Switching waves in multilevel incoherently driven polariton condensates

Sigurdsson, Haraldur; Shelykh, Ivan A.; Liew, Timothy Chi Hin

2015

Sigurdsson, H., Shelykh, I. A., & Liew, T. C. H. (2015). Switching waves in multilevel incoherently driven polariton condensates. *Physical Review B*, 92, 195409-.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/81128>

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195409>

© 2015 American Physical Society. This paper was published in *Physical Review B* and is made available as an electronic reprint (preprint) with permission of American Physical Society. The published version is available at:
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195409>]. One print or electronic copy may be made for personal use only. Systematic or multiple reproduction, distribution to multiple locations via electronic or other means, duplication of any material in this paper for a fee or for commercial purposes, or modification of the content of the paper is prohibited and is subject to penalties under law.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 18:10:10 SGT

Switching waves in multilevel incoherently driven polariton condensates

H. Sigurdsson,^{1,2,*} I. A. Shelykh,^{1,2,3} and T. C. H. Liew¹¹*Division of Physics and Applied Physics, Nanyang Technological University 637371, Singapore*²*Science Institute, University of Iceland, Dunhagi-3, IS-107 Reykjavik, Iceland*³*ITMO University, St. Petersburg 197101, Russia*

(Received 28 July 2015; revised manuscript received 25 October 2015; published 9 November 2015)

We show theoretically that an open-dissipative polariton condensate confined within a trapping potential and driven by an incoherent pumping scheme gives rise to bistability between odd and even modes of the potential. Switching from one state to the other can be controlled via incoherent pulsing which becomes an important step towards construction of low-powered optoelectronic devices. The origin of the effect comes from modulational instability between odd and even states of the trapping potential governed by the nonlinear polariton-polariton interactions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195409](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195409)

PACS number(s): 71.36.+c, 42.55.Sa, 42.65.Pc

I. INTRODUCTION

Switching waves arising in bistable light-matter systems are of fundamental importance for future optoelectronic devices that intertwine both the characteristics of electrons and photons. Such waves can be thought of as moving domain walls (wave crests) where one state succumbs to its bistable counterpart. In general, light-matter systems owe their bistability to their optical nonlinear nature. An example of such a system is the optical microcavity [1] where strong coupling between excitons living in embedded quantum wells and cavity photons gives rise to the light exciton-polariton quasiparticle (or simply, polariton) [2]. In the past decade, a great amount of research has been dedicated to these light-matter bosons. Among the most interesting properties of the polariton is its ability to condense into a macroscopically occupied coherent state [3], essentially a Bose-Einstein condensate, at temperatures much higher than conventional atomic condensates [4] due to its light effective mass.

It has been shown that exciton-polariton systems give rise to bistability under resonant pumping schemes [5–7] with applications in photonic logic gates [8–10], switches and memory elements [11–13], control of polariton superfluids [14], and optical diodes [15]. Under resonant excitation a number of works focused on the parametric instability between polaritons [16–20], where polaritons in one particular energy-momentum state scatter in pairs to “signal” and “idler” states conserving energy and momentum. Theoretically, a bistability of the system was shown to be associated with the process [7], corresponding to the stability of states under the same conditions where the scattering could be activated or not. Bistability resulting from parametric interaction was further shown to allow for the formation of solitons [21–23].

To lift the requirement of a resonant laser, a few works have considered mechanisms to generate bistability under nonresonant or incoherent excitation, but typically required specially designed structures [24]. Theoretical work showed that parametric instability and an associated bistability could be arranged in subwavelength grating microcavities [25].

Recently, the existence of spin-based bistability induced by different XY -polarization lifetimes was shown experimentally [26]. It has also been shown that modulational instabilities can lead to new states that coexist with stable states [27].

In this work we study a mechanism of bistability, appearing when polaritons are incoherently excited in the presence of a trapping potential. Different modes of the trapping potential can be preferentially excited via the spatial patterning of the incoherent excitation. Nonlinear coupling between the modes generated by polariton-polariton interactions then leads to a modulational instability. Remarkably, a bistability is associated with this process and switching between different bistable states can be achieved with incoherent pulses, even when only the first two eigenmodes are considered. In extended two-dimensional (2D) systems, switching waves that determine the boundary between spatially separated domains can be excited.

The paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II we introduce the model describing the coherent polariton condensate confined within a trapping potential. In Sec. III we present results where we limit our analysis to only the first two eigenstates of the harmonic potential. In Sec. IV we present results considering only the first three eigenstates of the harmonic potential. In Sec. V we present results considering the full theoretical model containing all eigenstates of the harmonic potential. Here we demonstrate a switching wave signal traveling in a 2D planar system. In Sec. VI we demonstrate analogous bistability in another type of trapping geometry, the infinite quantum well.

