This document is downloaded from DR-NTU (https://dr.ntu.edu.sg) Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.

On linear complementary pairs of codes

Solé, Patrick; Carlet, Claude; Güneri, Cem; Özbudak, Ferruh; Özkaya, Buket

2018

Carlet, C., Güneri, C., Özbudak, F., Özkaya, B., & Solé, P. (2018). On linear complementary pairs of codes. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 64(10), 6583-6589. doi:10.1109/TIT.2018.2796125

https://hdl.handle.net/10356/88810

https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2018.2796125

© 2018 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works. The published version is available at: https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2018.2796125

Downloaded on 13 Mar 2024 15:50:03 SGT

On Linear Complementary Pairs of Codes

Claude Carlet, Cem Güneri, Ferruh Özbudak, Buket Özkaya, and Patrick Solé

Abstract—We study linear complementary pairs (LCP) of codes (C, D), where both codes belong to the same algebraic code family. We especially investigate constacyclic and quasicyclic LCP of codes. We obtain characterizations for LCP of constacyclic codes and LCP of quasi-cyclic codes. Our result for the constacyclic complementary pairs extends the characterization of linear complementary dual (LCD) cyclic codes given by Yang and Massey. We observe that when C and D are complementary and constacyclic, the codes C and D^{\perp} are equivalent to each other. Hence, the security parameter $\min(d(C), d(D^{\perp}))$ for LCP of codes is simply determined by one of the codes in this case. The same holds for a special class of quasi-cyclic codes, namely 2D cyclic codes, but not in general for all quasi-cyclic codes, since we have examples of LCP of double circulant codes not satisfying this conclusion for the security parameter. We present examples of binary LCP of quasi-cyclic codes and obtain several codes with better parameters than known binary LCD codes. Finally, a linear programming bound is obtained for binary LCP of codes and a table of values from this bound is presented in the case $d(C) = d(D^{\perp})$. This extends the linear programming bound for LCD codes.

Index Terms—Constacyclic code, quasi-cyclic code, LCP of codes, linear programming bound.

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS paper is devoted to the study of the parameters of pairs of supplementary linear codes, whose motivation comes from cryptography, and which have their own interest in coding. Until the end of the 90's, the security models for block ciphers (in symmetric cryptography) assumed that the attacker had access only to a so-called black box. According to the model considered, they could see ciphertexts or pairs (plaintext, ciphertext), possibly of particular forms, corresponding to a same algorithm and to a same secret key. But they were supposed to have no access to the data implemented inside the algorithm. Since then, it has been shown that the implementations of block ciphers are prone to side-channel attacks (SCA) and to fault injection attacks (FIA), which, when no countermeasure is implemented in the algorithm, are able

- C. Carlet is with Department of Mathematics, University of Paris VIII and University of Paris XIII, LAGA, UMR 7539, CNRS, France (e-mail: claude.carlet@univ-paris8.fr).
- C. Güneri is with Sabancı University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, 34956, İstanbul, Turkey (e-mail: guneri@sabanciuniv.edu).
- F. Özbudak is with Department of Mathematics and Institute of Applied Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey (e-mail: ozbudak@metu.edu.tr).
- B. Özkaya was with Sabancı University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, 34956, İstanbul, Turkey. She is now with Nanyang Technological University, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Division of Mathematical Sciences, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371, Republic of Singapore (e-mail: buketozkaya@ntu.edu.sg).
- P. Solé is with CNRS/LAGA, University of Paris 8, 93 526 Saint-Denis, France (e-mail: sole@math.univ-paris13.fr).

Manuscript received; revised.

to extract the secret key from the access to the noisy data which can be read when the algorithm is running over some device, or from the perturbation of this implementation.

These attacks which can be non-invasive (i.e. do not damage the system) are a special concern since they leave no evidence that they have been perpetrated. The most generic and efficient known protection against SCA is achieved with masking: every sensitive data (that is, every data processed by the algorithm from which a part of the secret key can be deduced) is bitwise added with a uniformly distributed random vector of the same length or several ones, called globally a mask. If the sensitive data and the mask belong respectively to two supplementary subspaces C and D of a larger vector space, it is possible to deduce the sensitive data from the resulting masked data. And it is shown that the level of resistance against both SCA and FIA depends on $\min(d(C), d(D^{\perp}))$, where d(C) is the minimum distance of the code C and $d(D^{\perp})$ is the dual distance of the code D. This method is called Direct Sum Masking (DSM), and the pair (C, D) is called a complementary pair of codes. The masks are the codewords of the code D and the sensitive data are codewords of the code C. By the rank-nullity theorem, if the dimension of C is k and the ambient space is of dimension n, then the dimension of D is n-k. If C and D are subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^n , and their generator matrices are G and G^{\prime} , respectively, then every vector $z\in\mathbb{F}_q^n$ can be written in a unique way as z=xG+yG', for $x\in\mathbb{F}_q^k,y\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$. We refer to [1] for further information on complementary pairs of codes and their uses.

To summarize the coding theoretic setting, we are interested in two linear codes C and D of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , which are supplementary (i.e. have trivial intersection and direct sum equal to the whole space \mathbb{F}_q^n). We call such (C,D) a linear complementary pair (LCP) of codes. Note that the linear complementary dual (LCD) codes amount to the special case when $D = C^{\perp}$, in which case the "security parameter" is simply the minimum distance of C. There has been quite an activity in recent years on the algebraic study of LCD codes but LCP of codes are not as well-studied yet.

