Nanoelectronic biosensors based on CVD grown graphene

Huang, Yinxu; Dong, Xiaochen; Shi, Yumeng; Li, Chang Ming; Li, Lain-Jong; Chen, Peng

2010

Huang, Y., Dong, X., Shi, Y., Li, C. M., Li, L. J., & Chen, P. (2010). Nanoelectronic biosensors based on CVD grown graphene. *Nanoscale*, 2, 1485–1488.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/94374>

<https://doi.org/10.1039/c0nr00142b>

© 2010 Royal Society of Chemistry. This is the author created version of a work that has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by Nanoscale, Royal Society of Chemistry. It incorporates referee's comments but changes resulting from the publishing process, such as copyediting, structural formatting, may not be reflected in this document. The published version is available at: [DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0nr00142b>].

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 18:27:05 SGT

Nanoelectronic Biosensors Based on CVD Grown Graphene

Yinxi Huang,^{a†} Xiaochen Dong,^{a,b†} Yumeng Shi,^b Chang Ming Li,^a Lain-Jong Li,^{*b} and Peng Chen^{*a}

^a School of Chemical and Biomedical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637457

^b School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798

[†] These authors contribute equally to the work.

Correspondence: chenpeng@ntu.edu.sg or ljli@ntu.edu.sg

Graphical contents entry:

Summary:

Graphene, a single-atom-thick and two-dimensional carbon material, has attracted great attention recently. Because of its unique electrical, physical, and optical properties, graphene has great potential to be a novel alternative to carbon nanotube in biosensing. We demonstrate the use of large-sized CVD grown graphene films configured as field-effect transistors for real-time biomolecular sensing. Glucose or glutamate molecules were detected by conductance change of the graphene transistor as they are oxidized by the specific redox enzyme (glucose oxidase or glutamic dehydrogenase) functionalized onto the graphene film. This study indicates that graphene is promising candidate for the development of real-time nanoelectronic biosensors.

1. Introduction

Single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT), which is crystalline carbon sheet rolled into long cylindrical tube with diameter of 1-2 nm, has attracted much attention in development of novel nanoelectronic biosensors owing to its exceptional electrical properties.¹⁻³ The applications of SWNTs, however, are often plagued with several problems. Particularly, it is difficult to separate metallic nanotubes from semiconducting ones. And when single or a few SWNTs are used, it is challenging to manipulate them for device fabrication, and it is too small to interface with large-sized bio-species (e.g., cells or tissues) and to be readily bio-functionalized. The flat relative of SWNT, graphene, has been discovered recently.⁴ In contrast to the one-dimensional structured SWNT, graphene is one-atom thick two-dimensional carbon sheet. As it exhibits unique structural and electrical properties (e.g. high carrier mobility and bipolar field-effect characteristics), graphene has been anticipated as a novel or better alternative to carbon nanotubes in various applications⁵⁻⁸ including biosensor development.⁹⁻¹³ Graphene based biosensors are advantageous in terms of, for example, large detection area, possibilities of new sensing mechanisms, and ease of effective functionalization. In this study, redox enzyme-modified CVD-grown graphene devices for real-time detection of glucose and glutamate are demonstrated.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Preparation of graphene film

Large-sized graphene film with single-layered and few-layered domains were grown on Ni film (~500 nm thick, evaporated on SiO₂/Si wafer) at ~1000°C by chemical vapor deposition (CVD)

of carbon using a mixture of methane and hydrogen as carbon source. As-grown graphene film on Ni film was spin-coated with poly-methyl methacrylate (PMMA) dissolved in chlorobenzene, followed by baking at 120°C for 20 min. The Ni film underneath the graphene film was etched away by HCl solution ($\text{HCl}:\text{H}_2\text{O} = 1:10$) over a period of 8h to release the PMMA/graphene film into the solution. The PMMA/graphene film was then picked up by a quartz substrate. After dried in air, a small amount of liquid PMMA/chlorobenzene solution was dropped onto the PMMA/graphene film to dissolve the precoated PMMA. At last, the PMMA/graphene film was immersed into acetone to remove PMMA, followed by annealing at 450°C for 20 min (hydrogen/argon atmosphere) to remove the residual PMMA.

2.2 Functionalization of GOD and GluD

Graphene device was incubated with 5mM linker molecule (1-pyrenebutanoic acid succinimidyl ester, i-DNA Biotechnology) in dimethylformamide (DMF) for 2 h at room temperature, and washed with pure DMF and DI water. The linker-modified graphene was then incubated with 10 mg mL^{-1} Glucose oxidase (GOD, Sigma-Aldrich) or 2 mg mL^{-1} glutamic dehydrogenase (GluD, Sigma-Aldrich) in $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-NaHCO}_3$ buffer solution (pH 9.0) overnight at 4°C followed by rinsing with DI water and phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS). To deactivate and block the excess reactive groups remaining on the surface, the device was incubated with 0.1M ethanolamine solution (pH 9.0) for 30 min and then rinsed by DI water.

