Greedy pursuits based gradual weighting strategy for weighted ℓ_1 -minimization

Narayanan, Sathya; Sahoo, Sujit Kumar; Makur, Anamitra

2018

Narayanan, S., Sahoo, S. K., & Makur, A. (2018). Greedy pursuits based gradual weighting strategy for weighted ℓ_1 -minimization. 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). doi:10.1109/ICASSP.2018.8462645

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/81440>

<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2018.8462645>

© 2018 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works. The published version is available at:
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2018.8462645>

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 14:59:46 SGT

GREEDY PURSUITS BASED GRADUAL WEIGHTING STRATEGY FOR WEIGHTED ℓ_1 -MINIMIZATION

Sathiya Narayanan¹, Sujit Kumar Sahoo² and Anamitra Makur¹

¹School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

²Department of Statistics and Applied Probability, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Abstract—In Compressive Sensing (CS) of sparse signals, standard ℓ_1 -minimization can be effectively replaced with Weighted ℓ_1 -minimization ($W\ell_1$) if some information about the signal or its sparsity pattern is available. If no such information is available, Re-Weighted ℓ_1 -minimization ($ReW\ell_1$) can be deployed. $ReW\ell_1$ solves a series of $W\ell_1$ problems, and therefore, its computational complexity is high. An alternative to $ReW\ell_1$ is the Greedy Pursuits Assisted Basis Pursuit (GPABP) which employs multiple Greedy Pursuits (GPs) to obtain signal information which in turn is used to run $W\ell_1$. Although GPABP is an effective fusion technique, it adapts a binary weighting strategy for running $W\ell_1$, which is very restrictive. In this article, we propose a gradual weighting strategy for $W\ell_1$, which handles the signal estimates resulting from multiple GPs more effectively compared to the binary weighting strategy of GPABP. The resulting algorithm is termed as Greedy Pursuits assisted Weighted ℓ_1 -minimization (GP- $W\ell_1$). For GP- $W\ell_1$, we derive the theoretical upper bound on its reconstruction error. Through simulation results, we show that the proposed GP- $W\ell_1$ outperforms $ReW\ell_1$ and the state-of-the-art GPABP.

Index Terms—Weighted ℓ_1 -minimization, greedy pursuits assisted basis pursuit

I. INTRODUCTION

Compressive Sensing (CS) ensures the reconstruction of a sparse signal $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from its measurement vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ of the form $y = \Phi x + v \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a known CS matrix with $m \ll n$ and v is the measurement noise [1]-[2]. Let K denote the signal sparsity level (i.e. there are only $K \ll n$ significant entries in x). Typically, $m = O(K \log(n))$ [3]. A sensing matrix Φ is said to obey the Restricted Isometry Property (RIP) if there exists a constant $\delta_K \in [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$(1 - \delta_K)\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|\Phi x\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta_K)\|x\|_2^2 \quad (1)$$

for all K -sparse vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that $\|\cdot\|_2$ stands for the vector ℓ_2 -norm. The sparse signal can be reconstructed from y using CS reconstruction algorithms. They are broadly classified as convex relaxation methods (such as Basis Pursuit or ℓ_1 -minimization [4]) and Greedy Pursuit (GP) algorithms (such as Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) [5], Subspace Pursuit (SP) [6], Iterative Hard Thresholding (IHT) [7] and Backtracking-based Adaptive Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (BAOMP) [8]). Among these two classes, GPs are known for their faster convergence. Applications of CS include image/video compression [9]-[10], radar echo recovery [11] and ECG signal reconstruction [12].

Motivation and Relation to Prior Work: In reconstruction of sparse signals, standard ℓ_1 -minimization can be effectively replaced with Weighted ℓ_1 -minimization ($W\ell_1$) if some information about the signal is known apriori [13]-[16]. If no such information is available, Re-Weighted ℓ_1 -minimization ($ReW\ell_1$) can be deployed [17]. As $ReW\ell_1$ solves a series of $W\ell_1$ problems, its computational complexity is high [18]. The Greedy Pursuits Assisted Basis Pursuit (GPABP) algorithm [19], an effective alternative to $ReW\ell_1$ in no

prior information scenario, employs multiple GPs to obtain signal information which in turn is used to run $W\ell_1$. However, GPABP adapts a binary weighting strategy to determine the weight vector for $W\ell_1$, which is very restrictive [19]-[20]. Given the support estimates resulting from multiple GPs, a gradual weighting could be adapted. This article proposes a gradual weighting strategy for $W\ell_1$, which handles the signal estimates resulting from multiple GPs more effectively compared to the binary weighting strategy of GPABP. The resulting algorithm is termed as Greedy Pursuits assisted Weighted ℓ_1 -minimization (GP- $W\ell_1$).

