Charge-Induced Second-Harmonic Generation in Bilayer WSe₂

Yu, Huakang; Talukdar, Deep; Xu, Weigao; Khurgin, Jacob B.; Xiong, Qihua

2015

Yu, H., Talukdar, D., Xu, W., Khurgin, J. B., & Xiong, Q. (2015). Charge-induced second-harmonic generation in bilayer WSe₂. *Nano Letters*, 15(8), 5653-5657.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/82824>

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b02547>

© 2015 American Chemical Society. This is the author created version of a work that has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by *Nano Letters*, American Chemical Society. It incorporates referee's comments but changes resulting from the publishing process, such as copyediting, structural formatting, may not be reflected in this document. The published version is available at: [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b02547>].

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 10:58:42 SGT

Communication

Charge-Induced Second-Harmonic Generation in Bilayer WSe₂

Huakang Yu, Deep Talukdar, Weigao Xu, Jacob B. Khurgin, and Qihua Xiong

Nano Lett., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b02547 • Publication Date (Web): 23 Jul 2015

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on July 23, 2015

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

ACS Publications

Nano Letters is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Charge-Induced Second-Harmonic Generation in Bilayer WSe₂

Huakang Yu,¹ Deep Talukdar,¹ Weigao Xu,¹ Jacob B. Khurgin^{2,*} and Qihua Xiong^{1,3,*}

¹Division of Physics and Applied Physics, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences,
Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371

²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore,
Maryland 21218, USA

³NOVITAS, Nanoelectronics Centre of Excellence, School of Electrical and Electronic
Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email addresses: Qihua@ntu.edu.sg and
jakek@jhu.edu.

Controlling nonlinear light-matter interaction is important from fundamental science point of view as well as a basis for future optoelectronic devices^{1,2}. Recent advances in two-dimensional crystals have created opportunities to manipulate nonlinear processes electrically³⁻⁸. Here we report a strong second-harmonic generation (SHG) in a 2D WSe₂ bilayer crystal caused by a back gate field. This unusual process takes place only when the gate polarity causes charge accumulation rather than depletion. Analysis based on bond-charge model traces the origin of SHG to the non-uniform field distribution within a single monolayer, caused by the accumulated sub-monolayer screening charge in the tungsten plane. We name this phenomenon charge-induced SHG (CHISHG), which is fundamentally different from the field- or current-induced SHG³⁻¹¹. Our findings provide a potentially valuable technique for understanding and noninvasive probing of charge and current distributions in future low dimensional electronic devices.

Keywords: Charged-Induced Second-Harmonic generation, Two-Dimensional Layered Materials, Tungsten Diselenide, Bond Charge Model, Charge Accumulation, Screening Effect

2D atomic materials exhibit extraordinary optical and electronic properties and have been intensively investigated over the past decade or so^{12,13}. With dimensions reduced to a monolayer, exceptional physical behaviors such as indirect-direct bandgap transition and inversion symmetry breaking have been discovered enabling various potential applications in nanoscale electronics and photonics¹⁴⁻¹⁷. Especially important is the ability to modulate the physical properties down to quantum size regime by external stimuli as it provides new avenues for exploring physics and technological applications. For instance, electric field and artificial stacking have been recently used for tuning orbital magnetic moment and Berry curvature of the Bloch states in bilayer graphene and two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs)¹⁸⁻²¹.

In this work we demonstrate manipulation of the bond charges in a bilayer TMD by applying a perpendicular electric field, which causes significant modification of the nonlinear optical properties, manifested by second-harmonic generation (SHG). The key difference between our results and numerous prior works on electric-field-induced SHG (E-FISHG)^{3-6,9-11}, is that CHISHG is observed only when the applied electric field causes space charge accumulation, which can occur in either accumulation or deep inversion mode of operation but not in the depletion mode. The microscopic origin of the effect can be traced to the specific nature of the electronic states at the direct band edges of 2D TMD²² that are predominantly comprised of the d_{xy} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals of transition metal (W) as shown in Fig. 1a and explained in greater detail in supplementary information. The accumulation charge is thus highly localized in the transition metal planes (*i.e.*, x - y plane) and the DC field becomes highly non-uniform due to the screening effect, which is fundamentally different from E-FISHG in conventional metal-semiconductor or metal-oxide-semiconductor structures^{4,9-11} where the effect has a macroscopic character. As a

result, E-FISHG, nearly forbidden by symmetry in case of uniform DC field, becomes allowed. Thus SHG measurement can serve as a gauge of space charge and electric field distribution on a sub-monolayer scale.