2.3 Electrical measurements

All measurements were conducted using a semiconductor device analyzer (Agilent, B1500A), and carried out while the graphene devices were biased at 100mV. The gating voltage was applied via an Ag/AgCl electrode in the PBS solution (10mM, pH 7.2). In the biosensing experiments, I_{ds} of graphene FET was continuously monitored at $V_g = 0\text{V}$.

3. Results and discussion

Large-sized graphene film with single-layered and few-layered domains were grown on Ni film (~500 nm thick, evaporated on SiO₂/Si wafer) at ~1000°C by chemical vapor deposition of carbon using a mixture of methane and hydrogen as carbon source.¹⁴ Source and drain electrodes were prepared at the two opposite edges of the graphene film (~2×4 mm²) using silver paint. And silicone rubber was used to insulate the two electrodes and define a solution / recording chamber between the electrodes. Figure 1A shows a typical optical image of the CVD growth graphene film. The grown films are heterogeneous with dominating single layered area (50~60%) and few layered islands. The number of graphene layers can be revealed by Raman spectroscopy with excitation wavelength at 488 nm (Figure 1B). Regions indicated by a, b, c, and d in Figure 1A are identified as single-, double-, triple- and multiple-layered by their characteristic intensity ratio of the 2D Raman peak at ~2700 cm⁻¹ to G peak at ~1582 cm⁻¹ (~3.4, ~1.0, ~0.78, and ~0.25, respectively).¹⁴

Glucose oxidase (GOD) or glutamic dehydrogenase (GluD) was immobilized onto graphene film *via* a linker molecule (1-pyrenebutanoic acid succinimidyl ester) which on one end firmly attaches to the graphene surface through *pi-pi* interaction with a pyrene group and on the other end covalently reacts with the amino group on the enzyme by an amide bond (Figure 2A). As shown by the AFM images of graphene film before and after functionalization (Figure S1 in SI), the immobilization of enzymes is effective with good coverage. The transfer curves, i.e., the current between source and drain (I_{ds}) of the graphene field-effect transistor (FET) biased at $V_{ds} = 100$ mV versus the liquid-gate voltage (V_g) applied via an Ag/AgCl electrode immersed in the PBS solution (10mM, pH 7.2) bathing the graphene film, were measured on the bare graphene

device, the device conjugated with the linker, and the device after functionalization of GOD or GluD (Figure S2 in SI). The alterations in the transfer curve indicate the success of the functionalization steps. And both GOD and GluD functionalized devices exhibit similar bipolar field-effect characteristics. The hole mobilities of the GOD and GluD functionalized devices in Figure S2 are $\sim 520 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ S}^{-1}$ and $\sim 630 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ S}^{-1}$, respectively. In the biosensing experiments, graphene FET operated at the p-type region as the solution voltage V_g was held at 0V, and its I_{ds} was continuously monitored with $V_{ds} = 100 \text{ mV}$ using a semiconductor device analyzer (Agilent, B1500A).

GOD catalyzes the oxidation of glucose in the following reaction: $\beta\text{-D-Glucose} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{D-Glucono-1,5-Lactone} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. In a typical experiment shown in Figure 2B, obvious increase of I_{ds} was observed when glucose in PBS buffer was added to the recording chamber initially filled with 300 μL PBS solution to reach the final concentration of 0.1 mM. And I_{ds} increased further as more glucose was added to reach higher concentrations. As shown in the lower inset of Figure 2B, the graphene response tends to saturate at the glucose concentration $> 10 \text{ mM}$, perhaps partly due to the limited density of GODs on the graphene film and/or the limited reaction rate of GOD. The percentage increase in the graphene conductance in response to 0.1 mM glucose was 0.76 $\pm$ 0.16% ($n = 3$ devices), which was significantly higher than the noise level 0.07 $\pm$ 0.01%. Our observations are consistent with the previous experiment using single-SWNT based field-effect transistors (FETs)¹⁵ which, however, showed lower sensitivity. For comparison, we have conducted similar experiments using GOD functionalized thin-film FETs based on SWNT network (also a two dimensional nanocarbon substrate, $\sim 8 \text{ mm}^2$ in size, Figure S3 in SI)^{16, 17} and found that the conductance of SWNT network also increased upon addition of glucose. But the detection sensitivity ($>1 \text{ mM}$) is lower than the graphene FETs (Figure S4A in SI). The

percentage increase in the SWNT network conductance in response to 5 mM glucose was only 0.24 +/- 0.14% (n = 3 devices). In the control experiment (upper inset in Figure 2B), glucose (10 mM) was not able to cause appreciable responses from GOD free graphene sensors (only with the linker molecules and ethanolamine passivation), suggesting that the current responses to glucose observed in GOD functionalized devices are due to the enzymatic activities of GODs. Furthermore, GOD functionalized graphene FETs is not responsive to glutamate and common interferences including L-ascorbic acid, uric acid and acetaminophen (data not shown), indicating that our graphene based nanoelectronic biosensor can specifically detect glucose.

