Rationally designed hierarchical N-doped carbon@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes for enhanced visible light CO₂ reduction

Wang, Sibor; Guan, Bu Yuan; Lou, David Xiong Wen

2018

Wang, S., Guan, B. Y., & Lou, D. X. W. (2018). Rationally designed hierarchical N-doped carbon@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes for enhanced visible light CO₂ reduction. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 11, 306-310. doi:10.1039/c7ee02934a

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/138610>

<https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EE02934A>

© 2018 The Royal Society of Chemistry. All rights reserved. This paper was published in *Energy & Environmental Science* and is made available with permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 14:31:58 SGT

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Rationally designed hierarchical N-doped carbon@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes for enhanced visible light CO₂ reduction

Sibo Wang, Bu Yuan Guan and Xiong Wen (David) Lou*

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Here we demonstrate the delicate design and construction of hierarchical nitrogen-doped carbon@NiCo₂O₄ (NC@NiCo₂O₄) double-shelled nanoboxes for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with visible light. This smart design rationally combines the structural and functional advantages of catalytically active Co and Ni species with conductive nitrogen-doped carbon into a three-dimensional hollow nanoarchitecture, which can remarkably facilitate the migration and separation of photogenerated charge carriers, enhance the adsorption and concentration of CO₂ molecules, and provide more active sites for photochemical reactions. Benefitting from these unique structural and compositional features, the hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes manifest considerable performance for deoxygenative reduction of CO₂ with high CO-evolving rate (26.2 μmol h⁻¹; 2.62 × 10⁴ μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹) and high stability.

Reduction of carbon dioxide (CO₂) with abundant solar energy to generate valuable energetic molecules (e.g., CO, CH₄, HCOOH and CH₃OH) has long been an ideal solution to explore renewable energy and relieve global warming.^{1–5} However, the efficient photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by artificial materials is very challenging, mainly due to the high thermodynamic stability of linear CO₂ molecules and high recombination rate of charge carriers during photocatalysis.⁴ Therefore, the construction of CO₂ photoreduction systems typically necessitates the synergetic operation of photosensitizers,^{7–10} CO₂ absorbers/activators^{11–16} and electron mediators^{17–22} in a harmonious manner. Transition metal ions with multiple redox states are favorable components to build electron transport chains for CO₂ conversion, which can effectively prevent the

formation of unwanted high-energy intermediates and thus promote the multi-electron reduction of CO₂, particularly when the process is combined with protons.^{11,18,20} Cobalt and nickel oxides/complexes have been demonstrated as efficient catalysts to accelerate charge migration kinetics, CO₂ activation and surface reaction in photochemical CO₂ reduction.^{8,11,14,16,18,21}

Mixed metal oxides with two-dimensional (2D) architectures have sparked growing interests in diverse areas owing to their ample redox functions, high chemical stabilities, and abundant optical and electronic characteristics.^{23–27} Of note, the configuration of 2D nanosheets can effectively reduce the diffusion length of charge carriers, provide high surface area and expose rich catalytically active sites, which are highly desirable to promote photoredox reactions.^{23,25,28} On the other hand, compositing semiconductors with carbonaceous materials is an effective strategy to enhance photocatalytic activity because of their outstanding light harvesting and anti-photocorrosion properties.^{29–31} Importantly, the electron-accepting/-transfer features of the introduced carbon species can render a convenient path to direct the flow of charge carriers, prolonging their lifetime and eventually leading to enhanced photocatalytic performance. Meanwhile, it is considered that nitrogen-doping can further ameliorate the electronic and chemical functions of carbon-based materials and thus enhance the photo(electro)chemical performances.^{32–34} In addition, the achievement of efficient photocatalysis also depends on delicate design and construction of catalysts with proper structures.