In our experiment, bilayer WSe₂ samples on 100-nm silicon oxide substrate were prepared by mechanical exfoliation method confirmed by Raman spectroscopy which exhibits characteristic low-frequency shear and breathing modes extremely sensitive to layer numbers in transitional metal dichalcogenides^{23,24}. The pristine WSe₂ layers stacked in 2H order have inversion symmetry, leading to vanishing second-order optical nonlinearity (Fig. 1b)²⁵⁻²⁹. Back-gated field-effect transistors (FETs) were fabricated using these flakes in order to investigate the charge-induced SHG (see Methods). Source-drain electrodes remain grounded during the experiments (Fig. 1c) for excluding the possibility of current-induced SHG^{7,8}.

The results of gate-voltage-dependent SHG measurements are shown in Fig. 2a. At zero gate bias ($V_G = 0$ V), no SHG signal was detected. Upon the application of a negative gate bias $V_G = -40$ V, there is a charge (hole) accumulation in the sample and efficient SHG can be observed, as shown by the back-gate sweep of source-drain current I_{sd} (Fig. 2b). The obtained transfer characteristics are of typical *p*-type conduction operated in enhancement mode with a turn-on threshold voltage $V_T \sim -20$ V. In contrast, switching the polarity, *i.e.*, $V_G = 40$ V yields no SHG signal. In order to understand the evolution of SHG as a function of gate voltage we plotted the magnitude of square root of SHG intensity (*i.e.*, relative change of charge-induced second-order susceptibility $\chi^{(2)}$) and superimposed it on $I_{sd} - V_G$ characteristics as shown in Fig. 2b, which represents the key result of this work. The charge-induced $\chi^{(2)}$ is zero below threshold and increases linearly after V_T , as the back gate voltage becomes increasingly negative indicating that $\chi^{(2)}$ is proportional to the accumulated charge and thus justifying the term charge induced SHG (CHISHG). Furthermore, we have performed power-dependent measurements which revealed a quadratic dependence shown as log-log plot in Fig. 2c, a tell-tale sign of a second-order optical

process. The polarization symmetry of CHISHG was also investigated, as shown in Fig. 2d. The observed six-fold symmetry, combined with further confirmation of the angle-independent behavior of total amount of CHISHG, is identical to the one observed in the monolayer with D_{3H} symmetry²⁸. The intensity of CHISHG signal from bilayer flake at $V_G = -40$ V was about 1000 times less than SHG signal from a monolayer WSe₂ measured under the same experimental conditions (Fig. 2e), indicating that $\chi^{(2)}$ was about 30 times less. In order to demonstrate the sufficient sensitivity of CW laser used here, we have successfully reproduced the asymmetric threshold-like behavior in the hole-accumulation regime by using an femtosecond laser excitation (as shown in supporting information Section 8).

To explicate these results a bond charge model³⁰ was invoked. In this model potential difference between W and Se ions in each bond $\hat{b}_n$ causes shift of the position of center of the bond charge q_n relative to the bond center and gives rise to a second-order bond hyperpolarizability $\beta_n^{(2)}$, responsible for the bulk susceptibility $\chi^{(2)}$ ^{1,2}. When an in-plane optical field $E_\omega e^{i\omega t}$ is applied as shown in Fig. 3a (here we use y direction as an example), second-order dipole moment $p_{2\omega,n} = \beta_n^{(2)} (E_\omega \cdot \hat{b}_n)^2 e^{2i\omega t}$ is generated in each bond. Since the electric field $E_\omega e^{i\omega t}$ makes different angles with each bond $\hat{b}_n$, the dipoles $p_{2\omega,n}$ have different magnitudes and thus cannot cancel, hence a non-vanishing monolayer polarization $P_{2\omega} e^{2i\omega t}$ arises. In a more general case, if the electric field $E_\omega e^{i\omega t}$ is directed at an arbitrary angle θ to one of the bonds, nonlinear polarization has an angular dependence, $P_{2\omega} \sim \cos(3\theta)$ considering the trigonal symmetry of the bonds. That is what is observed in a monolayer of WSe₂, but in a bilayer WSe₂, the bonds in the second monolayer have opposite symmetry in the x - y plane with respect to the bonds in first layer. As a result, nonlinear polarizations of two monolayers cancel and indeed no SHG has been observed in a bilayer (see Fig. 1b).

