of 说 *shuō* ‘say’ in SM, simply attributing the grammaticalization of SM 说 *shuō* into a complementizer to the influence of 讲 *kōng* ‘say’ in Southern Min is not sufficient. SM 说 *shuō*, as seen in Lin (2018) is far more grammaticalized than 讲 *kōng* in Southern Min, even going to the extent of forming a compound complementizer with SM 讲 *jiǎng*. While the initial development of SM 说 *shuō* into a complementizer may be attributed to 讲 *kōng* in

Southern Min, the same cannot be said for the phenomenon of further grammaticalization; the latter is ascribed as being the outcomes of language-internal development as no other external factors are clearly identifiable.

4.2 *Data sources and analysis*

Another notable difference between studies of SM and other Mandarin varieties is found in the sources of data. While more and more studies recognize the importance of natural language data for linguistic research, large-scale, richly annotated, genre-diverse, and freely available corpora consisting of data from SM are few, compared with the existing corpora for studies on MCM and TWM. Even though the LIVAC (basic version, Kwong & Tsou 2006) and Corpus of Southeastern Asian Chinese Media (by Jinan University) are two free-to-access corpora with written SM (newspaper), they are not the friendliest for linguists – for instance, these corpora are not fully segmented and POS tagged, making the work more tedious for scholars of SM. Furthermore, with the lack of spoken corpora, some studies (e.g., Khoo 2018; Lim 2017) resort to the Oral History Interviews (by the National Archives of Singapore), a database that is not purposefully constructed for linguistic studies.

As such, most of the existing studies on SM construct their own corpora for their own convenience (e.g., Choo 2015; Huang 2011; Lin 2015; Khoo & Lin 2016). For instance, Khoo and Lin (2016), and Khoo (2018) make use of a spoken corpus of contemporary Singapore Mandarin compiled from various variety shows to investigate grammatical features like motion constructions and perfective aspect marking in SM (see Section 3.2.2). Lin (2015), on the other hand, supplements his self-constructed corpus with naturalistic observation in order to account for the limited genre in his corpus. In addition, web-based data (e.g. Yuan & Lin 2016) and surveys (e.g., Lim 2017) are two other data sources for studies on SM. However, corpus construction is still necessary in order to capture the entire spectrum of SM.

Where data analysis is concerned, quantitative research has become more widely used in recent studies of SM. However, most studies have only relied on descriptive statistics (e.g., frequencies of (co-)occurrence, measures of central tendencies). While inferential statistics (e.g., chi-square tests, t-tests, regression) is able to go beyond the data sample and make generalizations about the whole population where the data sample comes from, it is rarely adopted for studies on the grammatical variations in SM (see exceptions in Pak et al. 2014). Instead, its main applications have been found in studies on other aspects of SM (e.g., Cavallaro et al. 2018 and Chong & Tan 2013 on language attitudes; Teo & Gao 2015 on phonological variations). As Huang et al. (2014) and Lin et al. (2014) point out, a key challenge in studying grammatical variations is that the different varieties are more often in convergence rather than divergence. In other words, grammatical variations are more concerned of the occurrence probability (or preference and dis-preference) of a grammatical structure in different varieties, rather than a clear-cut grammatically right or wrong structure. Moreover, grammatical variation is often closely related to the variable contexts, which are often multidimensional and interrelated. Therefore, evaluation of grammatical data may often require inferential analysis such as multifactorial

statistics.

4.3 *The varieties under comparison*

As Lin et al. (2018) point out, where variations between Mandarin varieties are concerned, many existing studies take MCM as the yardstick. This is also true of the studies on SM (e.g., Chen 1986b; Chew 2002; Huang 2011; Lu 2001; 2002; Pan 2008; Zhu 2008, among many others). As a result, features shared among non-MCM varieties are often neglected. An example can be seen in the word order of the deictic complements 来 *lái* ‘hither’ and 去 *qù* ‘thither’. As pointed out in Section 3.2, in SM, these complements can occur before the location NP, but the order can only be regarded as a variation when compared against MCM, because such an order is commonly found in TWM and HKM.