Hollow structured materials with various architectures and tailored compositions have shown advantages in widespread applications in energy storage and conversion areas.^{35–37} Recently, hollow micro/nanostructures have been introduced to photoredox catalytic field as powerful light transducers to upgrade the performance.^{38–45} In regard of CO₂ photoreduction, hollow nanostructures hold several inherent advantages over the solid counterparts. Specifically, the interior cavity not only favors the separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs by

School of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 62 Nanyang Drive, Singapore, 637459, Singapore
E-mail: xwlou@ntu.edu.sg; davidlou88@gmail.com
Webpage: <http://www.ntu.edu.sg/home/xwlou/>

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures, additional FESEM images, TEM images, XRD patterns, EDX spectrum, XPS spectra, DRS, Nyquist plots, PL spectra, and detailed photocatalytic CO₂ reduction reactions. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

reducing diffusion distance from bulk to surface, but also provides large surface area to promote CO₂ adsorption and surface-dependant redox reactions on both the exterior and interior shells.^{38,40,43,45} Besides, the permeable shells can be deliberately decorated or engineered with cocatalysts or other functional materials to accelerate charge mobility kinetics and CO₂ activation at their interfaces.^{12,38,40,42} Moreover, photo adsorption could be enhanced by multi-light scattering/reflection in the hollow cavities,⁴⁰ especially in nanoboxes.⁴¹ Indeed, several seminal works have demonstrated the prodigious opportunities for CO₂ photoreduction by hollow structured materials, such as CuO-TiO_{2-x}N_x hollow nanocubes,³⁸ Ti_{0.91}O₂@graphene hollow spheres,⁴³ and Bi₂WO₆ hollow microspheres.⁴⁵ Despite these achievements, it remains a significant challenge to design and construct well-defined hierarchical hollow architectures to integrate these structural and compositional merits mentioned above and fully realize their advantages for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction.

Herein, we demonstrate the design and synthesis of hierarchical hollow nanostructures composed of ultrathin NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets and nitrogen-doped carbon nanoboxes for deoxygenative reduction of CO₂ with visible light. The overall synthetic strategy involves several steps, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. Starting with Fe₂O₃ nanocube as the template, a thin layer of polydopamine (PDA) is coated on the Fe₂O₃ particle through the sol-gel method (step I). Then, the Fe₂O₃@PDA core-shelled nanocube is heated under N₂ atmosphere to transform the PDA layer to N-doped carbon (NC) shell. Next, the oxide core is dissolved selectively by acid etching, generating a NC nanobox (step II). Finally, a layer of ultrathin NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets is grown on the NC nanobox *via* a facile hydrothermal reaction followed by a thermal treatment, producing the hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanobox (step III). These hierarchical nanoboxes synergistically combine the structural and functional advantages of both Ni-Co based catalytically active species and carbon-based electron-accepting/-transfer support, which is a perfect nanosystem to study the relationship between structure/composition and CO₂ photoconversion performance. Impressively, when cooperated with a visible light photosensitizer under mild conditions, the NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes exhibit considerable activity (26.2 μmol h⁻¹; 2.62×10⁴ μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹) and high stability for selectively reducing CO₂ into CO.

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the synthetic process of hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes. (I) PDA coating, (II) annealing under N₂ atmosphere and acid etching, (III) growth of NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets.

Fig. 2 (a-c) FESEM and (d-f) TEM images of hierarchical NC@Ni-Co LDH double-shelled nanoboxes.

Highly uniform Fe₂O₃ nanocubes with an average size of *ca.* 500 nm are prepared as the template through a hydrothermal method (Fig. S1 and Fig. S2, ESI[†]).⁴⁶ Then, the Fe₂O₃ nanocubes are further coated with a layer of PDA. Uniform Fe₂O₃@PDA core-shelled nanocubes with the particle size of *ca.* 580 nm are obtained (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). After a carbonization process under N₂ atmosphere at 500 °C for 3 h, the PDA layer is converted into the NC shell while the Fe₂O₃ core is reduced to Fe₃O₄ cube, leading to the formation of Fe₃O₄@NC core-shelled nanocubes (Fig. S4 and Fig. S5, ESI[†]). The as-derived Fe₃O₄@NC core-shelled nanocubes are etched by 4 M HCl at 70 °C for 1 h to completely remove the Fe₃O₄ cores, generating uniform NC nanoboxes with smooth surface and shell thickness of *ca.* 30 nm (Fig. S6, ESI[†]). The obtained NC nanoboxes are then modified with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and dispersed in a H₂O/ethanol mixture to grow a layer of Ni-Co layered double hydroxide (LDH) nanosheets.⁴⁷ Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images show that a layer of Ni-Co LDH nanosheets is uniformly grown on the NC nanoboxes (abbreviated as NC@Ni-Co LDH) (Fig. 2a-c). A closer examination on the shell of a NC@Ni-Co LDH nanobox reveals the layer is composed of randomly assembled ultrathin nanosheets (Fig. 2c). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images demonstrate the hollow feature of NC@Ni-Co LDH nanoboxes (Fig. 2d,e). As revealed in the magnified TEM image, the hierarchical layer of a Ni-Co LDH nanosheets with the thickness of *ca.* 75 nm can be clearly discerned (Fig. 2f). No noticeable interlayer gap can be observed between the NC shell and the Ni-Co LDH layer, suggesting the Ni-Co LDH nanosheets are tightly coupled on the NC nanoboxes. This architecture ensures the strong interaction between NC nanobox and NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets in the final NC@NiCo₂O₄ product, which guarantees efficient charge transportation for redox reactions. Irregular bulk particles composed of Ni-Co LDH nanosheets are prepared as a control sample under the same conditions without NC nanoboxes as the templates (Fig. S7, ESI[†]).