When a gate field V_G is applied, it is natural to expect E-FISHG, yet this is not the case in TMD. As shown in Fig. 3b, the perpendicular external electric field leads to upward shift bond charges q_n of all 6 bonds. However the hyperpolarizabilities $\beta_{1-3}^{(2)}$ in the bottom half monolayer are reduced as the bond charges in q_{1-3} move towards W ions reducing bond polarities, whereas for the top half-monolayer bond charges in q_{4-6} move towards Se ions increasing hyperpolarizabilities $\beta_{4-6}^{(2)}$. Therefore, on average neither polarity nor hyperpolarizability change, and there is no change of overall $\chi^{(2)}$, which is in accordance with E-FISHG theory considering that there is no third-order susceptibility tensor with out-of-plane element (e.g., $\chi_{xxz}^{(3)}$). Consequently, no E-FISHG can be observed for as long as the applied DC field is uniform, which is precisely what happens in the absence of accumulation charge.

When the gate voltage exceeds threshold, a hole accumulation layer is formed on the W $5d_{x^2-y^2, xy}$ orbitals (Fig.1a) and it acts as a screening charge that prevents further field penetration beyond this accumulation plane. Microscopically, reducing V_G beyond threshold compels bond charge to shift further towards W atoms in the bottom half-mono-layer while not affecting the top half-monolayer (Fig. 3c). This leads to a decrease in $\beta_{1-3}^{(2)}$ which is no longer compensated and the total $\chi^{(2)}$ of the monolayer changes. For the bilayer described here the effect would have been cancelled if a similar shielding charge Q_2 had been accumulated in the second monolayer. But the density of the band edge states is so high that practically the entire space charge is localized in the W plane of the bottom layer as shown in Fig. 3d. As explained in supplementary information (section 3), from simple electrostatic considerations the charge Q_2 in the W plane of the top layer is only 4% of the charge Q_1 . Therefore, the field-induced change in $\chi^{(2)}$ of the bottom monolayer remains largely uncompensated resulting in non-vanishing overall $\chi^{(2)}$, proportional to $|V_G - V_T|$. To summarize, it is the charge accumulation that induces SHG, which warrants using the term “charge induced SHG – CHISHG” to describe the phenomenon observed in our experiments. The

SHG is possible only in the presence of accumulated charge, which can occur in either accumulation or inversion mode of operation, but not in the depletion mode. This observation is further confirmed by the results for the different WSe₂ sample with ambipolar transport characteristics, in which the CHISHG had been observed in the presence of both hole (accumulation mode) and electron (deep inversion mode) accumulation charges with no SHG observed between those two modes. (see Fig. S4).

To obtain an order-of-magnitude estimate of CHISHG and compare it with experimental results, we use the same simple bond charge model of $\chi^{(2)}$ ³⁰. At $V_G = -40$ V the effective gate-induced potential difference between the W and Se layers that causes shift of the bond charges and change of bond hyperpolarizability $\Delta\beta^{(2)}(V)$ is given by $\Delta V_{eff} = |V - V_T| t' / d_{ox} \approx 0.03$ V, where $t' = 0.15$ nm is the distance between W and Se layers and $d_{ox} = 100$ nm is the oxide thickness. This potential augments the existing effective potential difference between W and Se ions, usually referred to as heteropolar contribution to the bandgap C ³¹⁻³³. In a typical nonlinear material GaAs the heteropolar potential is $C_{GaAs} = 4.3$ eV³⁴. Second-order susceptibility is proportional to C of bonds, the cube of bond length l_B and the volume density of bonds N_B ³⁰. It follows that, since both theory and experimental data estimate the magnitudes of $\chi^{(2)}$ of WSe₂ and GaAs are comparable³⁵, we can estimate heteropolar potential for WSe₂ as $C_{WSe_2} \approx C_{GaAs} (l_{B,GaAs} / l_{B,WSe_2})^3 (N_{B,GaAs} / N_{B,WSe_2}) \approx 0.83$ eV, a value that appears to be reasonable in view of lesser ionicity of WSe₂ (see supplementary information for details). We now relate the charge-induced susceptibility of a bilayer material $\chi_{xxx,b}^{(2)}(V)$ to the susceptibility of one WSe₂ monolayer $\chi_{xxx,m}^{(2)}(V)$ as $\chi_{xxx,b}^{(2)}(V) / \chi_{xxx,m}^{(2)} = \Delta\beta_b^{(2)}(V) / 2\beta_b^{(2)} \approx e\Delta V_{eff} / 2C_{WSe_2} \approx 0.02$ where factor of $1/2$ takes into account the fact that only the bottom half-monolayer contributes to SHG in bilayer material. This result is quite close to our experimentally determined ratio of susceptibilities of 0.03 and thus confirms the validity of the model. Another possible contribution by direct charge