II. THEORETICAL MODEL

We consider a microcavity with an embedded 2D quantum well subjected to a trapping potential [28,29]. In an open-dissipative system where the incoherent pumping is balanced against the polariton lifetime and an exciton reservoir decay mechanism [30], the true solutions of the interacting condensate confined in a trapping potential are in fact nontrivial [31]. The trapping potential eigensolutions (modes) in the 1D Schrödinger equation are termed $\psi_n(x)$ with energies E_n and form a complete basis such that we can always write our condensate order parameter in this basis. Assuming that the eigenenergies E_n are different, then in order for the condensate

*Corresponding author: helgi001@e.ntu.edu.sg

to become stationary, populations in different modes are blueshifted such as to become degenerate, allowing polaritons freely to “flow” from one mode to another.

To account for the aforementioned effects, the spatial dynamics of interacting polaritons can be described by the complex Gross-Pitaevskii (CGP) equation [32],

$$i\hbar \frac{d\Psi}{dt} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \alpha |\Psi|^2 + V(x) \dots + i \left(P(x) - \frac{\hbar}{2\tau} - R |\Psi|^2 \right) \right] \Psi. \quad (1)$$

Here Ψ is the polariton condensate order parameter, m is the polariton effective mass, α is the interaction strength, τ is the polariton lifetime, R is the condensate saturation (also known as reservoir depletion rate), $P(x)$ is the spatial profile of the incoherent pump intensity, and $V(x)$ is the trapping potential.

The condensate order parameter can be written

$$\Psi(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \psi_n(x). \quad (2)$$

The time evolution of the order parameter is governed by the modes amplitudes $A_n(t)$. In order to favor the generation of polaritons in the first excited state the pump takes the following profile:

$$P(x) = P_0 |\psi_1(x)|^2, \quad (3)$$

where P_0 denotes the intensity of the pump. While the pump is chosen so as to have a maximum overlap for the A_1 mode making it condense first, it understandably has nonzero overlap with other modes (see Fig. 1). This results in an interplay between the gain and the decay of all the modes. Substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1) and integrating over the n th state ψ_n , we come to the dynamical equation for the condensate

FIG. 1. (Color online) Example schematic showing the case of a harmonic potential, $V(x) = m\omega^2 x^2/2$, and its first three states. The incoherent pump $P(x)$ (orange) is chosen to have maximum overlap with one state but will also have some finite overlap with neighboring states.

modes,

$$i\hbar \frac{dA_n}{dt} = \left(E_n - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau} \right) A_n + i P_0 \sum_m M_{n11m} A_m \dots + (\alpha - iR) \sum_{jkl} M_{njkl} A_j^* A_k A_l. \quad (4)$$

Here M_{ijkl} are transition matrix elements that obey

$$M_{ijkl} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_i \psi_j \psi_k \psi_l dx. \quad (5)$$

III. TWO-MODE MODEL

In order to test our theory for the simplest case, we investigate whether bistability can exist considering only scattering between the first two states of the 1D harmonic oscillator (HO), ψ_0 , and ψ_1 , assuming that modulational instability takes place. Modulational instability was also predicted for nonresonantly excited polariton condensates where the condensate has a strong back-action effect on an incoherent reservoir of hot exciton states [33,34]. We are not in this regime as the complex Gross-Pitaevskii approach [32], corresponding to Eq. (1), applies to the case where the reservoir has a faster time scale than polariton dynamics and can be treated as independent.

The condensate order parameter can be written in the limited basis of the first two harmonic oscillator states,

$$\Psi(x, t) = A_0(t) \psi_0(x) + A_1(t) \psi_1(x). \quad (6)$$

A complete analysis is given in Appendix A. We begin by adiabatically switching on the pump. A condensation threshold is reached for the A_1 mode which, in the low density regime, satisfies $P_0 = \hbar/2\tau M_{1111}$. At higher pumping powers the A_0 mode becomes populated (both modes are degenerate) and we find that indeed there exists a bistable regime (see Fig. 2) where switching from one solution to the other can take place by pulsing the system incoherently. The blue and red solid (dashed) lines show results of slowly increasing (decreasing) the pump. The green and black dots are semianalytical results given by Eq. (A15) in Appendix A. One can see that the central branches of the hysteresis curve are resolved from Eq. (A15) whereas numerically time resolved results only show the bottom and top branches.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Whole (dashed) blue and red lines show the time resolved density from Eqs. (A1) and (A2) when the pump is slowly switched on (off). Calculated solutions from the analysis leading up to Eq. (A15) (green and black dots). Parameters: $m = 3 \times 10^{-5} m_0$ (where m_0 is the free electron mass), $\hbar\omega = 51.5 \mu\text{eV}$, $\alpha = 2.4 \mu\text{eV} \mu\text{m}$, $\tau = 2 \text{ ps}$, and $R = 0.3\alpha$.

