PIC-integrable, uniformly 056%-tensile strained Ge-on-insulator photodiodes enabled by recessed SiNx stressor

Lin, Yiding; Ma, Danhao; Lee, Kwang Hong; Wen, Rui-Tao; Syaranamual, Govindo; Kimerling, Lionel; Tan, Chuan Seng; Michel, Jurgen

2021

Lin, Y., Ma, D., Lee, K. H., Wen, R., Syaranamual, G., Kimerling, L., Tan, C. S. & Michel, J. (2021). PIC-integrable, uniformly 056%-tensile strained Ge-on-insulator photodiodes enabled by recessed SiNx stressor. Photonics Research. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1364/PRJ.419776>

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/148609>

<https://doi.org/10.1364/PRJ.419776>

© 2021 Optical Society of America under the terms of the OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 17:27:29 SGT

PIC-integrable, uniformly tensile-strained Ge-on-insulator photodiodes enabled by recessed SiN_x stressor

YIDING LIN,^{1,2,5} DANHAO MA,³ KWANG HONG LEE,² RUI-TAO WEN,^{4,6}
GOVINDO SYARANAMUAL,² LIONEL C. KIMERLING,³ CHUAN SENG TAN^{1,2,*}
AND JURGEN MICHEL^{2,3,4,†}

¹*School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798, Singapore*

²*Low Energy Electronic Systems (LEES), Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology, Singapore 138602, Singapore*

³*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA*

⁴*Materials Research Laboratories, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA*

⁵*Currently with the Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, Halle (Saale), 06120, Germany*

⁶*Currently with Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China*

*tanacs@ntu.edu.sg; †jmichel@mit.edu

Abstract: Mechanical strain engineering has been promising for many integrated photonic applications. However, for the engineering of material electronic bandgap, a trade-off exists between the strain uniformity and the integration compatibility with photonic-integrated circuits (PICs). Herein, we adopted a straightforward recess-type design of silicon nitride (SiN_x) stressor to achieve a uniform strain with enhanced magnitude in the material of interest on PICs. Normal-incidence, uniformly 0.56%-tensile strained germanium (Ge)-on-insulator (GOI) metal-semiconductor-metal photodiodes were demonstrated, using the recessed stressor with 750-MPa tensile stress. The device exhibits a responsivity of 1.84 ± 0.15 A/W at 1,550 nm. The extracted Ge absorption coefficient is enhanced by $\sim 3.2 \times$ to $8,340 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 1,612 nm and is superior to that of In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As up to 1,630 nm limited by measurement spectrum. Compared to the non-recess strained device, additional absorption coefficient improvement of 10–20% in the C-band and 40–60% in the L-band were observed. This work facilitates the recess-strained GOI photodiodes for free-space PIC applications and paves the way for various (e.g. Ge, GeSn or III-V based) uniformly strained photonic devices on PICs.

© 2021 Optical Society of America under the terms of the [OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement](#)

1. Introduction

Mechanical strain engineering has been an active research topic for decades to alter the material properties of single-crystalline semiconductors, including electronic bandgap [1, 2], carrier effective mass [3, 4], and optical nonlinearity [5, 6], for intended electronic and photonic applications. For the bandgap engineering to photonic applications, a substantial strain magnitude with spatially uniform distribution is in most cases essential to the materials of interest. This is to create a consistent bandgap profile with wide tunability and coverage throughout the optical mode span (usually in $\sim \mu\text{m}$ size), for ideal device and system performance. However, considering feasible complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) integration for photonic-integrated circuits (PICs), a trade-off can arise between the strain uniformity and the integration compatibility with PICs. Prior studies have extensively employed substrate undercut [7, 8], removal [9, 10] or layer transfer to flexible substrates [11, 12] to facilitate both an optimal strain magnitude and uniformity for the materials of interest. However, this poses challenges of electrical interconnections between Si CMOS circuits and the strained materials. The limited number of reports of opto-electronic devices on these

strained materials [13-15] are either complicated in fabrication or remain at a preliminary stage for PIC integration. Additionally, the substrate undercut and removal commonly result in large device footprint ($\sim 100\ \mu\text{m}$) that can adversely affect a compact PIC integration. On the other hand, abandoning the substrate engineering inevitably leads to strain non-uniformity with a compromised magnitude due to the bulky substrate constraint [16, 17]. Meanwhile, there have also been studies using lattice-mismatched hetero-epitaxy for the strain engineering [18, 19]. However, the epitaxy usually requires III-V based template which also remains challenging at current stage for monolithic PIC integration.

Fig. 1 Recess-strained GOI photodiodes for PICs. (a) A schematic showing the integration of high-quality recess-strained Ge photodiodes with CMOS circuits at back-end-of-line (BEOL). (b) A 3-D schematic of a normal-incidence recess SiN_x -strained GOI MSM photodiode. The waveguide-shaped Ge is along $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction. Color coded layers: purple, Si; red, SiO_2 ; green, Ge; gold, contact metal; semi-transparent, tensile SiN_x . (c) A cross-sectional SEM image of a fabricated device. Scale bar: 1 μm . (d) (Bottom) A cross-sectional TEM image at the Ge/Al₂O₃/metal interface as shown by the arrow in (c). Scale bar: 10 nm. The corresponding elemental mapping profiles are shown at the top.