Fig. 3 (a-c) FESEM and (d,e) TEM images of hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes, (f) HRTEM image of the NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets and SAED pattern (inset) of NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes, and (g) EDX mappings of an individual NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanobox.

The as-prepared NC@Ni-Co LDH nanoboxes can withstand the annealing treatment in air at 300 °C. EDX analysis of the pyrolysis product shows the existence of Co, Ni, C, N, and O elements in the sample (Fig. S8, ESI†). The percentage of NC remained in the final hybrid product is *ca.* 8.13 wt.%. These highly conductive NC layers would promote electron transfer during photocatalysis. The valence states of Co and Ni in the NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes are identified by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements (Fig. S9, ESI†). The results indicate the co-existence of two solid redox couples (Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ and Co²⁺/Co³⁺) in the catalysts,²¹ which could provide abundant active sites for heterogeneous CO₂ photoreduction. The XRD pattern (Fig. S10, ESI†) of the product can be indexed to spinel NiCo₂O₄ phase (JCPDS card No. 20-0781). No residues or impurity phases are detected, indicating the NC@Ni-Co LDH precursors are completely converted to NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes after the thermal treatment. The NiCo₂O₄ species with high crystallinity in NC@NiCo₂O₄ product are also favorable for facilitating electron mobility in photoredox reactions. FESEM images of the as-obtained NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes reveal the cubic morphology and the ultrathin sheet-shaped subunits (Fig. 3a-c). TEM images validate the hierarchical hollow nanostructure of the NC@NiCo₂O₄ particles (Fig. 3d,e). High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image shows the edge view of the NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets with clear lattice fringes (Fig. 3f). The interlayer distances are calculated to be *ca.* 0.287 and 0.469 nm, corresponding to the (220) and (111) crystal planes of spinel NiCo₂O₄ phase, respectively. The polycrystalline nature of the NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets is confirmed by the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (inset, Fig. 3f), which can be indexed to the spinel NiCo₂O₄ phase as well. Elemental mappings are performed to examine the inhomogeneous distribution of NC and NiCo₂O₄ in the NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanobox. The results show that the intensities of N and

C elements are slightly stronger in the inner edge of the shell, which is different from that of Ni, Co, and O elements (Fig. 3g). N₂ sorption measurements reveal the hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes possess a high Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of 142 m² g⁻¹ (Fig. S11, ESI†), which is about twice that of the nanosheet-assembled NiCo₂O₄ solids (67 m² g⁻¹). The benefit of such high surface area for improving CO₂ adsorption/concentration is demonstrated by CO₂ adsorption measurements. As shown in Fig. 4a, the NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes exhibit a maximum CO₂ uptake of *ca.* 60 cm³ g⁻¹ at 0 °C under 1 atm, much higher than that of bulk NiCo₂O₄ particles. The CO₂ adsorption results indicate the hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes show a pronounced advantage in CO₂ adsorption/concentration, suggesting their great potential for CO₂ conversion catalysis.

Fig. 4 (a) CO₂ adsorption isotherms of NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes and bulk NiCo₂O₄ particles at 0 °C. (b) Production of CO and H₂ from photocatalytic CO₂ reduction system under various reaction conditions. The inserted percentage indicates the selectivity of CO. (c) Results of GC-MS analysis for produced CO using ¹³CO₂ and ¹²CO₂ as gas sources, respectively. (d) Evolution of CO and H₂ as a function of reaction time. (e) Wavelength dependence of yield of CO and H₂, and the light absorption spectrum of the **Ru** photosensitizer. The wavelength of incident light is controlled by applying appropriate long-pass cut-off filters. (f) Generation of CO and H₂ in stability tests.