It is not surprising that SM shares many similarities with both TWM and HKM given the linguistic environment in Singapore; on the one hand, like TWM, SM is also in close contact with Southern Min dialects; on the other hand, both SM and HKM are greatly influenced by Cantonese and English. Furthermore, during the isolation of Singapore from Mainland China, much of the entertainment and educational material used in Singapore came from Taiwan and Hong Kong, which further deepens the commonalities between SM and TWM/HKM. Therefore, comparison between SM, TWM and HKM can contribute to both the identification of variation and the theoretical discussions of language variation and change. Given that access to data from different varieties of Mandarin is becoming increasingly easier (e.g., online corpora and surveys), more studies can follow the path of Li (2010, 2016), Pak et al. (2015), Situ (2014), and Tsou and You (2010), among others, in comparing SM with other non-MCM varieties.

5. *Summary*

This paper started with an introduction of the history of SM before moving on to outline, as comprehensively as possible, the research and findings on grammatical variations in SM. We then discuss some of the gaps in current research in an attempt to serve as a reference study for scholars interested in SM. It should be noted that owing to space constraints, this paper is not able to include all studies on variations in SM and studies that cover other aspects about SM. For instance, there have been many studies dedicated to identifying phonological variations in SM, such as the fifth tone (e.g., Chen 1982; Choo 2013) and pronunciation differences (e.g., Chen 1986a; Choo 2015; Chua 2003, among many others). Studies have also been conducted in the fields of language teaching (e.g., Chew & Liang 1995; Chew 2008; 2014; Goh & Luo 2009; Huang & Bond 2016) and computational linguistics (Tan and Bond 2011). These are all very important studies that inform us of the situation of SM through the years. Nonetheless, the review provided in this paper seeks to inform readers of the current trends in the studies of SM and give some suggestions as to what further research can be done in this field.

References

- Arcodia, Giorgio F, Bianca Basciano, and Chiara Melloni. 2015. "Areal Perspectives on Total Reduplication of Verbs in Sinitic." *Studies in Language* 39(4): 836–872.
- Bokhorst-Heng, Wendy D., and Lionel Wee. 2007. "Language Planning in Singapore: On Pragmatism, Communitarianism and Personal Names." *Current Issues in Language Planning* 8(3): 324–343. doi:10.2167/cilp118.0.
- Bybee, Joan. 2015. *Language Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cai, Ming-Hong. 2014. "Xinjiapo Huazu dui Huayu de rentongdu kaocha – jiyu wenhua heliu shijiao [A diachronic study of the Chinese-language identity of the Chinese ethnic group in Singapore in the perspective of acculturation]." *Yunnan Shifan Daxue Xuebao (Duiwai Hanyu Jiaoxue yu Yanjiu ban)* [Journal of Yunnan Normal University (Teaching and Research of Chinese as a Foreign Language)] 12: 81–87.
- Cavallaro, Francesco, and Bee Chin Ng. 2014. "Language in Singapore: From Multilingualism to English Plus." In *Challenging the Monolingual Mindset*, ed. by Hajek John and Slaughter Yvette, 33–48. Bristol: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Cavallaro, Francesco, Mark Fifer Seilhamer, Ho. Yen Yee and Bee Chin Ng. 2018. "Attitudes to Mandarin Chinese Varieties in Singapore." *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*.
- Chappell, Hilary, and Alain Peyraube. 2015. "The Comparative Construction in Sinitic Languages: Synchronic and Diachronic Variation." In *Diversity in Sinitic Languages*, ed. by Hilary Chappell, 134–154. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chen, Chung-yu. 1984. "Certain Lexical Features of Singapore Mandarin." In *New Papers on Chinese Language Use*, ed. by Beverly Hong, 93–104. Canberra: Contemporary China Centre, The Australian National University.
- Chen, Chung-yu. 1986a. "Salient Segmental Features of Singapore Mandarin." *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 14(1): 114 – 152.
- Chen, Chung-yu. 1986b. "Xinjiapo Huayu yufa tezheng [Syntactic features of Singapore Mandarin]." *Yuyan Yanjiu* [Studies in Language and Linguistics]: 138–152.
- Chen, Chung-yu. 1993. *Huayu yanjiu lunwenji* [Aspects of Mandarin Chinese]. Singapore: Xinjiapo Guoli Daxue Huayu Yanjiuzhongxin [Chinese Language and Research Centre of National University of Singapore].
- Chen, Mei Ling. 1998. "Xinjiapohuayu zhong de yuma jiaza xianxiang [Code-mixing in Singapore Mandarin]." *Yuyan Jianshe* [Language planning] (10): 36–40.
- Chen, Qi. 2008. *Xinjiapohuayu cihui yanjiu* [Research on Singapore Chinese words and expressions]. Shanghai: Fudan University.
- Chew, Cheng Hai. 2002. "Xinjiapohuayu bianyi gaishuo [An outline of variations in Singapore Mandarin]." *Zhongguo Yuwen* [Studies of the Chinese Language] (6): 508–514.
- Chew, Cheng Hai. 2007. "Lun quanyihua huanjing xia huayu de guifan wenti [Standardization of the Chinese Language: A global perspective]." *Yuyan Jiaoxue yu Yanjiu* [Language Teaching and Linguistics Studies] (4): 91–96.
- Chew, Cheng Hai. 2008. "Huayu yanjiu yu huayu jiaoxue [Challenging issues in