accumulation *via* bond polarizability bleaching mechanism is weak and can be excluded (see supplementary information section 6). It is important to comment that the sharp threshold-like dependence of SHG can be explained only by the microscopic model developed here that takes into consideration underlying orbital structure but not by the conventional bulk $\chi^{(2)}$ used in refs⁹⁻¹¹. This model also offers an explanation for the observed saturation (reduction in slope) of SHG intensity at large voltages (Fig. 2B). Since the energy difference between the direct (*K*) and indirect (*Γ*) band-edges (Fig.1A) is only about 100 meV (or less) eventually the accumulation charge spills-over into the *Γ* band-edge states, such that charge is no longer localized in a plane of W atoms but spread out between W and S atoms – hence the SHG efficiency growth is no longer proportional to the charge as is the case in Fig. 2b. It is also important to note that according to our model, CHISHG can occur only in direct bandgap TMDs having band extrema in the *K*-point of Brillouin zone and indeed when we have tested four-layer WSe₂ samples with an indirect bandgap no CHISHG had been observed.

In summary, we have demonstrated a fundamentally new nonlinear optical phenomenon – CHISHG in TMDs and developed a microscopic physical model proving that SHG is engendered by the localized accumulation charge causing DC field nonuniformity. This CHISHG is fundamentally different from a recent work³⁶ on electric field control of the SHG in a monolayer of WSe₂ which was observed only at the exciton resonance and did not show threshold characteristics versus gate voltage. In contrast, in the bilayer of WSe₂ used in our experiments off-resonant (hence free from PL background) SHG has shown threshold and asymmetry behaviors that are unambiguously associated with the presence of mobile charges on the *d*-shells of W – a unique characteristic only to TMD materials. Our results demonstrate significance of charge and field distribution on the scale of a single atomic layer, and should serve as an impetus for potential applications in noninvasive probing of charge and current distributions in future low dimensional electronic devices.

Methods

Bilayer WSe₂ flakes were exfoliated from commercial WSe₂ crystals using scotch-tape method on SiO₂/Si substrates (100 nm SiO₂). Bilayers were identified and confirmed using optical contrast in a microscope followed by atomic force microscopy and low-frequency Raman spectroscopy (see Fig. S1). Using electron beam lithography techniques back-gated field-effect transistors (FETs) were fabricated. Electrodes (5nm/50nm Ti/Pd) were then deposited on the patterned devices using UHV thermal evaporation followed by hot acetone lift-off. The device performance and gate dependent transport were then checked in a shielded Lakeshore probe station using an Agilent Parameter Analyzer B1500A. A linearly-polarized continuous-wave 1064-nm laser of 30 mW power was focused on the samples via a 100× objective (Leica, HCX PL FLUOTAR L 100×/0.75) and SHG signals were collected in the reflection geometry. A Short-pass filter (Semrock, FF01-770/SP-25) was used in front of the spectrometer. SHG signals were then dispersed by a grating of 600 g/mm and recorded by a liquid nitrogen cooled CCD. During the nonlinear optical measurements, a back gate voltage was applied while keeping source-drain electrodes grounded. The sensitivity of experiment was confirmed to be sufficient here by examining the quadratic power dependence of CHISHG. A linear polarizer was used to investigate the anisotropy of polarized SHG while rotating the bilayer samples. The observed six-fold symmetry indicated the capability of determining the crystal orientation of 2H-stacked bilayer WSe₂ crystals, which was only accessible in odd number layer samples²⁵⁻²⁹.

Acknowledgments

Q.X. gratefully acknowledges the strong support of this work from Singapore National Research Foundation through a Fellowship award (NRF-RF2009-06) and an Investigatorship award (NRF-NRFI2015-03). This work was also supported in part by Singapore Ministry of Education via two Tier 2 grants (MOE2011-T2-2-051 and MOE2012-T2-2-086) and start-up grant support

(M58113004) from Nanyang Technological University (NTU). J.B.K. is pleased to acknowledge support of NSF DMR-1207245 grant.