Catalytic performances of the hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes were evaluated by visible light driven CO₂ photoreduction reactions conducted in H₂O/acetonitrile mixture under mild reaction conditions (30 °C, 1 atm CO₂) with [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O (abbreviated as **Ru**, bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) and triethanolamine (TEOA) as the photosensitizer and electron donor, respectively. Fig. 4b shows the performance of the CO₂ reduction catalysis under various reaction conditions. In the normal reaction (column 1, Fig. 4b), a large amount of CO (26.2 μmol h⁻¹; 2.62 × 10⁴ μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹) and a small production of H₂ (3.4 μmol h⁻¹) are generated from the reaction system, corresponding to a high CO selectivity of 88.6 %. The CO₂ photoreduction system manifests a high apparent quantum yield (AQY) of 1.07 % under monochromatic light irradiation of 420 nm. The achieved CO₂ reduction rate is superior to many other systems (Table S1, ESI†).^{3,7-15,17,19,21,48-52} When the CO₂ photoreduction reaction was conducted with bulk NiCo₂O₄ as the catalyst, the yield (18.3 μmol h⁻¹) and selectivity (74.7 %) of CO product obviously reduced (column 2, Fig. 4b). Furthermore, if the NC@NiCo₂O₄ catalyst was not added into the reaction system, the evolution of CO (0.9 μmol h⁻¹) together with CO

selectivity (36 %) decreased significantly (column 3, Fig. 4b). These results indicate that this tandem catalytic system is mainly responsible for the CO₂-to-CO transformation reaction with considerable efficiency. The hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes are capable of enhancing the adsorption/concentration of CO₂ molecules and accelerating the transport kinetics of photo-triggered charges. No hydrocarbon products are detected from the developed system, consistent with the results of reported works.^{11,14,20} The CO₂ reduction reaction is completely terminated without the presence of **Ru** or visible light irradiation (column 4 and column 5, Fig. 4b), indicating the reaction is started by photocatalysis. When using N₂ to replace CO₂ to perform the reaction under identical conditions (column 6, Fig. 4b), the system only produces a small amount of H₂, no evolution of CO can be detected. This observation suggests the generated CO is originated from the CO₂ reactant. The control experiment without TEOA indicates that no detectable CO is produced, revealing that the sacrificial agent greatly affects the performance of the catalyst.^{11,14,15,49,50}

To provide solid proof for the carbon source of CO, ¹³C-labelled isotropic experiments are conducted and the produced gases are analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC-MS). Only ¹³CO (*m/z* = 29) can be detected when ¹³CO₂ is employed as the gas source (Fig. 4c). The above findings show that the CO is generated from photosplitting of CO₂ molecules. The time course for the yields of CO and H₂ is depicted in Fig. 4d. The accumulated product is *ca.* 52 μmol for the 4 h reaction, affording a catalytic turnover number (TON) of *ca.* 14 with respect to NiCo₂O₄ in the hybrid catalyst. This TON value is comparable to other reported works.^{8,48,53} The decrease in CO₂-to-CO conversion rate after long-time reaction is mainly attributed to photobleaching of the dye photosensitizer.^{11,14,16,49,50} The catalytic activities of the NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes for CO₂ photoreduction are further studied under different wavelength illumination. As shown in Fig. 4e, the variation tendency of gas evolution is matched well with the optical absorption spectrum of the **Ru** complex, rather than that of the NC@NiCo₂O₄ material (Fig. S12, ESI†). This observation indicates the CO₂ reduction reaction is driven photocatalytically by the harvested light photons of **Ru** photosensitizer.¹¹ Stability of the NC@NiCo₂O₄ catalyst is then evaluated. As presented in Fig. 4f, the gas formation in each consecutive cycle is almost unchanged, demonstrating the high stability of the NC@NiCo₂O₄ catalyst.

