Nanostructured metallic transition metal carbides, nitrides, phosphides, and borides for energy storage and conversion

Dinh, Khang Ngoc; Liang, Qinghua; Du, Cheng-Feng; Zhao, Jin; Tok, Alfred ling Yoong; Mao, Hui; Yan, Qingyu

2019

Dinh, K. N., Liang, Q., Du, C.-F., Zhao, J., Tok, A. I. Y., Mao, H., & Yan, Q. (2019).
Nanostructured metallic transition metal carbides, nitrides, phosphides, and borides for
energy storage and conversion. *Nano Today*, 25, 99-121. doi:10.1016/j.nantod.2019.02.008

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/139703>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2019.02.008>

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This paper was published in *Nano Today* and is
made available with permission of Elsevier Ltd.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 22:26:46 SGT

Nanostructured Metallic Transition Metal Carbides, Nitrides, Phosphides and Borides for Energy Storage and Conversion

*Khang Ngoc Dinh^{1,2,[+]}, Qinghua Liang^{2,[+]}, Chengfeng Du^{2,3}, Jin Zhao², Alfred Iing Yoong Tok,²
Hui Mao,^{4*} Qingyu Yan^{1,2*}*

¹Energy Research Institute @ NTU (ERI@N), Interdisciplinary Graduate School, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637553, Singapore

²School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798, Singapore

³State Key Laboratory of Solidification Processing, Center of Advanced Lubrication and Seal Materials, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P. R. China

⁴College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610068, P. R. China

[+]These authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding Author: Qingyu Yan, E-mail: AlexYan@ntu.edu.sg

Abstract

Metallic-like transition metal-based nanostructures (MLTMNs) has recently arisen as robust and highly efficient materials for energy storage and conversion. Owing to extraordinary advantages over the semiconducting/insulating ones (in terms of fast reaction kinetics, rapid electrical transport, and intrinsically high activity) combined with the high natural abundance, this class of materials is progressively developed towards commercial applications in real energy technologies. This review summarizes and discusses the progress in energy storages and conversions that employ MLTMNs. After the introduction and fundamental characteristics, developments in synthetic methodologies of MLTMNs and its application in energy storage and conversion are provided with more attention on strategies to improve electrochemical performances. Personal outlook on the challenges and opportunities of MLTMNs for industrial applications in real energy technologies are proposed and discussed in the conclusion.

Keywords: metallic transition metal-based nanomaterials, enhanced electrical conductivity, energy conversion, energy storage, electrochemical water splitting, lithium ion battery

Graphical Abstract

1. Introduction

With the observation about the depletion of easily accessible fossil fuels and climate changes, the exploration of renewable form of energy conversions and energy carriers have turned out to be an important research topic. Regarding energy storage devices, secondary lithium/sodium-ion batteries (LIBs/ SIBs) and supercapacitors are considered as the key enabling devices. Up to now, they have partly met our demands for compact but powerful energy storage. However, extending their application towards electric vehicles, military & aerospace applications, etc. requires much higher performance by means of rate capability, safety, energy density, and cyclability. To achieve those goals, the development of new electrode materials plays a crucial role. As for energy conversion technologies, transforming renewable energy (*eg.* wind, solar, etc.) to easily stored chemical energy (*i.e.* H_2) by electrocatalytic water splitting is of great potential, in which electrocatalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) plays a key role. Then, to utilizing H_2 as a clean fuel in fuel cells, oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) also need to be facilitated to improve the efficiency. However, the current state-of-the-art electrocatalysts for these conversion process are still based on precious metals (*i.e.* Pt[1] for HER, ORR and RuO_2/IrO_2 [2]for OER), which brutally hindered large scale applications. Hence, inexpensive and natural abundant alternatives with good catalytic performance and sturdiness over time are highly desired.

The combination of transition metals and elemental phosphorus, carbon, nitrogen, and boron give families of compounds; namely, phosphides, carbides, nitrides, and borides. Those materials, which exhibit significant advantages of being cheap, accessibility to synthesis at large scales and interesting properties, acquire a huge potential toward electrocatalysis and energy

storage applications. On the other hand, it is well-known that different compositions (transition metal-rich or transition metal-deficient) can give rise to the changes in crystal and electronic structures, leading to metallic phase or semiconducting/insulating phases. Compared to transition metal -based phosphides/carbides/nitrides/borides that are semiconductors or insulators, the metallic ones with electronic structures similar to metals would have better conductivity facilitating electron transfer among layers, which is advantageous in electrocatalytic applications [3]; some of those metallic compounds are shown to have comparable performances to these of noble metals. In addition, the high electrical conductivity lowers the loss of electrical transport, enabling the significant improvement in performance of LIBs/SIBs or supercapacitors. More importantly, apart from good conductivity, those metallic transition metals compounds are more thermodynamically stable than the semiconducting/insulating ones and show favorable resistance to oxidation at high temperature.[4] Although these metallic transition metal phosphides/carbides/nitrides/borides have been tried out for various reactions (*i.e.* hydrogenation, hydrodesulfurization, amination, ammonia synthesis/ decomposition, hydrogenolysis, dehydrogenation, hydrazine decomposition, methanation, aromatization, isomerization, reforming, hydroprocessing, and water-gas shift)[4], there is still lots of interest and room for developments in their potential applications of improving existing battery technologies and energy conversion process (**Fig. 1**).

In this review, we mainly summarize and discuss the progress in energy storages (LIBs/SIBs and supercapacitors) and conversions (HER, OER, ORR) that employ metallic like transition metal-based nanostructures (MLTMNs). Fundamental characteristics in terms of crystal structures are introduced. Then, we present comprehensive insight and recent advances of synthesis methods, energy storage and conversion applications with attention focused on strategies to promote

performances of each type of metallic transition metal nanostructured. Lastly, we suggest our personal outlook on this promising class of materials to pave the way for future studies.

2. Phosphides

Phosphorus (P) forms transition metal phosphides (TMPs) with most of transition metals in the periodic table. However, as most of the P-rich TMPs are semiconductors or insulators and much less stable, which will not be ideal for energy storage and conversion applications, the focal point of this section is on the transition metal-rich phosphides (MP, M_2P or M_3P , etc.), also called metallic transition metal phosphides (MTMPs) due to their metallic character.

The nature of MTMPs (and nitrides and carbides as will be discussed later) is a combination of physical properties of ceramics and electronic properties of metals; they are good heat and electrical conductors, hard and strong, and have high thermal and chemical stability [4]. Regarding the crystal structure, huge differences are observed between phosphides and carbides/nitrides. Interstitial structure, which is observed later on in many carbides and nitrides, is not feasible owing to the ~1.5 times bigger in size of P compared to N and C (0.109 nm of P to 0.071 nm and 0.065 nm of C and N, respectively). Instead, P is normally positioned in the center of the triangular prism made of metal atoms in MTMPs [5].

There are a lot of publications related to MTMPs; however, in our perspective, most of them are very much alike in synthesis & characterization methodology, and performance analyses. In this part, typical synthesis methods of nanostructured MTMPs and their main application in energy storage/conversion are summarized and discussed accordingly; some typical and ground-breaking works will be analyzed in detail to give an in-depth understanding.

2.1. Synthetic methodologies of (MTMPs)

Generally, the synthesis of MTMPs can be classified by means of phosphorus sources. Regarding the application of energy storage and conversion, the source mainly comes from organic phosphines (*i.e.* trioctylphosphine, trioctylphosphine oxide, triphenylphosphine, alkyl- and aryl- phosphines) or inorganics (*i.e.* phosphate, elemental phosphorus, or hypophosphite). Importantly, the relative advantages and disadvantages of each type of P sources will be evaluated in detail.

2.1.1. Organic Phosphines (Oil-phase synthesis/ solution-phase) for MTMPs synthesis

The most popular organic phosphorus source in oil phase synthesis is trioctylphosphine (TOP). At the temperature of 300 °C and above, C-P bonds are broken and transition metal complexes (metal acetylacetonates, metal carbonyls) would be phosphidated under Ar or N₂ protective atmosphere. However, due to the above mentioned high decomposition temperature, the synthesis needs to be carried out with high boiling point organic solvents like 1-octadecene, octyl ether, oleylamine, and squalene which causes the reaction to be corrosive and flammable. The usage of those long chain organic solvents and TOP also leads to the difficulty in washing the products and normally requires an extra annealing step under O₂-free environment at elevated temperature (higher than boiling point of solvents/capping agents, usually ~400 °C) to remove those organic compounds. Attention should be also taken during this annealing step since a high temperature of above 500 °C would lead to serious sintering forming bulk phase instead of nanostructure.

Besides TOP, tri-octylphosphine oxide (TOPO) and other organic phosphines (*eg.* tri-phenylphosphine (TPP), alkyl- and aryl- phosphines) can be used in a similar manner or mixed with TOP [6-10]. This method was first considered as the general approach for synthesis of

various TMPs including nickel phosphides [7, 8, 11, 12], cobalt phosphides [6, 9, 13, 14], iron phosphides [10], etc. Controlled compositions, morphologies, and sizes are obtainable through changing reaction parameters. In this regard, Brock *et al.* was one of the first to achieve the phase-pure hollow or solid Ni_{12}P_5 and Ni_2P nanoparticles with 10-32 nm in size just by tuning TOP: Ni ratio, temperature, reaction duration, and amount of solvent (**Fig. 2**) [15]. Specifically, at low TOP: Ni ratio metal-rich (Ni_{12}P_5) big hollow particles are formed, whereas small solid Ni_2P particles are formed otherwise. Higher reaction temperature and longer reaction duration is also found to favor the formation of more thermodynamically stable product – Ni_2P . Interestingly, metal-rich Ni_{12}P_5 was formed by increasing the volume of solvent (oleylamine in this case) regardless of TOP: Ni ratio; and volume of solvent could also be used to tune the void sizes of hollow Ni_{12}P_5 at a low TOP concentration. The formation of hollow structures (favored by low TOP concentration as mentioned) of Ni_2P is also possible by adding more TOP at crystallization temperature ($\sim 300^\circ\text{C}$). Similar studies other than nickel phosphides were also conducted to further prove the versatility of the TOP route, *i.e.* Co_xP [14] and Fe_xP [16].

Remarkably, Brock and his colleagues reported the synthesis of MnP nanocrystals by organic phosphine route at record-breaking low temperature of 220°C (note that C-P bonds are broken only at 300°C and above) [17]. This was achieved with the help of tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine which provides phosphorus source through redox neutral desilylation. However, most of the silylphosphines are extremely toxic (much more toxic than TOP) and ignite in air making it very difficult to handle; hindering their further uses.

2.1.2. Inorganic P and elemental P sources for MTMPs synthesis

As discussed above, the organic phosphines route has opened a shape, size, and composition controllable synthesis method for TMPs. Nevertheless, the sophisticated process is a

big challenge for scale-up production. A better way is to employ inorganic phosphorus precursors.

The most common method at the early days was via reduction of metal orthophosphate by H_2 or CH_4 only at high temperature ($> 650\text{ }^{\circ}C$) due to strong P-O bonds. The mechanism was explained as after adsorbing to metal sites, H spills over to phosphate forcing the reduction [18]. Being mostly used for the synthesis of molybdenum phosphides and tungsten phosphides; however, this method is hardly ever used because of its energy-intensive; and more importantly, the products are usually in bulk form with large crystal size and irregular morphology, which limits the application for energy storage and conversions.

Classical source of phosphorus – elemental phosphorus is another source for phosphide nanoparticles synthesis. Unlike the phosphate reduction, the usage of milder conditions inhibits the aggregation of products. Surplus white phosphorus P_4 , red phosphorus, and yellow phosphorus were used in hydrothermal and solvothermal reactions with molecular metal salts (chlorides, nitrates, acetate, sulfate, etc.) at relatively low temperature (less than $200\text{ }^{\circ}C$) to prepare series of nanoparticles Ni_2P [19], $Ni_{12}P_5$ [20], nanocrystallites Ni_2P [21, 22], Cu_3P [22], Co_2P [22, 23], urchin-like Co_2P [24], and Fe_2P [25]. Complex structures can also be achieved by using mixtures of solvents, e.g ethylene glycol and water in case of nano-dendritical Ni_2P [26]. In addition, the cathodic electroreduction of Ni salts and dissolved P_4 in liquid ammonia at $160\text{ }^{\circ}C$ gave Ni_2P nanocrystallites [27]. Our group was the first to successfully use black P (BP) as phosphorus source in solvothermal synthesis of phosphides [28]. An excess of exfoliated BP was reacted with nickel (II) chloride in DMF at $160\text{ }^{\circ}C$ for only 3 hours (fraction of 12-48 hours when white/red/yellow P was employed). The reaction results in well-dispersed Ni_2P nanocrystals with an average diameter of $\sim 5\text{ nm}$ on BP heterostructure. Later on, there were a

series of works that further proved the feasibility of this phosphide synthesis method, namely, in-plane black phosphorus/dicobalt phosphide (BP/Co₂P) heterostructures and hybrid Ni₂P/BP [29, 30].

In addition to these inorganic phosphorus precursors described above, there is PH₃. The main problem is that PH₃ is extremely toxic and lethal even at a few ppm. Hence, hypophosphite that can generate PH₃ on-site, *i.e.* sodium hypophosphite (NaH₂PO₂) at around 250 °C are widely used as substitution: $2\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2 = \text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 + \text{PH}_3$. The reaction is called pseudo-chemical vapor deposition (pseudo-CVD) in which the generated PH₃ upstream will be carried by protective gas flow (Ar or N₂) and react with various transition metal precursors (metal oxides, hydroxides, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), and even metal some cases, etc.) in the downstream at 300-350 °C to produce TMPs. The biggest advantage of this method is to maintain the morphology of the downstream precursors. In addition, the reaction is facile, free of surfactant, wider ranges of transition metal precursors can be employed in comparison with organic phosphine routes, and the generated by-product Na₂HPO₄ in the upstream is easily washed away.