In this work, we overcome the dilemma by adopting recessed trenches beside the material structure to be strained, together with the use of sidewall silicon nitride (SiN_x) stressors, to

achieve both the strain magnitude enhancement and its uniformity improvement. An illustration of the concept has been discussed in Ref. [20]. This method does not require top SiN_x stressor and leaves the space for device metal contacts. Only mature CMOS fabrication processes (e.g. reactive ion etching) are involved, which is straightforward to realize in PIC integration. The design is essentially different from Ref. [17] that unintentionally had a similar structure, since the SiN_x stressor was much thinner than the recessed trench and the strain in the material was thus mainly induced from the top stressor independent of the recessed trench. As a proof of concept, we demonstrate normal-incidence, uniformly 0.56%-tensile strained germanium-on-insulator (GOI) metal-semiconductor-metal (MSM) photodiodes using the recessed SiN_x stressors. A fabricated device exhibits an optical responsivity ($\square$) of 1.84 ± 0.15 A/W at 1,550 nm. The $\square$ is above 1.0 A/W for wavelength from 1,500 to 1,625 nm. The photocurrent roll-off is extended by ~ 70 nm to 1,612 nm, where the Ge absorption coefficient reveals a $\sim 3.2\times$ enhancement to $8,340 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. To our knowledge, this is the first batch demonstration of PIC-integrable uniformly strained photonic device at this strain magnitude. The absorption coefficient is superior to that of $\text{In}_{0.53}\text{Ga}_{0.47}\text{As}$ across the entire measurement window up to 1,630 nm [21]. Compared to the non-recessed devices, the recessed stressor design resulted in an additional $\sim 10\text{--}60\%$ increase in the absorption coefficient across the *C*- and *L*-bands. The significantly enhanced absorption can offer competency over the germanium tin (GeSn) and III-V counterparts for imaging, sensing, and free-space communication PICs (e.g., LiDAR, night vision and 3-D sensing), utilizing the matured foundry processes of SiN_x deposition and Ge epitaxy.

2. Device design and fabrication

Figure 1 (a) illustrates a cross-sectional schematic of a CMOS-integrated GOI photodiode employing the recessed SiN_x stressor. The low bonding temperature (300 °C) and scalability to different wafer sizes [22, 23] facilitate GOI the capability to integrate high-quality Ge at the back-end-of-line (BEOL) on versatile PIC platforms, which is suitable for the above free-space PIC applications. Meanwhile, as seen in the figure, the BEOL integration can save the area used for Ge epitaxy for more Si transistors and consequently a compact integration. Figure 1 (b) shows a three-dimensional (3-D) schematic of the recess tensile-strained GOI MSM photodiode, as the proof-of-concept device for the integration in Figure 1 (a). The detailed fabrication for the 200-mm GOI wafer used in this work was discussed in prior work [22, 23]. First, unintentionally doped Ge was epitaxial grown on 200-mm Si (100) substrate via metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD). A SiO_2 layer was then deposited on both the epi-Ge and another Si handle wafer using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD). Afterwards, both the wafers were treated by O_2 plasma for 15 s to activate the SiO_2 surfaces, followed by deionized water rinsing and spin drying. Immediately after the wafer drying, the two wafers were bonded by contacting the SiO_2 surfaces at room temperature. Post-bonding annealing was performed at 300 °C for 3 h to enhance the bonding strength. Finally, the Si wafer used for the Ge epitaxy was removed by grinding and tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) etching to expose the epi-Ge, which was then planarized by chemical-mechanical polishing (CMP).

The SiN_x -strained GOI MSM photodiodes employed waveguide-shaped Ge along $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction for the uniform tensile strain along the transverse (*x*-) direction of the waveguide by the recessed stressor (Figure 1 (b)). The longitudinal (*y*-) direction remains intrinsically strained ($\sim 0.17\%$ tensile) [24]. The waveguide-shaped design also facilitates high-density arrayed device integration. The devices are with interdigitated metal contacts (Al/TiN/Ti, from top to bottom). There is a nominally 1-nm Al_2O_3 in between Ti and Ge, deposited by atomic layer deposition (ALD), to alleviate the Fermi level pinning. The nominal spacing between adjacent metal fingers is 1 μm . For the ease of fabrication, we fabricated the metal contacts before the SiN_x stressor deposition. The detailed fabrication steps are described as follows. First, the as-fabricated GOI with a nominal Ge thickness of 400 nm was patterned into strip waveguides (1- μm wide, 36- μm long), using electron-beam lithography (EBL, with

ZEP520A resist) followed by chlorine (Cl_2)-based reactive-ion etching (RIE). Afterwards, a second EBL patterning and RIE was performed for the recessed trenches with a nominal depth of 300 nm. After the resist removal, the 1-nm Al_2O_3 was deposited, followed by the metal contact definition via sputtering and lift-off. Subsequently, the SiN_x recessed stressor was deposited by PECVD. Optimizing the deposition parameters resulted in a tensile film stress of 750 MPa. To maximize the stressor contact on sidewalls, the thickness of SiN_x is nominally identical to the total thickness of the Ge waveguide and recessed trench (700 nm). The recessed stressor exerts mechanical tensile stress to both the material to be strained (Ge) as well as the material underneath (SiO_2), thus enhancing the strain magnitude at the bottom portion of the Ge close to the SiO_2 and simultaneously improving the strain uniformity [20]. Prior to the SiN_x deposition, O_2 plasma treatment at the Al_2O_3 surface was carried out for a stronger adhesion of the SiN_x stressor to the Ge waveguide. The SiN_x at the top of the Ge waveguide was removed by RIE, as a top tensile SiN_x could induce undesired compressive strain to the Ge underneath. Finally, the SiN_x covering the probing metal pads was removed by RIE for the ease of device characterization. For comparison, control devices of SiN_x -strained GOI MSM photodiodes without the recessed trenches, as well as GOI MSM photodiodes without stressor (50-nm SiO_2 as device passivation), were fabricated. The fabrication steps were kept identical except for the difference in the stressors for a rigorous comparison.