Supporting Information: Additional information regarding device fabrication, characterization, polarization-dependent SHG intensity, bond-charge model analysis and CHISHG by a femtosecond laser can be found in Supporting Information online.

Author contributions

H.K.Y. and Q.X. conceived the idea; Q.X. and J.B.K. supervised the project; H.K.Y., D.T. and W.G.X. fabricated the devices and performed the experiments; H.K.Y., J.B.K., and Q.X. analyzed the data and co-wrote the manuscript. All the authors commented the manuscript.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q. H. X. and J. B. K.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. Shen, Y. R. *The principles of nonlinear optics*. (Wiley-Interscience, 1984).
2. Boyd, R. W. *Nonlinear optics*. (Academic press, 2003).
3. Terhune, R., Maker, P. & Savage, C. Optical harmonic generation in calcite. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **8**, 404 (1962).
4. Lee, C., Chang, R. & Bloembergen, N. Nonlinear electroreflectance in silicon and silver. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **18**, 167 (1967).
5. Hsieh, D. *et al.* Nonlinear Optical Probe of Tunable Surface Electrons on a Topological Insulator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 057401 (2011).
6. Cai, W., Vasudev, A. P. & Brongersma, M. L. Electrically controlled nonlinear generation of light with plasmonics. *Science* **333**, 1720-1723 (2011).
7. Khurgin, J. B. Current induced second harmonic generation in semiconductors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **67**, 1113-1115 (1995).
8. Ruzicka, B. A. *et al.* Second-harmonic generation induced by electric currents in GaAs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 077403 (2012).
9. Aktsipetrov, O. *et al.* dc-electric-field-induced second-harmonic generation in Si (111)-SiO₂-Cr metal-oxide-semiconductor structures. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 1825 (1996).
10. Ohlhoff, C., Lüpke, G., Meyer, C. & Kurz, H. Static and high-frequency electric fields in silicon MOS and MS structures probed by optical second-harmonic generation. *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 4596 (1997).

11. Aktsipetrov, O. *et al.* dc-electric-field-induced and low-frequency electromodulation second-harmonic generation spectroscopy of Si (001)-SiO₂ interfaces. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 8924 (1999).
12. Geim, A. K. & Novoselov, K. S. The rise of graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **6**, 183-191 (2007).
13. Wang, Q. H., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., Kis, A., Coleman, J. N. & Strano, M. S. Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **7**, 699-712 (2012).
14. Mak, K. F., Lee, C., Hone, J., Shan, J. & Heinz, T. F. Atomically thin MoS₂: a new direct-gap semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 136805 (2010).
15. Splendiani, A. *et al.* Emerging photoluminescence in monolayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 1271-1275 (2010).
16. Liu, L., Bala Kumar, S., Ouyang, Y. & Guo, J. Performance limits of monolayer transition metal dichalcogenide transistors. *IEEE T. Electron Dev.* **58**, 3042-3047 (2011).
17. Zhao, W. *et al.* Origin of Indirect Optical Transitions in Few-Layer MoS₂, WS₂, and WSe₂. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 5627-5634 (2013).
18. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Direct observation of a widely tunable bandgap in bilayer graphene. *Nature* **459**, 820-823 (2009).
19. Mak, K. F., Lui, C. H., Shan, J. & Heinz, T. F. Observation of an electric-field-induced band gap in bilayer graphene by infrared spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 256405 (2009).
20. Wu, S. *et al.* Electrical tuning of valley magnetic moment through symmetry control in bilayer MoS₂. *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 149-153 (2013).
21. Jiang, T. *et al.* Valley and band structure engineering of folded MoS₂ bilayers. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 825-829 (2014).
22. Finteis, T. *et al.* Occupied and unoccupied electronic band structure of WSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 10400 (1997).
23. Zhao, Y. *et al.* Interlayer breathing and shear modes in few-trilayer MoS₂ and WSe₂. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 1007-1015 (2013).
24. Luo, X. *et al.* Effects of lower symmetry and dimensionality on Raman spectra in two-dimensional WSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 195313 (2013).
25. Malard, L. M., Alencar, T. V., Barboza, A. P. M., Mak, K. F. & de Paula, A. M. Observation of intense second harmonic generation from MoS₂ atomic crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 201401 (2013).
26. Zeng, H. *et al.* Optical signature of symmetry variations and spin-valley coupling in atomically thin tungsten dichalcogenides. *Sci. Rep.* **3** 1608 (2013).
27. Kumar, N. *et al.* Second harmonic microscopy of monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 161403 (2013).
28. Li, Y. *et al.* Probing symmetry properties of few-layer MoS₂ and h-BN by optical second-harmonic generation. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 3329-3333 (2013).
29. Yin, X. B. *et al.* Edge Nonlinear Optics on a MoS₂ Atomic Monolayer. *Science* **344**, 488-490 (2014).
30. Levine, B. Bond-charge calculation of nonlinear optical susceptibilities for various crystal structures. *Phys. Rev. B* **7**, 2600 (1973).
31. Phillips, J. Dielectric definition of electronegativity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 550 (1968).
32. Van Vechten, J. A. Quantum dielectric theory of electronegativity in covalent systems. I. Electronic dielectric constant. *Phys. Rev.* **182**, 891 (1969).
33. Van Vechten, J. Quantum dielectric theory of electronegativity in covalent systems. II. Ionization potentials and interband transition energies. *Phys. Rev.* **187**, 1007 (1969).
34. Christensen, N., Satpathy, S. & Pawłowska, Z. Bonding and ionicity in semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **36**, 1032 (1987).
35. Wang, C. Y. & Guo, G. Y. Nonlinear Optical Properties of Transition Metal Dichalcogenide MX₂ (M= Mo, W; X= S, Se) Monolayers and Trilayers from First-principles Calculations. *arXiv 1409.0937* (2014).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