While the above-listed method struggles when it comes to multi-metal phosphides (they normally form a mixture of transition metal phosphides), one of the few exceptions is presented by Taeghwan Hyeon and his colleagues in which NiFeP nanorods was synthesized by TOP route; however, even in this rare case, varied Ni: Fe ratios are difficult to achieve and maximum Ni content of 25% was obtained [31]. Nonetheless, this PH₃ route brings multi-metal phosphides synthesis to whole new level due to the versatility of metal precursors. For examples, start off with layer double hydroxides/MOF, NiCoP [32, 33], (Fe_xNi_{1-x})₂P [34], with tunable metal compositions and most recently (V_xNi_{1-x})₂P by our group had been successfully synthesized [32-38].

Still based on phosphidation of metal precursor by on-site generated PH_3 , a slightly modified method was presented by Liang *et al.* in which the hydrothermally synthesized NiCo-LDH/Ni foam was placed into plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) system, followed by PH_3 plasma treatment at 250 °C for 15 min [32]. Compared to the common PH_3 route described above, this small modification allows a lower temperature phosphidation at a much shorter time (15 minutes compared to ~ 1-3 hours).

2.2. Applications of MTMPs for Energy storage and conversion with strategies for enhancing performances

2.2.1. Electrode materials (Batteries and Supercapacitors)

Among the various electrode materials for Li and Na batteries, MTMPs have received lots of attention. They have shown attractive Li and Na storage properties (theoretical capacity can reach ~1200 mA h g⁻¹ for LIBs and 900 mA h g⁻¹ for SIBs) through the conversion reaction: $\text{M}_x\text{P} + 3\text{Li (or Na)} \rightarrow \text{Li}_3\text{P (or Na}_3\text{P)} + x\text{M}$ [39]. Nevertheless, the practical application of these MTMPs is hindered by a serious capacity fading during cycling most likely due to irreversible conversion reaction and volume expansion.

To address that problem, Yu's group has developed the yolk-shell $\text{Sn}_4\text{P}_3@\text{C}$ nanospheres as the advance anode for SIBs which restrains the problem of volume changes during cycling; hence, a specific capacity of 360 mA h g⁻¹ at 1.5 A g⁻¹ after 400 cycles [40]. Remarkable reversible specific capacity of 790 mA h g⁻¹ at 100 mA g⁻¹, good rate capability (421 mA h g⁻¹ at 3 A g⁻¹) were obtained as well. This strategy of suppressing volume expansion via porous/hollow structure and carbon confinement were then widely studied. CoP hollow nanoparticles encapsulated in amorphous carbon layer,[14] Fe_2P nanoparticles enveloped in sandwichlike graphited carbon [41], 3D porous MoP carbon hybrid ($\text{MoP}@\text{C}$) [42], $\text{C}@\text{NiCoP}$ Peapods [43],

CoP/graphene [44], and Ni₁₂P₅ encapsulated in carbon [45] were reported as promising anode materials for LIBs and SIBs.

The utilization of MTMPs toward LIBs was also expanded through hybridizing with other materials like Ni₂P@BP [28]. Due to its metallic nature, Ni₂P has tuned the charge carrier concentration; and consequently, increased electrical conductivity. As a result, much better Li ion storage properties compared to bare BP has obtained with ~ 4-fold higher in reversible capacity (1196.3 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.1 A g⁻¹); high rate capabilities (322.0 mA h g⁻¹ at 10 A g⁻¹), and decent long-term cycling performance of 94.1% capacity retention after 1000 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹.

Another type of energy storage devices has gained lots of attention is supercapacitors. With good conductivity, MTMPs are also considered to be suitable as electrode materials for supercapacitors. However, phosphides for supercapacitors application mostly relied on nickel phosphides or their composites, wherein the charge storage in alkaline condition is attributed to the Faradaic Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ redox couple.

For examples, Ni₂P nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide [46], amorphous Ni-coated Ni₂P [47], and Ni₂P grown on Ni foam [48] showed incredibly high specific capacitance (some even higher than RuO₂), and stable cycling performance. Apart from Ni₂P, Co₂P has recently been tried for supercapacitors [9]. Co₂P nanoflowers with the capacitance of 416 F g⁻¹ at 1.0 A g⁻¹ was revealed to be better than that of Co₂P nanorods (284 F g⁻¹). Good cycling stability was observed as well with 97 % specific capacitance retention after 600 cycles. When employed as an anode in asymmetric supercapacitor (graphene as cathode), high energy and power densities

were obtained (8.8 Wh kg^{-1} at 6 kW kg^{-1}). These results pave new ways to expand the scope of MTMPs for supercapacitor other than Ni_2P ; even though, finding new MTMPs with high capacitance and large potential window are of big challenge.

2.2.2. Electrocatalysts (HER, OER, and ORR)

More than a decade ago, theoretical calculation has already predicted that Ni_2P (001) is a promising candidate to replace Pt for HER [44]. However, it was not until 2013 when Popczun *et al.* [11] reported high HER performance of Ni_2P hollow nanoparticles and paved the way to MTMPs research toward electrocatalysis. The prepared Ni_2P showed high density of exposed (001) facets; hence, an expectedly high exchange current density of $3.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ and a low Tafel slope of $\sim 46 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ were obtained. Since then, nickel phosphide family (Ni_{12}P_5 , Ni_5P_4 , and Ni-P mix phases) [7, 8, 12, 49], cobalt phosphide family (CoP, Co_2P) [13, 23, 50], MoP [1, 51, 52], Mo_3P [53], WP [54], FeP [10], and Cu_3P [49] were also reported as very active and durable electrocatalysts for HER.

With good HER performance, MTMPs were also expected to have good OER electrocatalytic activity due to several reasons. First, bifunctional OER and HER catalysts would streamline water splitting devices and make it much more possible at industrial scales. Secondly, the surface of MTMPs can be easily oxidized during high oxidative OER condition to give hydroxides/oxyhydroxides surface which is proven to be highly active toward OER. However, only metallic nickel and cobalt-based phosphides were studied intensively; most likely due to the good OER activity of Ni or Co-based hydroxides/oxyhydroxides [55-57].

Recent years witness lots of publications that directly grow MTMPs on 3D conductive substrate like Ti foil, carbon cloth, carbon paper, or Ni foam, etc. With this way, better mechanical adhesion between MTMPs and substrate is obtained without binders, resulting in

promoted charge-transfer in comparison with conventional post-transferred to the substrate, which later on has a big impact on electrocatalytic HER and OER performance. For example, FeP [58], Ni₂P [59], and CoP [60] were grown on carbon cloth/carbon paper and showed significantly improved current density (by 5-6 times) at given overpotential compared to the normal ones. In addition, a direct growth on conductive substrate would make the electrode hydrophilic and aerophobic at the same time; hence, facilitating mass transfer and addressing the gas bubble adhesion problem during electrolysis. This phenomenon has been widely observed in the cases of Ni₂P and Cu₃P [49, 61].

Hybridizing with carbon (carbon nanotubes, graphene, porous carbon) or phosphorus is another possible way to improve electrocatalytic activity through fully capitalize all the active sites by good dispersity. On top of that, the coupled C or P can modulate electron density and electronic potential distribution of the heterostructure [62]. Taking the synthesized BP/Co₂P by Wang and his co-workers as an example, BP/Co₂P showed much improved HER and OER performances in alkaline condition [30]. Similar results were also seen in the case of Ni₂P@BP [28] which needs only -107 mV at -10 mA cm⁻²; while the values for Ni₂P and bare BP are at -311 and -600 mV. The electrode indicated fast kinetics as well, shown by Pt-like Tafel slope of 38.6 mV dec⁻¹. Another example is carbon nanotubes decorated with CoP nanocrystals (CoP/CNT) that showed much enhanced HER performance than bare CoP (-122 mV of CoP/CNT compared to -226 mV of CoP to drive -10 mA cm⁻²) [63].

Another strategy to improve their catalytic activity is to dope MTMPs with other transition metals. In this regard, the PH₃ route offers the best way to achieve multi-metal phosphides which normally shows better catalytic activity toward single metal ones, which has been proven theoretically and experimentally. Theoretically, guidance by DFT calculations, a

much-increased density of state (DOS) intensity of NiCoP compared to Ni₂P indicates faster charge transfer kinetics. In addition, d-states of NiCoP is shown to be closer to Fermi level in comparison with Ni₂P, suggesting a lower OH⁻ adsorption energy which is normally the rate-determining step (RDS) of OER (**Fig. 3a-b, 3d-e**) [32, 33]. Regarding HER, it can be traced back to a decade ago when TMPs were famous for hydrosulfurization (HDS) catalysis, TMPs were expected to have good activity toward HER as well. Since what important in both HDS and HER are the adsorption/desorption reversibility of H on the catalyst surface. HER consists of 2 steps (initial state of H⁺ + e, intermediate H* where* is the adsorption site, and ½H₂ as product) in which the Gibbs free energy for H adsorption (ΔG_{H^*}), is usually employed to predict the HER catalytic activity [32]. According to Sabatier principle, the H binding should be neutral ($\Delta G_{H^*} = 0$), either too strong or too weak binding would result in blockage of active sites or sluggish reaction, respectively. In this respect, the most stable surface of NiCoP, which is NiCoP (0001), revealed the ΔG_{H^*} value significantly close to 0 than that of Ni₂P (-0.15 and -0.14 eV compared to -0.86 eV and -0.45 eV for sites 1 and sites 2, respectively) (**Fig. 3c**). Another point needs to be considered is that the HER reactions in neutral and basic environments require a prior step of water dissociation, and the adsorption energy of H₂O molecules on both Ni₂P (0001) and NiCoP (0001) mark the value of ~18 eV, even higher than Pt (111) at 8.97 eV suggesting NiCoP is a promising HER electrocatalyst to replace Pt in non-acidic condition (**Fig. 3f**). Experimentally, Kuang and co-workers developed NiMoP₂ nanowire that required only -195 mV to drive -100 mA cm⁻² with a Tafel slope of 56 mV *dec*⁻¹, surpassing single metal TMPs Ni₅P₄ and MoP [36]. Another study that took advantage of this concept was presented by Seong Chan Jun's group [64]. Derived from NiCoFe-LDH, their NiCoFe_xP indicated a decent overpotential of 39 mV at -10 mA cm⁻² toward HER alongside with a Tafel slope of 50 mV *dec*⁻¹; while all other double

metal phosphides show poorer performances, *i.e.* NiFeP (109 mV, 112 mV dec^{-1}); CoFeP (91 mV, 102 mV dec^{-1}); NiCoP (86 mV, 88 mV dec^{-1}). Considering the other half of water electrolysis – OER, similar performance trend was observed in which NiCoFe_xP (η_{50} of 275 mV, and Tafel slope of 56 mV dec^{-1}) outperforms other double metal phosphides and even the benchmark RuO₂ by a great margin.

Advances in synthesis methodology also attribute to the electrocatalytic performance of MTMPs [32]. The NiCoP synthesized by PH₃ plasma via PECVD system described above was evaluated toward HER and OER application (**Fig. 3g-j**). Not surprisingly, the NiCoP exhibited a substantially better activity than Ni₂P and NiCo-LDH. In addition, the utilization of PH₃ plasma not only offers the facile TMPs synthesis at lower temperature but also provides defect-rich nanosheets which can be observed through the uniform distributed nanopores in the TEM images and the presence of edge dislocations in the STEM inverse Fourier-filtered images (**Fig. 3k-m**). This defect-rich structure would grant higher electrochemically surface active area, increase electrolyte diffusion to better utilize the active sites; consequently, boost up the electrocatalytic activity. Therefore, toward HER, the NiCoP by PECVD method showed a current density of -10 mA cm⁻² at only 32 mV overpotential and Tafel slope of 37 mV dec^{-1} , which is much better than 86 mV and 88 mV dec^{-1} of the NiCoP synthesized via common PH₃ route presented by other works [64]. The OER performance shows similar pattern where overpotential at specific current density and Tafel slope of the former is notably lower than the latter (~330 mV and 87 mV dec^{-1} compared to 346 mV and 121 mV dec^{-1}). Very recently, our group reported a combined-strategy of cation tuning and surface engineering into Ni₂P nanosheets [65]. The resultant oxygen plasma treated V-doped Ni₂P shows noticeably improvement toward HER and OER, which were attributed to the better charge transfer kinetics and enhanced wettability.

Regarding ORR – an important counterpart to HER in Proton-exchange membrane fuel cell, MTMPs is normally added to carbon materials to improve the performance; however, the reason behind that improvement is still not clear. Therefore, more comprehensive efforts are needed to address the real catalytic active sites, catalytic mechanism, and improve the performance of MTMPs towards ORR.