The aim of this work is to study LCP of codes (C,D), where both codes belong to the same family of algebraic codes. Namely, we will be interested in LCP of codes (C,D), where C,D are both constacyclic codes or both quasi-cyclic codes. These code families are fundamental objects in coding theory. We present characterizations of constacyclic and quasi-cyclic LCP of codes. The characterization result in the constacyclic case extends the characterization of LCD cyclic codes due to Yang and Massey ([10]). In the case of constacyclic LCP of codes (C,D), we prove that the codes C and D^{\perp} are equivalent. Hence, the security parameter is simply the minimum distance of C in this case. In particular for a given length

and dimension, finding the constacyclic code with the best minimum distance and finding the constacyclic LCP of codes with the best security parameter are equivalent problems. We show that the same result does not hold in the case of quasicyclic codes (i.e. C and D^\perp need not be equivalent). However, equivalence of C and D^\perp is valid for some particular quasicyclic codes, namely 2D cyclic codes. We also prove a linear programming bound for binary LCP of codes, extending the analogous result for LCD codes in [3].

Constacyclic LCP of codes are studied in Section II, which is then followed by the study of quasi-cyclic LCP of codes in Section III. Examples of LCP of codes from quasi-cyclic codes are presented in Section IV and LCP of codes with better security parameters than comparable LCD codes, as well as optimal LCP of codes in the category of linear codes, are found. Section V presents the linear programming bound for binary LCP of codes.

II. CONSTACYCLIC LCP OF CODES

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be a nonzero element. A linear code C over \mathbb{F}_q of length n is said to be λ -constacyclic if the following holds:

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C \implies (\lambda c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in C.$$

Note that $\lambda = 1$ amounts to cyclic codes and $\lambda = -1$ amounts to negacyclic codes.

We recall some basic information on constacyclic codes and refer to [8], [9] for further reading. A λ -constacyclic code of length n can be viewed, in the usual manner, as an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n-\lambda\rangle$. Observe that a λ -constacyclic code is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n-\lambda\rangle$, hence has a unique monic generating polynomial g(x), which divides $x^n-\lambda$. Clearly, the dimension of C is $n-\deg g$. The dual of a λ -constacyclic code is λ^{-1} -constacyclic. Moreover, if $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ is λ -constacyclic with $\deg g=k$, then for $h(x)=(x^n-\lambda)/g(x)$, the dual constacyclic code C^\perp has the generating polynomial

$$h^*(x) = h(0)^{-1} x^{n-k} h(x^{-1})$$

(see [9, Lemma 2.1]) or [8, Fact 3]). The polynomial $h^*(x)$ is called the reciprocal polynomial of h(x). Let us note these facts are valid for both separable $(\gcd(q, n) = 1)$ and repeated root $(\gcd(q, n) \neq 1)$ constacyclic codes.

Throughout the text, we denote the sum of two vector subspaces C and D (linear codes) in an ambient space by C+D, which is the collection of all possible sums of vectors in C and D. Note that the sum of subspaces is also equal to the subspace generated by their union. When the subspaces intersect trivially, the sum is said to be direct and it is denoted by $C \oplus D$.

Theorem II.1. Let C and D be q-ary λ -constacyclic codes of length n with the generating polynomials g(x) and u(x), respectively. Then (C,D) is LCP if and only if $u(x)=(x^n-\lambda)/g(x)$ and $\gcd(u(x),g(x))=1$.

Proof: The intersection of C and D has the generating polynomial $\operatorname{lcm}(g(x),u(x))$. For trivial intersection, the least common multiple must be $x^n-\lambda$. If $C+D=\mathbb{F}_q^n=0$

 $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n-\lambda\rangle, \text{ then } 1\equiv a(x)g(x)+b(x)u(x) \bmod (x^n-\lambda) \text{ for some } a(x),b(x)\in\mathbb{F}_q[x]. \text{ A common divisor for } g \text{ and } u \text{ would contradict this congruence, hence } \gcd(g(x),u(x))=1. \text{ These two observations combined implies in particular that } u(x)=(x^n-\lambda)/g(x). \text{ For the converse, } g \text{ and } u \text{ being relatively prime implies that } C+D=\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n-\lambda\rangle. \text{ This, combined with the assumption } u(x)=(x^n-\lambda)/g(x) \text{ implies that } \text{lcm}(g(x),u(x))=x^n-\lambda, \text{ which yields } C\cap D=\{0\}.$

Remark II.2. In the case $\gcd(q,n)=1$, the polynomial $x^n-\lambda$ is separable and hence $\gcd((x^n-\lambda)/g(x),g(x))=1$ holds. Therefore the condition for (C,D) as above to be LCP reduces simply to $u(x)=(x^n-\lambda)/g(x)$.

Remark II.3. Theorem II.1 generalizes the result of Yang and Massey on the characterization of LCD cyclic codes ([10]). Note that $\lambda=1$ in this case. A cyclic code C being LCD means (C,C^\perp) is LCP. If C has the generating polynomial g(x), then the generating polynomial of C^\perp is $h^*(x)$ for $h(x)=(x^n-1)/g(x)$. Theorem II.1 yields $\left(\frac{x^n-1}{g(x)}\right)^*=\frac{x^n-1}{g(x)}$, which is equivalent to g being self-reciprocal (as stated in [10]). Moreover, relative primeness of $(x^n-1)/g(x)$ and g(x) amounts to irreducible factors of g(x) having the same multiplicity in g(x) and in $(x^n-1)/g(x)$ (again, as stated in [10]).

The observations made so far allow us to make the following important conclusion.

Theorem II.4. If (C, D) is a q-ary λ -constacyclic LCP of codes, then C and D^{\perp} are equivalent.