Figure 1 (c) shows a cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a fabricated device, prepared by focused-ion beam (FIB) technique. The Ge width (1.02 μm) and SiO_2 etch depth (243 nm) are as expected close to the nominal values. The SiN_x stressor adheres well to the Ge and SiO_2 sidewalls without delamination under the tensile stress of 750 MPa. All results indicate a reasonably good device fabrication. To further study the metal-Ge Schottky contact, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization was performed. The corresponding image is shown at the bottom of Figure 1 (d). An interlayer of 1.3-nm thickness was observed between Ti and Ge. Elemental mapping at this region by energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis verifies that the thin interlayer is Al_2O_3 (Figure 1 (d) top).

3. Device characterization

The fabricated devices were characterized in terms of their current-voltage (I - V) characteristics using a Keithley 2400 source meter unit (SMU), with and without normal-incidence illumination, from 293 to 353 K. The optical input was supplied from a TUNICS T100S-HP/CL tunable laser covering 1,500 to 1,630 nm. The optical output was coupled into a Corning SMF-28 single-mode silica glass fiber for the illumination. The frequency response of the devices was evaluated by the scattering (S)- parameter measurement via an Agilent N5244A PNA-X network analyzer, with an Agilent N4373D lightwave component analyzer (LCA) as the modulated light source. An RF cable of 40 GHz bandwidth was used. Calibration had been completed before the measurements to exclude the frequency response from the bias-tee, RF cable, and ground-signal-ground (GSG) probe.

Figure 2 (a) shows the room-temperature I - V characteristics of a recess-strained GOI MSM photodiode with and without a 20-mW illumination at 1,550 nm. Dark currents of ~ 9.86 and ~ 41.70 μA were observed at biases of 1 and 2 V, respectively, while the illumination leads to prominent photocurrents of ~ 1.18 and ~ 2.01 mA accordingly. To calculate $\square$, we assume the fiber tip was placed as close as possible to the device surface without gap. Considering the mode-field diameter (10.4 μm at 1,550 nm) of SMF-28 as the light spot diameter and removing the metal contact area that shielded the illumination, the effective input power on the Ge surface was ~ 1.18 mW. Further considering the average surface reflectance of 11.5% (inset of Figure 2 (b)), an $\square$ of ~ 1.93 A/W was obtained at 2 V, corresponding to an internal quantum efficiency (IQE) of $\sim 155\%$. The average $\square$ and IQE among the measured devices are 1.84 ± 0.15 A/W and $148 \pm 12\%$, respectively. The $>100\%$ IQE can be explained by the photoconductive gain [25], as the IQE increases linearly with voltage bias (i.e., electric field). Figure 2 (b) shows the responsivity spectrum of the device

from 1,500 to 1,630 nm. This was obtained by performing the spectral scan of the photocurrent of the device and converting the result into responsivity spectrum, meanwhile taking the surface reflectance into account. A responsivity >1 A/W was observed for wavelength $<1,625$ nm. Temperature-dependent I - V characterization results in a Schottky barrier height of 0.12 eV at 1 V (data not shown), which is lower than the reported value (0.36 eV) [26] and explains the prominent dark current. The dark current can be reduced using a thicker interlayer with lower bandgap (e.g. a-Si:H [27] or Si:C [28]) or negative conduction band offset to Ge (e.g. TiO₂ [29]), without compromising the photocurrent. Alternatively, adopting p - i - n structure instead of MSM, could also suppress the dark current for the practical CMOS integration. Recently, furnace annealing in oxygen ambient [30] has been demonstrated, which significantly reduced the dark current (0.78 mA/cm² at -1 V) of GOI p - i - n photodiodes. Figure 2 (c) depicts the frequency response of the device. A 3-dB bandwidth of ~ 3 GHz was observed. Although the parasitic capacitance from the metal contact pads is not decoupled, this 3-dB bandwidth performance has been sufficient for most imaging and sensing applications.

Fig. 2 Characterization of the recess strained GOI MSM photodiodes. (a) Current-voltage (I - V) characteristics of the device without (black) and with (red) an incident power of ~ 20 mW at 1,550 nm. The corresponding internal quantum efficiency (IQE) is also calculated. (b) Responsivity spectrum of the device from 1500–1630 nm. Inset shows the calculated average surface reflectance of the device. (c) Measured frequency response of the device at 2 V.

4. Strain and absorption coefficient analysis

The mechanical strain in Ge induced by the SiN_x stressor, as well as its effect on the material absorption coefficient (α), was analyzed. The left figures in Figure 3 (a) and (b) show cross-sectional schematics of GOI structures without and with the SiN_x stressor recessed, respectively, while the right figures show the corresponding simulated strain profiles (ϵ_{xx}) in the waveguide-shaped Ge along the transverse (x -) direction using the finite element method (Appendix A.1). The Ge waveguide width (1 μ m), thickness (400 nm), the SiO₂ recessed

trench depth (300 nm) and SiN_x tensile stress (750 MPa) used in the simulation were identical to the respective nominal values of the fabricated device (Figure 1 (c)). Structural symmetry was adopted on the y - z and x - z planes at and across the center of the Ge waveguide, respectively. The detailed simulation settings can be found in our prior report [20]. It can be identified from the color code that the ϵ_{xx} is more uniform in Ge with the use of the recess-type stressor. The corresponding strain magnitude ($\sim 0.56\%$ tensile) is also higher, especially at the bottom part of Ge where the strain exhibits a $\sim 2\times$ enhancement.