3. Carbides

Due to the advantages of high electrical conductivity, excellent chemical stability, and intrinsic catalytic activity, transition-metal carbides (TMCs) have been widely studied as active materials for various applications, such as batteries, electrocatalysis, and fuel cell. Normally, all the transition metals from group IVB to VIB can be able to form TMCs except the Pt-group metals. Normally, the TMCs have the same crystal structures as their parent metals since the smaller carbon atoms tend to locate at the interstitial sites of the parent metals. Owing to the different intrinsic structures and physical properties of metals, the TMCs usually have three different kinds of formulas, namely, MC, M₂C, and M₃C. The monocarbides like TiC, ZrC, TaC usually crystallize in cubic texture as NaCl, while the M₂C and M₃C have much more complex atomic arrangements by forming orthorhombic and hexagonal structures. Accordingly, the physical & chemical properties of the TMCs are highly dependent on their crystal and electronic structures. Overall, the TMCs show the integrated properties of covalent solids, transition metal, and ionic crystals [66]. Typically, some metallic TMCs (MTMCs) not only show comparable electronic conductivity as parent metals but also have similar catalytic properties as precious Pt-group metals. As such, this category of MTMC materials has been attracting increasing attention in fundamental studies as well as practical applications in many fields. Typically, as a 2D family member of MTMC materials, metallic “MXene” materials, developed by Gogotsi’s group in

recent years, are emerging as highly fascinating materials, have been well documented and established in many recent reviews [67-69]. Considering the rapid development in this field, in this section we will mainly discuss recent advances of the MTMCs beyond MXene that are promising materials for energy storage and conversion applications with low-cost and environmental friendless, such as TiC, Ni₃C, Co₂C, MoC, and WC, *etc.*

3.1. Synthetic methodologies for MTMCs

As known, the design and preparation of high quality MTMCs are highly important to explore their applications in energy storage and conversion fields. To achieve a good performance, MTMC architectures with a high specific surface area and many accessible active sites are preferable. In the following sections, we will focus on reviewing some recent advances in the preparation of typical MTMC nanostructures, including carbothermal methods, controllable deposition approaches, wet strategies, and other alternative methods. The relative strengths and weaknesses of each synthetic methodologies will be discussed with regards to their applications.

Carbothermal methods are the most commonly used method for the preparation of MTMCs. The reaction mechanism for this method is the direct carbonization of metal or metal oxides. As such, this method usually involves the mixing the precursors of metal and solid carbon followed with a long-time thermal treatment at an extremely high temperature over 1500 K. The traditional carbothermal methods often produce metal carbides in a large scale but with large particle size caused by the high temperature. In order to decrease the reaction temperature as well as control the morphology and size of the final products, the carbon and metal reactants are usually required to be specially designed. Biomass carbon like cotton and plants have been widely used as both carbon sources and growth templates due to the low-cost and unique

architectures [70-73]. Besides, graphene has been also widely explored as the carbon source and substrate to prepare various nanostructured MTMCs with good tailored dimensions and morphologies [74-76]. For example, our group achieved the preparation of unique 3D interconnected TiC nanoparticle chains by using macroporous graphene/TiO₂ aerogels as the precursors [77]. The graphene not only serves as the carbon source but also as the growth substrate to regulate the size of the final TiC nanoparticles. Despite of the universality of carbothermal methods, the inhomogeneous carburization at high reaction temperatures make it still difficult to prepare MTMCs with well-controlled structures due to the serious aggregation.

The controllable deposition approaches like chemical/physical vapor deposition (CVD/PVD) are usually used to produce thin MTMC films or crystals with clean surfaces. The reaction mechanism of this method is direct carbonization of metal surfaces under carbon atmosphere. The deposition approaches often refer to the usage of hydrocarbons (e.g. CH₄, C₂H₆, or their mixtures, *etc.*) as the carbon sources. This is good for decreasing the carbonization temperature as well as growing high-quality crystals with exposure of specific facets. For example, Xu *et al.*, achieved the growth of large-area high-quality 2D ultrathin α -Mo₂C crystals over 100 μ m in size on Mo foil by CVD method [78]. They found that a low concentration of methane and the fast cooling after CVD growth are vital for the preparation of ultrathin α -Mo₂C crystals with clean surfaces. Such 2D α -Mo₂C crystals were found to be mainly exposed with (100) facets, as shown in **Fig. 4**. Similarly, this method could be also used for preparing 2D high quality ultrathin WC and TaC crystals along [0001] and [111] facets. Besides, the deposition method can be used to produce MTMCs with heterostructures. Recently, Zeng and co-workers developed a liquid-metal-solvent based co-segregation (LMSCS) strategy to direct fabricate 2D WC/graphene nanojunctions over a large-scale [79]. Although the deposition approaches have

many advantages, the high cost of hydrocarbon reactants and complicated post-treatments hinder their large-scale productions.

Wet chemical approaches are highly advantageous over the traditional high-temperature methods for preparing MTMC nanomaterials. On one hand, this method can be carried out at much lower temperatures (less than 400 °C). This is favorable for lowering the cost and reduce energy consumption. Furthermore, this method is able to control the morphology and phase of the final MTMC products. At this relative high reacting temperature, liquid polyol solvents are usually explored as the medium due to their high boiling points. Besides, inert gas protection and the use of appropriate surfactants or other capping agents are often employed to prevent the oxidation of metal ions as well as control the growth rate of particles. For example, Harris and co-workers realized the preparation of acicular cobalt carbide nanostructures composed of Co_3C and Co_2C phases by using a single-step polyol reduction of metallic cobalt salts at ~573 K [80]. Poly-vinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and NaOH were used to adjust the ratio of phase composition, volume, particle sizes, as well as the packing density. Chen *et al.*, reported the preparation of urchin-like $\text{Co}_2\text{C}/\text{Co}_3\text{C}$ nanoparticles in size from 180 to 290 nm by refluxing Co^{2+} in polyethylene glycol-200 medium at 270 °C for 3 h under a N_2 atmosphere [81]. Metallic Co_2C nanoflakes with exposure of (020) facet could be prepared by reduction of Co^{2+} in the mixed solvent of triethylene glycol and oleylamine at 300 °C for 3 h under Ar atmosphere [55, 82]. These studies well-demonstrated the controllable growth of MTMC nanomaterials at a low temperature. The disadvantageous of wet strategies, such as relatively small-scale preparation, time consumption for post-washing processes, and high cost of polyol and gas protection, may limit their commercial applications to some extent.

In recent years, some other alternative methods have been developed for MTMC nanostructures, like mechanical ball milling [83], direction carbonization of novel precursors [84-86], and so on. Typically, preparing MTMC nanomaterials by a direct carbonization of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) under inert gas atmosphere is merging as an effective strategy [85, 87]. Due to the presence of both organic ligands and metal cations, no additional carbon and metal sources are needed. Besides, the uniform distribution and protection of organic ligands make it is easy to obtain ultrasmall MTMC nanocrystals distributed homogeneously on carbonaceous matrix with uniform size due to the confinement of organic ligands. Such MTMC/C hybrid is favorable for electrocatalytic and energy storage and conversion applications [87]. For example, our group recently prepared ultrafine Fe-doped Ni_3C nanodots (3~5 nm) dispersed well in N-doped carbon nanosheets by annealing 2D nickel cyanide coordination polymer precursors under Ar atmospheres at 400 °C.[84] 3D porous molybdenum carbide with hierarchical structure and high specific surface area were also obtained by using the porous nitrogen-doped carbon framework as both template and carbon precursor [88]. Wu and his colleagues reported the preparation of mesoporous MoC_x nano-octahedrons composed of ultrafine nanocrystallites by a carburization of metal-organic frameworks composed of copper and molybdenum at 800 °C under N_2 flow [87]. Besides, there are some other newly developed strategies for the atomic-scale controlled preparation of MTMC. Recently, Sang *et al.*, proposed a bottom-up synthesis method for the synthesis of TiC single layer on surfaces of MXene substrates by thermal exposure activation and electron-beam irradiation [89]. Although this strategy is unable to prepare MTMC on a large scale, it is practicable for the growth of higher quality single-layer MTMCs for insightful fundamental studies.

3.2. Applications of MTMCs for Energy storage and conversion with strategies for enhancing performances

The high intrinsic conductivity and catalytic activity, and good chemical stability make MTMCs to be good candidates for promising electrocatalysts and electrode materials for energy storage and conversion. There are several good reviews about the use of metal carbides for electrochemical energy storage in recent years [69, 90-94]. In this section, we will focus on summarizing some new progress about using MTMCs for energy storage (supercapacitors, batteries, etc.,) and electrocatalysis (OER, HER, etc.,) and show some mechanistic discussions for comprehensive understanding.

3.2.1. Electrode materials (Supercapacitors and batteries)

The good intrinsic conductivity enables the MTMCs to be highly attractive electrode materials for various batteries such as lithium ion [52, 74, 95-99], sodium ion [100-103], and supercapacitors [71, 104]. Yu *et al.*, explored first-principles to search the potential use of two-dimensional Ta_xC_y as the anode for LIBs[96]. They found that the increase of the carbon composition in the Ta_xC_y would help to increase specific capacity and decrease the diffusion energy barrier. As such, designing C-rich 2D MTMC is possibly a good strategy to improve performance towards LIBs. Apart from the composition, the structures include the size and morphology can greatly affect the electrochemical performance of MTMCs for LIBs. For example, our group designed a 3D interconnected TiC nanoparticle chain that could deliver 450 and 137 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.1 and 20 A g⁻¹, respectively [77]. More importantly, a stable capacity of 170 mA h g⁻¹ could be still obtained after 8000 cycles at 10 A g⁻¹. Such remarkable Li-storage properties are attributed to the fast ion and electron transport contributed from the high intrinsic conductivity and 3D interconnected porous structure. A similar 3D porous $Mo_xC@N-C$ with Mo

vacancies was reported to show a high discharge capacity of 825.3 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.5 A g⁻¹ [98]. The 3D porous structure combined with Mo vacancies resulted in more active sites, easier penetration of the electrolyte, and faster diffusion of ions. As such, a capacity of 266.6 mA h g⁻¹ could be achieved despite a high current density of 5.0 A g⁻¹.

In addition to LIBs, MTMCs also of great potential for SIBs. For example, Yu and coworkers theoretically predicted that a metallic TiC₃ monolayer with C₂/m symmetry is an attractive anode for SIBs with a high capacity of 1278 mA h g⁻¹ as well as an extremely low barrier energy (0.18 eV) and open-circuit voltage (0.18-0.5 V) (**Fig. 5**) [102]. They found that the TiC₃ monolayer maintains metallic properties even after absorbing sodium atom due to the containing of zigzag Ti atom chain and n-biphenyl unit. They further demonstrated that such TiC₃ monolayer functionalized with oxygen was able to improve the Na-ion storage capacity. Also, the presence of covalent, ionic, and metal bonds enables the feasible preparation in practical experiments. These results are instructive for the development of promising MTMCs for SIBs. Furthermore, to afford a stable Na-ion storage performance, the MTMCs are usually hybridized with carbon nanomaterials to prevent rupturing during cycling process. For example, Li *et al.*, reported the *in situ* formation of ultrafine MoC nanoparticles inside the pores of graphitic carbon matrix as anode for SIBs [103]. The abundant pores of the highly conductive carbon support and the ultrafine structure of MoC nanoparticle was able to alleviate stress, accommodate large volume changes, and improve the transport of electrons and electrolyte during the repeated charging-discharging processes. A stable discharge capacity of 250 mA h g⁻¹ could be delivered after 50 cycles at 0.05 A g⁻¹.

Besides that, there are also few studies reported MTMC nanostructures as the electrode materials for supercapacitors. This is mainly ascribed to the outstanding electrical conductivity

and excellent chemical stability. In order to achieve a high capacity for supercapacitor, MTMCs were usually designed with high specific surface area. For example, Fan and co-workers prepared 3D tubular all-TiC hierarchical fibres (HFNT TiC) by carbothermal method using the commercial T-shirt cotton fibers as both template and carbon sources [104]. Such HFNT TiC was highly porous and showed a high specific surface area of $255 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. An extremely high capacitance of 185 F g^{-1} at 2.0 A g^{-1} and a remarkable cycling stability at high-rates even at low temperature (67% retention at -15°C after 50000 cycles) were realized in such HFNT TiC when used as electrodes for supercapacitors. Overall, these studies highlight the advantages of nanostructured MTMCs with high intrinsic conductivity and more active sizes for promising energy storage applications.

3.2.2. Electrocatalysts (HER, OER, and ORR)

The MTMCs like MoC_x and WC_x have been widely employed as efficient electrocatalysts due to high resistance to CO poisoning, superior corrosion tolerance over the whole range of pH and potential; and more importantly, their highly similar electronic structures as platinum [105]. It can be traced back to as early as 1974, detailed valance band examination by X-ray photoelectron spectrum demonstrated that the electronic states of tungsten carbide were more similar as that of platinum than the corresponding tungsten metal [105]. With the great promise to replace the commercial precious metal-based electrocatalysts, various MTMCs have been widely studied as the electrocatalysts for HER [75, 79, 84, 106-110], OER [72, 84, 111], and ORR [76, 110, 112, 113]. In order to enhance the catalytic performance to realize their potential, there are increasing number of reports on developing MTMCs by various strategies, such as decreasing size, design proper architectures, incorporation of conductive substrates, and defects engineering. Most of these attempts aim to improve the reaction kinetics by increasing

the active sites as well as exposing more active sites to the electrolytes. For example, Zhang and co-workers report the ultrasmall MoC_x (~2.8 nm) and WC_x (~2.0 nm) nanoparticles encapsulated in porous N,S-codoped graphene matrix with excellent HER activity in a broad range of pH, showing potentials of -130, -150, and -140 mV at -10 mA cm⁻² in acidic, neutral, and alkaline conditions, respectively [107]. Such excellent HER performance is attributed to the abundant catalytically active sites as well as the good electrical conductivity. Recently, our group designed novel 2 at% Fe-doped Ni₃C nanodots encapsulated in N-doped carbon matrix demonstrates low overpotentials and modest Tafel slopes in 1.0 M KOH for both HER (292 mV, 41.3 mV *dec*⁻¹) and OER (275 mV, 62 mV *dec*⁻¹) [107]. The nanoporous N-doped carbon matrix not only could prevent the aggregation of Ni₃C nanodots but also increase the electronic conductivity as well as the number of active sites for fast electrochemical dynamic. Besides the physical and structural optimization methods, surface chemistry is also favorable for activating the HER activities of MTMCs. Our group proposed several approaches for improving the HER performance of Mo₂C by tuning the surface properties [86, 88]. Such as, we designed monolayered 2D Mo₂C nanosheets with various dopants to improve the wettability properties, as shown in **Fig. 6a**. The hydrophilic nitrogen and sulfur-doping resulted in greatly increased electrolyte–electrode contact points, favoring the electron transfer between the 2D Mo₂C nanosheets and the redox species. The adjacent N-S pair doped in Mo₂C also significantly improved the reaction kinetics through electron-acceptor and electron-donor interactions (**Fig 6d**). As such, a low operating potential with -86 mV at -10 mA cm⁻² was achieved (**Fig 6b-c**).