- Chinese language teaching].” *Jinan Daxue Huawenxueyuan Xuebao* [Journal of College of Chinese Language and Culture of Jinan University] (3): 20–28.
- Chew, Cheng Hai. 2014. “Huayu jiaoxue yu xiandai hanyu yufa yanjiu [Chinese language teaching and researches in Modern Chinese Grammar].” *Yuyan Jiaoxue yu Yanjiu* [Language Teaching and Linguistics Studies] (5): 1–9.
- Chew, Cheng Hai. 2016. “Dahuayu de yanjiu he fazhan qushi [Studies of ‘Greater Chinese and their developmental trends].” *Hanyu Xuebao* [Chinese Linguistics] 1: 13–19.
- Chew, Cheng Hai, and Rong Ji Liang. 1995. “Zici pinlv yu yuwen xuexichengxiao de xiangguan yanjiu [Studies on relations between word frequencies and effects on learning].” *Fourth Conference of The International Society for Chinese Language Teaching*.
- Chew, Cheng Hai, and Guozheng Xiao. 1999. “Xinjiapohuayu de cixing, ciyi he ciyong xuanze [Word class, word meanings and word usage in Singapore Mandarin].” *Zhongguo Yuwen* [Studies of the Chinese Language] (4): 255–262.
- Chinese Academy of Social Sciences. 2005. *Xiandai Hanyu Cidian* [Contemporary Chinese Dictionary]. Beijing: Commercial Press.
- Chong, Rachael Hui-Hui, and Ying-Ying Tan. 2013. “Attitudes toward Accents of Mandarin in Singapore.” *Chinese Language and Discourse* 4(1): 120–140. doi:10.1075/cld.4.1.04cho.
- Choo, Yuen. 2015. *Bian yu bubian zhijian: Xinjiapohuayu yu xinjiapohuayu de xingcheng jizhi* [Variant and Invariant: A preliminary approach to the exploration of the emergence of Singapore Mandarin]. PhD Dissertation. Singapore: Nanyang Technological University.
- Chu, Suat Bee. 1996. *Xinjiapo huayu yufa, cihuizhong de fangyan chengfen* [Dialectal elements in Singapore Mandarin]. Undergraduate Thesis. Singapore: Nantional University of Singapore.
- Chua, Chee Lay. 2003. *The Emergence of Singapore Mandarin: A Case Study of Language Contact*. PhD Dissertation. Wisconsin: University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Chua, Siew Kheng Catherine. 2010. “Singapore’s Language Policy and Its Globalised Concept of Bi(tri)lingualism.” *Current Issues in Language Planning* 11(4): 413–429. doi:10.1080/14664208.2010.546055.
- Department of Statistics. 2011. *Singapore Census of Population 2010*. (Ed.) Department of Statistics. Singapore: Department of Statistics.
- Diao, Yanbin. 2013. “Liang’an sidi ‘(zai+) VP+zhong’ xingshi kaocha [A study of the ‘(zai+) VP+zhong’ across two shores and four places].” In *Liang’an sidi xiandaihanyu duibi yanjiu xinshouhuo* [New findings from studies on modern Mandarin across two shores and four places], ed. by Yanbin Diao, 269–289. Beijing: Language and Culture Press.
- Diao, Yanbin. 2015. “Lun quanqiu huayu de jichu yu neiyuan [On the foundation and connotation of Global Chinese].” *Global Chinese* 1: 227–244.
- Diao, Yanbin. 2017. “Lun quanqiu huayushi ji qi yanjiu [On the history of Global Chinese and its research].” *Global Chinese* 3(2): 217–229.