Proof: By Theorem II.1, if $g(x) = g_0 + g_1x + \cdots + x^k$ is the generating polynomial of C, then the dual D^{\perp} of the complementary λ -constacyclic code is generated by

$$g^*(x) = g_0^{-1} x^k g(x^{-1}).$$

Generating matrices of C and D^{\perp} are as follows:

Codes generated by these matrices are equivalent (up to a nonzero scalar multiplication in each coordinate) under the coordinate permutation that sends the ith coordinate to the (n-1-i)th coordinate (for $0 \le i \le n-1$).

Hence, finding the "best" λ -constacyclic LCP of codes (C,D) and finding the best λ -constacyclic code are equivalent problems.

III. QUASI-CYCLIC LCP OF CODES

A linear code over \mathbb{F}_q is called a quasi-cyclic (QC) code of index ℓ if it is closed under shifting codewords by ℓ units, and ℓ is the smallest positive integer with this property. So,

cyclic codes amount to the special case $\ell=1$. It is well-known that the index of a QC code divides its length. So, we let C be a QC code of length $m\ell$, index ℓ over \mathbb{F}_q . We assume throughout the paper that m and q are relatively prime. If we let $R:=\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^m-1\rangle$, then the code C can be viewed as an R-module in R^ℓ ([6, Lemma 3.1]). We will recall the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) decomposition of QC codes, following [6].

Assume the following factorization into irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$

$$x^{m} - 1 = g_{1} \cdots g_{s} h_{1} h_{1}^{*} \cdots h_{t} h_{t}^{*},$$
 (III.1)

where g_i 's are self-reciprocal and h_j^* denotes the reciprocal of h_j . Let ξ be a primitive m^{th} root of unity over \mathbb{F}_q . Assume that $g_i(\xi^{u_i})=0$ and $h_j(\xi^{v_j})=0$ (for all i,j). Then we also have $h_j^*(\xi^{-v_j})=0$. By CRT, R decomposes into direct sum of fields as

$$R = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]/\langle g_{i} \rangle \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]/\langle h_{j} \rangle \oplus \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]/\langle h_{j}^{*} \rangle \right) \right)$$
$$= \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{F}_{q}(\xi^{u_{i}}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(\mathbb{F}_{q}(\xi^{v_{j}}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_{q}(\xi^{-v_{j}}) \right) \right).$$

Let $G_i = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle g_i \rangle$, $H'_j = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle h_j \rangle$ and $H''_j = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle h_j^* \rangle$ for simplicity. If a(x) denotes a coset representative of an element in R, the CRT isomorphism is given by

$$a(x) \mapsto \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} a(\xi^{u_i})\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(a(\xi^{v_j}) \oplus a(\xi^{-v_j})\right)\right).$$
(III.2)

Let us denote the CRT isomorphism (III.2) and its natural extension to R^{ℓ} by ϕ . So, $C \subset R^{\ell}$ decomposes as

$$C = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} C_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(C_j' \oplus C_j''\right)\right), \quad (III.3)$$

where each component code is a length ℓ linear code over the base field $(G_i, H'_j \text{ or } H''_j)$ it is defined over ([6, Section IV]). Component codes C_i, C'_j, C''_j are called the constituents of C.

It was shown in [7] that for a QC code C with the above CRT decomposition, the (Euclidean) dual in $\mathbb{F}_q^{m\ell}$ is of the form

$$C^{\perp} = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} C_i^{\perp_h}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(C_j^{\prime\prime\prime\perp_e} \oplus C_j^{\prime\perp_e}\right)\right). \quad \text{(III.4)}$$

Here, \perp_h denotes the Hermitian dual on $G_i^{\ell} = \mathbb{F}_q(\xi^{u_i})^{\ell}$, which is an extension field of \mathbb{F}_q of even degree (for all $1 \leq i \leq s$).

We have the following characterization of QC LCP of codes via their constituents.

Theorem III.1. Let C and D be q-ary QC codes of length $m\ell$ and index ℓ . Suppose that the CRT decomposition of C and D are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} C & = & \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^t \left(C_j' \oplus C_j''\right)\right), \\ D & = & \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^s D_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^t \left(D_j' \oplus D_j''\right)\right). \end{array}$$

Then (C,D) is LCP if and only if (C_i,D_i) is LCP in G_i^{ℓ} (for all $1 \leq i \leq s$), (C_j',D_j') is LCP in $H_j'^{\ell}$ (for all $1 \leq j \leq t$) and (C_j'',D_j'') is LCP in $H_j''^{\ell}$ (for all $1 \leq j \leq t$).

Proof: As R-modules in R^{ℓ} , being LCP for C and D amounts to $C\oplus D=R^{\ell}$. This means, via the CRT isomorphism, that

$$\phi(C) \oplus \phi(D) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} G_i^{\ell} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(H_j^{\prime \ell} \oplus H_j^{\prime \prime \ell} \right) \right), \quad \text{(III.5)}$$

where $\phi(C)$ and $\phi(D)$ are simply constituent descriptions of C and D. If (III.5) holds, then we have

$$C_i + D_i = G_i^{\ell} \quad (1 \le i \le s),$$

$$C_j' + D_j' = H_j'^{\ell}, \quad C_j'' + D_j'' = H_j''^{\ell} \quad (1 \le j \le t).$$

Hence, sum of the dimensions for all pair of constituents over the same field is greater or equal to ℓ . For instance,

$$\ell = \dim(C_i + D_i) = \dim C_i + \dim D_i - \dim(C_i \cap D_i).$$
 (III.6)

Note that the dimensions are over the base field G_i . On the other hand, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(D) = m\ell$ (since they are complementary) and we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C) = \deg g_1 \dim C_1 + \dots + \deg g_s \dim C_s$$

$$+ \deg h_1(\dim C_1' + \dim C_1'') + \dots + \deg h_t(\dim C_t' + \dim C_t'')$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(D) = \deg g_1 \dim D_1 + \dots + \deg g_s \dim D_s$$

$$+ \deg h_1(\dim D_1' + \dim D_1'') + \dots + \deg h_t(\dim D_t' + \dim D_t'')$$

Summing up the right and left hand sides above yields

$$m\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \deg g_{i}(\dim C_{i} + \dim D_{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \deg h_{j} \left[(\dim C'_{j} + \dim D'_{j}) + (\dim C''_{j} + \dim D''_{j}) \right].$$

As

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \deg g_i + \sum_{j=1}^{t} 2 \deg h_j,$$

this forces sum of the dimensions of each pair of corresponding constituents of C and D to be ℓ , which is equivalent to trivial intersection of these constituents by (III.6), or their LCP'ness.