In addition to HER, the MTMCs also show promising application for ORR. For example, Guo and co-workers developed ultrasmall WC clusters encapsulated in high-defective graphitic layers for electrocatalytic ORR with both remarkable activity (four-electron transfer) and

stability (~80% activity retention after 30000 s) in 0.1 M KOH electrolyte [113]. Due to the d-electrons positioned both at external corners and edges of unsaturated W atoms, such sub-nanometer WC clusters showed much increased density of states near the Fermi surface and improved ORR activity, as verified theoretical simulation by DFT calculation. Furthermore, the as-wrapped graphitic layers accelerated the transportation of ions and electrons, accompanying with decreasing the chemical/thermal corrosion of WC catalysts. These combined advantages resulted in the greatly improved electrocatalytic activity and stability. The ORR performance of MTMCs are also related to their metal composition. Normally, the MTMCs with two or more metals show better ORR activity than the single-metal counterpart owing to the synergistic functions resulting from charge transfer between each components; thus, enhancing the surface strain and active sites [76, 114, 115]. For instance, Gautam and co-workers recently reported the preparation of cobalt iron carbide nanoparticles enwrapped by N-doped graphene as highly efficient ORR electrocatalyst through an easy refluxing method followed by a post sintering process [76]. Such bimetallic carbide followed four electron reaction process accompanying with good diffusion limit current density, remarkable onset potential (-40 mV) and half-wave potential (-110 mV). Additionally, coupled with the unique mesoporous structure, the high interactions between two Co and Fe enable the hybrid electrocatalysts with superior stability (~96.2% activity retention after 12000 s) and exceptional methanol tolerance (no noticeable change in activity) compared to Pt/C. The above studies provide numerous effective guidelines for the design of various high performance electrocatalysts based on MTMCs.

Compared to HER and ORR, there are less interest focused on developing MTMCs for electrocatalytic OER. Among the few studies, cobalt and molybdenum-based MTMCs have attracted the most attention [72, 111]. Tang *et al.*, found that the Co/Mo molar ratio is of critical

significance to electrocatalytic OER performance. The optimized $\text{Co}_6\text{Mo}_6\text{C}_2$ exhibits an overpotential of 260 mV at 10 mA cm^{-2} and a Tafel slope of 50 mV dec^{-1} [72]. A optimized composite of nitrogen-doped carbon encapsulating cobalt and molybdenum carbide nanoparticles ($\text{Co}_x\text{Mo}_y@\text{NC}$) developed by Jiang and co-workers also afforded 10 mA cm^{-2} at an overpotential of 330 mV with a Tafel slope of 48.7 mV dec^{-1} [111].

4. Nitrides

Nitrogen is the most abundant component of the earth's atmosphere. Similar to its neighbors, which are carbon and phosphorus, nitrogen can form metallic transition metal nitrides (MTMNs) with almost all of the transition metals. With regards to the common close package lattices including face-centered cubic (fcc) and hexagonal close-packed (hcp) of transition metal atoms, the MTMNs crystal structure, similar to that of MTMCs, can be considered as an interstitial alloy of nitrogen atoms in transition metal lattices. With the intercalation of nitrogen atoms, the distance between metal atoms is expanded. But the MTMNs with general formulae of MN , M_2N , and M_4N can still maintain cubic or hcp structure [116]. The MTMNs are well known for their high conductivity, refractoriness, and hardness. These properties make the MTMNs especially suited for crucibles, thermocouple sheaths, and cutter coating. Interestingly, the applications of some MTMNs in heterogeneous catalytic reactions are discovered in the last century. For instance, iron nitrides as Fischer-Tropsch catalysts [117]. In addition, it was found that the nitrogen can replace carbon atoms in most of the MTMCs, forming the substitutional solid solution (N-doped MTMCs, MTMCNs). In regard to the widely studies and application of MTMNs and MTMCNs; herein, we offer a brief summary of recent advances on the synthesis and applications of MTMNs in energy storage and conversion. The representative works in this field will be discussed in detail to give an in-depth understanding.

4.1. Synthesis of MTMNs

Conventionally, MTMNs are also prepared by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) or physical vapor deposition (PVD) techniques, in which the MTMNs thin film can be prepared from metal halides or metal organic precursors (such as TiCl_4 , $[\text{W}(\text{NtBu})_2(\text{NMe}_2)\{(\text{iPrN})_2\text{CNMe}_2\}]$ and $[\text{W}(\text{NtBu})_2(\text{H})\{(\text{iPrN})_2\text{CNMe}_2\}]$) by the direct pyrolysis reaction or evaporation/sputtering methods [118-120]. However, direct deposition of MTMNs through CVD and PVD methods usually results in condensed products. For the application of energy storage and conversion, the materials are required to be porous, which can offer enough path for the transportation of charge carriers in the electrolyte and large surface for reactions. Therefore, alternative synthesis methods were required to obtain MTMNs nanostructures.

During the past decade, the most common method to prepare MTMNs nanostructures is via ammonolysis reaction where metal oxide precursors are nitrified in NH_3 atmosphere at high temperature (600-800 °C). Similar to PH_3 route for MTMPs synthesis, the synthesized MTMNs would inherit the nanostructures of the metal precursors [121-123]. In addition, this method allow the synthesis to be done at a lower temperature as compared to the conventional method that uses N_2 gas as the nitrogen source, in which the $\text{N}\equiv\text{N}$ bonds is hard to break down (requires 945 kJ mol^{-1}). However, this method suffers from the hazardousness of NH_3 and the difficulty to have complete nitridation.

Besides, the precursors contain amine or other nitrogen-contained species are also can directly transform into MTMNs through the pyrolysis process [124, 125]. Among these nitrogen-contained species, urea was one of the most economic nitrogen sources. Therefore, the pyrolysis

of urea-contained precursor named “urea glass route” has been widely studied CrN, VN, Mo₂N, NbN, W₂N, and TiN [126, 127]. In this method, metal chlorides need to be reactive with ethanol to obtain metal orthoester gels (and release HCl) after which appropriate amount of urea is added. Subsequent pyrolysis under N₂ at around 800 °C would transform the gels to MTMNs. Still based on this versatile route, Dai *et al.* recently has developed a strategy to obtain high-entropy MTMNs, by which instead of reacting with ethanol, the transition metal chlorides and urea were ball-milled to form a metal-urea gel which was then annealed in N₂ atmosphere (**Fig. 7a-l**) [128]. Beyond these routes, recently, another method involved N₂ RF-plasma treatment was introduced to synthesize Ni_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}N film at a lower reaction temperature of 450 °C and shorter duration of 10-15 minutes (compared to the lowest of 600-800 °C for few hours by the NH₃ route) [122]. As there are various excited N₂, N₂⁺ and N species in the N₂ RF-plasma, with which the Ni and Mo atoms would easily react during the sputtering process, resulting in the recrystallization of Ni_{0.2}Mo_{0.8}N phase from NiMo alloy film. This facile, effective, and environmentally benign method was quickly becoming a major focus for the synthesis of MTMNs, and a comprehensive review on this method was published last year [129].

4.2. Applications of MTMNs

When turning to the application of MTMNs in the fields of energy storage and conversion, it should be pointed out that the high conductivity of MTMNs endows them great potential in these fields, similar to MTMPs and MTMCs. However, some of the MTMNs (such as TiN and WN) are usually suffered from their low capability when exposed to water or oxygen atmosphere, which is originated from the irreversible electrochemical oxidation [130, 131]. In addition, when applied to LIBs, although the Li₃N product provides much higher theoretical capacity, the conversion of MN into elemental M and Li₃N will lead to the pulverization of MN

nanostructure, resulting in poor cycling and rate performance [132]. In this section, we focus on the recent progress on how to design and synthesize stable MTMNs nanostructures for energy storage and electrocatalytic applications.

4.2.1. Electrode materials (Batteries and Supercapacitors)

For the energy storage devices such as LIBs/SIBs, very much similar to MTMPs, the MTMNs are usually undergo a conversion reaction, the general reaction mechanism can be expressed as: $3M_xN_y + 3yLi^+ \text{ (or } Na^+) + 3ye^- \rightarrow xM + yLi_3N \text{ (or } yNa_3N)$. However, although the conversion mechanism can offer a high theoretical specific capacity ($\sim 1300 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ for TiN) [132], the volume change during lithiation and delithiation process will result in the pulverization of electrode and the rapid capacity decay, which is the main obstacle for the further application of MTMNs.

In order to enhance the cycling and rate performance, Tong *et al.* recently reported a three-dimensional (3D) Ni_3N /carbon cloth (CC) composite obtained by nitridation of nickel hydroxide under ammonia atmosphere [133]. The Ni_3N nanosheets grown on CC consist of small particles with an average size of tens of nanometers. It was believed that the diffusivity of Li-ion in the material could be effectively promoted by reducing the characteristic dimensions of MTMNs particles. As a result, the 3D Ni_3N /CC composite delivered a reversible capacity of 593 mA h g^{-1} at 1 C. With increasing of current densities, the composite still achieved a reversible capacity retention over 50% at 10 C (304 mA h g^{-1}) (**Fig. 8a-d**).

By applying the recent-developed plasma-active method, Fan and Rawat synthesized a hierarchical Ni_3N nanocoral covered by graphene quantum dots (GQD@h Ni_3N) for Li-ion storage [134]. The Ni_3N @h Ni_3N nanocoral was obtained by a simple N_2 RF-plasma treatment of

nickel foam, followed by electrolytic deposition of GQDs. The reversible capacity of this nanocoral was 450 mA h g^{-1} at the current density of around 1 C after 500 cycles. The maximum capacity of GQD@hNi₃N was a bit lower than that of the 3D Ni₃N/CC composite reported by Tong *et al.*, but the cycle stability of GQD@hNi₃N improved significantly. This might be because the GQDs layer can facilitate the transfer of Li-ion and form a stable SEI film.

Supercapacitor is another kind of energy storage device with high power density. In Tong's work, the 3D Ni₃N/CC composite was also tested as electrode for supercapacitor. The composite showed pseudocapacitances of 990, 845, 793, 783, 771 and 800 F g^{-1} at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 40 mA cm^{-2} , respectively (**Fig. 8e-h**) [133]. Fan's group also reported a Fe₂N-Ti₂N (FTN) core-shell nanorod array electrodes for supercapacitive device [123]. The supercapacitor displays a steady working voltage of 2.0 V with high capacitance of $\sim 86 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ at 2.7 A g^{-1} , which could be maintained for more than 20000 cycles. Recently, Dai *et al.* reported a novel high-entropy MTMNs for supercapacitive devices [128]. However, there are still lack of in-depth research about the energy-storage mechanism of MTMNs for supercapacitors.

4.2.2. Electrocatalysts (HER, OER, and ORR)

The studies of MTMNs in the application of electrocatalytic HER, OER, and ORR have emerged over several decades [135]. It was confirmed that with their good conductivity, activity, and selectivity, MTMNs are promising superior electrocatalysts with comparable activity to noble metals [117, 135-139].

For HER application, the surface metal M-H binding plays a critical role on the catalytic activities. Traditionally, the Ni₃N has been widely evaluated as an effective catalyst for HER process [138]. However, the Ni-H binding is relatively weak, which hamper the further

improvement of HER activities. Rawat and Fan recently employed the strategy of heteroatom incorporation into metal nitrides and studied the HER performance of a 3D hierarchical porous nickel-molybdenum nitride (NiMoN) obtained by the innovative N₂ RF-plasma treatment of nickel-molybdenum alloy [122]. The plasma-treated NiMoN showed a coral-like structure, which might be originated from the recrystallization of metal nitride species. As compared to Ni₃N, which required an overpotential of 208 mV at -10 mA cm⁻² in the 1.0 M KOH solution, the NiMoN only needed 109 mV. In consideration of the HER performance enhancement, the 3D hierarchical porous structure and the synergy between weak Ni-H binding energy and strong Mo-H binding energy provided a valuable guidance towards the design of high-efficiency MTMNs HER electrocatalyst.

Besides outstanding conductivity, some of the MTMNs (like WN) are also known for their exceptional corrosion resistivity in acidic condition. However, they still show poor performance towards HER. Until recently, Shao *et al.* recently designed a WN_x/nitrogen-rich porous graphene-like carbon (NRPGC) composite, which combined the advantages of both WN_x and NRPGC [140]. That is, the pyrolysis process suppressed the growth of WN_x nanoparticles, creating more available active sites for HER; in addition, the graphene-like carbon endowed the rapid electron transfer pathway. In comparison with the bulk WN that showed an overpotential of 492 mV, the as-derived WN_x-NRPGC catalyst showed a great improvement of HER performance, which required only 132 mV to drive -10 mA cm⁻² in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution.