In the case of a noninteracting condensate where $\alpha = 0$ and setting $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ we find stationary solutions corresponding to the case where only one mode stays populated (see Appendix A). Numerically we observe no solutions where both modes are populated at the same time. Indeed, from Eqs. (A1) and (A2) it is not directly evident whether or not such a solution exists. Thus, in theory, it remains unproven whether bistability exists in the absence of interactions for the two-mode model.

IV. THREE-MODE MODEL

We now extend our model to the first three eigenmodes of the HO where the order parameter is written

$$\Psi(x, t) = A_0(t)\psi_0(x) + A_1(t)\psi_1(x) + A_2(t)\psi_2(x). \quad (7)$$

Solving the three-mode model numerically [see Eqs. (B1)–(B3)] we find that bistable regions can indeed exist through a wide range of oscillator frequencies ω and pump intensities P_0 (see Figs. 3 and 4). Results displayed in Fig. 3 show the change in population of the three modes as the nonresonant pump is slowly switched on (whole lines) and then slowly switched off (dashed lines). First, a condensation threshold is reached for the A_1 mode which in the low-density regime satisfies $P_0 = \hbar/2\tau M_{1111} \simeq 0.65 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m}$. At higher pumping values there is an abrupt drop in the strength of the A_1 mode as scattering and gain of the A_0 and A_2 modes overcome their decay. Just as with the two-mode model, the three modes are degenerate and coexist at the same energy at all times when they are populated. As the pump is increased further, the A_1 mode is completely quenched and only modes A_0 and A_2 stay populated.

When the pump is slowly decreased (dashed lines in Fig. 3), one can clearly see that modes A_0 and A_2 remain supported

FIG. 3. (Color online) Results of the three-mode model under adiabatic incoherent pumping. (a) Population of the modes shown when the pump is slowly switched on (solid lines) and then slowly turned off (dashed lines). At a certain pump threshold there is a transition from A_1 into a condensate composed of all three modes. At higher pump values only A_0 and A_2 stay populated. One can see that a bistable interval exists at $2.1 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m} \lesssim P_0 \lesssim 3.1 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m}$. (b) A closeup of the transition from frame (a). Parameters: $m = 3 \times 10^{-5}m_0$, $\hbar\omega = 34 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$, $\alpha = 2.4 \text{ } \mu\text{eV } \mu\text{m}$, $\tau = 15 \text{ ps}$, and $R = \alpha$.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Population of the second mode A_1 shown for varying oscillator frequency and pump intensity. Dashed lines enclose the two different bistable regions corresponding to those demonstrated in Fig. 3. Same parameters are used as in Fig. 3.

over an interval of pump values where previously only the A_1 mode was supported. A phase diagram showing the bistable region across different values of oscillator energies $\hbar\omega$ and pump intensities P_0 is displayed in Fig. 4. The black dashed lines indicate the two different bistable areas. The larger one, (i), shows where only A_1 exists but can be excited to a solution where A_0 and A_2 only exist. The smaller one, (ii), shows where all modes are populated but can also be excited to an A_0 and A_2 only solution.

Analogous to our results in Sec. III, we observe no population in the A_0 and A_2 modes when interactions are absent.

V. FULL CGP FORMALISM

So far simplified models have been considered using only the first two and three eigenmodes of the HO. These have demonstrated two artifacts of the trapped incoherently generated polariton condensate—namely, a bistable interval between the mode populations (Secs. III and IV), and a sudden transition from an odd solution into an even one (Sec. IV).

Realistic polariton systems however would contain many spatial modes, corresponding to a full model of the polariton spatial dynamics according to Eq. (1). Here, we solve Eq. (1) directly by propagating it stepwise in time and demonstrate that both of these artifacts from previous sections are still clearly present.