II. PRIOR WORKS

This section briefly describes $W\ell_1$, $ReW\ell_1$ and GPABP. The actual support of x , $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, is defined as the set of indices i where $x(i)$ is non-zero. In [13], $W\ell_1$ was proposed for reconstructing sparse signals whose prior information is available in the form of partial support. The partial support, say $T_k \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, is defined as the set of indices i where $x(i)$ is estimated to be non-zero. The $W\ell_1$ problem is formulated as

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min_{\tilde{x}} \|\tilde{x}\|_{1,w} \text{ s.t. } \|\Phi \tilde{x} - y\|_2 \leq \epsilon \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{x}$ is the reconstructed signal, $w \in [0, 1]^n$ and $\|\tilde{x}\|_{1,w} := \sum_i w(i)|\tilde{x}(i)|$ is the weighted ℓ_1 norm with $w(i) = \omega \in [0, 1]$ whenever $i \in T_k$, and $w(i) = 1$ otherwise. Note that, in (2), ϵ is the error tolerance (due to the presence of noise in y). A similar problem to $W\ell_1$ was reported in [14] but it assumed a probabilistic prior on the support. If no prior information is available, $ReW\ell_1$ can be applied [17]. $ReW\ell_1$ solves a series of $W\ell_1$ problems where the weights for the next iteration (say $w^{(t+1)}$) are computed from the value of the current solution (say $\hat{x}^{(t)}$) as follows,

$$w(i)^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{|\hat{x}^{(t)}(i)| + \tau} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

The parameter $\tau > 0$ provides stability. In the initial iteration of $ReW\ell_1$, $\hat{x}^{(0)}$ is obtained by solving (2), fixing $w = 1$.

The GPABP algorithm [19], tailored specially for the no prior information scenario, employs multiple GPs to form $\tilde{T}_k$ as $\tilde{T}_k = \bigcap_{i=1}^L \hat{T}_i$, where L is the number of GPs and $\hat{T}_i$ is the support set estimated by the i^{th} GP. Then, $\tilde{T}_k$ is used to run Modified Basis Pursuit (Mod-BP) [15] as follows,

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min_{\tilde{x}} \|\tilde{x}_{\tilde{T}_k^c}\|_1 \text{ s.t. } \|\Phi \tilde{x} - y\|_2 \leq \epsilon \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{T}_k^c$ is the set compliment of $\tilde{T}_k$, $\tilde{x}_{\tilde{T}_k^c}$ is the subset of $\tilde{x}$ formed by extracting the entries of $\tilde{x}$ corresponding to the indices in $\tilde{T}_k^c$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ stands for the vector ℓ_1 -norm. The GPABP algorithm was shown to outperform fusion-based CS reconstruction algorithms such as fusion-of-algorithms for CS [21] and committee machine approach for CS [22].

Algorithm 1 Proposed GP-W ℓ_1

Require: Φ , y , K , L and ϵ

1: for $i=1:L$; $\hat{T}_i = \text{GP}_i(\Phi, y, K)$; end

2: Weight vector: $w' = [w'(1) \ w'(2) \ \dots \ w'(n)]$ where

$$w'(i) = 1 - \frac{G_i}{L}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

3: Obtain $\hat{x}$ using W ℓ_1 :

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min_{\tilde{x}} \|\tilde{x}\|_{1,w'} \text{ s.t. } \|\Phi \tilde{x} - y\|_2 \leq \epsilon.$$

III. PROPOSED WEIGHTING STRATEGY FOR W ℓ_1

If no prior signal/support information is available, either ReW ℓ_1 or GPABP can be considered. High computational complexity of ReW ℓ_1 is not affordable in many practical scenarios. On the other hand, GPABP has a limitation: the weighting is only binary (i.e. ω or 1). In this article, in order to have more number of weights, we propose a novel weighting strategy which assigns the weight for each location based on the number of GPs picking that location. This gradual weighting strategy is expected to give more precise weights compared to that of the binary weighting strategy. The resulting algorithm is termed as GP-W ℓ_1 . Algorithm 1 shows the step-by-step procedure in GP-W ℓ_1 . The GP-W ℓ_1 algorithm employs L GPs to obtain $\hat{T}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. The weight corresponding to each location i is computed as follows,

$$w'(i) = 1 - \frac{G_i}{L}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

where G_i is the number of GPs picking the location i . Then, the weight vector $w' = [w'(1) \ w'(2) \ \dots \ w'(n)]$ is used to obtain $\hat{x}$ as follows,