Another material design strategy is to confine the MTMNs nanoparticles in a conductive framework, in which the electron can immigrate freely even in case of the damaged catalyst surface. Wu and the co-workers recently reported a cobalt nitride-based electrocatalyst [141]. The Co_{5.47}N nanoparticle was encapsulated in a 3D N-doped porous carbon (Co_{5.47}N NP@N-

PC), which was synthesized by directly annealed Co-ZIF-67 in an ammonia atmosphere. When evaluated as an electrocatalyst for HER reaction, the Co_{0.47}N NP@N-PC reached 10 mA cm⁻² in 1.0 M KOH with an overpotentials of only 149 mV.

As for the OER application, Ouyang *et al.* also reported the OER activity of a nitrogen-plasma-treated hierarchical Ni₃N (hNi₃N) nanocorals on Ni foam. Although the hNi₃N is grown on a conductive Ni foam and possessed a corals-like nanostructure, it still revealed an overpotential of 325 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² in 1.0 M KOH solution [134]. Similar to MTMPs, this poor performance might be due to the fact that the real active sites, which are the in-situ surface-generated poor electrical conductivity hydroxides/oxyhydroxides, also plays as an insulating layer hindering electron transport [57, 142-146]. While in another work, Vaidhyanathan *et al.* reported a benzimidazole covalent organic frameworks (COFs) supported Ni₃N catalyst, in which the Ni₃N catalyst with a crystal size < 2 nm was restricted in the channels of the COF [147]. In this form, the porous COF support could restrict the exposed surface of Ni₃N nanoparticles and offered a higher active surface area. As a result, the Ni₃N@COF composite showed an OER overpotential of 230 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² in 1.0 M KOH, which was about a 100 mV lower than the plasma-treated hNi₃N nanocorals. These works indicate that in order to realize the high-efficiency OER catalysis, the MTMNs might need to be increased the active surface area while restricting the thickness of the surface oxide layer.

The Co_{0.47}N NP@N-PC were also evaluated as an OER catalyst by Wu and the co-workers [141]. The Co_{0.47}N NP@N-PC reached 10 mA cm⁻² in 1.0 M KOH with overpotentials of 248 mV. By evaluated the turnover frequencies (TOFs) and charge-transfer resistance of Co_{0.47}N NP@N-PC and Co NP@N-PC, it was concluded that the high electrocatalytic water

splitting performance is related to the rich active sites and fast charge transport character in the Co_{5.47}N NP@N-PC.

Beyond HER and OER applications, the ORR is also an important electrocatalytic process in fuel cell. In consideration of the cost-efficiency and potential activities of MTMNs, they have received increasing interest in recent years. Siahrostami *et al.* had studied the ORR catalytic activities of cobalt nitride (CoN) by DFT calculation [148]. After comparing the interaction energies of various intermediates on different facets of CoN, the authors demonstrated that the surface oxidation layer on CoN could reduce the ORR overpotential significantly. Especially, the (111) facet of zinc blend phase cobalt nitride (CoN_{ZB}) with a single surface CoO layer was the most active facet for the ORR process. Titanium nitride (TiN) was another promising ORR catalyst to replace precious metals [116]. However, the efficient electron transport in TiN required a proper design of morphology and particle size. For this reason, Liao and Song had designed a three-dimensional (3D) nitrogen-doped carbon-coated hierarchical Ti_{0.95}Co_{0.05}N composite [149]. The as-obtained Ti_{0.95}Co_{0.05}N catalyst showed a significant enhancement of ORR activity. This might be ascribed to the synergism of Co doping and surface nitrogen-doped carbon coating, which resulted in a higher surface area and offered better mass and electron transfer. As a result, the optimal Ti_{0.95}Co_{0.05}N catalyst with 20% C presented almost comparable ORR performance to 20% Pt/C in 1.0 M KOH.

5. Borides

Compared to transition metal carbides, nitrides and phosphides, transition metal borides (TMBs) are less well explored for energy storage and conversion applications. Similar to metal phosphides/nitrides, boron can form borides with most of the transition metals [150]. Most of

TMBs share M–B bonds with a strong covalent component. These bonds are normally stronger than those seen in TMCs and TMNs. With increasing boron content, TMB compounds display numerous compositions (from M_3B to MB_{66}) with the character from metallic to semiconducting state. Among those, metallic TMBs (MTMBs) with unique properties, just like those MLTMNs discussed above, has attracted more attention [151, 152]. In addition to the character of hardness, MTMBs also show a variety of interesting electronic ground states, including superconductivity and high chemical and thermal stability [153-156]. To the best of our knowledge, currently, there is still no reports on TMBs for LIBs/SIBs. In this section, we summarize the typical synthesis methods and recent progress of MTMBs for energy conversion processes (OER, HER, and ORR).

5.1. Synthetic methodologies

There are lots of methods for preparing MTMBs, which has been well summarized in the literature [151]. Here, we just focus on these methods used to prepare MTMBs for energy conversion processes.

CVD methods for preparing of MTMBs can be dated back to 1970s when gaseous reactive B were used for B doping in semiconductor industry [157-159]. The CVD processes can be divided into self-catalyzed CVD and metal-catalyzed CVD process. In the self-catalyzed CVD process, the metal substrate acts as the catalysts itself and react directly with the gaseous boron sources to form metal borides.[160-162] Whereas, in the metal-catalyzed CVD process, an additional metal is required to serve as catalyst [163, 164]. For example, the Mo_3B films were obtained through metal-catalyzed CVD process, in which the mixture of boron and boron oxide (B_2O_3) served as boron source and the metal Mo foil acts as the catalyst and growth substrate.

Other than CVD, chemical reduction of soluble transition metal salts by metal borohydrides is the most used method [165-167]. Generally, the chemical reduction process was carried out by adding the chilled reducing solution containing metal borohydrides (NaBH₄ or KBH₄) into the metal precursor (usually metal chlorides) aqueous solution under the argon gas atmosphere. After continuous vigorous stirring until the bubble stops, the precipitates were collected by centrifugation and washing. The proposed reaction is shown as below:

With this method, the products are usually in amorphous phase. However, by a subsequent annealing step, the crystallinity can be increased, giving MB, M₂B, or M₃B or mixture of those depending on temperature and annealing duration [165, 166]. For examples, Schuhmann *et al.* reported the transformation of Ni-B amorphous to mixture of Ni₃B as the major phase and Ni₂B as a minor phase at annealing temperature of 600 °C. Further increase of annealing temperature to 1000 °C would increase the Ni₃B content (up to 79% according to their Rietveld refinement) but Ni₂B signals were still detected [166].

5.2. Applications of MTMBs

As discussed in previous sections, MTMPs, MTMCs, and MTMNs have been described to exhibit promising HER, OER, and ORR performance. This is due to the fast charge transfer between different elements, the modified electronic structures, and the lowered kinetic energy barriers of the electrochemical processes. MTMBs have the similar bonding schema to MTMPs, indicating that they should have the similar properties for electrocatalytic activities. Some studies focus on MTMBs have already shown the great potential of them for HER, OER and ORR applications.

Currently, most of reports on MTMBs as electrocatalysts for HER are on molybdenum, cobalt, and nickel borides [154, 168-171]. Hu *et al.*, reported for the first time of using polycrystalline molybdenum boride (α -MoB) for HER. In their case, the MoB nanoparticles show high activity in both acidic and alkaline conditions. At a current density of -20 mA cm^{-2} , the overpotentials for MoB at pH = 0 and pH = 14 are all located between 210-240 mV with Tafel slope of 55 and 59 mV dec^{-1} , respectively [168]. Apart from the 0D molybdenum borides, ultrathin two-dimensional hexagonal Mo_3B films of 6.48 nm thicknesses on Mo foils, prepared by chemical vapor deposition, exhibit the smallest Tafel slope of 52 mV dec^{-1} in acidic solution among the reported molybdenum borides. The reasons for such good HER performance was well-explained by first-principles calculations. First, the density of state (DOS) confirms the metallicity of the materials, which benefit electron transport along the active edges (**Fig. 9a-b**). Furthermore, there is a decrease in electron density around Mo atoms (**Fig. 9c**), which consequently lowers the d-band center of Mo and halves $|\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}|$ from 0.56 eV of the Mo to 0.22 eV and 0.30 eV of the Mo_3B surfaces terminated with Mo-B and Mo, respectively (**Fig. 9d**). They also demonstrated the importance of the thin 2D nanostructure. The thinner the nanofilms, the narrower the d-band center energies of Mo_3B , which lead to smaller $|\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}|$ (**Fig. 9e**) [154].

The strategy of incorporating more metal species to improve electrochemical performance applies well for MTMBs. Wang *et al.*, reported the bi-metallic Co-Ni-B nanoparticles grown on nickel foam, in which the nanocrystallites were embedded in amorphous phase. This amorphous layer acted as a stabilizer to keep the metallic core from coarsening and oxidation. By annealing in Ar atmosphere, the annealed Co-Ni-B@NF catalyst exhibited high and stable activity toward HER in alkaline solution with an overpotential of 205 mV at -10 mA

cm^{-2} , which was much lower than 306 mV of single-metal $\text{NiB}_{0.54}@\text{Ni}$ foil reported by Sun and co-workers [172, 173].

Regarding OER, the investigation of MTMBs for OER activity is as early as the 1980s. In that report, Co- and Ni- based borides indicated favorable activity compared to other TMBs [174]. Therefore, most of the works later only focused on developing these two kinds of borides. Hu and his group reported a core-shell structure consisting of a Ni^{II} -Bi shell on a nickel boride (NB) nanoparticle core ($\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$). In their report, the OER activity was tuned by regulating the crystallinity of the Ni^{II} -Bi shell. At 10 mA cm^{-2} , 364 mV overpotential was needed for amorphous $\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$ (a- $\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$) electrocatalyst. The number was downed significantly to 302 mV for partially crystalline one (pc- $\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$), which was lower than that of the commercial IrO_2 (325 mV). Further improving the crystallinity of the Ni-Bi shell would lower the activity. The higher OER performance of pc- $\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$ was explained by the quantitative turnover frequencies (TOF) analysis in which pc- $\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$ showed 7-fold TOF higher than that of a- $\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$. The Tafel slope was 52 mV dec^{-1} for partially crystalline $\text{Ni-Bi}@\text{NB}$ [175].

Bi-metallic boride $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_y\text{B}$ was also reported for OER by Wang *et al.* The optimized $\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_y\text{B}$ showed an overpotential of 310 mV at 10 mA cm^{-2} . Just like MTMPs and MTMNs, the facile formation of hydroxides/oxyhydroxides intermediates during the catalytic processes and a substantial increase in surface area due to the incorporation of the second metal into mono-metallic boride were the main contributors to the superior catalytic performance [176]. In a similar manner, Albert *et al.*, reported the combination of Co and Fe in metallic borides. Their optimized $(\text{Co}_{0.7}\text{Fe}_{0.3})_2\text{B}$ displayed a low onset overpotential of 0.27 V in 1.0 M KOH solution with a Tafel slope of 39 mV dec^{-1} , which was comparable to that of $\text{IrO}_2/\text{RuO}_2$ and surpass the single metal Co_2B and Fe_2B by a great margin [167].

In respect of ORR, MTMBs are less explored. There are only a few reports up to date. For instance, Schuhmann *et al.*, directly grew the nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes (NCNTs) on cobalt boride (CoB) nanoparticles by CVD method. The optimized CoB/NCNT exhibited excellent activity towards OER and ORR in 0.1 M KOH solution with an overpotential of only 0.73 V between ORR (at -1 mA cm^{-2}) and OER (at 10 mA cm^{-2}). Importantly, by CoB/NCNT catalyst oxygen was reduced via 4-electron pathways other than 2-electron pathway which gives lower discharge current and facilitated H_2O_2 by-product [177]. Different from the normal understanding that the ORR activity originates from the surface of the NCNTs, they believe that the small CoB nanoparticles uniformly distributed within the NCNT could supplement ORR electrocatalysis by either playing the role as active sites or promoting the ORR via secondary effects such as modulating the chemisorption energy of molecular oxygen and the intermediates involved in the ORR.

6. Summary and Outlook

The small addition of phosphorus, carbon, nitrogen, or boron into the host transition metals results in classes of MLTMNs with flexibility and wide scope of applications. To this end, we have comprehensively summarized and discussed MLTMNs for energy storage and conversion applications in terms of synthetic methodologies with their own advantages and disadvantages of each approach as well as strategies to improve the performance or overcome their limitations. We have also highlighted some recently break-through works for improving the efficiency of the materials in respective applications.

In general, considerable efforts have been made with understanding of structural characteristics, electrochemical performances, and intrinsic catalytic activity. Several advances have been made in this promising field. Due to much-improved electrode kinetics and charge

transport, MLTMNs show superior electrocatalysis and energy storage performance compared to these of semiconducting/insulating counterparts which inspire researchers to attain in-depth mechanism underneath together with further optimizing the materials for even better performance. The performance of those materials has been advanced remarkably with many strategies like optimization of compositions, especially those targeting for better electrocatalysis. This has been achieved by slight modified conventional techniques or innovative processing techniques, i.e. plasma enhanced CVD, BP for phosphides synthesis, hybridized with carbon nanomaterials, etc. where important parameters involved were finely tuned.