Photoelectrochemical characterizations are carried out to demonstrate the crucial role of hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes for promoting transfer kinetics of charge carriers during CO₂ photoreduction. Benefitting from the high electric conductivity of NC inner layers, NC@NiCo₂O₄ catalysts exhibit much lower electronic resistance than solid NiCo₂O₄ sample (Fig. S13, ESI†). The increased electronic conductivity is effective for accelerating the migration of charge carriers. On the other hand, the separation-recombination rate of photo-excited charges of the CO₂ reduction system is investigated by in situ room temperature photoluminescence (PL) measurements under excitation wavelength of 500 nm (Fig. S14, ESI†). The PL

intensity of the CO₂ reduction system is remarkably diminished in the presence of NC@NiCo₂O₄ catalyst. The PL quenching principally reflects an inhibited recombination rate of charge carriers, which can greatly augment heterogeneous CO₂ conversion. These photoelectrochemical observations evidence the function of NC@NiCo₂O₄ nanoboxes for boosting the separation and transport of photogenerated charge carriers in the CO₂ reduction system, and thereby markedly improving the catalytic performance.

Conclusions

In summary, hierarchical NC@NiCo₂O₄ double-shelled nanoboxes are rationally prepared as a highly efficient catalyst for visible light CO₂ reduction. These hybrid hollow structures hold unique structural and compositional features with reduced diffusion length and improved electronic conductivity for separation and transport of charge carriers, large surface area for CO₂ adsorption/concentration, and more active sites for photoredox catalysis. Consequently, the NC@NiCo₂O₄ catalyst exhibits remarkable photocatalytic performance for deoxygenative reduction of CO₂ with high CO evolution rate (26.2 μmol h⁻¹; 2.62×10⁴ μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹) and good stability. All these findings demonstrate rational design and synthesis of hierarchical hollow nanostructures can be an efficient strategy to achieve highly active materials for CO₂ photoreduction. This work might encourage the study on hollow photosynthetic nanostructures for solar energy-related applications.

Acknowledgements

X. W. Lou acknowledges the funding support from the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Singapore via the NRF investigatorship (NRF-NRFI2016-04).

Notes and references

- 1 A. Listorti, J. Durrant and J. Barber, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 929.
- 2 N. S. Lewis and D. G. Nocera, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2006, **103**, 15729.
- 3 R. Kuriki, M. Yamamoto, K. Higuchi, Y. Yamamoto, M. Akatsuka, D. Lu, S. Yagi, T. Yoshida, O. Ishitani and K. Maeda, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 4867.
- 4 J. Tang, J. R. Durrant and D. R. Klug, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13885.
- 5 K. Li, A. D. Handoko, M. Khraisheh and J. Tang, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 9767.
- 6 T. Sakakura, J. C. Choi and H. Yasuda, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2365.
- 7 R. Kuriki, H. Matsunaga, T. Nakashima, K. Wada, A. Yamakata, O. Ishitani and K. Maeda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 5159.
- 8 C. Gao, Q. Meng, K. Zhao, H. Yin, D. Wang, J. Guo, S. Zhao, L. Chang, M. He, Q. Li, H. Zhao, X. Huang, Y. Guo and Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6485.
- 9 H. Zhang, J. Wei, J. Dong, G. Liu, L. Shi, P. An, G. Zhao, J. Kong, X. Wang, X. Meng, J. Zhang and J. Ye, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 14310.
- 10 L. Shi, T. Wang, H. Zhang, K. Chang and J. Ye, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 5360.
- 11 S. Wang, W. Yao, J. Lin, Z. Ding and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1034.