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min_{\tilde{x}} \|\tilde{x}\|_{1,w'} \text{ s.t. } \|\Phi \tilde{x} - y\|_2 \leq \epsilon. \quad (6)$$

It can be inferred from (5) that, for a location picked by large number of GPs, the weight will be small. Fig. 1 shows the schematic of the gradual weighting strategy of GP-W ℓ_1 . The set, $[1, 2, \dots, n]$, is partitioned into $L + 1$ disjoint subsets $N_l, l \in 0, 1, \dots, L$ where N_l denotes the set of locations picked by exactly l (out of L) GPs. Larger the l , smaller will be the weight for the subset N_l . Owing to the fact that the GPs have much lesser computational complexity compared to that of the W ℓ_1 , GP-W ℓ_1 's computational complexity will be of the same order as that of the W ℓ_1 . The fact that the reconstruction accuracy of GP-W ℓ_1 increases with an increase in L can be verified in section IV.

A. Theoretical Analysis of GP-W ℓ_1

The following theorem gives the upper bound on GP-W ℓ_1 's reconstruction error.

Theorem 1: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let x_K be its best K -term approximation, supported on T . Assume L GPs are used for weights estimation and let $[1, 2, \dots, n]$ be partitioned into $L + 1$ disjoint subsets $N_l, l \in 0, 1, \dots, L$ where N_l mentioned as before denotes the set of locations picked by exactly l (out of L) algorithms. Suppose that there exists an $a \in \frac{1}{K}\mathbb{Z}$ that obeys $|N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L| = aK$, and the matrix Φ obeys RIP with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{aK} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}} \delta_{(a+1)K} \\ \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha' = \frac{|(N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L) \cap T|}{aK}$ and $\beta' = \frac{|N_L \cap T|}{K}$. Then the solution $\hat{x}$ to (6) obeys

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \leq D_1 \epsilon + D_2 \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w_l \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1,$$

where D_1 and D_2 are constants (given in the proof below) that depend on Φ, a, α' and β' .

Proof: Let $\hat{x} = x + h$ be the minimizer of (6). Then

$$\|x + h\|_{1,w'} \leq \|x\|_{1,w'}.$$

Moreover, due to the choice of weights (given by (5)),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l} + h_{N_l}\|_1 &\leq \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l}\|_1. \\ \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T} + h_{N_l \cap T}\|_1 &+ \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c} + h_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1 \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T}\|_1 + \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using forward and reverse triangle inequalities, the above expression becomes,

$$\sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|h_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1 \leq \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|h_{N_l \cap T}\|_1 + 2 \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1.$$

By adding $\sum_{l=0}^L (1 - w'(l)) \|h_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1$ on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T^c}\|_1 &\leq \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|h_{N_l \cap T}\|_1 + \sum_{l=0}^L (1 - w'(l)) \|h_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{l=0}^L w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w'(L) = 1 - w'(0) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T^c}\|_1 &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|h_{N_l \cap T}\|_1 + \sum_{l=1}^L (1 - w'(l)) \|h_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that the weights range from 0 to 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T^c}\|_1 &\leq \|h_{(N_0 \cup N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_{L-1}) \cap T}\|_1 \\ &\quad + \|h_{(N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L) \cap T^c}\|_1 + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\check{T} = (T - N_L) \cup (T^c - N_0)$. Then,

$$\|h_{T^c}\|_1 \leq \|h_{\check{T}}\|_1 + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1. \quad (7)$$

Let us define two parameters $\alpha' = \frac{|(N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L) \cap T|}{aK}$ and $\beta' = \frac{|N_L \cap T|}{K}$ such that $|\check{T}| = (1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha'))K$. Since for a n -length vector x , $\|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T^c}\|_1 &\leq \sqrt{(1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha'))K} \|h_{\check{T}}\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Fig. 1: Gradual Weighting Strategy of GP-W ℓ_1