However, the development of MLTMNs is still facing some obstacles that decelerate its progress, of which solving those would provide more guidelines for the future of this promising domain. Firstly, there is still not much of knowledge on the nature of intermediates during the synthesis, which is necessary for the development of rationally designed synthesis method to produce materials that are phase-pure or with certain facet, or to control the morphology and sizes. In this regard, the blend of in-situ equipment and experimental techniques to achieve time resolution and spatial resolution measurement is urgently needed to reveal the inter/intra - molecular interaction. Moreover, modeling targeted compounds would visually give better understanding to synthesis methodology from molecular thermodynamics point of view. Additionally, not only new and innovated materials are necessary but also comprehensive mechanistic understanding at atomic/molecular level of electrochemical reactions happening in batteries, supercapacitors, and electrocatalysis. Catalytic behavior at the surface/interface during operation can be monitored via in situ HRTEM, EXAFS, etc. Many fundamental problems are still there, namely, precise capture of reaction intermediates or charge/discharge products, interface characteristics. By these in-depth investigations, rationally designed materials and

optimization of their performance are more feasible. Last but not least, the practical feasibility of the materials should be taken into account. There is currently a huge gap between fundamental research of MLTMNs and their practical applications toward energy storage and conversion. The materials synthesized should be judged for feasibility in industrial scale. Facile, scalable and sustainable synthesis and milder reaction conditions for industrial needs should be taken into consideration, especially in the case of carbides. The development in synthetic methodologies and engineering may possibly resolve the issues. Also, out-of-the-normal concept thinking and building up the exceptional nanostructures like defect-free 2D graphene might generate amazing outcomes. In addition, instead of demonstrating chemical/physical stability for few hours for electrocatalysis or few hundred cycles for LIBs/SIBs, maintaining high performance for orders of magnitude longer time/cycles is also of great importance.

As for electrocatalysis, bifunctionality of MTMPs and MTMNs as well as MTMBs towards HER and OER makes it stands out to be simplified anode/cathode to replace the $\text{IrO}_2\text{-Pt}$ couple. However, future works are in needs to identify the real active sites of MTMPs, MTMNs, and MTMBs during the anodic half OER. Many open questions are still left open. For instance, if the surface hydroxides/oxyhydroxides in-situ generated under high oxidizing OER condition or the interface between phosphides nitrides/borides and hydroxides/oxyhydroxides the main contributor to high catalytic activity? Is the role of MTMPs and MTMNs only as conductive scaffold for the surface hydroxides/oxyhydroxides? To what extent the in-situ conversion process takes place; are the conversion the same for MTMPs, MTMNs, and MTMBs? In these regards, probing into atomic-level interfacial structure of catalysts during electrochemical reaction via in-situ spectroscopic surface analysis (Raman spectroscopy, XANES, EXAFS) would fit nicely. However, during electrocatalytic processes (i.e. HER, OER, and ORR), the

drastic generation of hydrogen and oxygen make the measurements rather difficult. Therefore, advances in engineering characterization equipment is also necessary. Additionally, due to the importance of electrocatalyst surface, investigating and controlling surface-atoms arrangement and preferable exposed facets are of great interest to understand the mechanism behind. However, most of MLTMNs investigated so far are polycrystalline; synthesis methodology needs to be further accelerated. Equally important, theoretical calculations also need to be pushed forward to speculate the activity changes due to crystal structures, chemical states, doped-elements, interaction between materials in heterostructures. Answering these questions theoretically will help to have a comprehensive insight on how MLTMNs work and remain active during electrolysis; hence, speeding up the development of MLTMNs for energy conversion process. Another challenge is the surface oxidation of MTMPs, MTMNs, and MTMBs when stored at ambient conditions due to the under-coordinated non-metal sites. This may not affect OER performance but decrease the conductivity significantly and block HER active sites. In this respect, non-metal doping (S, Se-doping for examples) could be an effective way to suppress this issue. Although MTMPs and MTMNs show their superior performance in alkaline electrolyzer, $\text{IrO}_2/\text{RuO}_2$ is still the most active OER catalyst in acidic electrolyte. Hence, more efforts are needed to fulfill their full potential for energy conversion processes.

Compared to the above energy conversion process, the applications of MLTMNs in energy storage (batteries, supercapacitors) are less but increase rapidly due to the possibility of greatly enhanced properties. Despite the promising results initially, MLTMNs are still facing many obstacles on its way to commercialization. For examples, unsatisfied capacity, poor cycle stability resulting from volume changes/irreversible conversion reaction, lower conductivity/stability during electrode reaction; and most importantly, safety issues. To address

these concerns, many post-engineering strategies to achieve plentiful pores and channels would partially help. Besides, reducing the content of inactive constituents (current collectors, binders) by developing self-support or binder-free electrode is promising. Moreover, extra efforts should be put by means of employing novel nanoscience and nanotechnologies to fabricate innovative materials and investigate their structural/composition optimization. An in-depth understanding of the mechanism by in-situ electrochemical and optical characterizations would be of great interest as well. Regarding applications toward supercapacitors, there is still a huge gap between the theoretical predicted specific capacitance and the one measured; while other performance indicators other than specific capacitance should be optimized as well. All things considered, researching and developing MLTMNs for energy storage and conversion is highly rewarding but daunting, in which slightly improvement might bring remarkable breakthroughs to the energy field.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from Singapore MOE AcRF Tier 1 under grant Nos. RG119/16 and 2017-T1-002-009, Singapore EMA project EIRP 12/NRF2015EWT-EIRP002-008, and Guoxuan-NTU joint lab.

Figures and Captions

Fig. 1. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 1. An illustration of the key properties and application of MLTMNs for energy storage and conversion.

Fig. 2. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 2. Summary of the roles played by various synthetic levers in controlling the size, morphology, and phase in nickel phosphide nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission from [15] Copyright 2011, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 3. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 3. (a,d) Crystal structures in unit cell of Ni₂P and NiCoP. (b,e) Electronic band structures and PDOS of the bulk Ni₂P and NiCoP (spin-up, green curves; spin-down, red curves). (c) Free-energy diagram for H adsorption. (f) Adsorption energy for H₂O. (g-h) HER and (i-j) OER electrocatalysis in 1.0 M KOH. (g,i) IR-corrected polarization curves and (h,j) their corresponding Tafel slopes. (e) STEM annular dark field (ADF) image of the NiCoP. (f,g) Inverse Fourier-filtered images of the squares marked areas in a showing the presence of edge dislocations. Reproduced with permission from [32] Copyright 2016, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 4. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 4. Characterization of 2D ultrathin α -Mo₂C crystals. (a) Low-magnification ADF-STEM image of a hexagonal 2D α -Mo₂C crystal. (b–d) EDS elemental mapping of (b) C-K, (c) Mo-K, and (d) Mo-L lines. (e) SAED pattern along the [100] zone axis. (f) CBED pattern along the [100] zone axis. (g) Atomic-level HAADF-STEM and (h) BF-STEM images of the α -Mo₂C sheet in a (Mo atoms: white dots in g, C atoms: black dots indicated by red circle in the inset of h). Reproduced with permission from [78] Copyright 2015, Nature Publishing Group.

Fig. 5. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 5. (a) Relative stabilities of TiC_3Na_n (n =1-4) with respect to an elemental solid Na and TiC_3 monolayer at 0 K. The optimized monolayers corresponding to the data points located on the convex hull are thermodynamically stable. (b-e) The most stable structures with different Na concentrations in TiC_3Na_n (the Na atoms of the first and second adsorbed layer are marked by yellow and green, respectively). Reproduced with permission from [102] Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 6. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 6. (a) Contact angle measurement for the pure Mo₂C and NS-doped Mo₂C nanosheets. (b) Polarization curves of Mo₂C, S-doped Mo₂C, N-doped Mo₂C, NS-doped Mo₂C and Pt/C in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and (c) their corresponding the Tafel plots. (d) Schematic of proposed catalytic cycle for HER. (e) Proposed mechanism for adjacent N-S (electron-acceptor and electron-donor) pair doped in Mo₂C. (f) The energy diagram of the H₃O⁺ molecular orbitals and S→Mo⁽ⁿ⁾L_n complex with its highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO). Reproduced with permission from [86] Copyright 2015, Wiley-VCH.

Fig. 7. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 7. (a) Synthetic strategy for high-entropy metal nitride. (b) SEM images and (c) ADF STEM image of HEMN-1. (d) HRTEM image and (e) its corresponding FFT pattern. (f) HRTEM image from an individual grain and (g) its corresponding FFT pattern aligned along the [110] zone axis. (h–l) EDS elemental mapping of (h) Cr, (i) Mo, (j) V, (k) Zr, and (l) Nb. Reproduced with permission from [128] Copyright 2018, Wiley-VCH.

Fig. 8. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 8. (a-d) Lithium storage performance. (a) CV curves, (b) charge– discharge profiles, (c) rate performance, (d) Nyquist plot of the 3D Ni₃N/carbon cloth composite electrode (Inset: equivalent circuit). (e-h) Supercapacitor performance. (e) CV curves, (f) areal capacitance measured vs. scan rate, (g) galvanostatic charge/ discharge curves collected at 40 mA cm⁻², (h) specific capacitance vs. current density of the 3D Ni₃N/ carbon cloth composite electrode.

Reproduced with permission from [133] Copyright 2016, Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 9. Khang Ngoc Dinh *et al.*

Fig. 9. First-principles calculations of the electronic properties of the Mo_3B thin film. (a) electronic band structure of the Mo_3B thin film (red dashed line: Fermi level). (b) Calculated total DOS and local DOS of the Mo (s, p and d) and B (s and p). (c) Electron density map of the ultrathin Mo_3B film. (d) Free energy evolution of H during the HER catalyzed by various materials. (e) DOS patterns of mono-, bi- and tri- layer Mo_3B , its surface terminated Mo-B and Mo as well as the bulk counterpart. Reproduced with permission from [154] Copyright 2017, Royal Society of Chemistry.

Author Biography

<p>Khang Ngoc Dinh received his B.S. degree in Chemistry from VNU University of Science, Vietnam in 2015. Currently, he is a Ph.D. candidate at the Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Nanyang Technological University in Singapore under the supervision of Prof. Qingyu Yan. His current research interests are engineer and synthesis of novel two-dimensional material for energy storage and electrocatalysis.</p>
<p>Qinghua Liang is currently a postdoctoral research fellow at Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. He received his B.S. and M.S. from Southwest University and Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry of Chinese Academy of Sciences in 2009 and 2012, respectively. Then he received Ph.D. in Materials Science and Engineering from Tsinghua University in 2016. His research interests are the design and preparation of functional materials for energy storage and conversion.</p>
<p>Chengfeng Du is currently a postdoctoral research fellow at Nanyang Technological University in Singapore. He obtained his BS degree from Ocean University, China, in 2011 and Ph.D. degree from the Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, in 2016 under the supervision of Prof. Xiao-Ying Huang. His research interests focus on the synthesis and assembly of 2D-based hybrid materials and their applications to energy conversion and storage.</p>

<p>Jin Zhao Jin Zhao received his Ph.D. degree in chemistry from Nanjing University in 2016. He is currently a postdoctoral research fellow at Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. His current research interests focus on energy storage and electrocatalysis.</p>
<p>Alfred Iing Yoong Tok has been a faculty member in the School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University since 2003. He obtained his PhD in 2000 at Nanyang Technological University. In 2009, he was appointed Division Head of Materials Technology in MSE (till 2012). Since 2011, he has been the Deputy Director of the Institute for Sports Research in NTU. His research areas focus on the processing and applications of inorganic materials in the areas of biosensors and renewable/sustainable energy.</p>
<p>Hui Mao is currently an associate professor in College of Chemistry and Materials Science in Sichuan Normal University. She obtained her Ph.D. from Biomass Chemistry and Engineering Department of Sichuan University. She joined School of Materials Science and Engineering of Nanyang Technological University as a postdoctoral research fellow. She then joined College of Chemistry and Materials Science of Sichuan Normal University as an assistant professor in 2012 and became an associate professor in 2015. Mao's research focus on advanced electrode materials based on biomass and functional materials for the treatment of environmental pollutants.</p>

Qingyu Yan is currently a professor in School of Materials Science and Engineering in Nanyang Technology University. He obtained his B.S. in Materials Science and Engineering, Nanjing University. He finished his Ph.D. from Materials Science and Engineering Department of State University of New York at Stony Brook. After that, he joined the Materials Science and Engineering Department of Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute as a postdoctoral research associate. He joined School of Materials Science and Engineering of Nanyang Technological University as an assistant professor in early 2008 and became a full Professor in 2018. Yan's research efforts have been mainly devoted to two areas: (1) advanced electrode materials for energy storage devices; (2) high-efficiency thermoelectric semiconductor.

- [1] G. Li, Y. Sun, J. Rao, J. Wu, A. Kumar, N. Xu Qiu, C. Fu, E. Liu, R. Blake Graeme, P. Werner, B. Shao, K. Liu, S. Parkin, X. Liu, M. Fahlman, S.-C. Liou, G. Auffermann, J. Zhang, C. Felser, X. Feng, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 0 (2018) 1801258.
- [2] K.N. Dinh, P. Zheng, Z. Dai, Y. Zhang, R. Dangol, Y. Zheng, B. Li, Y. Zong, Q. Yan, *Small*, 14 (2017) 1703257.
- [3] P. Xiao, W. Chen, X. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 5 (2015) 1500985.
- [4] S.T. Oyama, *Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis: Online*, (2008) 342-356.
- [5] B. Aronsson, *Borides, Silicides and Phosphides*, Methuen, London and Wiley, New York, (1965).
- [6] G. Guo, Y. Guo, H. Tan, H. Yu, W. Chen, E. Fong, Q. Yan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 4 (2016) 10893-10899.
- [7] Z. Huang, Z. Chen, Z. Chen, C. Lv, H. Meng, C. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 8 (2014) 8121-8129.
- [8] A.B. Laursen, K.R. Patraju, M.J. Whitaker, M. Retuerto, T. Sarkar, N. Yao, K.V. Ramanujachary, M. Greenblatt, G.C. Dismukes, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 8 (2015) 1027-1034.
- [9] X. Chen, M. Cheng, D. Chen, R. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 8 (2016) 3892-3900.
- [10] J.F. Callejas, J.M. McEnaney, C.G. Read, J.C. Crompton, A.J. Biacchi, E.J. Popczun, T.R. Gordon, N.S. Lewis, R.E. Schaak, *ACS Nano*, 8 (2014) 11101-11107.
- [11] E.J. Popczun, J.R. McKone, C.G. Read, A.J. Biacchi, A.M. Wiltout, N.S. Lewis, R.E. Schaak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 135 (2013) 9267-9270.