A. 1D HO system

Results for a 1D system are shown in Fig. 5, calculated for typical parameters of a GaAs based system: $m = 3 \times 10^{-5}m_0$, where m_0 is the free-electron mass, $\alpha = 2.4 \text{ } \mu\text{eV } \mu\text{m}$, $\tau = 2 \text{ ps}$, and $R = 0.3\alpha$. As the pump intensity is slowly increased we observe population growth only in the odd modes with the fastest growth in A_1 . As the pump intensity increases further a sudden transition takes place where the population of the odd modes (A_1 included) suddenly shifts to the even modes. This is analogous to the results displayed in Fig. 3 where A_1 succumbs to A_0 and A_2 . Slowly decreasing the pump

FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Populations of the first eight harmonic modes using the full CGP formalism. A bistable interval is observed at $9.8 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m} \lesssim P_0 \lesssim 13.9 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m}$ when pump is increased (solid lines) and then decreased (dashed lines) associated with odd modes transitioning into even ones and back. (b) Condensate energy during the forward transition for different potential strengths. (c) Increasing the pump strength reveals that the transition from odd to even modes takes place periodically with increasing pump power and does not affect the total integrated density N_P (black line). Note that frames (b) and (c) are only shown for increasing pump strength. Parameters were set to $m = 3 \times 10^{-5} m_0$, $\tau = 2 \text{ ps}$, $\alpha = 2.4 \text{ } \mu\text{eV } \mu\text{m}$, and $R = 0.3\alpha$. In (a) and (c), $\hbar\omega = 30 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$.

[dashed lines in Fig. 5(a)] reveals a bistable region before the condensate transitions back to a superposition of odd modes. Both solutions of the condensate are found to be stable within $9.8 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m} \lesssim P_0 \lesssim 13.9 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m}$. From Fig. 5(a) it can be seen that the population strength of different modes does not follow a strict hierarchy; an example is the crossover of $|A_3|^2$ and $|A_7|^2$. The energy of the condensate reveals a sudden blueshift when the transition takes place [see Fig. 5(b)] which increases with the oscillator energy $\hbar\omega$. Interestingly enough, the observed transition takes place periodically with increasing pump intensity [see Fig. 5(c)], and using pump spatial profiles corresponding to higher harmonic states also induces a transition from an odd to an even condensate. In Fig. 5(c) we see that the total integrated density N_P (black line) is unaffected by these transitions. We furthermore observe phase locking taking place between the two condensate solutions as the condensate is transitioning from one to another, corresponding to coherent transport of polaritons between odd and even states. Such a phase synchronization phenomenon has been previously reported in spinor polariton condensates due to parametric scattering [35]. In the noninteracting case ($\alpha = 0$) the transition is no longer observed for increasing pump power and the polariton population remains only in the odd modes, corresponding to the nontrivial stationary state of the pumped noninteracting condensate. This is in analogy with results from Secs. III and IV where no population could be observed in the neighboring even modes, A_0 and A_2 .

FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Switching wave demonstrated in a 2D system with a parabolic trap of strength $\hbar\omega = 60 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$ along the x axis. An incoherent pulse is activated causing a sudden transition from a condensate composed of odd harmonic states into even states in the form of a switching wave. (b) K -space dynamics showing change of topology when the transition occurs. (c) A switching wave traveling along curved potential geometry where $\hbar\omega = 30 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$. Other parameters were set to same values used in Fig. 5.

B. 2D HO system

Applying the 1D system to a 2D one, the polariton condensate can be confined along a parabolic guide. By slowly switching on the nonresonant background pump to the bistable regime, a nice steady-state solution, superposed of odd modes, is formed along the guide. The condensate is then pulsed incoherently at one end resulting in a switching wave which travels along the guide (this can also be achieved by coherent injections) transitioning odd harmonic modes into even modes. In Fig. 6(a) we see a signal traveling along a straight guide, set to $\hbar\omega = 60 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$, after a pulsed incoherent injection on the left end. At first the signal moves slowly, then it speeds up as the condensate starts to switch rapidly into its bistable counterpart. From numerical calculations the velocity of the signal is determined to be around $\sim 1.2 \text{ } \mu\text{m/ps}$.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Bistable area demonstrated in the infinite quantum well of width $L = 60 \mu\text{m}$; the result is analogous to the bistable area displayed in Fig. 5. Parameters: $\alpha = 2.4 \mu\text{eV} \mu\text{m}$, $R = 0.3\alpha$, $\tau = 2 \text{ ps}$, $m = 3 \times 10^{-5} m_0$.