As in [13], the coefficients of h_{T^c} are sorted in the order of decreasing magnitude partitioning T^c into disjoint sets T_j , $j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ each of size aK , where $a > 1$. That is, T_1 indexes the aK largest magnitude coefficients of h_{T^c} , T_2 indexes the second aK largest magnitude coefficients of h_{T^c} , and so on. This gives

$$\|h_{T_j}\|_2 \leq (aK)^{-1/2} \|h_{T_{j-1}}\|_1. \quad (9)$$

Let $T_{01} = T \cup T_1$, then using the triangle inequality in the above gives

$$\|h_{T_{01}}\|_2 \leq (aK)^{-1/2} \|h_{T^c}\|_1. \quad (10)$$

Since x and $\hat{x}$ are feasible, $\|\Phi h\|_2 \leq 2\epsilon$ and

$$\|\Phi h_{T_{01}}\|_2 \leq 2\epsilon + \sum_{j>1} \|\Phi h_{T_j}\|_2 \leq 2\epsilon + \sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}} \sum_{j>1} \|h_{T_j}\|_2$$

where the last inequality follows RIP. Since $\sum_{j>1} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 = \|h_{T_{01}^c}\|_2$, using (10) in the above equation gives

$$\|\Phi h_{T_{01}}\|_2 \leq 2\epsilon + \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}}}{\sqrt{aK}} \|h_{T^c}\|_1. \quad (11)$$

Using RIP on the R.H.S. of the above we get

$$\sqrt{1 - \delta_{(a+1)K}} \|h_{T_{01}}\|_2 \leq 2\epsilon + \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}}}{\sqrt{aK}} \|h_{T^c}\|_1. \quad (12)$$

Since T_1 contains the largest aK coefficients of h_{T^c} , $\|h_{T_1}\|_2 \leq \|h_{T_{01}}\|_2$, and therefore (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T^c}\|_1 &\leq \sqrt{(1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha'))K} \|h_{T_{01}}\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Combining (12) and (13) gives

$$\|h_{T_{01}}\|_2 \leq \frac{2\epsilon + 2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}}}{\sqrt{aK}} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{(a+1)K}} - \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}}}. \quad (14)$$

Finally, using the relation $\|h\|_2 \leq \|h_{T_{01}}\|_2 + \|h_{T_{01}^c}\|_2$, and substituting (14), (10) and (13) in it gives

$$\|h\|_2 \leq D_1 \epsilon + D_2 \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} w'(l) \|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1 \quad (15)$$

where the constants

$$D_1 = \frac{2 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}}{\sqrt{a}} \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{(a+1)K}} - \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}}} \quad (16)$$

and

$$D_2 = \frac{2 \frac{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{(a+1)K}} + \sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}}}{\sqrt{aK}}}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{(a+1)K}} - \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{aK}}}, \quad (17)$$

with the condition that the denominator is positive, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{aK} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}} \delta_{(a+1)K} \\ \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha')}} - 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1: If x is exactly sparse (i.e. $\|x_{N_l \cap T^c}\|_1 = 0$) and y is noiseless (i.e. $\epsilon = 0$), then $\|h\|_2 = 0$ which implies the reconstruction is exact.

Remark 2: For theorem 1 to hold, it is sufficient if Φ satisfies

$$\delta_{(a+1)K} < \frac{a - (1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha'))}{a + (1 - \beta' + a(1 - \alpha'))}. \quad (18)$$

Compared to the standard ℓ_1 -minimization [2] which requires Φ to satisfy $\delta_{(a+1)K} < \frac{a-1}{a+1}$, GP-W ℓ_1 has a weaker requirement provided

$$\beta' > a(1 - \alpha'). \quad (19)$$

As per the definitions of a , α' , and β' , the above condition holds when the ingredient GPs in GP-W ℓ_1 (i.e., GP $_i$ in step 1 of algorithm 1) estimate the signal support such that

$$|(N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L) \cap T| + |N_L \cap T| > |N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L|. \quad (20)$$