To study the effect of stressors on α , as described in Section 2, GOI MSM photodiodes (1) without stressor (SiO₂ passivation) and (2) with non-recessed SiN_x stressor were fabricated to compare with the recess-strained device. Figure 3 (c) shows the micro-Raman spectra of the strained waveguide GOI test structures without metal contacts. Laser excitation wavelengths of 532 and 785 nm were used for different photon penetration (9 and 89 nm, respectively [31]) depths in Ge for comparison. It can be observed that the Raman spectra reasonably overlap for GOI with the recessed stressor, while only a partial overlap below $\sim 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ was seen for that with the non-recessed stressor. The more wavelength-independent spectra reveal the strain uniformity enhancement with the use of the recessed stressor. Compared to the spectra of the recess-strained structure, the Raman peak broadening for the non-recessed structure is not due to the deterioration of the Ge crystal quality but the existence of compressive strain in Ge. It can be further inferred that the compressive strain is located at the bottom of the GOI, which was thus likely induced by the constraint from the bulk SiO₂-on-Si substrate with the presence of the tensile sidewall stressor. This can also explain the shrinkage of the compressive shoulder (Figure 2 (c), bottom) with the use of the recessed stressor due to the alleviation of the substrate constraint.

Figure 3 (d) illustrates the normalized photocurrent spectra of these devices. In contrast to the photocurrent roll-off at $\sim 1,540 \text{ nm}$ for the device without stressor, the roll-off appears at longer wavelengths of 1,606 and 1,612 nm for the SiN_x-strained devices with the non-recessed and recessed stressor, respectively. This indicates both an enhanced α and extended wavelength bandwidth for the SiN_x-strained GOI devices due to the strain magnitude enhancement. An additional roll-off point was also identified at $\sim 1,580 \text{ nm}$ for the non-recess strained device. This again demonstrates the strain non-uniformity without the use of the recessed stressor as shown in the simulation. To correlate the simulated ϵ_{xx} with the roll-off points in the photocurrent spectra, the deformation potential theory [32] was employed to calculate the Ge bandgap edges as a function of ϵ_{xx} , as shown in Figure 4 (a). The calculation includes the Γ -valley-light-hole (Γ -LH) and the Γ -valley-heavy-hole (Γ -HH) bandgap edges. The detailed calculation was discussed in Appendix A.2. It can be seen from the figure that the simulated ϵ_{xx} reasonably match with the observed photocurrent roll-off points. This both verifies the validity of the simulation and the actual tensile strain induced in the material. As the Ge layer thickness (d) is $\sim 400 \text{ nm}$ and thus $ad \ll 1$, we can approximate the photocurrent (I_{ph}) as $I_{ph} \propto (1 - e^{-ad}) \approx ad$, and a photocurrent spectrum of the device can thus be used to extract the Ge absorption coefficient spectrum. We first calculate the direct-band Ge absorption coefficient $|\alpha(h\nu)|$ at 1,550 nm using the following relation [33]:

$$|\alpha(h\nu)| = A \left(\sqrt{h\nu - E_g^{\Gamma\text{-LH}}} + \sqrt{h\nu - E_g^{\Gamma\text{-HH}}} \right) / h\nu \quad (1)$$

where $h\nu$ is the incident photon energy, in which h is the Planck's constant and ν is the photon frequency; A is a constant and has been fitted as $\sim 2 \times 10^4 \text{ eV}^{1/2} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [33]; while $E_g^{\Gamma\text{-LH}}$ and $E_g^{\Gamma\text{-HH}}$ denote the Ge LH and HH direct bandgap energies, respectively, from the deformation potential theory calculation using the simulated ϵ_{xx} . On the other hand, the Ge indirect band absorption coefficient (α_{ind} , $\sim 750 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) was retrieved from Ref. [34], where the $\alpha(h\nu) \sim 0$ for stress-free bulk Ge. The total absorption coefficient $\alpha_t(h\nu) = |\alpha(h\nu)| + \alpha_{ind}$, assuming α_{ind} varies negligibly across the spectrum. Then, we extended the α_t to the entire wavelength range according to the photocurrent spectra. For the non-recess strained Ge, a two-step ϵ_{xx} profile

with 0.48% and 0.28% ϵ_{xx} at the upper and lower part of Ge, respectively, was considered for the α_t calculation (Figure 3 (a) (ii)).

Fig. 3 The effect of recessed SiNx stressor on Ge strain and device photocurrent spectra. (a, b) (i) schematic GOI structures (a) without and (b) with the SiNx stressor recessed. The black arrows indicate the spatial tensile strain distributions in Ge. (ii) Corresponding simulated Ge ϵ_{xx} profiles under 750-MPa tensile stressor using finite element method. (c) Micro-Raman spectra of waveguide GOI testing structure (width: 0.5 μm) with (top) non-recessed and (bottom) recessed SiNx stressor. The black dashed line corresponds to the peak LO phonon frequency ($\sim 300.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) of bulk Ge as a stress-free reference. (d) Photocurrent spectra of GOI MSM photodiodes with respect to the use of the non-recessed and recessed SiNx stressor. The corresponding Ge absorption coefficients (α) were also extracted and shown as the y-axis at right. Absorption coefficients of $\text{In}_{0.53}\text{Ga}_{0.47}\text{As}$ (data extracted from Ref.[21]) were also included for reference. Photocurrent roll-off wavelengths: a, 1,580 nm; b, 1,606 nm; c, 1,612 nm.