The temporal width of the pulse is in the order of a few picoseconds. Figure 6(b) shows the corresponding change in K -space occupancy, extracted from $x = 0$ in Fig. 6(a). In Fig. 6(c) we demonstrate the same type of a switching wave but now in a guide with $\hbar\omega = 30 \mu\text{eV}$. Here the signal travels successfully around a curved guide completing a 90° turn. Such switching waves can be realized over a large range of potential frequencies. In fact, increasing the trap frequency ω reveals that the blueshift associated with the switching increases [Fig. 5(b)] and consequently increases the speed of the signal. This opens up the possibility of controlling the signal speed using different potential strengths.

VI. INFINITE QUANTUM WELL

Another example of a well-known trapping geometry is the infinite quantum well, a well studied system with known eigensolutions to the Schrödinger equation. The main difference here from the HO is that the energy levels are no longer equidistant but grow quadratically with the mode quantum number n . Applying the same method as in Sec. V A we see that a bistable interval also exists (see Fig. 7). Note that the indexing of the modes in Fig. 7 follows common literature on the infinite quantum well, where the quantum number starts at $n = 1$, whereas in the HO it starts at $n = 0$.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that an open-dissipative polariton condensate, supported by incoherent pumping, described by a Gross-Pitaevskii-type equation confined in a trapping potential, can possess bistable regions. The bistability is inherited from first scattering between degenerate condensate modes, and second gain-decay mechanisms resulting from the different pump overlap for different harmonic modes. Results show that the minimum requirement for such bistability to take place is a system containing only two separate energy levels.

For higher pumping powers the condensate undergoes a series of oscillations in parity between highly occupied odd and even modes. We can switch from one solution of the condensate to its bistable counterpart in 2D harmonic potential guides, carrying information at velocities around $\sim 1.2 \mu\text{m/ps}$, and managing to travel along curved guides.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H.S. and I.S. acknowledge support by the Rannis Project BOFEHYSS and FP7 ITN Project NOTEDDEV. I.S. thanks Russian Target Federal Program, project 14.587.21.0020 for support.

APPENDIX A: TWO-MODE MODEL

Starting from Eq. (4), we come to the following two coupled equations for the first two eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator (neglecting higher modes) described by the CGP equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{dA_0}{dt} = \left(E_0 - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau}\right) A_0 + iP_0 M_{0011} A_0 + (\alpha - iR) \times [M_{0000} |A_0|^2 A_0 + M_{0011} (A_0^* A_1^2 + 2A_0 |A_1|^2)], \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$i\hbar \frac{dA_1}{dt} = \left(E_1 - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau}\right) A_1 + iP_0 M_{1111} A_1 + (\alpha - iR) \times [M_{1111} |A_1|^2 A_1 + M_{0011} (2|A_0|^2 A_1 + A_0^2 A_1^*)]. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Let us keep in mind that the matrix elements can be written in a simpler form,

$$M_{0000} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar}} = \beta, \quad M_{0011} = \frac{\beta}{2}, \quad M_{1111} = \frac{3\beta}{4}.$$

1. Noninteracting case

Though the coupled dynamical equations offer four independent equations to solve the problem exactly for any finite population in both modes, the nonlinear nature of the coupling does not reveal a clear solution depending on the physical parameters of the problem. It remains then unproven whether for some set of (ω, P_0, R) one can have a solution with finite populations in both modes in the absence of interactions.

However, one can determine two trivial stationary solutions: $|A_0|^2 = 0$, $|A_1|^2 = P_0/R$, and $|A_0|^2 = P_0/2R$, $|A_1|^2 = 0$. In principle, one can then have bistability if both solutions are stable. To check this, we perform stability analysis analogous to Ref. [36] on the two solutions. We use the following ansatz for the former solution:

$$A_0(t) = e^{-i\mu t} [A_0^0 + \delta A_0(t)], \quad A_1(t) = e^{-i\mu t} [0 + \delta A_1(t)].$$

Let us plug into Eqs. (A1) and (A2) and neglect higher-order terms of $\delta A_{0,1}$. The steady state will satisfy $|A_0^0| = P_0/2R$ and $\hbar\mu = E_0$,

$$i\hbar \frac{d(\delta A_0)}{dt} = -iP_0 \frac{\beta}{2} \delta A_0 - iP_0 \beta \frac{(\delta A_0)^*}{2}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$i\hbar \frac{d(\delta A_1)}{dt} = \left(\hbar\omega + iP_0 \frac{\beta}{4}\right) \delta A_1 - iP_0 \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{(\delta A_1)^*}{2}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The fluctuations can be described with $\delta A_{0,1}(t) = u_{0,1} e^{i\epsilon t} + v_{0,1}^* e^{-i\epsilon^* t}$ where $\hbar\epsilon$ is the fluctuation energy. We then come to an eigenvalue problem $\mathcal{M}\delta\Psi = \epsilon\delta\Psi$ where

FIG. 8. (Color online) (a),(b) Imaginary parts of the eigenvalues given by Eqs. (A5) and (A6) respectively for varying oscillator frequency ω and $P_0 = 1 \text{ meV } \mu\text{m}$. (c),(d) Same for $\hbar\omega = 30 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$ and varying pump intensity P_0 . For all plots: $R = 0.72 \text{ } \mu\text{eV } \mu\text{m}$.