There are two favourable scenarios for the above condition to hold. First, every GP in GP-W ℓ_1 should pick most of the correct non-zero locations. This will lead to the LHS of (20) being close to $2K$. Second, all GPs should estimate nearly the same signal support. More importantly, the union of wrong locations picked by GPs (i.e. $(N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L) \cap T^c$) should be a small-sized set. This will lead to the RHS of (20) being close to K . Consider a typical reconstruction example where $n = 50$, $L = 3$ and $T = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\}$. Let the signal estimates resulting from L GPs be $\hat{T}_1 = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11\}$, $\hat{T}_2 = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 15\}$ and $\hat{T}_3 = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12\}$. This will result in $N_1 = \{10, 15\}$, $N_2 = \{11, 12\}$ and $N_3 = \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$. Therefore, $|N_L \cap T| = 4$, $|(N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L) \cap T| = 6$ and $|N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_L| = 8$. Since the condition in (20) holds, for this reconstruction scenario, GP-W ℓ_1 has a more favorable RIP requirement compared to that of the standard ℓ_1 -minimization.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Signal reconstruction is performed using three methods: ReW ℓ_1 [18], GPABP [19], and the proposed GP-W ℓ_1 . Both GPABP and GP-W ℓ_1 involve four GPs (OMP [5], SP [6], IHT [7] and BAOMP [8]). The *cvx* solver [23] is used for the implementation of W ℓ_1 in all

Fig. 2: Synthetic sparse signals: Average MSE versus MR

Fig. 3: Synthetic sparse signals: Average reconstruction time versus MR

three methods. For $\text{ReW}\ell_1$, τ is fixed as 0.1 (a value slightly smaller than the expected non-zero magnitudes of x).

Synthetic Sparse Signals: For our experiments on synthetic signals, Gaussian sparse signals of length $n = 250$ are generated. First experiment presents the reconstruction error as a function of Measurement Ratio ($\text{MR} = \frac{m}{n}$). An $m \times n$ Gaussian random measurement matrix is generated to acquire the sparse signal. In this experiment, y is corrupted by a noise such that its Signal to Measurement Noise Ratio (SMNR) is 20 dB. The SMNR is defined as

$$\text{SMNR (in dB)} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2^2}.$$

The constraint of $\text{W}\ell_1$ is fixed as $\|\Phi\tilde{x} - y\|_2 \leq 0.001$. Sparsity level K is fixed as 30 and the MR is varied between 0.2 and 0.48. For each value of MR, 250 independent trials are performed to obtain the average results. Fig. 2 shows the average Mean Square Error ($\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n}\|x - \hat{x}\|_2^2$) as a function of MR. For MRs greater than 0.3, GP-W ℓ_1 results in a reconstruction error that is less compared to that of the $\text{ReW}\ell_1$ and GPABP. Fig. 3 shows the corresponding average reconstruction time (i.e. computation time in MATLAB 7.12.0 running on a 64-bit Intel(R) CoreTM i5-2400 processor with 8 GB RAM). As expected, GP-W ℓ_1 's convergence is much faster than that of the $\text{ReW}\ell_1$ and comparable to that of the GPABP.

Next experiment reports the effect of L . The experimental set-up

Fig. 4: Synthetic sparse signals: Effect of L on GP-W ℓ_1

Fig. 5: Compressible ECG signals: Average MSE versus MR

is the same as that of the previous experiment and the GP-W ℓ_1 's performance is recorded for $L = 2, 3$ and 4. In each trial, support set estimates are obtained for all four GPs mentioned above. In the case of $L < 4$, only L randomly chosen support set estimates are used for weights estimation. It can be seen from fig. 4 that the accuracy of GP-W ℓ_1 increases with an increase in L .

Compressible ECG signals: For conducting an experiment on real world signal, the leads (i.e. ECG signals) are extracted from records 100, 101, 102 and 103 from the MIT-BIH Arrhythmia database [24]. These signals are divided into chunks of $n = 250$ samples (with their amplitudes ranging from 0 to 255). The sparse representations of these signals are obtained using the discrete cosine transform. We fixed $K = \lfloor \frac{m}{\log n} \rfloor$. The measurement vector y is obtained using an $m \times n$ Gaussian random matrix Φ . Then, y is corrupted by a noise such that its SMNR is 20 dB. Fig. 5 shows the average MSE as a function of MR, for three methods ($\text{ReW}\ell_1$, GPABP and GP-W ℓ_1). For MRs greater than 0.35, GP-W ℓ_1 gives the least MSE.