The extracted α_t spectra are shown in Figure 3 (d) on the right y-axis. Compared to the α_t ($2,590 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for the waveguide-Ge without stressor, the α_t ($8,340 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is enhanced by $\sim 3.2\times$ for the recessed SiNx-strained Ge at 1,612 nm. The α_t for the recess-strained device is superior to that of $\text{In}_{0.53}\text{Ga}_{0.47}\text{As}$ material ($\sim 4,000\text{--}6,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) across the C- and L-bands [21]. The equivalent α_t ($6,876 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for the waveguide-Ge without stressor at 1,500 nm is extended by 120 nm to 1,620 nm for the recessed SiNx-strained Ge. All these observations suggest a wider operating wavelength bandwidth for Ge photodiodes, with an expected quantum

efficiency superior to that of $\text{In}_{0.53}\text{Ga}_{0.47}\text{As}$ photodiodes at C - and L -bands. This offers potentials for the recess-strained Ge photodiodes for CMOS-compatible imaging, sensing, and communication applications on PICs that the $\text{In}_{0.53}\text{Ga}_{0.47}\text{As}$ photodiodes may have challenge to achieve. On the other hand, although the Sn incorporation into Ge can also lead to absorption coefficient enhancement [35], state-of-the-art GeSn photodiodes remain challenging to exhibit comparable performance to Ge photodiodes. Further observation on Figure 3 (d) finds that the use of the recessed trench on the SiN_x stressor exhibited an additional ~ 10 – 20% increase in α_t from 1,500–1,590 nm, and a ~ 40 – 60% increase from 1,590–1,630 nm, compared to the non-recess strained device. In addition, we estimate the Ge bandgap edges and α_t with higher SiN_x stresses of 1.0, 1.2 and 1.5 GPa, using the same simulation and calculation method as above, as shown in Figure 4 (b). The ϵ_{xx} can reach 0.71, 0.82 and 1.00% for the stress of 1.0, 1.2 and 1.5 GPa, respectively. The Γ -HH bandgap edge can be extended beyond 1,625 nm for the SiN_x tensile stress > 1.0 GPa, and the α_t correspondingly beyond $1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 1,550 nm. The Γ -HH edge can reach 1,645 nm at the stress of 1.5 GPa. Furthermore, a higher ϵ_{xx} can be achieved by using an additional top compressive stressor [36], decreasing the waveguide width-to-thickness (W/T) ratio, or increasing the trench depth (Appendix A.3).

Fig. 4 Strain, bandgap edges and absorption coefficient analysis. (a) The simulated Ge ϵ_{xx} (from Fig. 3 (a, b), (ii)) and the Ge bandgap edges (from Fig. 3 (d)) agree well with the deformation potential theory. (b) Calculated bandgap edges and α (at 1,550 nm) for the GOI photodiodes with 1, 1.2 and 1.5 GPa recessed tensile stressor.

5. Conclusion and outlook

In conclusion, we have adopted recessed trenches to accommodate sidewall SiN_x stressors to compromise the trade-off between the mechanical strain uniformity and the integration compatibility to PICs for the material of interest on PICs. As a proof of concept, we demonstrated uniformly 0.56%-tensile strained GOI MSM photodiodes using the recessed SiN_x stressor with a tensile stress of 750 MPa. A fabricated device exhibited a dark current of 41.70 μA and a responsivity of 1.93 A/W (1,550 nm) at 2 V, corresponding to an IQE of 155%. The dark current can be reduced by introducing a thicker (narrow bandgap or negative band offset) interlayer or using p - i - n photodiodes. The bandgap edges revealed from the device photocurrent spectra match well with the simulated ϵ_{xx} via the deformation potential theory. The absorption coefficient calculation showed a Ge absorption coefficient superior to that of $\text{In}_{0.53}\text{Ga}_{0.47}\text{As}$ throughout the measurement window up to 1,630 nm. Meanwhile, the use of the recessed-type stressor exhibited an additional ~ 10 – 60% increase in the absorption coefficient. These results facilitate the recess-strained GOI photodiodes for imaging, sensing, and free-space communication (e.g., LiDAR) PIC applications. The recessed stressor design can also be used for strain engineering on other semiconductor materials (e.g. III-V, GeSn).

In addition, it is worthy to mention that the recessed stressor concept can provide new application insights to existing photonic devices. For instance, a 0.6-GPa tensile $\text{Ge}_{0.99}\text{Si}_{0.01}$ electro-absorption (EA) modulator array has recently been demonstrated, exhibiting an operating wavelength range of 95 nm from 1,525–1,620 nm at -6 V, where the ratio of extinction ratio (ER)-to-insertion loss (IL) ≥ 1.3 [37]. This can be useful for high-data-capacity transceiver PICs using dense-wavelength-division multiplexing (DWDM), due to the $\sim 3\times$ wider operating wavelength range compared to that of single Ge EA modulators ($\sim 20\text{--}30$ nm) [38–40].

Appendix

A. 1 Finite element method simulation of SiN_x -strained Ge waveguide

The finite element method simulation was constructed by mimicking the practical experimental conditions. This was realized by adopting multiple solid mechanics modules in COMSOL Multiphysics, each corresponding to an experimental process, and solving them in sequence according to the experimental procedures. The stress output from the previous module was imported as the initial stress to the subsequent module, and the output from the last module was converted to the final mechanical strain. All materials were set as linear elastic.