- 12 W. Tu, Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 4607.
- 13 T. Kajiwara, M. Fujii, M. Tsujimoto, K. Kobayashi, M. Higuchi, K. Tanaka and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2697.
- 14 T. Ouyang, H. H. Huang, J. W. Wang, D. C. Zhong and T. B. Lu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 738.
- 15 H. Q. Xu, J. Hu, D. Wang, Z. Li, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo, S. H. Yu and H. L. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13440.
- 16 V. S. Thoi, N. Kornienko, C. G. Margarit, P. Yang and C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 14413.
- 17 S. Sato, T. Morikawa, S. Saeki, T. Kajino and T. Motohiro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5101.
- 18 H. Takeda and O. Ishitani, *Coordin. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 346.
- 19 Y. Fu, D. Sun, Y. Chen, R. Huang, Z. Ding, X. Fu and Z. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3364.
- 20 J. M. Lehn and R. Ziessel, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1982, **79**, 701.
- 21 Z. Wang, M. Jiang, J. Qin, H. Zhou and Z. Ding, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 16040.
- 22 B. Cui, H. Lin, Y. Z. Liu, J. B. Li, P. Sun, X. C. Zhao and C. J. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 14083.
- 23 Y. Zhou, Y. Zhang, M. Lin, J. Long, Z. Zhang, H. Lin, J. C. S. Wu and X. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 8340.
- 24 J. L. Gunjakar, T. W. Kim, H. N. Kim, I. Y. Kim and S. J. Hwang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 14998.
- 25 S. Liang, L. Wen, S. Lin, J. Bi, P. Feng, X. Fu and L. Wu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2951.
- 26 K. Teramura, S. Iguchi, Y. Mizuno, T. Shishido and T. Tanaka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 8008.
- 27 C. Yuan, H. B. Wu, Y. Xie and X. W. Lou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1488.
- 28 J. Zhang, Y. Chen and X. Wang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 3092.
- 29 Q. Wang, T. Hisatomi, Y. Suzuki, Z. Pan, J. Seo, M. Katayama, T. Minegishi, H. Nishiyama, T. Takata, K. Seki, A. Kudo, T. Yamada and K. Domen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1675.
- 30 Y. X. Pan, Y. You, S. Xin, Y. Li, G. Fu, Z. Cui, Y. L. Men, F. F. Cao, S. H. Yu and J. B. Goodenough, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 4123.
- 31 Y. J. Xu, Y. Zhuang and X. Fu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 2669.
- 32 S. Liu, J. Tian, L. Wang, Y. Zhang, X. Qin, Y. Luo, A. M. Asiri, A. O. Al-Youbi and X. Sun, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2037.
- 33 X. Yang, C. Cao, L. Erickson, K. Hohn, R. Maghirang and K. Klabunde, *J. Catal.*, 2008, **260**, 128.
- 34 S. Peng, L. Li, S. G. Mhaisalkar, M. Srinivasan, S. Ramakrishna and Q. Yan, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 2212.
- 35 L. Yu, H. B. Wu and X. W. Lou, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**, 293.
- 36 B. Y. Guan, L. Yu, J. Li and X. W. Lou, *Sci. Adv.*, 2016, **2**, e1501554.
- 37 S. Wang, B. Y. Guan, L. Yu and X. W. Lou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1702724.
- 38 S. I. In, D. D. Vaughn and R. E. Schaak, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3915.
- 39 T. Zhu, H. B. Wu, Y. Wang, R. Xu and X. W. Lou, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2012, **2**, 1497.
- 40 J. Sun, J. Zhang, M. Zhang, M. Antonietti, X. Fu and X. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 1139.
- 41 B. Qiu, Q. Zhu, M. Du, L. Fan, M. Xing and J. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 2684.
- 42 D. Zheng, X. N. Cao and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 11512.
- 43 W. Tu, Y. Zhou, Q. Liu, Z. Tian, J. Gao, X. Chen, H. Zhang, J. Liu and Z. Zou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 1215.
- 44 S. Wang, B. Y. Guan, Y. Lu and X. W. Lou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 17305.
- 45 H. Cheng, B. Huang, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Qin, X. Zhang and Y. Dai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 9729.
- 46 X. Y. Yu, H. Hu, Y. Wang, H. Chen and X. W. Lou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 7395.
- 47 L. Huang, D. Chen, Y. Ding, S. Feng, Z. L. Wang and M. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 3135.
- 48 K. Niu, Y. Xu, H. Wang, R. Ye, H. L. Xin, F. Lin, C. Tian, Y. Lum, K. C. Bustillo, M. M. Doeff, M. T. M. Koper, J. Ager, R. Xu and H. Zheng, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, **3**, e1700921.
- 49 Y. Wang, N. Y. Huang, J. Q. Shen, P. Q. Liao, X. M. Chen and J. P. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b10107
- 50 X. Lin, Y. Gao, M. Jiang, Y. Zhang, Y. Hou, W. Dai, S. Wang and Z. Ding, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2018, **224**, 1009.
- 51 C. Huang, C. Chen, M. Zhang, L. Lin, X. Ye, S. Lin, M. Antonietti and X. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7698.
- 52 Y. Zheng, L. Lin, X. Ye, F. Guo and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 11926.
- 53 G. A. Andrade, A. J. Pistner, G. P. Yap, D. A. Lutterman and J. Rosenthal, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 1685.