- [12] Y. Pan, Y. Liu, J. Zhao, K. Yang, J. Liang, D. Liu, W. Hu, D. Liu, Y. Liu, C. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 3 (2015) 1656-1665.
- [13] J.F. Callejas, C.G. Read, E.J. Popczun, J.M. McEnaney, R.E. Schaak, *Chem. Mater.*, 27 (2015) 3769-3774.
- [14] D. Yang, J. Zhu, X. Rui, H. Tan, R. Cai, H.E. Hoster, D.Y.W. Yu, H.H. Hng, Q. Yan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 5 (2013) 1093-1099.
- [15] E. Muthuswamy, G. H. L. Savithra, S. L. Brock, *ACS Nano*, 5 (2011) 2402-2411.
- [16] E. Muthuswamy, P.R. Kharel, G. Lawes, S.L. Brock, *ACS Nano*, 3 (2009) 2383-2393.
- [17] S.C. Perera, G. Tsoi, L.E. Wenger, S.L. Brock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 125 (2003) 13960-13961.
- [18] R. Prins, M.E. Bussell, *Catal. Lett.*, 142 (2012) 1413-1436.
- [19] J. Liu, X. Chen, M. Shao, C. An, W. Yu, Y. Qian, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 252 (2003) 297-301.
- [20] Y. Ni, L. Jin, J. Hong, *Nanoscale*, 3 (2011) 196-200.
- [21] X. Wang, F. Wan, Y. Gao, J. Liu, K. Jiang, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 310 (2008) 2569-2574.
- [22] Y. Xie, H.L. Su, X.F. Qian, X.M. Liu, Y.T. Qian, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 149 (2000) 88-91.
- [23] S. Liu, Y. Qian, X. Ma, *Mater. Lett.*, 62 (2008) 11-14.
- [24] Y. Ni, J. Li, L. Zhang, S. Yang, X. Wei, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 44 (2009) 1166-1172.
- [25] F. Luo, H.-L. Su, W. Song, Z.-M. Wang, Z.-G. Yan, C.-H. Yan, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 14 (2004) 111-115.
- [26] S. Liu, X. Liu, L. Xu, Y. Qian, X. Ma, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 304 (2007) 430-434.
- [27] Y.H. Budnikova, D.I. Tazeev, B.A. Trofimov, O.G. Sinyashin, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 6 (2004) 700-702.
- [28] L. Zhong-Zhen, Z. Yu, Z. Chaohua, T.H. Teng, L. Zhong, A. Anas, W. Xing-Long, X. Qihua, K.K. Aik, H. Kedar, X. Jianwei, H.H. Hoon, Y. Qingyu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 7 (2017) 1601285.
- [29] Y. Lin, Y. Pan, J. Zhang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 42 (2017) 7951-7956.
- [30] J. Wang, D. Liu, H. Huang, N. Yang, B. Yu, M. Wen, X. Wang, P.K. Chu, X.-F. Yu, *Angew. Chem.*, 130 (2017) 2630-2634.
- [31] K.Y. Yoon, Y. Jang, J. Park, Y. Hwang, B. Koo, J.-G. Park, T. Hyeon, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 181 (2008) 1609-1613.
- [32] H. Liang, A.N. Gandi, D.H. Anjum, X. Wang, U. Schwingenschlögl, H.N. Alshareef, *Nano Lett.*, 16 (2016) 7718-7725.
- [33] B. Qiu, L. Cai, Y. Wang, Z. Lin, Y. Zuo, M. Wang, Y. Chai, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 28 (2018) 1706008.
- [34] B. Zhang, Y.H. Lui, H. Ni, S. Hu, *Nano Energy*, 38 (2017) 553-560.
- [35] M. Qian, S. Cui, D. Jiang, L. Zhang, P. Du, *Adv. Mater.*, 29 (2017) 1704075.
- [36] X.-D. Wang, H.-Y. Chen, Y.-F. Xu, J.-F. Liao, B.-X. Chen, H.-S. Rao, D.-B. Kuang, C.-Y. Su, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5 (2017) 7191-7199.
- [37] P. He, X.-Y. Yu, W. Lou Xiong, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 56 (2017) 3897-3900.
- [38] L. Yu, I.K. Mishra, Y. Xie, H. Zhou, J. Sun, J. Zhou, Y. Ni, D. Luo, F. Yu, Y. Yu, S. Chen, Z. Ren, *Nano Energy*, 53 (2018) 492-500.
- [39] W.-J. Li, Q.-R. Yang, S.-L. Chou, J.-Z. Wang, H.-K. Liu, *J. Power Sources*, 294 (2015) 627-632.
- [40] J. Liu, P. Kopold, C. Wu, P.A. van Aken, J. Maier, Y. Yu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 8 (2015) 3531-3538.

- [41] Y. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Feng, L. Liu, Y. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 7 (2015) 26684-26690.
- [42] X. Wang, P. Sun, J. Qin, J. Wang, Y. Xiao, M. Cao, *Nanoscale*, 8 (2016) 10330-10338.
- [43] Y. Bai, H. Zhang, L. Liu, H. Xu, Y. Wang, *Chem. – European J.*, 22 (2015) 1021-1029.
- [44] P. Liu, J.A. Rodriguez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 127 (2005) 14871-14878.
- [45] H. Zhang, Y. Feng, Y. Zhang, L. Fang, W. Li, Q. Liu, K. Wu, Y. Wang, *ChemSusChem*, 7 (2014) 2000-2006.
- [46] C. An, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, G. Liu, L. Li, F. Qiu, Y. Xu, L. Jiao, H. Yuan, *RSC Adv.*, 3 (2013) 4628-4633.
- [47] Y. Lu, J.-k. Liu, X.-y. Liu, S. Huang, T.-q. Wang, X.-l. Wang, C.-d. Gu, J.-p. Tu, S.X. Mao, *CrystEngComm*, 15 (2013) 7071-7079.
- [48] K. Zhou, W. Zhou, L. Yang, J. Lu, S. Cheng, W. Mai, Z. Tang, L. Li, S. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 25 (2015) 7530-7538.
- [49] J. Hao, W. Yang, Z. Huang, C. Zhang, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 3 (2016) 1600236.
- [50] K. Xu, H. Cheng, H. Lv, J. Wang, L. Liu, S. Liu, X. Wu, W. Chu, C. Wu, Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 30 (2017) 1703322.
- [51] B. Liu, H. Li, B. Cao, J. Jiang, R. Gao, J. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 0 (2018) 1801527.
- [52] M. Hou, X. Teng, J. Wang, Y. Liu, L. Guo, L. Ji, C. Cheng, Z. Chen, *Nanoscale*, (2018).
- [53] P. Xiao, M.A. Sk, L. Thia, X. Ge, R.J. Lim, J.-Y. Wang, K.H. Lim, X. Wang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 7 (2014) 2624-2629.
- [54] Z. Pu, Q. Liu, A.M. Asiri, X. Sun, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 6 (2014) 21874-21879.
- [55] L. Yunxiang, Y. Li, Z. Youkui, J. Hongliang, X. Zijian, W. Chuanqiang, Z. Guobin, J. Jun, S. Li, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 8 (2018) 1703623.
- [56] B. Weng, C.R. Grice, W. Meng, L. Guan, F. Xu, Y. Yu, C. Wang, D. Zhao, Y. Yan, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 3 (2018) 1434-1442.
- [57] T. Meng, Y.-N. Hao, L. Zheng, M. Cao, *Nanoscale*, (2018).
- [58] Y. Liang, Q. Liu, A.M. Asiri, X. Sun, Y. Luo, *ACS Catal.*, 4 (2014) 4065-4069.
- [59] X. Wang, W. Li, D. Xiong, D.Y. Petrovykh, L. Liu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 26 (2016) 4067-4077.
- [60] J. Tian, Q. Liu, A.M. Asiri, X. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 136 (2014) 7587-7590.
- [61] Y. Shi, Y. Xu, S. Zhuo, J. Zhang, B. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 7 (2015) 2376-2384.
- [62] J. Deng, P. Ren, D. Deng, X. Bao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 54 (2015) 2100-2104.
- [63] Q. Liu, J. Tian, W. Cui, P. Jiang, N. Cheng, A.M. Asiri, X. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 53 (2014) 6710-6714.
- [64] C. Ray, S.C. Lee, B. Jin, A. Kundu, J.H. Park, S.C. Jun, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 6 (2018) 6146-6156.
- [65] K.N. Dinh, X. Sun, Z. Dai, Y. Zheng, P. Zheng, J. Yang, J. Xu, Z. Wang, Q. Yan, *Nano Energy*, 54 (2018) 82-90.
- [66] H.H. Hwu, J.G. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 105 (2005) 185-212.
- [67] K. Huang, Z. Li, J. Lin, G. Han, P. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 47 (2018) 5109-5124.
- [68] M. Alhabeb, K. Maleski, B. Anasori, P. Lelyukh, L. Clark, S. Sin, Y. Gogotsi, *Chem. Mater.*, 29 (2017) 7633-7644.
- [69] B. Anasori, M.R. Lukatskaya, Y. Gogotsi, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2 (2017) 16098.
- [70] X. Tao, J. Du, Y. Li, Y. Yang, Z. Fan, Y. Gan, H. Huang, W. Zhang, L. Dong, X. Li, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 1 (2011) 534-539.

- [71] R.B. Rakhi, B. Ahmed, D. Anjum, H.N. Alshareef, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 8 (2016) 18806-18814.
- [72] Y.-J. Tang, C.-H. Liu, W. Huang, X.-L. Wang, L.-Z. Dong, S.-L. Li, Y.-Q. Lan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 9 (2017) 16977-16985.
- [73] Q. Liang, L. Ye, Z.-H. Huang, Q. Xu, Y. Bai, F. Kang, Q.-H. Yang, *Nanoscale*, 6 (2014) 13831-13837.
- [74] Z. Kou, T. Meng, B. Guo, I.S. Amiinu, W. Li, J. Zhang, S. Mu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 27 (2017) 1604904.
- [75] S.K. Kim, Y. Qiu, Y.-J. Zhang, R. Hurt, A. Peterson, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 235 (2018) 36-44.
- [76] J. Gautam, T.D. Thanh, K. Maiti, N.H. Kim, J.H. Lee, *Carbon*, 137 (2018) 358-367.
- [77] H. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Ang, Y. Zhang, H.T. Tan, Y. Zhang, Y. Guo, J.B. Franklin, X.L. Wu, M. Srinivasan, H.J. Fan, Q. Yan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 26 (2016) 3082-3093.
- [78] C. Xu, L. Wang, Z. Liu, L. Chen, J. Guo, N. Kang, X.-L. Ma, H.-M. Cheng, W. Ren, *Nat. Mater.*, 14 (2015).
- [79] M. Zeng, Y. Chen, J. Li, H. Xue, R.G. Mendes, J. Liu, T. Zhang, M.H. Rummeli, L. Fu, *Nano Energy*, 33 (2017) 356-362.
- [80] V.G. Harris, Y. Chen, A. Yang, S. Yoon, Z. Chen, A.L. Geiler, J. Gao, C.N. Chinnasamy, L.H. Lewis, C. Vittoria, E.E. Carpenter, K.J. Carroll, R. Goswami, M.A. Willard, L. Kurihara, M. Gjoka, O. Kalogirou, *J. Phys D Appl Phys*, 43 (2010) 165003.
- [81] Z. Chen, L. Liu, Q. Chen, *Mater. Lett.*, 164 (2016) 554-557.
- [82] D.V. Esposito, S.T. Hunt, Y.C. Kimmel, J.G. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 134 (2012) 3025-3033.
- [83] N. Lyakhov, T. Grigoreva, V. Šepelák, B. Tolochko, A. Ancharov, S. Vosmerikov, E. Devyatkina, T. Udalova, S. Petrova, *Journal of Materials Science*, 53 (2018) 13584-13591.
- [84] W. Hao-Fan, T. Cheng, W. Bin, L. Bo-Quan, Z. Qiang, *Adv. Mater.*, 29 (2017) 1702327.
- [85] L. Cao, N. Zhang, L. Feng, J. Huang, Y. Feng, W. Li, D. Yang, Q. Liu, *Nanoscale*, (2018).
- [86] H. Ang, H.T. Tan, Z.M. Luo, Y. Zhang, Y.Y. Guo, G. Guo, H. Zhang, Q. Yan, *Small*, 11 (2015) 6278-6284.
- [87] H.B. Wu, B.Y. Xia, L. Yu, X.-Y. Yu, X.W. Lou, *Nat. Commun.*, 6 (2015) 6512.
- [88] H. Ang, H. Wang, B. Li, Y. Zong, X. Wang, Q. Yan, *Small*, 12 (2016) 2859-2865.
- [89] X. Sang, Y. Xie, D.E. Yilmaz, R. Lotfi, M. Alhabeb, A. Ostadhossein, B. Anasori, W. Sun, X. Li, K. Xiao, P.R.C. Kent, A.C.T. van Duin, Y. Gogotsi, R.R. Unocic, *Nat. Commun.*, 9 (2018) 2266.
- [90] Y. Xiao, J.-Y. Hwang, Y.-K. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 4 (2016) 10379-10393.
- [91] Y. Zhong, X. Xia, F. Shi, J. Zhan, J. Tu, H.J. Fan, *Adv. Sci.*, 3 (2016) 1500286.
- [92] H. Zhang, Z. Fu, R. Zhang, Q. Zhang, H. Tian, D. Legut, T.C. Germann, Y. Guo, S. Du, J.S. Francisco, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 114 (2017) E11082-E11091.
- [93] M.-Q. Zhao, M. Torelli, C.E. Ren, M. Ghidui, Z. Ling, B. Anasori, M.W. Barsoum, Y. Gogotsi, *Nano Energy*, 30 (2016) 603-613.
- [94] W. Yang, S. Rehman, X. Chu, Y. Hou, S. Gao, *ChemNanoMat*, 1 (2015) 376-398.
- [95] M. Deng, J. Qi, X. Li, Y. Xiao, L. Yang, X. Yu, H. Wang, B. Yuan, Q. Gao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 277 (2018) 205-210.
- [96] T. Yu, S. Zhang, F. Li, Z. Zhao, L. Liu, H. Xu, G. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5 (2017) 18698-18706.
- [97] Y.-T. Weng, N.-L. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 49 (2013) 10784-10786.

