Penetration depth study of $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$: multiband s-wave superconductivity

Panagopoulos, Christos; Tee, X. Y.; Luo, H. G.; Xiang, T.; Vandervelde, D.; Salamon, M. B.; Sugawara, H.; Sato, H.; Chia, Elbert E. M.

2012

Tee, X. Y., Luo, H. G., Xiang, T., Vandervelde, D., Salamon, M. B., Sugawara, H., et al. (2012). Penetration depth study of $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$: multiband s-wave superconductivity. *Physical Review B*, 86(6), 064518-.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/95111>

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.064518>

© 2012 American Physical Society. This paper was published in *Physical Review B* and is made available as an electronic reprint (preprint) with permission of American Physical Society. The paper can be found at the following official DOI: [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.064518>]. One print or electronic copy may be made for personal use only. Systematic or multiple reproduction, distribution to multiple locations via electronic or other means, duplication of any material in this paper for a fee or for commercial purposes, or modification of the content of the paper is prohibited and is subject to penalties under law.

Penetration depth study of LaOs₄Sb₁₂: Multiband *s*-wave superconductivityX. Y. Tee,¹ H. G. Luo,^{2,3} T. Xiang,^{4,5} D. Vandervelde,⁶ M. B. Salamon,⁷ H. Sugawara,⁸
H. Sato,⁹ C. Panagopoulos,¹ and Elbert E. M. Chia^{1,*}¹*Division of Physics and Applied Physics, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences,
Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Singapore*²*Center for Interdisciplinary Studies and Key Laboratory for Magnetism and Magnetic Materials of the MoE,
Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*³*Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100084, China*⁴*Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 603, Beijing 100190, China*⁵*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100190, China*⁶*Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*⁷*Department of Physics, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080, USA*⁸*Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences, University of Tokushima, Tokushima 770-8502, Japan*⁹*Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan*

(Received 6 June 2012; published 17 August 2012)

We measured the magnetic penetration depth $\lambda(T)$ in single crystals of LaOs₄Sb₁₂ ($T_c = 0.74$ K) down to 85 mK using a tunnel-diode-oscillator technique. The observed low-temperature exponential dependence indicates an *s*-wave gap. Fitting the low-temperature data to a BCS *s*-wave expression gives the zero-temperature gap value $\Delta(0) = (1.34 \pm 0.07)k_B T_c$ which is significantly smaller than the BCS value of $1.76k_B T_c$. In addition, the normalized superfluid density $\rho(T)$ shows an unusually long suppression near T_c and is best fit by a two-band *s*-wave model.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.86.064518](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.064518)

PACS number(s): 74.25.N–, 74.70.Tx

The skutterudite PrOs₄Sb₁₂ has been receiving much attention due to the discovery of its unconventional heavy-fermion superconductivity and the possible role played by quadrupolar fluctuations in its pairing mechanism.^{1–4} An important step in clarifying its superconductivity is to determine the symmetry of the superconducting gap. Conflicting results had been reported. On one hand, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), muon spin rotation (μ SR), and nuclear quadrupolar relaxation (NQR) experiments point to an isotropic superconducting energy gap.^{5–7} On the other hand, magnetic penetration depth, angle-dependent thermal conductivity, and neutron scattering measurements revealed point nodes on the superconducting gap.^{8–10} Angle-dependent thermal conductivity data revealed two distinct superconducting phases of twofold and fourfold symmetries, both with point nodes on the superconducting gap.⁹ Recently, multiband superconductivity was proposed as a reconciliation for these conflicting results. According to field-dependent thermal conductivity data, PrOs₄Sb₁₂ is a two-band superconductor, with nodes on one band and an isotropic gap on the other.^{11–13} A similar two-gap structure was observed in Li₂Pt₃B, the nodes there arising from the interference of singlet and triplet pairing.¹⁴

LaOs₄Sb₁₂ (superconducting transition temperature $T_c = 0.74$ K) and PrOs₄Sb₁₂ ($T_c = 1.85$ K) are isostructural superconductors. The substitution of La by Pr introduces the *4f* electrons while largely preserving the crystal structure^{15–17} and Fermi surface topology.¹⁶ The *4f* energy levels of the Pr³⁺ ion are split by the crystal electric field (CEF), resulting in a nonmagnetic Γ_1 singlet ground state, a 0.7-meV Γ_5 first excited state, and an 11-meV Γ_4 second excited state (in O_h cubic symmetry).¹⁸ The higher T_c of PrOs₄Sb₁₂ compared to LaOs₄Sb₁₂ is attributed to the type of scattering between the conduction electrons and these low-lying CEF-split *4f*

levels:^{18–21} the inelastic scattering of the conduction electron by the $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_5$ transition has a strong *quadrupole* matrix element that enhances pair formation and consequently T_c . This interaction, known as aspherical Coulomb scattering, overcomes the magnetic pair-breaking effect due to the *s*-*f* exchange scattering of the conduction electron by the $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_4$ transition, which has a strong *dipole* matrix element that suppresses T_c . The *f* electrons thus play an important role in skutterudite/heavy-fermion superconductivity via the CEF-split *f*-electron energy levels.