- Dixon, L. Quentin. 2009. "Assumptions behind Singapore's Language-in-education policy: Implications for Language Planning and Second Language Acquisition." *Language Policy* 8(2): 117–137. doi:10.1007/s10993-009-9124-0.
- Dong, Sicong, and Jie Xu. 2015. "Putonghua quyu bianti de tedian yu putonghua chacao de fenji [Re-defining the criteria of "Standard" Mandarin Chinese: Distinction between regional variety features and mistakes]." *Yuyan Kexue* [Linguistic Sciences] 14: 598–606.
- Fu, Xin Qing, and Jin Hai Hu. 2012. "Hanyu fangyan danyinjie dongci chongdieshi bijiao yanjiu [Comparative study of verbal reduplication in Chinese dialects]." *Nanchang Daxue Xuebao (Renwen Shehuikexue ban)* [Journal of Nanchang University (Humanities and Social Sciences)] 43(5): 143–150.
- Goh, Yeng-Seng. 1986. *Xinjiapohuayu yufa yanjiu* [Aspects of Singapore Mandarin : a preliminary study in vocabulary and syntax]. Undergraduate Thesis. Taiwan: National Taiwan University.
- Goh, Yeng Seng. 2010. *Hanyu guoji chuanbo – Xinjiapo shijiao* [The Globalisation of Chinese - A Singapore Perspective]. Beijing: Commercial Press.
- Goh, Yeng Seng and Qing Ming Luo. 2009. "Yuyan jiaoxue yu yanjiu sanshinian huigu yu zhanwang: Hanyu guoji chuanbo shijiao [A retrospect and prospect of 30 years of Language Teaching and Linguistic Studies: A perspective on the global spread of Mandarin]." *Yuyan Jiaoxue yu Yanjiu* [Language Teaching and Linguistic Studies] (5): 7–14.
- Gupta, Anthea Fraser, and Siew Pui Yeok. 1995. "Language Shift in a Singapore family." *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development* 16(4): 301–314. doi:10.1080/01434632.1995.9994609.
- Hickey, Raymond. 2012. "Internally-and Externally--Motivated Language Change." In *The Handbook of Historical Sociolinguistics*, ed. by Juan Manuel Hernández-Campoy and Juan Camilo Conde-Silvestre, 387–407. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Huang, Chu-Ren, Jingxia Lin, Menghan Jiang, and Hongzhi Xu. 2014. "Corpus-based study and Identification of Mandarin Chinese Light Verb Variations." *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Applying NLP Tools to Similar Languages, Varieties and Dialects*. 1–10.
- Huang, Chu-Ren, and Dingxu Shi. 2016. *A Reference Grammar of Chinese*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139028462.
- Huang, Min, and Francis Bond. 2016. "Comparison and Contrast of Chinese Curricula between China and Singapore: Challenges and Prospects." *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education* 1(1): 1-17.
- Huang, Xuexia. 2011. *Xinjiapo huayu cihui wushinian fazhan bianhua yanjiu* [A study of the 50 years development of Singapore Chinese Lexicon]. PhD Dissertation. Wuhan: Central China Normal University.
- Jia, Yi-min, and Ying-chun Xu. 2005. "Xinjiapohuayu teyoucihui buli ji qi yu putonghua ciyu chayi fenxi [The supplementary examples of Singapore Chinese and its variance analysis with Mandarin]." *Jinan Daxue Huawenxueyuan Xuebao* [Journal of College of Chinese Language and Culture of Jinan University] (4):

32–49.