The modelling first followed the thermal budget during the GOI fabrication, consisting of the Ge-on-Si epitaxy at 600 °C, followed by the direct wafer bonding and post-bonding annealing at 300 °C, and finally cooling down to room temperature (300 K). The subsequent GOI patterning and SiN_x stressor deposition was without temperature variation. An intrinsic stress was set in the SiN_x . Geometrical symmetry was applied penetrating the structure via the y - z and x - z planes (Figure 3 (a), (b)). The stress obtained from the final module was converted to strain via below relations:

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\sigma_{xx} - \nu(\sigma_{yy} + \sigma_{zz})}{E} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{\sigma_{yy} - \nu(\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{zz})}{E} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\varepsilon_{zz} = \frac{\sigma_{zz} - \nu(\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy})}{E} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where ε_{xx} , ε_{yy} and ε_{zz} are the mechanical strain in Ge along the transverse (x -), longitudinal (y -) and vertical (z -) directions, respectively. σ_{xx} , σ_{yy} and σ_{zz} are the corresponding mechanical stress values. $\nu=0.273$ and $E=103$ GPa are the Poisson's ratio and Young's modulus of Ge, respectively. In the simulation, the Young's modulus of SiN_x is 200 GPa.

A. 2 Bandgap edge calculation

Deformation potential theory [32] was used for the Ge bandgap edge wavelength calculation as a function of ε_{xx} . The theory analytically describes the correlation between the bandgap of a semiconductor material and its applied mechanical strain. The strain causes a volumetric and lattice symmetry deformation of the material, where the former is termed as hydrostatic deformation (δE_{hy}) and the latter shear deformation (δE_{sh}), as expressed below [41]:

$$\delta E_{hy} = -a(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz}) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\delta E_{sh} = -2b(\varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{zz}) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where a is defined as hydrostatic deformation potential and b shear deformation potential. ε_{xx} , ε_{yy} and ε_{zz} are the axial strain in the material along x , y , and z directions, respectively, induced by the stress along the respective directions. Note that $(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz})$ represents the fractional volumetric change of the material.

Considering the coupling with the spin-orbit split-off (SO) band, Ge Γ -valley conduction-band-light-hole bandgap E_g^{LH} and conduction-band-heavy-hole bandgap E_g^{HH} can be expressed as follows, respectively:

$$E_g^{\text{LH}} = E_g - \delta E_{\text{hy}} - \frac{1}{4} \delta E_{\text{sh}} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Delta \cdot \delta E_{\text{sh}} + \frac{9}{4} \delta E_{\text{sh}}^2} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$E_g^{\text{HH}} = E_g - \delta E_{\text{hy}} + \frac{1}{2} \delta E_{\text{sh}} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where E_g is the bandgap of stress-free Ge (0.801 eV) and Δ is the spin-orbit energy splitting between the degenerated topmost valence band and the SO valence band of Ge. The Δ , a and b values for Ge used in calculation are 0.289 eV, -8.97 and -1.88, respectively, from the experimental determination by Liu *et al.*[42]. As mentioned, ε_{xx} is the strain variable and $\varepsilon_{yy} = 0.17\%$ according to Ref. [24]; ε_{zz} is thus determined from Eqs. (A.1) to (A.3) based on the Poisson effect. The obtained E_g^{LH} and E_g^{HH} values were then converted to bandgap edge wavelengths via $\lambda = hc/E_g$, where h and c are the Planck's constant and speed of light, respectively.

A. 3 Effect of GOI waveguide width/thickness ratio and recessed trench depth on ε_{xx}

Figure 5 (a) ε_{xx} as a function of GOI waveguide width, with respect to the use of the recessed SiN_x stressor with a recessed trench depth of 500 nm. A higher ε_{xx} can be achieved with a decreasing width-to-thickness (W/T) ratio; (b) ε_{xx} as a function of recessed trench depth. The GOI waveguides are with a width of 0.4 μm and a thickness of 0.2 μm . A higher ε_{xx} can be achieved with a deeper recessed trench depth. The results were obtained by the finite element method calculation as described in Appendix A.1. The SiN_x stress is 580 MPa tensile in both cases. The error bars in the plots indicate the ε_{xx} variation in the respective GOI waveguides.

Funding

This research project is partially supported by the National Research Foundation, Singapore, under its Competitive Research Program (CRP Award NRF-CRP19-2017-01), partially supported by Ministry of Education AcRF Tier 1 (2019-T1-002-040 RG147/19 (S)) and the

Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology's (SMART) Low Energy Electronic Systems (LEES) IRG.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the resources from the Nanyang Nanofabrication Center (N2FC) for the device fabrication, and Ms. Tina Xin Guo and Dr. Chongyang Liu for the device characterization. The support from Ms. Jin Zhou on the EBL writing is also acknowledged.

Disclosures

The authors declare no conflict of interests related to this article.