Assuming LCP'ness of all the constituents of C and D, the converse statement follows essentially with similar arguments.

We will see that unlike constacyclic LCP of codes, QC LCP of codes C and D need not satisfy $d(C) = d(D^{\perp})$. For this, we recall double circulant (DC) codes. These are one-generator, index 2 QC codes. More concretely, a DC code is a one-generator module $\langle (1,a(x))\rangle \in R^2$. The following characterizes DC LCP of codes.

Proposition III.2. Let $C = \langle (1, a(x)) \rangle$ and $D = \langle (1, b(x)) \rangle$ be DC codes in R^2 . Then (C, D) is LCP of codes if and only if $\gcd(a(x) - b(x), x^m - 1) = 1$.

Proof: Constituents of a DC code are 1-dimensional subspaces in 2-dimensional ambient spaces. Hence, constituents

of C and D, lying in the same ambient space, satisfy the LCP condition in Proposition III.1 if and only if they intersect trivially. This amounts to trivial intersection of the spaces $\langle (1, a(\xi^u)) \rangle$ and $\langle (1, b(\xi^u)) \rangle$, for any root ξ^u of the polynomial $x^m - 1$. Note that such spaces have nontrivial intersection if and only if $a(\xi^u) = b(\xi^u)$, or equivalently, an irreducible factor of $x^m - 1$ (corresponding to the minimal polynomial of ξ^u over \mathbb{F}_a) divides a(x) - b(x). Hence the result follows. Example III.3. Let q = 2, m = 3, a(x) = x + 1 and b(x) = x^2+x+1 . Note that $a(x)-b(x)=x^2$ and x^3-1 are relatively prime, hence the condition in Proposition III.2 is satisfied. Therefore, length 6 binary DC codes $C = \langle (1, a(x)) \rangle$ and $D = \langle (1, b(x)) \rangle$ are LCP. Parameters of C and D are [6, 3, 3] and [6,3,2], respectively. On the other hand, D^{\perp} is a [6,3,2]DC code. Hence, the analogue of Theorem II.4 does not apply to QC codes in general.

A 2D cyclic code is a QC code with cyclic constituents (e.g. see [4]). In this case, the code is not only an R-submodule of R^{ℓ} but it is also closed under cyclic shift in R^{ℓ} . Moreover, when m and ℓ are relatively prime to q and each other, then a 2D cyclic code is equivalent to a cyclic code ([4, Remark 3.6]). Next, we show that 2D cyclic LCP of codes (C, D) satisfies the analogue of Theorem II.4.

Theorem III.4. Let (C,D) be a q-ary 2D cyclic LCP of codes of length $m\ell$ and index ℓ . Then C and D^\perp are equivalent.

Proof: Let C be as in (III.3) and let the complementary code D decompose as

$$D = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} D_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(D'_j \oplus D''_j\right)\right).$$

Then by (III.4), D^{\perp} is of the form

$$D^{\perp} = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} D_{i}^{\perp_{h}}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \left(D_{j}^{\prime\prime \perp_{e}} \oplus D_{j}^{\prime \perp_{e}}\right)\right).$$

Let us set the generating polynomials for the cyclic constituents of ${\cal C}$ as follows:

$$C_{i} = \langle a_{i}(x) \rangle \subseteq G_{i}[x]/\langle x^{\ell} - 1 \rangle, \qquad 1 \le i \le s$$

$$C'_{j} = \langle a'_{j}(x) \rangle \subseteq H'_{j}[x]/\langle x^{\ell} - 1 \rangle, \qquad 1 \le j \le t$$

$$C''_{j} = \langle a''_{j}(x) \rangle \subseteq H''_{j}[x]/\langle x^{\ell} - 1 \rangle, \qquad 1 \le j \le t.$$

We set $|G_i|=q^{e_i}$ and $|H'_j|=|H''_j|=q^{f_j}$ for some positive integers e_i, f_j (for all i,j). Note that each e_i is even as the polynomial g_i that defines G_i is self-reciprocal (see (III.1)). The constituents of D^\perp have the following generating polynomials (by Section II for the Euclidean dual constituents $D_j^{'\perp_e}, D_j^{''\perp_e}$'s and by [5, Section 4] for the Hermitian dual constituents $D_i^{\perp_h}$'s):

$$D_{i}^{\perp_{h}} = \langle \bar{a}_{i}^{*}(x) \rangle \subseteq G_{i}[x]/\langle x^{\ell} - 1 \rangle, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq s$$

$$D_{j}^{\prime\prime \perp_{e}} = \langle a_{j}^{\prime\prime *}(x) \rangle \subseteq H_{j}^{\prime}[x]/\langle x^{\ell} - 1 \rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t$$

$$D_{j}^{\prime \perp_{e}} = \langle a_{j}^{\prime *}(x) \rangle \subseteq H_{j}^{\prime\prime}[x]/\langle x^{\ell} - 1 \rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t.$$

Here, $\bar{a}_i^*(x)$ denotes the polynomial obtained from the reciprocal of $a_i(x)$ by raising each coefficient to exponent $q^{e_i/2}$.

