Static dielectric constant of isolated silicon nanocrystals embedded in a SiO₂ thin film

Ng, Chi Yung; Chen, Tupei; Ding, Liang; Liu, Yang; Fung, Stevenson Hon Yuen; Tse, Man Siu; Dong, Zhili

2006

Ng, C. Y., Chen, T. P., Ding, L., Liu, Y., Fung, S. H. Y., Tse, M. S., et al. (2006). Static dielectric constant of isolated silicon nanocrystals embedded in a SiO₂ thin film. *Applied Physics Letters*, 88, 1-3.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/92224>

<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2172009>

Applied Physics Letters © copyright 2006 American Institute of Physics. The journal's website is located at http://apl.aip.org/applab/v88/i6/p063103_s1?isAuthorized=no

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 21:38:09 SGT

Static dielectric constant of isolated silicon nanocrystals embedded in a SiO₂ thin film

C. Y. Ng, T. P. Chen,^{a)} L. Ding, Y. Liu, and M. S. Tse

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798

S. Fung

Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

Z. L. Dong

School of Material Sciences and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798

(Received 18 August 2005; accepted 16 December 2005; published online 6 February 2006)

The static dielectric constant of isolated silicon nanocrystals (*nc*-Si) embedded in a SiO₂ thin film synthesized by Si⁺ implantation has been determined from capacitance measurement based on the Maxwell–Garnett effective medium approximation and the stopping and range of ions in matter simulation. For the *nc*-Si with a mean size of ~ 4.5 nm, the dielectric constant so determined is 9.8, being consistent with a theoretical prediction. This value is significantly lower than the static dielectric constant (11.9) of bulk crystalline Si, indicating the significance of *nc*-Si size effect. The information of *nc*-Si dielectric constant is not only important to the fundamental physics but also useful to the design and modeling of *nc*-Si-based memory devices. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2172009]

In recent years, research on silicon nanocrystal (*nc*-Si) embedded in SiO₂ thin film has been carried out intensively due to its potential applications in memory devices as well as optoelectronic devices.^{1–5} One promising technique for incorporating *nc*-Si into SiO₂ is to use Si ion implantation followed by high temperature annealing. With this technique the *nc*-Si synthesis is fully compatible with the mainstream complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) process and the distribution of the *nc*-Si can easily be controlled. When the *nc*-Si is embedded in the SiO₂ film, the dielectric properties of the film will be different from that of the pure SiO₂ film. Therefore, for flash memory devices with the *nc*-Si embedded in the gate oxide,^{4,6,7} the inclusion of the *nc*-Si will definitely affect the gate capacitance. However, a quantitative study on the influence of the *nc*-Si on the gate capacitance is still lacking, although it is very important to the design and modeling of the memory devices. On the other hand, as the *nc*-Si is an isolated nanoscale structure with a size of less than ~ 5 nm embedded in the SiO₂ matrix, its physical properties should be different from that of bulk crystalline Si. Thus understanding the dielectric properties of the *nc*-Si is undoubtedly important to the fundamental physics. In addition, if the dielectric properties of the *nc*-Si are known, the dielectric properties of a SiO₂ thin film containing the *nc*-Si can also be obtained based on an appropriate effective medium approximation (EMA), and the information is useful to the device applications of the *nc*-Si. In this work, we have determined the static dielectric constant of isolated *nc*-Si embedded in a SiO₂ thin film synthesized with the technique of Si ion implantation.

The SiO₂ films were thermally grown to a thickness of 100 nm on *n*-type (100) oriented Si wafers in dry oxygen at 950 °C. Si⁺ ions with a dose of 8×10^{16} cm⁻² were then implanted to the SiO₂ thin films at the energy of 28 keV. Thermal annealing was subsequently carried out at 1000 °C

in N₂ ambient for 1 h in order to induce *nc*-Si formation. Metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) capacitors with an area of $80 \times 80 \mu\text{m}^2$ are formed by depositing a 20 nm aluminum film onto the SiO₂ thin film. The backsides of wafers were coated with a layer of aluminum with a thickness of about 1 μm after removing the backside oxide. Capacitance-voltage (*C-V*) measurements were performed at a frequency of 1 MHz with a HP4284A LCR meter.