References

1. M. J. Süess, R. Geiger, R. A. Minamisawa, G. Schiefler, J. Frigerio, D. Chrastina, G. Isella, R. Spolenak, J. Faist, and H. Sigg, "Analysis of enhanced light emission from highly strained germanium microbridges," *Nature Photonics* **7**, 466-472 (2013).
2. R. Rafael, C.-G. Andrés, C. Emmanuele, and G. Francisco, "Strain engineering in semiconducting two-dimensional crystals," *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **27**, 313201 (2015).
3. A. R. Adams, "Band-structure engineering for low-threshold high-efficiency semiconductor lasers," *Electronics Letters* **22**, 249-250 (1986).
4. M. L. Lee, E. A. Fitzgerald, M. T. Bulsara, M. T. Currie, and A. Lochtefeld, "Strained Si, SiGe, and Ge channels for high-mobility metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors," *Journal of Applied Physics* **97**, 011101 (2004).
5. R. S. Jacobsen, K. N. Andersen, P. I. Borel, J. Fage-Pedersen, L. H. Frandsen, O. Hansen, M. Kristensen, A. V. Lavrinenko, G. Moulin, H. Ou, C. Peucheret, B. Zsigri, and A. Bjarklev, "Strained silicon as a new electro-optic material," *Nature* **441**, 199 (2006).
6. M. Cazzanelli, F. Bianco, E. Borga, G. Pucker, M. Ghulinyan, E. Degoli, E. Luppi, V. Vénard, S. Ossicini, D. Modotto, S. Wabnitz, R. Pierobon, and L. Pavesi, "Second-harmonic generation in silicon waveguides strained by silicon nitride," *Nature materials* **11**, 148 (2011).
7. A. Elbaz, D. Buca, N. von den Driesch, K. Pantzas, G. Patriarche, N. Zerounian, E. Herth, X. Checoury, S. Sauvage, I. Sagnes, A. Foti, R. Ossikovski, J.-M. Hartmann, F. Boeuf, Z. Ikonik, P. Boucaud, D. Grützmacher, and M. El Kurdi, "Ultra-low-threshold continuous-wave and pulsed lasing in tensile-strained GeSn alloys," *Nature Photonics* **14**, 375-382 (2020).
8. M. El Kurdi, M. Prost, A. Ghrib, S. Sauvage, X. Checoury, G. Beaudoin, I. Sagnes, G. Picardi, R. Ossikovski, and P. Boucaud, "Direct Band Gap Germanium Microdisks Obtained with Silicon Nitride Stressor Layers," *ACS Photonics* **3**, 443-448 (2016).
9. F. T. Armand Pilon, A. Lyasota, Y. M. Niquet, V. Reboud, V. Calvo, N. Pauc, J. Widiez, C. Bonzon, J. M. Hartmann, A. Chelnokov, J. Faist, and H. Sigg, "Lasing in strained germanium microbridges," *Nature communications* **10**, 2724 (2019).
10. A. Gassenq, K. Guillo, G. Osvaldo Dias, N. Pauc, D. Rouchon, J. M. Hartmann, J. Widiez, S. Tardif, F. Rieutord, J. Escalante, I. Duchemin, Y. M. Niquet, R. Geiger, T. Zabel, H. Sigg, J. Faist, A. Chelnokov, V. Reboud, and V. Calvo, "1.9% bi-axial tensile strain in thick germanium suspended membranes fabricated in optical germanium-on-insulator substrates for laser applications," *Applied Physics Letters* **107**, 191904 (2015).
11. J.-H. Seo, E. Swinnich, Y.-Y. Zhang, and M. Kim, "Low dimensional freestanding semiconductors for flexible optoelectronics: materials, synthesis, process, and applications," *Materials Research Letters* **8**, 123-144 (2020).
12. S. An, S. Wu, C. S. Tan, G.-E. Chang, X. Gong, and M. Kim, "Modulation of light absorption in flexible GeSn metal-semiconductor-metal photodetectors by mechanical bending," *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **8**, 13557-13562 (2020).
13. J. Jiang, M. Xue, C.-Y. Lu, C. S. Fenrich, M. Morea, K. Zang, J. Gao, M. Cheng, Y. Zhang, T. I. Kamins, J. S. Harris, and J. Sun, "Strain-Induced Enhancement of Electroluminescence from Highly Strained Germanium Light-Emitting Diodes," *ACS Photonics* **6**, 915-923 (2019).
14. D. Nam, D. Sukhdeo, A. Roy, K. Balram, S.-L. Cheng, K. C.-Y. Huang, Z. Yuan, M. Brongersma, Y. Nishi, D. Miller, and K. Saraswat, "Strained germanium thin film membrane on silicon substrate for optoelectronics," *Optics express* **19**, 25866-25872 (2011).
15. D. Nam, D. Sukhdeo, S.-L. Cheng, A. Roy, K. Chih-Yao Huang, M. Brongersma, Y. Nishi, and K. Saraswat, "Electroluminescence from strained germanium membranes and implications for an efficient Si-compatible laser," *Applied Physics Letters* **100**, 131112 (2012).
16. G. Capellini, C. Reich, S. Guha, Y. Yamamoto, M. Lisker, M. Virgilio, A. Ghrib, M. El Kurdi, P. Boucaud, B. Tillack, and T. Schroeder, "Tensile Ge microstructures for lasing fabricated by means of a silicon complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor process," *Optics express* **22**, 399-410 (2014).