Raising elements of G_i to the exponent $q^{e_i/2}$ will be denoted similarly below.

Let σ denote the permutation of $\{0,1,\ldots,\ell-1\}$ that sends μ to $\ell-1-\mu$. If $\gamma_i,\alpha_j,\beta_j$ denote the constant coefficients of $a_i(x),a_j'(x),a_j''(x)$ respectively (for all $1\leq i\leq s$ and $1\leq j\leq t$), then by the proof of Theorem II.4 and its easy extension to the Hermitian dual of cyclic codes, we have the following bijections:

$$C_{i} \longrightarrow D_{i}^{\perp_{h}}$$

$$(c_{i,0}, c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,\ell-1}) \longmapsto \bar{\gamma_{i}}^{-1} \left(\bar{c}_{i,\sigma(0)}, \bar{c}_{i,\sigma(1)}, \dots, \bar{c}_{i,\sigma(\ell-1)}\right),$$

$$C_j' \longrightarrow D_j'^{\perp_e}$$
 (III.8)
$$(d_{j,0}, d_{j,1}, \dots, d_{j,\ell-1}) \longmapsto \alpha_j^{-1} \left(d_{j,\sigma(0)}, d_{j,\sigma(1)}, \dots, d_{j,\sigma(\ell-1)} \right),$$

$$C_{j}^{"} \longrightarrow D_{j}^{"\perp e}$$

$$(e_{j,0}, e_{j,1}, \dots, e_{j,\ell-1}) \longmapsto \beta_{j}^{-1} \left(e_{j,\sigma(0)}, e_{j,\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{j,\sigma(\ell-1)} \right).$$

We now utilize the trace representation of QC codes via constituents. Let ξ denote a primitive mth root of unity, and u_i and v_j be such that

$$G_i = \mathbb{F}_q(\xi^{u_i}), \quad H'_j = \mathbb{F}_q(\xi^{v_j}), \quad H''_j = \mathbb{F}_q(\xi^{-v_j}).$$

Let Tr_i denote the trace map from G_i to \mathbb{F}_q and Tr_j' denote the trace map from $H_j' = H_j''$ to \mathbb{F}_q (for all i,j). If we view a codeword $d^\perp \in D^\perp$ as an $m \times \ell$ array, then by [6, Theorem 5.1] and the bijections (III.7), (III.8) and (III.9), the kth row of d^\perp (for $0 \le k \le m-1$) is of the form

$$d_{k}^{\perp} = \underbrace{\left(\cdots + \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\bar{\gamma_{i}}^{-1}\bar{e}_{i,\sigma(0)}\xi^{-ku_{i}}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\bar{\gamma_{i}}^{-1}\bar{e}_{i,\sigma(\ell-1)}\xi^{-ku_{i}}) \right) + \cdots }_{1 \leq i \leq s} \\ \cdots + \left(\operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\beta_{j}^{-1}e_{j,\sigma(0)}\xi^{-kv_{j}}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\beta_{j}^{-1}e_{j,\sigma(\ell-1)}\xi^{-kv_{j}}) \right) \\ + \underbrace{\left(\operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\alpha_{j}^{-1}d_{j,\sigma(0)}\xi^{kv_{j}}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\alpha_{j}^{-1}d_{j,\sigma(\ell-1)}\xi^{kv_{j}}) \right) + \cdots \right)}_{1 < j < t}$$

for some codewords $(c_{i,0},\ldots,c_{i,\ell-1})\in C_i$, $(d_{j,0},d_{j,1},\ldots,d_{j,\ell-1})\in C'_j$ and $(e_{j,0},e_{j,1},\ldots,e_{j,\ell-1})\in C''_j$ (for $1\leq i\leq s,\ 1\leq j\leq t$). Note that $\mathrm{Tr}_i(\bar{z})=\mathrm{Tr}_i(z)$ for any $z\in G_i$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that $\bar{\xi}^{u_i}=\xi^{-u_i}$. Hence,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Trice}, \\ d_k^{\perp} & = & \underbrace{\left(\cdots + \left(\operatorname{Tr}_i(\gamma_i^{-1}c_{i,\sigma(0)}\xi^{ku_i}), \ldots, \operatorname{Tr}_i(\gamma_i^{-1}c_{i,\sigma(\ell-1)}\xi^{ku_i})\right) + \cdots}_{1 \leq i \leq s} \\ & \underbrace{\cdots + \left(\operatorname{Tr}_j'(\beta_j^{-1}e_{j,\sigma(0)}\xi^{-kv_j}), \ldots, \operatorname{Tr}_j'(\beta_j^{-1}e_{j,\sigma(\ell-1)}\xi^{-kv_j})\right)}_{1 \leq j \leq t} \\ & + \underbrace{\left(\operatorname{Tr}_j'(\alpha_j^{-1}d_{j,\sigma(0)}\xi^{kv_j}), \ldots, \operatorname{Tr}_j'(\alpha_j^{-1}d_{j,\sigma(\ell-1)}\xi^{kv_j})\right) + \cdots}_{1 \leq j \leq t} \right). \end{array}$$