- Ke, Yonghong. 2009. “Malaixiya he Xinjiapo huayu jiaoyu zhengce zhi bijiao [The contrast of educational policies for Chinese language teaching in Malaysia and Singapore].” *Dongnanya Zongheng* [Around Southeast Asia] 10: 48–52.
- Khoo, Yong Kang. 2018. “A Corpus-based Study of the Singapore Mandarin le-particle.” *The 26th Annual Conference of International Association of Chinese Linguistics (IACL-26)*. Wisconsin, USA.
- Khoo, Yong Kang, and Jingxia Lin. 2016. “Motion Constructions in Singapore Mandarin Chinese: A Typological Perspective.” In *Chinese Lexical Semantics (Selected Papers of CLSW 2016)*, ed. by Minghui Dong, Jingxia Lin and Xuri Tang, 743-750. Cham: Springer.
- Kwong, Oi Yee, and Benjamin K Tsou. 2006. “Regional Variation of Domain-specific Lexical Items: Toward a Pan-Chinese Lexical Resource.” *Proceedings of the Fifth SIGHAN Workshop on Chinese Language Processing*. 9–16.
- Lee, Cher Leng. 2003. “Motivations of Code-switching in Multi-lingual Singapore.” *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 31(1): 145–176.
- Lee, Cher Leng. 2015. “Compliments and Compliment Responses of Singapore Chinese University Students.” *Global Chinese* 1(1): 169–201.
- Li, Linding & Cheng Hai Chew. 2002. “Xinjiapohuayu cihui he Zhongguo putonghua cihui bijiao [Contrastive study of Mandarin in Singapore and China].” In “*Xinjiapohuayu cihui yu yufa*” [Lexicon and grammar of Singapore Mandarin], ed. by Cheng Hai Chew, 151–338. Singapore: Lingzi Media.
- Li, Yuming. 2010. *Quanqiu Huayu Cidian* [Dictionary of Global Huayu (Chinese Language)]. Beijing: Commercial Press.
- Li, Yuming. 2016. *Quanqiu Huayu Dacidian* [21st century contemporary Chinese dictionary]. Beijing: Commercial Press.
- Li, Yuming. 2017. “Dahuayu: Quanqiu huaren de gongtongyu [Greater Chinese: the lingua franca of the Chinese across the world]” . *Global Chinese* 1: 2–13.
- Lim, Jie Ni. 2017. *Xinjiapohuayu zhong de daozhishuangbin jiegou* [Inverted double object construction in Singapore Mandarin]. Undergraduate Thesis. Singapore: Nanyang Technological University.
- Lin, Chun Hai. 2015. *Xinjiapohuayu ‘weici+hui+(NP)’ jiegou yanjiu* [The investigation of ‘verbal items+hui+(NP)’ structure in Singapore Mandarin]. Undergraduate Thesis. Singapore: National University of Singapore.
- Lin, Jingxia. 2018. “Grammaticalization of shuo and jiang in Singapore Mandarin Chinese: A Spoken-Corpus-Based Study.” *The 19th Chinese Lexical Semantics Workshop (CLSW2018)*. Chiayi, Taiwan.
- Lin, Jingxia, Dingxu Shi, Menghan Jiang ,and Chu-Ren Huang. 2018. “Variations in World Chineses.” In *The Routledge Handbook of Applied Chinese Linguistics*, ed. by Chu-Ren Huang, Zhuo Jing-Schmidt and Barbara Meisterernst. London: Routledge.
- Lin, Jingxia, Hongzhi Xu, Menghan Jiang, and Chu-Ren Huang. 2014. “Annotation and Classification of Light Verbs and Light Verb Variations in Mandarin Chinese.” *Proceedings of Workshop on Lexical and Grammatical Resources for*