Figure 1 shows the cross-section measurement of the sample using high-resolution transmission electron microscope (TEM) with a Jeol JEM-2010 apparatus. The TEM measurement shows that the size of the *nc*-Si embedded in the SiO₂ matrix is ~ 4.5 nm. The *nc*-Si size can also be determined from the broadening of the Bragg peak in x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements.⁸ The mean size of the *nc*-Si was determined from the full width at half maximum of the Bragg peak after correction for instrumental broadening in the XRD measurement and was found to be ~ 4.5 nm, comparable with the size obtained from the TEM measurement.

FIG. 1. High-resolution TEM image of *nc*-Si embedded in a SiO₂ thin film.

^{a)}Electronic mail: echentp@ntu.edu.sg

FIG. 2. (a) Depth profile of volume fraction of the *nc*-Si in the SiO₂ as determined from the SRIM calculation; and (b) the multi-sublayer model used for the EMA calculation.

The profile of excess Si in the 100 nm SiO₂ films due to the Si ion implantation can be obtained from the stopping and range of ions in matter (SRIM)⁹ simulation. From the simulation, the peak concentration was found to be at a depth of about 42 nm, and the volume fraction of the peak concentration was calculated to be 34.5%. Figure 2(a) shows the depth distribution of the *nc*-Si volume fraction. The *nc*-Si is distributed throughout the oxide from the SiO₂ surface to the SiO₂/Si interface. As such, the dielectric constant of the oxide film is no longer equal to that (3.9) of pure SiO₂. Therefore, the MOS capacitance of the structure with *nc*-Si distributed in the oxide will be different from the capacitance of a MOS capacitor with a pure SiO₂ thin film (i.e., without the *nc*-Si) of the same thickness.

As the *nc*-Si volume fraction varies with the depth in the oxide layer, the effective dielectric constant of the oxide layer is not uniform and should vary with the depth. In the present study, the 100 nm oxide layer is virtually divided into 100 sublayers with an equal thickness of $d_0=1$ nm (namely sublayer 1, sublayer 2, ..., sublayer 100), as shown in Fig. 2(b). The *nc*-Si volume fraction is considered to be constant within each sublayer. Each sublayer has its own effective dielectric constant, ϵ_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, 100$) characterized by the volume fraction (v_i) of the sublayer. Each sublayer can be schematized as an effective medium, in which the SiO₂ is the host matrix while the *nc*-Si is an inclusion embedded in the SiO₂ matrix, represented by the Maxwell-Garnett effective medium approximation (EMA)^{8,10-14}

$$\frac{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}}{\epsilon_i + 2\epsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}} = v_i \frac{\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}} - \epsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}}{\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}} + 2\epsilon_{\text{SiO}_2}}, \quad (1)$$

where ϵ_{SiO_2} (=3.9) is the dielectric constant of pure SiO₂ and $\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}}$ is the dielectric constant of the *nc*-Si. Note that $\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}}$ is a parameter that is to be determined. Taking the depth distribution of the dielectric constant in the oxide layer into ac-

FIG. 3. Comparison of *C-V* characteristics between the MOS structures with and without the *nc*-Si embedded in the oxide. Both structures have identical oxide thickness.

count and based on the above sublayer model, the MOS capacitance (*C*) per unit area can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{100} \left[\frac{\epsilon_i \epsilon_0}{d_0} \right]^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where ϵ_0 is the permittivity in vacuum.