V. CONCLUSION

We proposed a gradual weighting strategy for $\text{W}\ell_1$, which handles the signal estimates resulting from multiple GPs more effectively compared to the binary weighting strategy of GPABP. For the proposed GP-W ℓ_1 , we derived the theoretical upper bound on its reconstruction error. Experimental results showed that, in reconstruction of sparse signals with no prior information, GP-W ℓ_1 outperforms GPABP and $\text{ReW}\ell_1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Donoho, "Compressed Sensing," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1289-1306, Apr. 2006.
- [2] E. Candes, J. Romberg, and T. Tao, "Robust Uncertainty Principles: Exact Signal Reconstruction from Highly Incomplete Frequency Information," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 489-509, Feb. 2006.
- [3] E. Candes and M. Wakin, "An Introduction To Compressive Sampling," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 21-30, Mar. 2008.
- [4] S. Chen, D. Donoho, and M. Saunders, "Atomic Decomposition by Basis Pursuit," *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, vol. 20, pp. 3361, 1998.
- [5] J. A. Tropp, "Greed is Good: Algorithmic Results for Sparse Approximation," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 50, pp. 2231-2242, Oct. 2004.
- [6] W. Dai and O. Milenkovic, "Subspace Pursuit for Compressive Sensing Signal Reconstruction," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, pp. 2230-2249, May 2009.
- [7] T. Blumensath and M. E. Davies, "Iterative Hard Thresholding for Compressed Sensing," *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 265-274, Nov. 2009.
- [8] H. Huang and A. Makur, "Backtracking-Based Matching Pursuit Method for Sparse Signal Reconstruction," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 391-394, Jul. 2011.
- [9] J. Romberg, "Imaging via Compressive Sampling," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 14-20, Mar. 2008.
- [10] S. Narayanan and A. Makur, "Compressive Coded Video Compression using Measurement Domain Motion Estimation," *IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Computing and Communication Technologies (CONECCT)*, pp.1-6, Bangalore, Jan. 2014.
- [11] S. Narayanan, S. K. Sahoo, and A. Makur, "Sparse Recovery of Radar Echo Signals using Adaptive Backtracking Matching Pursuit," *IEEE Radar Conference*, pp. 339-343, Johannesburg, Oct. 2015.
- [12] D. Craven, B. McGinley, L. Kilmartin, M. Glavin, and E. Jones, "Adaptive Dictionary Reconstruction for Compressed Sensing of ECG Signals," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 645-654, 2017.
- [13] M. P. Friedlander, H. Mansour, R. Saab and O. Yilmaz, "Recovering Compressively Sampled Signals Using Partial Support Information," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 1122-1134, Feb. 2012.
- [14] A. Khajehnejad, W. Xu, A. Avestimehr, and B. Hassibi, "Weighted L1 Minimization for Sparse Recovery with Prior Information," *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pp. 483487, 2009.
- [15] N. Vaswani and W. Lu, "Modified-CS: Modifying Compressive Sensing for Problems with Partially Known Support," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 4595-4607, Sep. 2010.
- [16] S. Narayanan, S. K. Sahoo and A. Makur, "Modified Adaptive Basis Pursuits for Recovery of Correlated Sparse Signals," *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 4136-4140, Italy, May 2014.
- [17] E. Candes, M. Wakin, and S. Boyd, "Enhancing Sparsity by Reweighted ℓ_1 Minimization," *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 5-6, pp. 877-905, Dec. 2008.
- [18] M. Asif and J. Romberg, "Fast and Accurate Algorithms for Re-Weighted ℓ_1 -Norm Minimization," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 61, no. 23, pp. 5905-5916, 2013.
- [19] S. Narayanan, S. K. Sahoo, and A. Makur, "Greedy Pursuits Assisted Basis Pursuit for Compressive Sensing," *European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*, pp. 699-703, Aug. 2015.
- [20] S. Narayanan, S. K. Sahoo and A. Makur, "Greedy Pursuits Assisted Basis Pursuit for Reconstruction of Joint-Sparse Signals," *Signal Processing*, vol. 142, pp. 485-491, Jan. 2018.
- [21] S. K. Ambat, S. Chatterjee, and K. V. S. Hari, "Fusion of algorithms for compressed sensing," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 61, no. 14, pp. 3699-3704, Jul. 2013.
- [22] S. K. Ambat, S. Chatterjee, and K. V. S. Hari, "A committee machine approach for compressed sensing signal reconstruction," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 1705-1717, Apr. 2014.
- [23] *cvx Solver*. Available online: <http://cvxr.com/cvx/>.
- [24] G. B. Moody and R. G. Mark, "The impact of the MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database," *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology*, 20(3):45-50, Jun. 2001.