- Language Processing*, 75–82.
- Liu, Hui Bo. 2010. *Xinjiapohuayu yu putonghua de fenqiyanjie* [Contrastive study of Putonghua and Singapore Mandarin]. Master's Thesis. Harbin: Heilongjiang University.
- Liu, Zhenping. 2014. "Xinjiapo zhongxuesheng shiyong hanyu changyong jieci de tedian yu pianwu [A study of characteristics and errors of frequently-used Chinese prepositions by Singapore Secondary Students]." *Huawen jiaoxue yu yanjiu* [TCSOL Studies] (4): 16–31.
- Lock, Graham. 1989. "Aspects of Variation and Change in the Mandarin Chinese Spoken in Singapore." *Australian Journal of Linguistics* 9(2): 277–294. doi:10.1080/07268608908599423.
- Lu, Jianming. 2001. "Xinjiapohuayu jufa tedian ji qi guifan wenti (shang) [Aspects of Singapore Mandarin grammar and its standardization (1)]." *Haiwai Huawen Jiaoyu* [Overseas Chinese Education] (4): 1–11.
- Lu, Jianming. 2002. "Xinjiapohuayu jufa tedian ji qi guifan wenti (xia) Aspects of Singapore Mandarin grammar and its standardization (2)." *Haiwai Huawen Jiaoyu* [Overseas Chinese Education] (1): 1–10.
- Lu, Jianming. 2005. "Hanyu zouxiang shijie yu 'Dahuayu' gainian [Chinese intergration into the world and the concept of Dahuayu]." *Zhongguo Shehui Yuyanxue* [Journal of Chinese Sociolinguistics] 2.
- Lu, Jianming. 2015. "Dahuayu gainian shiyong Hanyu zouxiang shijie de xuyao [The concept of Dahuayu meets the demands of Chinese integration into the world]." *Global Chinese* 1: 245–254. doi:10.1515/glochi-2015-1010.
- Lu, Jianming, Chu Hao Zhang, and Ping Qian. 2002. "Xinjiapo huayu yufa de tedian [Characteristics of Singapore Mandarin grammar]." In *Xinjiapohuayu cihui yu yufa* [Lexicon and grammar of Singapore Mandarin], ed. by Cheng Hai Chew, 77–147. Singapore: Lingzi Media.
- Lu, Shao-chang. 1990. *Huayu lunji xujie* [Essays on Mandarin Part 2]. Singapore: Chinese Language & Research Centre, National University of Singapore.
- Lua, Yi Wen. 2014. *Xinjiapohuayu de le* [The *le* particle in Singapore Mandarin]. Undergraduate Thesis. Singapore: Nanyang Technological University.
- Newman, John. 1988. "Singapore's Speak Mandarin Campaign." *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development* 9(5): 437–448.
- Ng, Patrick Chin Leong. 2006. *An Evaluation of the Speak Mandarin Campaign within a Dialect-speaking Community*. PhD Dissertation. Leicester: University of Leicester.
- Pak, Xin Yan, Jingxia Lin, and John Newman. 2014. "Statistical Comparison of Singaporean and Mainland Mandarin Chinese: A Corpus-based Study on Sentence Final Particles." *The 3rd International Symposium on Chinese Language and Discourse*. Birkbeck, UK.
- Pan, Jia Fu. 2008. *Xinjiapo huashe de duoyu xianxiang yu yuyan jiechu yanjiu* [Studies of the Multilingual Phenomenon and language contact in the Singapore Chinese community]. PhD Dissertation. Shanghai: Fudan University.
- Phua, Chiew Peng, and Wen Ying Liang. To appear. "Xinjiapohuayu de chongdie

- xianxiang [Reduplication in Singapore Mandarin].” In *Quanqiu Huayufa Yanjiu·Xinjiapojuan* [Studies on Mandarin varieties across the world – Singapore edition]. Beijing: Commercial Press.
- Shang, Guowen, and Shouhui Zhao. 2017. “Standardising the Chinese language in Singapore: Issues of Policy and Practice.” *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development* 38(4): 315–329.
- Shao, Hongliang. 2010. “Xinjiapohuawen jiaocai zhong de quyu cihui ji qi jiaoxue chuli [Regional words in Singapore Chinese education materials and its pedagogical implications].” In *Shijie Hanyu jiaoxue de xinjiacai yu xin jiaofa: Dishijie guoji hanyu jiaoxue yantaohui* [Teaching of World Chinese and its current pedagogical approaches and materials: the Tenth Seminar on teaching of Mandarin internationally], ed. by Xu Jia Lu, 434-439. Shenyang: Wanjian Chuban Jituan.
- Situ, Yilong. 2014. *Xinmahuayu liangci ‘li’ de fanhua yanjiu* [A case study on the generalization of Chinese noun classifier “li” in Singapore and Malaysia]. Undergraduate Thesis. Singapore: Nanyang Technological University.
- Stolz, Thomas, Cornelia Stroh, and Aina Urdze. 2011. *Total Reduplication: The Areal Linguistics of a Potential Universal*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Tan, Liling, and Francis Bond. 2011. “Building and Annotating the Linguistically Diverse NTU-MC (NTU-multilingual corpus).” *Proceedings of the 25th Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation*. 362-371.
- Tan, Pek Sun. 1999. *A Sociolinguistic Survey on Language Attitudes towards the Varieties of Mandarin Spoken in Singapore*. Undergraduate Thesis. Singapore: National Institute of Education.
- Tang, Zhixiang. 2009. “Zhongguo dalu zhuti huayu xishou haiwai Huayu ciyu de cengji, leibie ji qi bili de kaocha [Study of the extent of the absorption of overseas Chinese words].” In *Haixia liangan xiandai hanyu yanjiu* [Studies on modern Mandarin across the straits], ed. by Hung Kai Lee, Zilin Tian, and Tzu Pin Hsu. Hongkong: Wenhua Jiaoyu Chubanshe.
- Teo, Yi Sheng, and Helena Hong Gao. 2015. “Xinjiapohuayu de yunmu [-ən] bian [-ən] de xianxiang ji qi shehuiyuanxue yiyi [The Sound Change From [-ən] to [-ən] In Singapore Chinese and Its Sociolinguistic Implications].” *The 23rd Annual Conference of the International Association of Chinese Linguistics (IACL-23)*. Seoul, Korea.
- Tin, Siu-lam. 2016. “Guanyu cihui xueshuyu “shequci” de mingming [The foundation of the term “Community Expression”].” *Global Chinese* 2(1): 103–113. doi:10.1515/glochi-2016-0006.
- Tsou, Benjamin K, and Rujie You. 2010. *Quanqiu Huayu Xinci Cidian* [A dictionary of Global Chinese neologisms]. Beijing: Commercial Press.
- Tsou, Benjamin, and Oi Yee Kwong. 2006. “Toward a Pan-Chinese Thesaurus.” *5th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation*. European Language Resources Association, Genoa. 2391–2394.
- Wang, Huidi. 1999. “Huayu teyou cihui: Xinjiapo shehui xiezhen [Regional words in Chinese: A reflection of Singapore’s society].” *Yangzhou Daxue Xuebao·Renwen*