Now, consider the codeword $c \in C$ which is obtained from the codewords $\gamma_i^{-1}(c_{i,0},\ldots,c_{i,\ell-1}) \in C_i, \quad \alpha_j^{-1}(d_{j,0},d_{j,1},\ldots,d_{j,\ell-1}) \in C_j'$ and $\beta_j^{-1}(e_{j,0},e_{j,1},\ldots,e_{j,\ell-1}) \in C_j''$ (for $1 \leq i \leq s, \ 1 \leq j \leq t$). Then the kth row of c is

$$k = \underbrace{\left(\cdots + \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\gamma_{i}^{-1}c_{i,0}\xi^{-ku_{i}}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\gamma_{i}^{-1}c_{i,\ell-1}\xi^{-ku_{i}})\right) + \cdots}_{1 \leq i \leq s} \\ \underbrace{\cdots + \left(\operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\alpha_{j}^{-1}d_{j,0}\xi^{-kv_{j}}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\alpha_{j}^{-1}d_{j,\ell-1}\xi^{-kv_{j}})\right)}_{1 \leq j \leq t} \\ + \underbrace{\left(\operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\beta_{j}^{-1}e_{j,0}\xi^{kv_{j}}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}'_{j}(\beta_{j}^{-1}e_{j,\ell-1}\xi^{kv_{j}})\right) + \cdots}_{1 \leq j \leq t}\right).$$

TABLE I: Binary QC LCP of codes

ℓ/\mathbf{m}	3			5			7			9			11				13			15	17			
2	3*	2	3	4*	3	4	4*	4*	4	4	4	6	5	5	7	7*	6	7	6	6	8	6	6	8
3	4*	3	4	6	5	7	8*	7	8	8	8	10	9	9	12	10	10	12	12	11	14			
5	8*	7	8	11	10	12	14	13	16	16	15	18												
7	12*	10	12																					

TABLE II: Binary DC LCP of codes

m	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17
d_{LCP}	2	4*	4*	5	6	7*	7	8*
$ m d_{LCD}$	1	3	4*	3	6	7*	5	8*
\mathbf{d}^*	3	4	4	6	7	7	8	8

If τ denotes the permutation of $\{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}$ that fixes 0 and sends all other μ to $m-\mu$, then d_k^\perp and $c_{\tau(k)}$ are two rows of $d^\perp \in D^\perp$ and $c \in C$ (length ℓ vectors) which are obtained from each other by the permutation σ . In other words, codewords of C and D^\perp in $m \times \ell$ array form are equivalent via σ -column and τ -row permutations. This proves the claim.

IV. EXAMPLES

For Table I, random pair of LCP constituents C_i and D_i (of length ℓ and of dimension 1 and $\ell-1$, respectively) over extensions of \mathbb{F}_2 , satisfying the conditions in Theorem III.1, are searched by Magma [2]. Note that the resulting binary QC codes C and D have length $m\ell$ and the dimension of C is m. Then, the value $\min(d(C), d(D^{\perp}))$ is determined and presented as $\mathbf{d_{LCP}}$. The distances $\mathbf{d_{LCP}}$, $\mathbf{d_{LCD}}$ and \mathbf{d}^* are shown in Table I, respectively, for each choice of m and ℓ . The values $\mathbf{d_{LCD}}$ correspond to the best distances for LCD codes from [5, Table 1], where the binary LCD code C has dimension m. The parameter \mathbf{d}^* represents the optimal distance for binary linear codes of length $m\ell$ and dimension m. Note that there are many instances in Table I where $\mathbf{d_{LCP}} > \mathbf{d_{LCD}}$.

Table II presents results for binary double-circulant LCP of codes $C = \langle (1, a(x)) \rangle \subset R^2$ and $D = \langle (1, b(x)) \rangle \subset R^2$, where $R = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^m - 1 \rangle$. The codes C and D are QC of length 2m and dimension m. The search is done in Magma for random $a(x), b(x) \in R$ satisfying the condition in Proposition III.2. In the table, d_{LCP} denotes the maximum value of $\min(d(C), d(D^{\perp}))$ among all such LCP of double-circulant codes C and D. Next, we present the best possible distances $\mathbf{d_{LCD}}$ which can be attained by a LCD DC code C of length 2m and dimension m (see [5, Table 2]). Note again that there are examples where $d_{LCP} > d_{LCD}$. On the last line, d^* represents optimal distances for binary linear codes of length 2m and dimension m. Let us note that these types of QC codes were excluded from Table I, as was the case for Tables 1 and 2 in [5]. This is evident when the first row $(\ell = 2)$ of Table I is compared with Table II. For m = 9, 11, 15, 17, $\mathbf{d_{LCP}}$ in Table II is better than $\mathbf{d_{LCP}}$ in Table I.

For both tables, the distances attaining the optimal value for linear codes are marked by "*".

V. A LINEAR PROGRAMMING BOUND FOR BINARY LCP OF CODES

In this section, we will derive an upper bound on the size of the binary LCP of codes, which is analogous to the bound derived for LCD codes in [3]. More precisely, for binary LCP of codes C and D of common length n, the aim is to maximize the dimension of C for a given minimum distance of C and dual distance of D.

Let $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ denote the weight distributions of C and D, respectively. Let d=d(C). Then we have $A_0=B_0=1$ and

$$A_1 = \dots = A_{d-1} = 0, \ A_i \ge 0 \text{ for } d \le i \le n \text{ (V.1)}$$

 $B_i \ge 0 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n. \text{ (V.2)}$

Let $P_i(x)$ be the Krawtchouk polynomial of degree i given by the following generating function:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} P_i(x)z^i = (1+z)^{n-x}(1-z)^x, \text{ where } P_i(0) = \binom{n}{i}.$$

Recall the so-called Delsarte inequality for C:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_i(j) A_j \ge -\binom{n}{i}. \tag{V.3}$$

Suppose $d' = d(D^{\perp})$ and let $\{B_i^{\perp}\}$ denote the weight distribution of D^{\perp} . By MacWilliams formula we know that

$$B_i^{\perp} = 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^n B_j P_i(j),$$

where

$$B_0^{\perp} = 1, B_1^{\perp} = \dots = B_{d'-1}^{\perp} = 0, \ B_i^{\perp} \ge 0 \text{ for } d' \le i \le n.$$

Hence we obtain the following by combining the two identites above.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(j)B_j = -\binom{n}{i} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le d' - 1 \quad \text{(V.4)}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_i(j)B_j \geq -\binom{n}{i} \text{ for } d' \leq i \leq n$$
 (V.5)

For all $1 \le i \le n$, we have by the definition of LCP of codes

$$A_i + B_i \le \binom{n}{i}. (V.6)$$

If the dimension of C is k, then by using the facts $2^k - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$, and $2^{n-k} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i$, we obtain the following bounds.