$\delta\Psi := (u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1)^T$. The matrix is

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -iP_0\beta/2 & -iP_0\beta/2 & 0 & 0 \\ -iP_0\beta/2 & -iP_0\beta/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \hbar\omega + iP_0\beta/4 & -iP_0\beta/4 \\ 0 & 0 & -iP_0\beta/4 & -\hbar\omega + iP_0\beta/4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

and for the case of $A_0(t) = e^{-i\mu t}[0 + \delta A_0(t)]$ and $A_1(t) = e^{-i\mu t}[A_1^0 + \delta A_1(t)]$ we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar\omega - iP_0\beta/2 & -iP_0\beta/4 & 0 & 0 \\ -iP_0\beta/4 & \hbar\omega - iP_0\beta/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i3P_0\beta/4 & -i3P_0\beta/8 \\ 0 & 0 & -i3P_0\beta/8 & -i3P_0\beta/4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

The spectrum will then consist of four eigenvalues ε_i corresponding to the normal modes of $\delta A_{0,1}$. Here the stability is determined by the imaginary part of the eigenvalues. If all imaginary parts are negative the fluctuations decay exponentially. If however one or more eigenvalues are positive then the fluctuations grow exponentially. In Fig. 8 we plot the imaginary parts of eigenvalues for Eqs. (A5) and (A6) for different values of P_0 and ω . We find that positive imaginary parts of the eigenvalues exist when A_0 is populated underlining that it is unstable whereas when we have only population in A_1 it is stable.

2. Interacting case

In order to find an analytical expression for the two modes when they are both populated and interactions are nonzero, we make an attempt at solving Eqs. (A1) and (A2) for some arbitrary complex solution $A_0 = (a + ib)e^{-i\mu t}$ and $A_1 = ce^{-i\mu t}$ where we have assumed that the two modes are degenerate with condensate energy $\hbar\mu$ and the global phase is invariant (only relative phase is important). We then arrive at

$$\hbar\mu = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau} + (\alpha - iR) \left[M_{0000}(a^2 + b^2) + M_{0011}c^2 \frac{a^2 - b^2 - 2iab}{a^2 + b^2} + 2M_{0011}c^2 \right] + iP_0M_{0011}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\hbar\mu = \frac{3\hbar\omega}{2} - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau} + (\alpha - iR) [M_{1111}c^2 + M_{0011}(a^2 - b^2 + 2iab) + 2M_{0011}(a^2 + b^2)] + iP_0M_{1111} \quad (\text{A8})$$

From the first equation,

$$0 = \left\{ \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} - \hbar\mu + \alpha\beta(a^2 + b^2) + \alpha\beta c^2 \frac{a^2 - b^2}{2(a^2 + b^2)} + \alpha\beta c^2 - R\beta \frac{abc^2}{a^2 + b^2} \right\}_{\text{Re}} \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$- i \left\{ \frac{\hbar}{2\tau_P} + \alpha\beta c^2 \frac{ab}{a^2 + b^2} + R\beta(a^2 + b^2) + R\beta c^2 \frac{a^2 - b^2}{2(a^2 + b^2)} + R\beta c^2 - P_0 \frac{\beta}{2} \right\}_{\text{Im}}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

From the second equation,

$$0 = \left\{ \frac{3\hbar\omega}{2} - \hbar\mu + \alpha \frac{3\beta c^2}{4} + \alpha \frac{\beta}{2}(a^2 - b^2) + \alpha\beta(a^2 + b^2) + R\beta ab \right\}_{\text{Re}} \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$- i \left\{ \frac{\hbar}{2\tau_P} - \alpha\beta ab + R \frac{3\beta}{4} c^2 + R\beta \frac{a^2 - b^2}{2} + R\beta(a^2 + b^2) - P_0 \frac{3\beta}{4} \right\}_{\text{Im}}. \quad (\text{A12})$$