One can expect that the dielectric constant of the *nc*-Si is larger than ϵ_{SiO_2} (=3.9) if the dielectric constant (11.9) of bulk crystalline Si is taken as a reference. If this is the case, ϵ_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, 100$) should be larger than ϵ_{SiO_2} as predicted by Eq. (1). According to Eq. (2), then the MOS capacitance of the structure with *nc*-Si distributed in the oxide should be larger than that of the structure without the *nc*-Si (i.e., a MOS capacitor with a pure SiO₂ thin film of the same thickness). This situation has been observed in the experiment. Figure 3 shows the *C-V* characteristics of the MOS structures with and without the *nc*-Si distributed in the oxide. As can be seen in this figure, the inclusion of the *nc*-Si in the oxide leads to a significant increase in the MOS capacitance (i.e., the capacitance under strong accumulation). This indicates that the dielectric constant of the *nc*-Si is larger than ϵ_{SiO_2} . Besides the increase in the capacitance, Fig. 3 also shows that the inclusion of the *nc*-Si leads to a flatband voltage shift. The shift is due to the charge trapping in the *nc*-Si during the *C-V* measurement and the difference in the work function between the *nc*-Si and the SiO₂.

As the volume fraction (v_i) of *nc*-Si in each sublayer and ϵ_{SiO_2} are known, the dielectric constant (ϵ_i) of each sublayer can be calculated with Eq. (1) for a given $\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}}$, and then the MOS capacitance (*C*) can be calculated with Eq. (2). Figure 4 shows the calculated MOS capacitance as a function of $\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}}$ which varies from 3.9 (dielectric constant of SiO₂) to 11.9 (dielectric constant of bulk crystalline silicon). As can be seen in this figure, the capacitance increases with the $\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}}$. From the curve of the capacitance versus the $\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}}$ shown in Fig. 4, one can easily find the value of $\epsilon_{nc\text{-Si}}$ corresponding to the measured MOS capacitance of the structure with the *nc*-Si distributed in the oxide. The value obtained is 9.8, and it is actually the dielectric constant of the *nc*-Si embedded in the oxide. As expected, the dielectric constant of the *nc*-Si is much larger than that of pure SiO₂ but significantly smaller than that of bulk crystalline Si.

FIG. 4. MOS capacitance calculated with Eqs. (1) and (2) as a function of ϵ_{nc-Si} . The intercept between the calculated and the measured capacitance yields the dielectric constant of the nc -Si embedded in the SiO_2 .

According to Wang and Zunger,¹⁵ the screening static dielectric constant of the nc -Si as a function of the nc -Si size can be expressed as follows:

$$\epsilon_{static}(D) = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_b - 1}{1 + \left(\frac{1.38}{D}\right)^{1.37}}, \quad (3)$$

where ϵ_b is the dielectric constant of bulk crystalline silicon (note that in Ref. 15 the two constants, 1.38 and 1.37, used in Eq. (3) are obtained under the assumption of $\epsilon_b = 11.4$. This ϵ_b value is slightly different from the widely used value, 11.9 [Ref. 16] and D is the nc -Si size in the unit of nm. From Eq. (3), in setting the size of nc -Si to be 4.5 nm, the static dielectric constant of nc -Si is found to be 9.7. This number is consistent with the above value of dielectric constant obtained from Fig. 4.

It is obvious from the above discussions that the dielectric constant of nc -Si is significantly reduced from its bulk value. There are a number of studies on the reduction of the dielectric constants of nanoscale systems.^{15,17–20} Originally, the reduction in the dielectric constant of small quantum dots (such as the nanocrystal here) was attributed to the band gap increase inside the quantum dots. However, it was only recently argued by Delerue, Lannoo, and Allan that the reduction is due to the breaking of polarizable bonds at the surface and is not due to the opening of the band gap induced by the confinement.²⁰ This argument is supported by the following two latest studies.^{21,22} Based on the first-principle calculation of the microscopic dielectric response function for quantum dots, Cartoix and Wang have found that the reduction of the macroscopic dielectric constants is a surface effect.²¹ Giustino and Pasquarello have also shown that for Si slabs of finite size the decrease of the permittivity with slab thickness is related to surface rather than bulk effects.²²