Proposition V.1. If $k \ge k_0$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} -A_i \le 1 - 2^{k_0}. \tag{V.7}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \le 2^{n-k_0} - 1. \tag{V.8}$$

If M is an $m \times r$ matrix and x,h are column vectors of length r and m, respectively, we denote by U(M,h) the maximum of $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i$ for non-negative rationals x_i subject to m linear constraints $Mx \leq h$. We need the following auxiliary matrices:

- $P = (P_i(j))$ for $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$,
- I_n : the $n \times n$ identity matrix,
- Z_n : the $n \times n$ zero matrix,
- 1_n : all 1 vector of length n,
- 0_n : all 0 vector of length n,
- Δ : the vector of length n with $\Delta_i = \binom{n}{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Now let $U(n, k_0, d, d')$ be the maximum of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ over $A_i, B_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying the constraints (V.1), (V.2), (V.3), (V.4), (V.5), (V.6), (V.7) and (V.8). For m = 5n+2 and r = 2n, let us set

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -I_n & | & Z_n \\ Z_n & | & -I_n \\ -P & | & Z_n \\ Z_n & | & -P \\ I_n & | & I_n \\ 0_n & | & 1_n \\ -1_n & | & 0_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$h^T = [0_n, 0_n, \Delta, \Delta, \Delta, 2^{n-k_0} - 1, 1 - 2^{k_0}].$$

After this preparation, the following result is immediate.

Theorem V.2. If $k \ge k_0$, the minimum distance of C is at least d and the dual distance of D is d', then

$$2^k \le 1 + U(n, k_0, d, d').$$

Given a complementary pair C and D, the special case d=d' is of particular interest in practice (see [1]). The following table obtained by using Magma [2] presents the results in comparison with the classical linear programming (LP) bound and LP bound for LCD codes in [3].

TABLE III: The values in paranthesis are from the LP bound for LCD codes, if different. The starred values differ from classical LP bound, a* implies that classical LP bound gives a+1.

n/d=d'	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
1	1																													 I
2	2	0*																												
3	3	2	1																											
4	4	2*	1	0*																										
5	5	4	2	1	1																									
6	6	4*	3	2	1	0*																								
7	7	6	4	3	1	1	1																							
8	8	6*	4	3*	2	1	1	0*																						
9	9	8	5	4	2	2	1	1	1																					
10	10	8*	6	5	3	2	1	1	1	0*																				
11	11	10	7	6	4	3	2	1	1	1	1																			1
12	12	10*	8	7	5	4	2	2	1	1	1	0*																		
13	13	12	9	8	6	5	3	2	1	1	1	1	1																	
14	14	12*	10	9	7	6	4	3	2	1	1	1	1	0*																
15	15	14	11	10	8	7	5	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	1															
16	16	14*	11	10*	8	8(7)	5	4*	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0*													igsquare	<u> </u>
17	17	16	12	11	9	8	6	5	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1											<u> </u>	igsquare	<u> </u>
18	18	16*	13	12	10	9	7	6	4	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0*										<u> </u>	igsquare	<u> </u>
19	19	18	14	13	11	10	8	7	5	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1										\bigsqcup	<u> </u>
20	20	18*	15	14	12	11	9	8	6	5	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0*									\sqcup	-
21	21	20	16	15	12	12	10	9	6	6	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1							<u> </u>	\square	
22	22	20*	17	16	13	12	11	10	7	6	4	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0*						-	$\vdash \vdash$	
23	23	22	18	17	14	13	12	11	8	7	5	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Outs				\vdash	\sqcup	<u> </u>
24	24	22*	19	18	15	14	12	12(11)	9	8	6	5	3	2	2	2	l	1	1	1	1	1	I	0*	_				$\vdash \vdash$	
25	25	24	20	19	16	15	13	12	10	9	6	6	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1 1	0 *		\vdash	$\vdash \vdash$	
26	26	24*	21	20	17	16	14	13	10	10	7	6	4	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0 *	1	\vdash	$\vdash\vdash$	
27	27	26	22	21	18	17	14	14	12(11)	11(10)	8	7	5	5(4)	3	2(2)	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0*	$\vdash\vdash$	
28	27*	27(26)	23(22)	22(21)	19(18)	18(17)	15(14)	14	12(11)	11(10)	9(8)	8(7)	6(5)	5(4)	3	3(2)	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	
30	29*		24	23	20	20	16 17	16	13 14	13	10	9	7	6 7	5	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0*
30	29**	29(28)	25	24	20	20	1/	10	14	13	10	10	/	_ /	_ 3	4					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	UT

VI. CONCLUSION

We have obtained characterizations of linear complementary pair (LCP) of constacyclic codes and LCP of quasi-cyclic codes. The characterization in the constacyclic case extends the characterization of linear complementary dual (LCD) cyclic codes due to Yang and Massey. We observed that when C and D are complementary constacyclic codes, the codes C and D^{\perp} are equivalent. Hence, the security parameter $\min(d(C), d(D^{\perp}))$ of interest for cryptographic purposes is determined simply by C, as in the case of LCD codes. An analogous result holds for a special class of quasi-cyclic codes (namely, 2D cyclic codes) but does not hold for all quasicyclic codes, as shown by examples in the manuscript. We have presented examples of binary LCP of quasi-cyclic codes which have better parameters than comparable binary LCD codes. Finally, a linear programming bound for binary LCP of codes is proved, which extends the linear programming bound for binary LCD codes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Güneri and Özbudak are supported by the TÜBİTAK project 215E200, which is associated with the SECODE project in the scope of the CHIST-ERA Program. Carlet and Solé are also supported by the SECODE Project.