36. Seyler, K. L. *et al.* Electrical control of second-harmonic generation in a WSe₂ monolayer transistor. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 407–411 (2015).

Figures and Legends

Figure 1 | SHG measurements of back-gated bilayer WSe₂ FET. (a) Schematic energy diagram of monolayer WSe₂. Holes are accumulated (red) under certain back-gate potentials. (b) Typical SHG spectra from monolayer and bilayer WSe₂ samples. The spectra have been shifted vertically for clarity. (c) Schematic diagram of the device configuration of WSe₂ during the SHG experiments.

Figure 2 | Charge-induced SHG from bilayer WSe₂. (a) Reversible SHG induced by back gate can be seen from the pristine bilayer WSe₂ sample. The spectra have been shifted vertically for clarity. (b) I_{ds} vs. V_G curve of the bilayer WSe₂ device. The blue region (I) represents $V_G < V_T$ (hole accumulation) while the green region (II) represents $V_G > V_T$. SHG intensities as function of gate voltage (blue dots) are superimposed on I_{ds} vs. V_G curve. (c) Log-log plot of power dependence of the CHISHG intensity on the incident laser power at $V_G = -40$ V. The red circles represent the experimental data and the red line represents a linear fit with extracted slope 1.9 ± 0.1 . (d) Polar plot of polarization-resolved CHISHG intensity (red circles) from the bilayer WSe₂ sample at $V_G = -25$ V. The blue line shows the expected $\cos^2(3\theta)$ dependence. (e) Red line shows the SHG intensity recorded from monolayer with detector integration time of 1 s and black line from bilayer at $V_G = -40$ V with integration time of 100 s under the same experimental condition respectively. The charge-induced $\chi_{xxx,b}^{(2)}(V)$ is estimated to be around 0.03 of $\chi_{xxx,m}^{(2)}$.

Figure 3 | Bond-charge model upon back-gate fields. (a) Schematic diagram of bond-charge distributions within one WSe₂ unit cell without external electric field, where q_n ($n=1,2,3,4,5,6$) represents the bond charge of each W-Se bonds in one unit cell. Insets show the crystallographic axis and Cartesian coordinates. A total $P_{2\omega}$ is generated while applying an in-plane optical field E_ω polarized along the y -direction. (b) Perturbation of bond charges in each W-Se bonds while applying a DC gate field E_G (for $V_G > V_T$) in the vertical direction, where the total second-order susceptibility remains unchanged and no E-FISHG is expected. (c) An atomically thin hole-charge sheet is generated while applying E_G (for $V_G < V_T$), which pins the external electric field in the top half-monolayer. This screening effect is responsible for the observed CHISHG. (d) Schematic diagram of the electric field and charge distribution in the WSe₂/SiO₂/Si structure on application of a transverse field (not to scale). For $V_G = -40$ V the electric field is pinned at the threshold field E_T for the top monolayer. The charges are non-uniformly distributed in the adjacent two monolayers due to screening.

For Table of Contents Only