Glutamate is a major neurotransmitter secreted by neurons in the central nervous system. GluD oxidizes glutamate in the following reaction: $\text{L-Glutamate} + \beta\text{-NAD} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \alpha\text{-Ketoglutarate} + \beta\text{-NADH} + \text{NH}_4^+$. In order to facilitate the oxidation reaction, 5 mM $\beta\text{-NAD}$ (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the recording chamber before addition of glutamate. As shown in Figure 3, low concentration of glutamate (5 μM) caused clear current increase of graphene device. The response of graphene device displayed two linear detection ranges: from 5 μM to 50 μM and from 50 μM to 1.2 mM, respectively (lower inset, Figure 3). The percentage increase in the graphene conductance in response to 5 μM glutamate was 0.35 +/- 0.08% (n = 3 devices), much higher than the noise level. As control, glutamate (1 mM) did not lead to any signal from GluD free graphene sensors (upper inset, Figure 3). And addition of glucose and interference molecules (L-ascorbic acid, uric acid and acetaminophen) did not cause obvious response (data not shown). These results show that the GluD-modified graphene device can specifically detect glutamate with low detection limit and wide range, mediated by the enzymatic activities of GluD. In contrast, GluD functionalized SWNT network responded to addition of glutamate inconsistently and with small signal amplitude (Figure S4B in SI).

Catalytic oxidation of both glucose and glutamate led to increase in graphene conductance. Such increase is not because of direct transfer of electrons generated from the oxidative reaction to the graphene which would decrease graphene conductance and cause negative shift of the Dirac (minimum conductance) point of the transfer curve in contrast to our observations (Figure 1 and 2, 4). The transfer curves in Figure 4 demonstrate that the device conductance (at $V_g=0$) increased in both cases, consistent with the I_{ds} -time measurement shown in Figure 1B and Figure 2. The addition of glutamate obviously right-shifted the transfer curve (Figure 4B), indicating the p-doping effect. On the other hand, the addition of glucose only caused slight right-shift (expanded transfer curve shown in the inset of Figure 4A). Such small p-doping effect may explain the lower detection sensitivity for glucose as compared to that of glutamate. It is likely that the products from the oxidative reaction are responsible for the increase of graphene conductance. As shown in Figure 5, H_2O_2 and NH_4OH produced from glucose and glutamate oxidation respectively can indeed induce increase in graphene conductance, while D-Glucono-1,5-Lactone (the other oxidation product of glucose) and α -Ketoglutarate (another oxidation product of glutamate) cannot cause any conductance change.

The detection limits of our graphene based glucose sensor (0.1 mM) and glutamate sensor (5 μ M) are comparable to the commonly used electrochemical sensors,^{17, 18} yet inferior to some state-of-the-art electrochemical sensors integrated with functional nanomaterials.^{19, 20} But as the techniques to fabricate high-performance graphene FETs are rapidly evolving, it is anticipated that the ability of graphene based biomolecular sensors would be significantly advanced in the near future. It appears that graphene sensors are superior to SWNT-network sensors. This may be attributable to several reasons: 1) the sensitivity of SWNT network is impaired by the presence of metallic tubes; 2) the functionalization of enzymes is more effective and uniform on flat

graphene film than on small nanotubes; and 3) the functionalization steps may alter the tube-to-tube contact in the SWNT network or lead to loss of some nanotubes.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we demonstrate nanoelectronic biosensors based on large-sized CVD grown graphene film functionalized with specific redox mediators for detection of glucose and glutamate. This study postulates the promising potentials of graphene in nanoelectronic biosensing. In addition, given its perfect 2D structure, exceptional electronic properties, and the ability to support cell adhesion and growth²¹, graphene devices promise applications in interfacing with living cells and detecting dynamic bimolecular secretion and other activities from them.^{22, 23}

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by an A-Star SERC grant (# 072 101 0020) and a grant from National Research Foundation (NRF-CRP-07-2).