The real and imaginary parts must be zero. Let us take the difference of the real parts and imaginary parts respectively to get two separate equations for c^2 and to get rid of μ and τ for the time being:

$$c^2 = \frac{2\alpha(a^4 - b^4) + 4Rab(a^2 + b^2) + 4(\hbar\omega/\beta)(a^2 + b^2)}{2\alpha(a^2 - b^2) + \alpha(a^2 + b^2) - 4Rab}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$c^2 = \frac{2R(a^4 - b^4) - 4\alpha ab(a^2 + b^2) - P_0(a^2 + b^2)}{2R(a^2 - b^2) + R(a^2 + b^2) + 4\alpha ab}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

Equating the two, we arrive at a cubic equation for b ,

$$0 = -b^3[3\alpha(\alpha^2 + R^2)] - b^2 \left[\frac{\hbar\omega}{\beta} R + \frac{P_0}{4} \alpha \right] + b \left[5a^3(\alpha^2 + R^2) + \frac{4\hbar\omega}{\beta} \alpha a - P_0 R a \right] + \left[\frac{3\hbar\omega}{\beta} R a^2 + \frac{3P_0}{4} \alpha a^2 \right]. \quad (\text{A15})$$

Thus, the bistable area corresponds to an interval where three real roots exist to this equation for a real valued c and satisfy the constraint that Eqs. (A9)–(A12) should be zero. This allows us to solve the hysteresis branches of bistable areas as shown in Fig. 2 (green and black dots).

APPENDIX B: THREE-MODE MODEL

Working with only the first three eigenstates of the HO, one arrives at three coupled equations,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{dA_0}{dt} = & \left(E_0 - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau} \right) A_0 + iP_0(M_{0011}A_0 + M_{0112}A_2) \\ & + (\alpha - iR) \left\{ M_{0000}|A_0|^2 A_0 + M_{0222}|A_2|^2 A_2 + M_{0002}[2|A_0|^2 A_2 + A_0^2 A_2^*] \right. \\ & \left. + M_{0011}[A_0^* A_1^2 + 2A_0|A_1|^2] + M_{0022}[A_0^* A_2^2 + 2A_0|A_2|^2] + M_{0112}[2|A_1|^2 A_2 + A_1^2 A_2^*] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{dA_1}{dt} = & \left(E_1 - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau} \right) A_1 + iP_0 M_{1111} A_1 + (\alpha - iR) \left\{ M_{1111}|A_1|^2 A_1 + M_{0011}[2|A_0|^2 A_1 + A_0^2 A_1^*] \right. \\ & \left. + 2M_{0112}[A_0^* A_1 A_2 + A_0 A_1^* A_2 + A_0 A_1 A_2^*] + M_{1122}[A_1^* A_2^2 + 2A_1|A_2|^2] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{dA_2}{dt} = & \left(E_2 - \frac{i\hbar}{2\tau} \right) A_2 + iP_0(M_{0112}A_0 + M_{1122}A_2) + (\alpha - iR) \left\{ M_{2222}|A_2|^2 A_2 + M_{0002}|A_0|^2 A_0 \right. \\ & + M_{0022}[2|A_0|^2 A_2 + A_0^2 A_2^*] + M_{0112}[A_0^* A_1^2 + 2A_0|A_1|^2] \\ & \left. + M_{0222}[A_0^* A_2^2 + 2A_0|A_2|^2] + M_{1122}[2|A_1|^2 A_2 + A_1^2 A_2^*] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

- [1] A. V. Kavokin, J. J. Baumberg, G. Malpuech, and F. P. Laussy, *Microcavities*, 1st ed. (Oxford University Press, New York, 2007).
 [2] C. Weisbuch, M. Nishioka, A. Ishikawa, and Y. Arakawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 3314 (1992).

- [3] J. Kasprzak, M. Richard, S. Kundermann, A. Baas, P. Jeambrun, J. M. J. Keeling, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymanska, R. Andre, J. L. Staehli, V. Savona, P. B. Littlewood, B. Deveaud, and L. S. Dang, *Nature (London)* **443**, 409 (2006).