REFERENCES

- S. Bhasin, J.-L. Danger, S. Guilley, Z. Najm and X. T. Ngo, "Linear complementary dual code improvement to strengthen encoded circuit against hardware Trojan horses", *IEEE International Symposium on Hardware Oriented Security and Trust (HOST)*, May 5177, 2015.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, "The Magma algebra system. I. The user language", J. Symb. Comput., vol. 24, 23517265, 1997.
- [3] S. T. Dougherty, J.-L. Kim, B. Özkaya, L. Sok and P. Solé, "The combinatorics of LCD codes: Linear programming bound and orthogonal matrices", *Int. J. Inf. and Coding Theory*, vol. 4, no. 2/3, 116-128, 2017.
- [4] C. Güneri and F. Özbudak, "A relation between quasi-cyclic codes and 2-D cyclic codes", Finite Fields Appl., vol. 18, 123-132, 2012.
- [5] C. Güneri, B. Özkaya and P. Solé, "Quasi-cyclic complementary dual codes", Finite Fields Appl., vol. 42, 67-80, 2016.
- [6] S. Ling and P. Solé, "On the algebraic structure of quasi-cyclic codes I: finite fields", *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 47, 2751-2760, 2001.
- [7] S. Ling and P. Solé, "On the algebraic structure of quasi-cyclic codes III: generator theory", *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 51, 2692-2700, 2005.
- [8] J.P. Pedersen and C. Dahl, "Classification of pseudo-cyclic MDS codes", IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 37, 365-370, 1991.
- [9] Y. Yang and W. Cai, "On self-dual constacyclic codes over finite fields", Des. Codes Cryptogr., vol. 74, 355-364, 2015.
- [10] X. Yang and J.L. Massey, "The condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual", *Discrete Math.*, vol. 126, 391-393, 1994.

Claude Carlet received the Ph.D. degree from the University of Paris 6, Paris, France, in 1990 and the Habilitation to Direct theses from the University of Amiens, France, in 1994. He was Associate Professor with the Department of Computer Science at the University of Amiens, France, from 1990 to 1994, Professor with the Department of Computer Science at the University of Caen, France, from 1994 to 2000 and with the Department of Mathematics, University of Paris 8, Saint-Denis, France since then, where he is now Professor Emeritus. He is also related to the University of Bergen, Norway. His research interests include Boolean functions, cryptology and coding theory. Prof. Carlet was Associate Editor for Coding Theory of IEEE Transactions on Information Theory from March 2002 until February 2005. He is the Editor in Chief of the journal "Cryptography and Communications Discrete Structures, Boolean Functions and Sequences" (CCDS) published by

SPRINGER. He is in the editorial boards of the journals "Designs, Codes and Cryptography" (SPRINGER), "Advances in Mathematics of Communications" (AIMS), "International Journal of Computer Mathematics" (Taylor & Francis) and "International Journal of Information and Coding Theory" (Inderscience publishers).

Cem Güneri received the B.S. degree in Mathematics from the Middle East Technical University, Ankara in 1995. He finished the Ph.D. in mathematics at Louisiana State University in 2001. Currently he is a Professor of Mathematics at Sabancı University, İstanbul, Turkey. His research interests include algebraic curves over finite fields, algebraic coding theory, finite fields and their applications.

Ferruh Özbudak received his B.S. degree in Electrical and Electronics Engineering in 1993 and Ph.D. in Mathematics in 1997, both from Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey. Currently, he is a Professor at Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey. His research interests include algebraic curves, codes, sequences, cryptography, finite fields and finite rings.

Buket Özkaya received her B.S. degree in Mathematics from Boğaziçi University, Istanbul in 2006. She finished her M.S. in Mathematics at Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany in 2009. She pursued her PhD studies at Sabancı University, Istanbul, under the supervision of Cem Güneri and received her degree in 2014. She had postdoctoral postitions at Middle East Technical University, Ankara (2014-2015), Télécom ParisTech, France (2015-2016) and Sabancı University (2016-2017). Currently she is working as a research fellow at Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. She is interested in algebraic coding theory, finite fields and their applications.

Patrick Solé received the Ingénieur and Docteur-Ingénieur degrees both from Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Télécommunications, Paris, France, in 1984 and 1987, respectively, and the habilitation à diriger des recherches from Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, Sophia Antipolis, France, in 1993. He has held visiting positions in Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, from 1987 to 1989, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia, from 1994 to 1996, and Lille University, Lille, France, from 1999 to 2000. Since 1989, he has been a permanent member of the CNRS and became Directeur de Recherche in 1996. He is currently member of the CNRS lab LAGA, Paris. His research interests include coding theory (codes over rings, algebraic codes), interconnection networks (graph spectra, expanders), vector quantization (lattices), and cryptography (boolean functions, pseudo random sequences). He is the author of over 150 journal papers and three books, and co-recipient of the best paper award for Information Theory in 1995, for The Z₄-linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals and related codes, a reference quoted more than 1300 times as per Google Scholar. He was associate editor of the Transactions from 1996 till 1999. He has been associate editor of Advances in Mathematics of Communication since 2007.