17. A. Ghrib, M. de Kersauson, M. El Kurdi, R. Jakomin, G. Beaudoin, S. Sauvage, G. Fishman, G. Ndong, M. Chaigneau, R. Ossikovski, I. Sagnes, and P. Boucaud, "Control of tensile strain in germanium waveguides through silicon nitride layers," *Applied Physics Letters* **100**, 201104 (2012).
18. M. de Kersauson, M. Prost, A. Ghrib, M. El Kurdi, S. Sauvage, G. Beaudoin, L. Largeau, O. Mauguin, R. Jakomin, I. Sagnes, G. Ndong, M. Chaigneau, R. Ossikovski, and P. Boucaud, "Effect of increasing thickness on tensile-strained germanium grown on InGaAs buffer layers," *Journal of Applied Physics* **113**, 183508 (2013).
19. Y. Bai, K. E. Lee, C. Cheng, M. L. Lee, and E. A. Fitzgerald, "Growth of highly tensile-strained Ge on relaxed In_xGa_{1-x}As by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition," *Journal of Applied Physics* **104**(2008).
20. Y. Lin, D. Ma, K. H. Lee, J. Michel, and C. S. Tan, "A self-aligned dry etching method for mechanical strain enhancement of germanium and its uniformity improvement for photonic applications," in *SPIE OPTO*, (SPIE, 2018), 7.
21. O. I. Dosunmu, D. D. Cannon, M. K. Emsley, B. Ghyselen, L. Jifeng, L. C. Kimerling, and M. S. Unlu, "Resonant cavity enhanced Ge photodetectors for 1550 nm operation on reflecting Si substrates," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* **10**, 694-701 (2004).
22. K. H. Lee, S. Bao, G. Y. Chong, Y. H. Tan, E. A. Fitzgerald, and C. S. Tan, "Fabrication and characterization of germanium-on-insulator through epitaxy, bonding, and layer transfer," *Journal of Applied Physics* **116**, 103506 (2014).
23. Y. Lin, K. H. Lee, S. Bao, X. Guo, H. Wang, J. Michel, and C. S. Tan, "High-efficiency normal-incidence vertical p-i-n photodetectors on a germanium-on-insulator platform," *Photonics Research* **5**, 702-709 (2017).
24. Y. Lin, D. Ma, K. H. Lee, S. Bao, J. Michel, and C. S. Tan, "Extension of Germanium-on-insulator optical absorption edge using CMOS-compatible silicon nitride stressor," in *2017 Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics Pacific Rim (CLEO-PR)*, (2017), 1-5.
25. S. Sze, "Semiconductor devices: physics and technology," (John Wiley, 2002), pp. 311-313.
26. B. S. Pearson, L. C. Kimerling, and J. Michel, "Germanium photodetectors on amorphous substrates for electronic-photonic integration," in *2016 IEEE 13th International Conference on Group IV Photonics (GFP)*, (2016), 20-21.
27. G. Dushaq, A. Nayfeh, and M. Rasras, "Metal-germanium-metal photodetector grown on silicon using low temperature RF-PECVD," *Optics express* **25**, 32110-32119 (2017).
28. K.-W. Ang, S. Zhu, M. Yu, G.-Q. Lo, and D.-L. Kwong, "High-Performance Waveguided Ge-on-SOI Metal-Semiconductor-Metal Photodetectors With Novel Silicon-Carbon (Si : C) Schottky Barrier Enhancement Layer," *IEEE Photonic Technology Letters* **20**(2008).
29. J. H. Nam, "Monolithic integration of germanium-on-insulator platform on silicon substrate and its applications to devices," (Stanford University, 2016).
30. Y. Lin, B. Son, K. H. Lee, J. Michel, and C. S. Tan, "Sub-mA/cm² Dark Current Density, Buffer-Less Germanium (Ge) Photodiodes on a 200-mm Ge-on-Insulator Substrate," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices* **68**, 1730-1737 (2021).
31. K. K. Woo Sik Yoo, Takeshi Ueda, Toshikazu Ishigaki, Hiroshi Nishigaki, Noriyuki Hasuike, Hiroshi Harima, Masahiro Yoshimoto, and Chuan Seng Tan, "Detection of Ge and Si Intermixing in Ge/Si using Multiwavelength Micro-Raman Spectroscopy," *ECS Transactions* **64**, 79-88 (2014).
32. C. G. Van de Walle, "Band lineups and deformation potentials in the model-solid theory," *Physical Review B* **39**, 1871-1883 (1989).
33. J. Liu, X. Sun, D. Pan, X. Wang, L. C. Kimerling, T. L. Koch, and J. Michel, "Tensile-strained, n-type Ge as a gain medium for monolithic laser integration on Si," *Optics express* **15**, 11272-11277 (2007).
34. A. Frova and P. Handler, "Franz-Keldysh Effect in the Space-Charge Region of a Germanium-p-n Junction," *Physical Review* **137**, A1857-A1861 (1965).
35. K. Ye, W. Zhang, M. Oehme, M. Schmid, M. Gollhofer, K. Kostecki, D. Widmann, R. Körner, E. Kasper, and J. Schulze, "Absorption coefficients of GeSn extracted from PIN photodetector response," *Solid-State Electronics* **110**, 71-75 (2015).
36. X. Li, Z. Li, S. Li, L. Chrostowski, and G. Xia, "Design considerations of biaxially tensile-strained germanium-on-silicon lasers," *Semiconductor Science and Technology* **31**, 065015 (2016).
37. D. Ma, "Ge and GeSi Electroabsorption Modulator Arrays via Strain and Composition Engineering," Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Chapter 9 (2020).
38. D. Feng, W. Qian, H. Liang, C.-C. Kung, Z. Zhou, Z. Li, J. S. Levy, R. Shafiiha, J. Fong, B. Jonathan Luff, and M. Asghari, "High-Speed GeSi Electroabsorption Modulator on the SOI Waveguide Platform," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* **19**, 64-73 (2013).
39. N.-N. Feng, D. Feng, S. Liao, X. Wang, P. Dong, H. Liang, C.-C. Kung, W. Qian, J. Fong, R. Shafiiha, Y. Luo, J. Cunningham, A. V. Krishnamoorthy, and M. Asghari, "30GHz Ge electro-absorption modulator integrated with 3μm silicon-on-insulator waveguide," *Optics express* **19**, 7062-7067 (2011).
40. S. A. Srinivasan, M. Pantouvaki, S. Gupta, H. T. Chen, P. Verheyen, G. Lepage, G. Roelkens, K. Saraswat, D. V. Thourhout, P. Absil, and J. V. Campenhout, "56 Gb/s Germanium Waveguide Electro-Absorption Modulator," *Journal of Lightwave Technology* **34**, 419-424 (2016).
41. S. L. Chuang, *Physics of photonic devices* (John Wiley & Sons, 2012), Vol. 80.

42. J. Liu, D. D. Cannon, K. Wada, Y. Ishikawa, D. T. Danielson, S. Jongthammanurak, J. Michel, and L. C. Kimerling, "Deformation potential constants of biaxially tensile stressed Ge epitaxial films on Si(100)," *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **70**, 155309 (2004).