- [4] S. Christopoulos, G. B. H. von Högersthal, A. J. D. Grundy, P. G. Lagoudakis, A. V. Kavokin, J. J. Baumberg, G. Christmann, R. Butté, E. Feltin, J.-F. Carlin, and N. Grandjean, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 126405 (2007).
- [5] N. A. Gippius, S. G. Tikhodeev, V. D. Kulakovskii, D. N. Krizhanovskii, and A. I. Tartakovskii, *Europhys. Lett.* **67**, 997 (2004).
- [6] A. Baas, J. P. Karr, H. Eleuch, and E. Giacobino, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 023809 (2004).
- [7] D. M. Whittaker, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 115301 (2005).
- [8] A. Amo, T. C. H. Liew, C. Adrados, R. Houdre, E. Giacobino, A. V. Kavokin, and A. Bramati, *Nat. Photon.* **4**, 361 (2010).
- [9] C. Adrados, T. C. H. Liew, A. Amo, M. D. Martín, D. Sanvitto, C. Antón, E. Giacobino, A. Kavokin, A. Bramati, and L. Viña, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 146402 (2011).
- [10] T. Espinosa-Ortega and T. C. H. Liew, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 195305 (2013).
- [11] R. Cerna, Y. Léger, T. K. Paraíso, M. Wouters, F. Morier-Genoud, M. T. Portella-Oberli, and B. Deveaud, *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2008 (2013).
- [12] E. Cancellieri, A. Hayat, A. M. Steinberg, E. Giacobino, and A. Bramati, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 053601 (2014).
- [13] G. Grosso, S. Trebaol, M. Wouters, F. Morier-Genoud, M. T. Portella-Oberli, and B. Deveaud, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 045307 (2014).
- [14] M. De Giorgi, D. Ballarini, E. Cancellieri, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymanska, C. Tejedor, R. Cingolani, E. Giacobino, A. Bramati, G. Gigli, and D. Sanvitto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 266407 (2012).
- [15] T. Espinosa-Ortega, T. C. H. Liew, and I. A. Shelykh, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 191110 (2013).
- [16] P. G. Savvidis, J. J. Baumberg, R. M. Stevenson, M. S. Skolnick, D. M. Whittaker, and J. S. Roberts, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1547 (2000).
- [17] C. Ciuti, P. Schwendimann, B. Deveaud, and A. Quattropani, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, R4825(R) (2000).
- [18] C. Ciuti, P. Schwendimann, and A. Quattropani, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 041303 (2001).
- [19] M. Saba, C. Ciuti, J. Bloch, V. Thierry-Mieg, R. Andre, L. S. Dang, S. Kundermann, A. Mura, G. Bongiovanni, J. L. Staehli, and B. Deveaud, *Nature (London)* **414**, 731 (2001).
- [20] A. I. Tartakovskii, D. N. Krizhanovskii, D. A. Kurysh, V. D. Kulakovskii, M. S. Skolnick, and J. S. Roberts, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 081308 (2002).
- [21] O. A. Egorov, A. V. Gorbach, F. Lederer, and D. V. Skryabin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 073903 (2010).
- [22] O. A. Egorov and F. Lederer, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 115315 (2013).
- [23] O. A. Egorov and F. Lederer, *Opt. Lett.* **39**, 4029 (2014).
- [24] D. V. Karpov, I. G. Savenko, H. Flayac, and N. N. Rosanov, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 075305 (2015).
- [25] O. Kyriienko, E. A. Ostrovskaya, O. A. Egorov, I. A. Shelykh, and T. C. H. Liew, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 125407 (2014).
- [26] H. Ohadi, A. Dreismann, Y. G. Rubo, F. Pinsker, Y. del Valle-Inclan Redondo, S. I. Tsintzos, Z. Hatzopoulos, P. G. Savvidis, and J. J. Baumberg, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031002 (2015).
- [27] T. C. H. Liew, O. A. Egorov, M. Matuszewski, O. Kyriienko, X. Ma, and E. A. Ostrovskaya, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 085413 (2015).
- [28] R. B. Balili, D. W. Snoke, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 031110 (2006).
- [29] R. Balili, V. Hartwell, D. Snoke, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, *Science* **316**, 1007 (2007).
- [30] M. Wouters and I. Carusotto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 140402 (2007).
- [31] O. L. Berman, Y. E. Lozovik, and D. W. Snoke, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 155317 (2008).
- [32] J. Keeling and N. G. Berloff, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 250401 (2008).
- [33] L. A. Smirnov, D. A. Smirnova, E. A. Ostrovskaya, and Y. S. Kivshar, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 235310 (2014).
- [34] N. Bobrovskaya, E. A. Ostrovskaya, and M. Matuszewski, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 205304 (2014).
- [35] P. Walker, T. C. H. Liew, D. Sarkar, M. Durska, A. P. D. Love, M. S. Skolnick, J. S. Roberts, I. A. Shelykh, A. V. Kavokin, and D. N. Krizhanovskii, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 257401 (2011).
- [36] D. Sarchi, I. Carusotto, M. Wouters, and V. Savona, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 125324 (2008).