In conclusion, the static dielectric constant of isolated nc -Si synthesized by Si ion implantation embedded in a SiO_2 thin film can be determined from C - V measurement based on the Maxwell–Garnett EMA and the SRIM simulation. For the nc -Si with a mean size of ~ 4.5 nm, the dielectric constant so determined is 9.8, being consistent with a theoretical prediction. This value is significantly lower than the static dielectric constant (11.9) of bulk crystalline Si, indicating the significance of nc -Si size effect. On the other hand, since the dielectric constant of the nc -Si is known, the depth profile of the effective dielectric constant of the oxide layer containing the nc -Si can be calculated with the EMA, and the information is useful to the design and modeling of nc -Si-based memory devices.

This work has been financially supported by the Ministry of Education Singapore under Project No. ARC 1/04.

¹L. Pavesi, L. Dal Negro, C. Mazzoleni, G. Franzo, and F. Priolo, *Nature* (London) **408**, 440 (2000).

²R. J. Walters, G. I. Bourianoff, and H. A. Atwater, *Nat. Mater.* **4**, 143 (2005).

³C. Y. Ng, T. P. Chen, Y. Liu, M. S. Tse, and D. Gui, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **8**, G8 (2005).

⁴S. Tiwari, F. Rana, H. Hanafi, A. Hartstein, E. F. Crabbé, and K. Chan, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **68**, 1377 (1996).

⁵Y. Liu, T. P. Chen, C. Y. Ng, M. S. Tse, P. Zhao, Y. Q. Fu, S. Zhang, and S. Fung, *Nanotechnology* **16**, 1119 (2005).

⁶S. Lombardo, B. De Salvo, C. Gerardi, and T. Baron, *Microelectron. Eng.* **72**, 388 (2004).

⁷P. Dimitrakakis, E. Kapetanakis, D. Tsoukalas, D. Skarlatos, C. Bonafos, G. B. Assayag, A. Claverie, M. Perego, M. Fanciulli, V. Soncini, R. Sotgiu, A. Agarwal, M. Ameen, C. Sohl, and P. Normand, *Solid-State Electron.* **48**, 1511 (2004).

⁸T. P. Chen, Y. Liu, M. S. Tse, O. K. Tan, P. F. Ho, K. Y. Liu, D. Gui, and A. L. K. Tan, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 153301 (2003).

⁹J. F. Ziegler, J. P. Biersack, and U. Littmark, *The Stopping and Range of Ions in Solids* (Pergamon, New York, 1985), Vol. 1.

¹⁰H.-Ch. Weissker, J. Furthmüller, and F. Bechstedt, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 165322 (2003).

¹¹L. N. Dinh, L. L. Chase, M. Balooch, W. K. Siekhaus, and F. Wooten, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 5029 (1996).

¹²T. P. Chen, Y. Liu, M. S. Tse, P. F. Ho, D. Gui, and S. Fung, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 4724 (2002).

¹³L. Ding, T. P. Chen, Y. Liu, C. Y. Ng, Y. C. Liu, and S. Fung, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **87**, 121903 (2005).

¹⁴L. Ding, T. P. Chen, Y. Liu, C. Y. Ng, and S. Fung, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 125419 (2005).

¹⁵L.-W. Wang and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 1039 (1994).

¹⁶S. M. Sze, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1981).

¹⁷D. R. Penn, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 2093 (1962).

¹⁸R. Tsu, D. Babic, and L. Ioriatti, Jr., *J. Appl. Phys.* **82**, 1327 (1997).

¹⁹L.-W. Wang and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 9579 (1996).

²⁰C. Delerue, M. Lannoo, and G. Allan, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 115411 (2003).

²¹X. Cartoixa and L. W. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 236804 (2005).

²²F. Giustino and A. Pasquarello, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 144104 (2005).