Supplementary Data

AFM images of graphene film before and after functionalization, transfer curves of graphene after every step, SEM image of CNT-net, and detection results using CNT-net devices. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.rsc.org>

References:

- 1 P. Avouris, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 2002, **35**, 1026.
- 2 B. L. Allen, P. D. Kichambare, and A. Star, *Advanced Materials*, 2007, **19**, 1439.
- 3 Y. X. Huang, and P. Chen, *Advanced Materials*, 2010, DOI: 10.1002/adma.200904235.
- 4 A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, *Nature Materials*, 2007, **6**, 183.
- 5 X. C. Dong, D. L. Fu, W. J. Fang, Y. M. Shi, P. Chen, and L. J. Li, *Small*, 2009, **5**, 1422.
- 6 F. Schedin, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, E. W. Hill, P. Blake, M. I. Katsnelson, and K. S. Novoselov, *Nature Materials*, 2007, **6**, 652.
- 7 J. T. Robinson, F. K. Perkins, E. S. Snow, Z. Q. Wei, and P. E. Sheehan, *Nano Letters*, 2008, **8**, 3137.
- 8 J. D. Fowler, M. J. Allen, V. C. Tung, Y. Yang, R. B. Kaner, and B. H. Weiller, *Acs Nano*, 2009, **3**, 301.
- 9 N. Mohanty and V. Berry, *Nano Letters*, 2008, **8**, 4469.
- 10 C. H. Lu, H. H. Yang, C. L. Zhu, X. Chen, and G. N. Chen, *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 2009, **48**, 4785.
- 11 Y. Ohno, K. Maehashi, Y. Yamashiro, and K. Matsumoto, *Nano Letters*, 2009, **9**, 3318.
- 12 X. C. Dong, Y. M. Shi, W. Huang, P. Chen, and L. J. Li, *Advanced Materials*, 2010, DOI: 10.1002/adma.200903645.
- 13 S. J. He, B. Song, D. Li, C. F. Zhu, W. P. Qi, Y. Q. Wen, L. H. Wang, S. P. Song, H. P. Fang, and C. H. Fan, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2010, **20**, 453.
- 14 A. Reina, X. T. Jia, J. Ho, D. Nezich, H. B. Son, V. Bulovic, M. S. Dresselhaus, and J. Kong, *Nano Letters*, 2009, **9**, 30.
- 15 K. Besteman, J. O. Lee, F. G. M. Wiertz, H. A. Heering, and C. Dekker, *Nano Letters*, 2003, **3**, 727.

- 16 J. Zhang, D. L. Fu, M. B. Chan-Park, L. J. Li, and P. Chen, *Advanced Materials*, 2009, **21**, 790.
- 17 Y. X. Huang, W. J. Zhang, H. Xiao, and G. X. Li, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 2005, **21**, 817.
- 18 S. Chakrabortya, and C. R. Raj, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 2007, **9**, 1323.
- 19 U. Yogeswaran and S. M. Chen, *Sensors*, 2008, **8**, 290.
- 20 L. H. Tang, Y. H. Zhu, L. H. Xu, X. L. Yang, and C. Z. Li, *Talanta*, 2007, **73**, 438.
- 21 S. Agarwal, X. Z. Zhou, F. Ye, Q. Y. He, G. C. K. Chen, J. C. Soo, F. Boey, H. Zhang, and P. Chen, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 2244.
- 22 Y. X. Huang, H. G. Sudibya, D. L. Fu, R. H. Xue, X. C. Dong, L. J. Li, and P. Chen, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 2009, **24**, 2716.
- 23 H. G. Sudibya, J. M. Ma, X. C. Dong, S. Ng, L. J. Li, X. W. Liu, and P. Chen, *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 2009, **48**, 2723.

Figure 1. (A) Typical optical image of the CVD growth graphene film, consisting of single-layered domains (dark area) and few-layered domains (bright areas). (B) Raman spectra of several regions on the graphene film (indicated as a, b, c, d in both panel A and panel B). The number of graphene layers can be determined by the peak intensity ratio of 2D and G bands in the Raman spectrum.

Figure 2. (A) Schematic illustration of GOD functionalized graphene FET. (B) Current responses to the addition of glucose to various concentrations. The upper inset shows that GOD free graphene FET is not responsive to 10 mM glucose. The lower inset shows the response curve of the graphene FET to glucose fitted by an exponential function.

Figure 3. Current responses of GluD functionalized graphene FET to the addition of glutamate to various concentrations. The upper inset shows that GluD free graphene FET is not responsive to 1 mM glutamate. The lower inset shows the response curve of the graphene FET to glutamate with two fitting lines indicating the two linear response regions.

Figure 4. (A) Transfer curves before and after adding glucose (10 mM) to the GOD functionalized graphene FET. (B) Transfer curves before and after adding glutamate (1 mM) to the GluD functionalized graphene FET in the presence of 5 mM β -NAD.

Figure 5. (A) Current responses of graphene FET to addition of products from glucose oxidation: H_2O_2 and D-Glucono-1,5-Lactone (1mM),. (B) Current responses of graphene FET to addition of products of glutamate oxidation: NH_4OH and α -Ketoglutarate (1mM).