- [98] S. Liu, F. Li, D. Wang, C. Huang, Y. Zhao, J.-B. Baek, J. Xu, *Small Methods*, 2 (2018) 1800040.
- [99] Y. Zhou, X. Zu, *Electrochimica Acta*, 235 (2017) 167-174.
- [100] X. Li, M. Deng, W. Zhang, Q. Gao, H. Wang, B. Yuan, L. Yang, M. Zhu, *Mater. Lett.*, 194 (2017) 30-33.
- [101] E. Yang, H. Ji, J. Kim, H. Kim, Y. Jung, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 17 (2015) 5000-5005.
- [102] T. Yu, Z. Zhao, L. Liu, S. Zhang, H. Xu, G. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 140 (2018) 5962-5968.
- [103] M. Li, S. Yu, Z. Chen, Z. Wang, F. Lv, B. Nan, Y. Zhu, Y. Shi, W. Wang, S. Wu, H. Liu, Y. Tang, Z. Lu, *Inorg. Chem. Frontiers*, 4 (2017) 289-295.
- [104] X. Xia, Y. Zhang, D. Chao, Q. Xiong, Z. Fan, X. Tong, J. Tu, H. Zhang, H.J. Fan, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 8 (2015) 1559-1568.
- [105] L.H. Bennett, J.R. Cuthill, A.J. McAlister, N.E. Erickson, R.E. Watson, *Science*, 184 (1974) 563-565.
- [106] S. Emin, C. Altinkaya, A. Semerci, H. Okuyucu, A. Yildiz, P. Stefanov, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 236 (2018) 147-153.
- [107] Z. Liping, Y. Hongbin, W.D.K.J. A., L. Bin, *Small Methods*, 2 (2018) 1700353.
- [108] S. Li, C. Yang, Z. Yin, H. Yang, Y. Chen, L. Lin, M. Li, W. Li, G. Hu, D. Ma, *Nano Res.*, 10 (2017) 1322-1328.
- [109] H. Chunyong, T. Juzhou, *Chem. Commun.*, 51 (2015) 8323-8325.
- [110] Y.C. Kimmel, X. Xu, W. Yu, X. Yang, J.G. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 4 (2014) 1558-1562.
- [111] J. Jiang, Q. Liu, C. Zeng, L. Ai, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5 (2017) 16929-16935.
- [112] T. Huang, J. Yu, J. Han, Z. Zhang, Y. Xing, C. Wen, X. Wu, Y. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, 300 (2015) 483-490.
- [113] J. Guo, Z. Mao, X. Yan, R. Su, P. Guan, B. Xu, X. Zhang, G. Qin, S.J. Pennycook, *Nano Energy*, 28 (2016) 261-268.
- [114] X. Ma, H. Meng, M. Cai, P.K. Shen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 134 (2012) 1954-1957.
- [115] C. He, J. Tao, *J. Catal.*, 347 (2017) 63-71.
- [116] N.N. Greenwood, A. Earnshaw, *Chemistry of the Elements*, 2nd ed., Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK, 1997.
- [117] R.B. Anderson, *Iron Nitrides as Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts**Published by permission of the Director, Bureau of Mines, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C, in: W.G. Frankenburg, V.I. Komarewsky, E.K. Rideal (Eds.) *Advances in Catalysis*, Academic Press 1953, pp. 355-384.
- [118] L. Imhoff, A. Bouteville, J.C. Remy, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 145 (1998) 1672-1677.
- [119] M. Ritala, M. Leskelä, E. Rauhala, J. Jokinen, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 145 (1998) 2914-2920.
- [120] D. Rische, H. Parala, E. Gemel, M. Winter, R.A. Fischer, *Chem. Mater.*, 18 (2006) 6075-6082.
- [121] C. Zhu, P. Yang, D. Chao, X. Wang, X. Zhang, S. Chen, B.K. Tay, H. Huang, H. Zhang, W. Mai, H.J. Fan, *Adv. Mater.*, 27 (2015) 4566-4571.
- [122] Y. Zhang, B. Ouyang, J. Xu, S. Chen, R.S. Rawat, H.J. Fan, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 6 (2016) 1600221.
- [123] C. Zhu, Y. Sun, D. Chao, X. Wang, P. Yang, X. Zhang, H. Huang, H. Zhang, H.J. Fan, *Nano Energy*, 26 (2016) 1-6.
- [124] S. Han, X. Hu, J. Wang, X. Fang, Y. Zhu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 8 (2018) 1800955.

- [125] X. He, Y. Shuai, L. Na, K. Chen, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, F. Gan, *Mater. Lett.*, 215 (2018) 91-94.
- [126] C. Giordano, C. Erpen, W. Yao, M. Antonietti, *Nano Lett.*, 8 (2008) 4659-4663.
- [127] C. Giordano, C. Erpen, W. Yao, B. Milke, M. Antonietti, *Chem. Mater.*, 21 (2009) 5136-5144.
- [128] T. Jin, X. Sang, R.R. Unocic, R.T. Kinch, X. Liu, J. Hu, H. Liu, S. Dai, *Adv. Mater.*, 30 (2018).
- [129] Y. Zhang, R.S. Rawat, H.J. Fan, *Small Methods*, 1 (2017) 1700164.
- [130] X. Lu, G. Wang, T. Zhai, M. Yu, S. Xie, Y. Ling, C. Liang, Y. Tong, Y. Li, *Nano Lett.*, 12 (2012) 5376-5381.
- [131] S. Wang, X. Yu, Z. Lin, R. Zhang, D. He, J. Qin, J. Zhu, J. Han, L. Wang, H.-k. Mao, J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, *Chem. Mater.*, 24 (2012) 3023-3028.
- [132] M.-S. Balogun, M. Yu, C. Li, T. Zhai, Y. Liu, X. Lu, Y. Tong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2 (2014) 10825-10829.
- [133] M.-S. Balogun, Y. Zeng, W. Qiu, Y. Luo, A. Onasanya, T.K. Olaniyi, Y. Tong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 4 (2016) 9844-9849.
- [134] B. Ouyang, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, H.J. Fan, R.S. Rawat, *Small*, 13 (2017).
- [135] J.G. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 96 (1996) 1477-1498.
- [136] V.R.B. Gurram, S.S. Enumula, R.R. Chada, K.S. Koppadi, D.R. Burri, S.R.R. Kamaraju, *Catal. Surv. Asia*, 22 (2018) 166-180.
- [137] Y. Zhong, X. Xia, F. Shi, J. Zhan, J. Tu, H.J. Fan, *Adv. Sci.*, 3 (2016) 1500286.
- [138] S.H. Gage, B.G. Trewyn, C.V. Ciobanu, S. Pylypenko, R.M. Richards, *Catal. Sci. Tech.*, 6 (2016) 4059-4076.
- [139] A.M. Alexander, J.S. Hargreaves, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 39 (2010) 4388-4401.
- [140] Y. Zhu, G. Chen, Y. Zhong, W. Zhou, Z. Shao, *Adv. Sci.*, 5 (2018).
- [141] Z. Chen, Y. Ha, Y. Liu, H. Wang, H. Yang, H. Xu, Y. Li, R. Wu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 10 (2018) 7134-7144.
- [142] Q. Liang, L. Zhong, C. Du, Y. Luo, Y. Zheng, S. Li, Q. Yan, *Nano Energy*, 47 (2018) 257-265.
- [143] B. Konkena, J. Masa, A.J.R. Botz, I. Sinev, W. Xia, J. Kossmann, R. Drautz, M. Muhler, W. Schuhmann, *ACS Catal.*, 7 (2017) 229-237.
- [144] M. Kuang, Q. Wang, H. Ge, P. Han, Z. Gu, A.M. Al-Enizi, G. Zheng, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2 (2017) 2498-2505.
- [145] Y. Shi, B. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 45 (2016) 1529-1541.
- [146] M. Gong, H. Dai, *Nano Res.*, 8 (2015) 23-39.
- [147] S. Nandi, S.K. Singh, D. Mullangi, R. Illathvalappil, L. George, C.P. Vinod, S. Kurungot, R. Vaidhyanathan, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 6 (2016) 1601189.
- [148] H. Abroshan, P. Bothra, S. Back, A. Kulkarni, J.K. Norskov, S. Siahrostami, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 122 (2018) 4783-4791.
- [149] H. Tang, J. Luo, J. Yu, W. Zhao, H. Song, S. Liao, *ChemElectroChem*, 5 (2018) 2041-2049.
- [150] U.B. Demirci, P. Miele, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 12 (2010) 14651-14665.
- [151] S. Carenco, D. Portehault, C. Boissière, N. Mézailles, C. Sanchez, *Chem. Rev.*, 113 (2013) 7981-8065.
- [152] J.M.V. Nsanzimana, Y. Peng, Y.Y. Xu, L. Thia, C. Wang, B.Y. Xia, X. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 8 (2017) 1701475.

- [153] L. Xu, S. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhai, *Nanoscale*, 4 (2012) 4900-4915.
- [154] X. Wang, G. Tai, Z. Wu, T. Hu, R. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5 (2017) 23471-23475.
- [155] L. Chen, Y. Gu, L. Shi, Z. Yang, J. Ma, Y. Qian, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, 368 (2004) 353-356.
- [156] H. Park, A. Encinas, J.P. Scheifers, Y. Zhang, B.P.T. Fokwa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 56 (2017) 5575-5578.
- [157] H.O. Pierson, E. Randich, *Thin Solid Films*, 54 (1978) 119-128.
- [158] T. Takahashi, H. Kamiya, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 26 (1974) 203-209.
- [159] T.M. Besmann, K.E. Spear, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 31 (1975) 60-65.
- [160] Q. Ding, Y. Zhao, J. Xu, C. Zou, *Solid State Commun.*, 141 (2007) 53-56.
- [161] J. Xu, Y. Zhao, C. Zou, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 423 (2006) 138-142.
- [162] Q.Y. Zhang, J.Q. Xu, Y.M. Zhao, X.H. Ji, S.P. Lau, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 19 (2009) 742-747.
- [163] J.R. Brewer, R.M. Jacobberger, D.R. Diercks, C.L. Cheung, *Chem. Mater.*, 23 (2011) 2606-2610.
- [164] R. Wagner, W. Ellis, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 4 (1964) 89-90.
- [165] W.-J. Jiang, S. Niu, T. Tang, Q.-H. Zhang, X.-Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y.-Y. Chen, J.-H. Li, L. Gu, L.-J. Wan, J.-S. Hu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 56 (2017) 6572-6577.
- [166] J. Masa, I. Sinev, H. Mistry, E. Ventosa, M. de la Mata, J. Arbiol, M. Muhler, B. Roldan Cuenya, W. Schuhmann, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 7 (2017) 1700381.
- [167] S. Klemenz, J. Schuch, S. Hawel, A.-M. Zieschang, B. Kaiser, W. Jaegermann, B. Albert, *ChemSusChem*, 11 (2018) 3150-3156.
- [168] H. Vrubel, X. Hu, *Angew. Chem.*, 124 (2012) 12875-12878.
- [169] M. Zeng, H. Wang, C. Zhao, J. Wei, K. Qi, W. Wang, X. Bai, *ChemCatChem*, 8 (2016) 708-712.
- [170] H. Park, A. Encinas, J.P. Scheifers, Y. Zhang, B.P. Fokwa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 56 (2017) 5575-5578.
- [171] M. Sheng, Q. Wu, Y. Wang, F. Liao, Q. Zhou, J. Hou, W. Weng, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 93 (2018) 104-108.
- [172] N. Xu, G. Cao, Z. Chen, Q. Kang, H. Dai, P. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5 (2017) 12379-12384.
- [173] P. Zhang, M. Wang, Y. Yang, T. Yao, H. Han, L. Sun, *Nano Energy*, 19 (2016) 98-107.
- [174] T. Osaka, H. Ishibashi, T. Endo, T. Yoshida, *Electrochim. Acta*, 26 (1981) 339-343.
- [175] W.J. Jiang, S. Niu, T. Tang, Q.H. Zhang, X.Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y.Y. Chen, J.H. Li, L. Gu, L.J. Wan, *Angew. Chem.*, 129 (2017) 6672-6677.
- [176] J. Zhang, X. Li, Y. Liu, Z. Zeng, X. Cheng, Y. Wang, W. Tu, M. Pan, *Nanoscale*, 10 (2018) 11997-12002.
- [177] K. Elumeeva, J. Masa, D. Medina, E. Ventosa, S. Seisel, Y.U. Kayran, A. Genç, T. Bobrowski, P. Weide, J. Arbiol, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5 (2017) 21122-21129.