- Shehui Kexue ban* [Journal of Yangzhou University (Humanities and Social Sciences Edition)] (4): 44–51.
- Wang, Huidi. 2002. “Xinjiapohuayu teyou ciyu tanwei [An investigation of regional words in Singapore Mandarin].” In *Xinjiapohuayu cihui yu yufa* [Lexicon and grammar of Singapore Mandarin, ed. by Cheng Hai Chew, 25–74. Singapore: Lingzi Media.
- Xing, Fuyi. 2005. “Xinjiapohuayu shiyongzhong yuanfangyan de qianxing yingxiang [Potential influences of the Mother Tongue dialect in using Chinese Language in Singapore].” *Fangyan* [Dialect] (2): 175–181.
- Xu, Jie, and Sicong Dong. 2013. “Hanminzu gongtongyu de yuyin biao zhun ying weitiao wei “yi Beijingyuyin wei jichunyin” [Re-defining the Beijing dialect status in describing the Standard Mandarin].” *Yuyan Kexue* [Linguistic Sciences] 5: 460–468.
- Xu, Jie, and Hui Wang. 2004. *Xiandai Huayu Gailun* [The structure of Chinese language: characters, words and sentences]. Singapore: Global Publishing.
- Yiu, Carine Yuk-man. 2014. “Typology of Word Order in Chinese Dialects: Revisiting the Classification of Min.” *Language and Linguistics* 15(4): 539–573.
- Yuan, Xuelian, and Jingxia Lin. 2016. “Classifiers in Singapore Mandarin Chinese: A Corpus based Study.” In *Chinese Lexical Semantics (Selected Papers of CLSW 2016)*, ed. by Minghui Dong, Jingxia Lin and Xuri Tang, 65–75. Cham: Springer.
- Zhang, Hongming, Min Zhang, and Chunshen Zhu. 2004. “Speak Mandarin Campaign (1979-1989) and Senior Citizens among Chinese Singaporeans: Linguistic Perspective.” *Journal of Chinese Sociolinguistics* 2(2): 26–44.
- Zhou, Lieting. 1999. “Cong jige lizi kan Xinjiapohuayu he putonghua de ciyi chabie [Discrepancy in meanings of the same lexical items between Singapore Mandarin and Putonghua: Several examples].” *Yuyan Wenzi Yingyong* [Applied Linguistics] (1): 99–102.
- Zhu, Dexi. 1982. *Yufa jiangyi* [Lecture notes on grammar]. Beijing: Commercial Press.
- Zhu, Xiaohong. 2008. *Xinjiapohuayu yufa bianyi yanjiu* [A study of grammatical variation in Singapore Mandarin]. PhD Dissertation. Guangzhou: Jinan University.

Authors' addresses

Jingxia Lin
School of Humanities
Nanyang Technological University
14 Nanyang Drive, Singapore, 637332

Yong Kang Khoo
School of Humanities
Nanyang Technological University
14 Nanyang Drive, Singapore, 637332

jingxialin@ntu.edu.sg

YONGKANG001@e.ntu.edu.sg