Since it has been shown that PrOs₄Sb₁₂ is a two-band superconductor with different pairing symmetries on each band, we want to find out if LaOs₄Sb₁₂ is a multiband superconductor as well, and if so, whether the symmetries of these multiple gaps are different. Such an investigation of LaOs₄Sb₁₂ would allow us to determine whether the *f* electrons are necessary for the formation of multiband superconductivity. In general, multiband superconductivity arises when there are more than one conduction band *and* the pair couplings within each band are of different magnitudes.²² Though filled skutterudites generically contain two holelike conduction bands, observations of multiband superconductivity were only reported for PrOs₄Sb₁₂ and PrRu₄Sb₁₂, both containing *f* electrons.¹³ These *f* electrons might exert different degrees of influence on the pair coupling within each band, hence giving rise to multiband superconductivity. In this context, it is tempting to attribute multiband superconductivity in Pr-based skutterudites to the presence of *f* electrons.

There have been evidences that LaOs₄Sb₁₂ is a weak-coupling *s*-wave superconductor. Specific heat measurement showed a discontinuity jump ΔC at T_c with $\Delta C/\gamma T_c = 1.46$,²³ close to the BCS value of 1.43. Sb-NQR measurement showed a coherence peak at T_c and exponential temperature

dependence at low temperatures.⁷ The data can be fitted by an isotropic gap^{7,24} with $\Delta(0) \approx 1.6k_B T_c$ or an anisotropic gap $\Delta(\theta) = \delta + (\Delta - \delta) \sin \theta$ with $\Delta = 1.73k_B T_c$ and $\delta = 1.21k_B T_c$.²⁵ However, to the best of our knowledge, multiband superconductivity has never been reported for this material.

Magnetic penetration depth has been shown to be a valuable tool for probing multiband superconductivity, for instance, in the well-known multiband superconductor MgB_2 .²⁶ At low temperatures, the deviation $\Delta\lambda(T) = \lambda(T) - \lambda(0)$ is sensitive to the low-energy excitations of quasiparticles across the superconducting gap. Consequently, the symmetry of the energy gap can be determined from the temperature dependence of $\Delta\lambda(T)$. In addition, fits to the superfluid density over the entire temperature range of measurement allows one to detect the existence of multiple gaps as well as their temperature dependencies. In this paper, we report the measurement of magnetic penetration depth $\lambda(T)$ in single crystals of $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ down to 85 mK. We found that, at low temperatures, $\Delta\lambda(T)$ exhibits an exponential temperature dependence consistent with an *s*-wave gap symmetry. Fitting the data to a BCS *s*-wave expression gives a minimum gap value that is significantly smaller than the BCS value, suggestive of multiband superconductivity. The superfluid density data over the entire temperature range are best fit by a two-band *s*-wave model.

Details of sample growth and characterization are described in Ref. 16. Four-probe resistivity [$\rho(T)$] data were taken on two $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ single crystals (named sample 1 and sample 2) using Quantum Design's Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) from 2 to 300 K, shown in Fig. 1(a). Superposed on the same figure are data taken from another sample of the same batch, shortly after the sample was grown. We see that the three data sets lie on top of one another. The resistivity at low temperatures ($T < 10$ K) flattens out, implying that impurity scattering is dominant at this temperature range. The residual resistivity ratio (RRR), defined to be $\text{RRR} = \rho(300 \text{ K}) / \rho(2 \text{ K})$, is calculated to be 127 for sample 1 and 108 for 2, implying that sample 1 is of slightly better quality than sample 2. Figure 1(b) shows the normalized resistivity of $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ sample 1 and the $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ sample used in the penetration depth paper of Ref. 8; their values are consistent with that in Ref. 27.

Penetration depth measurements were performed (in Urbana) utilizing a 21-MHz self-resonant tunnel-diode oscillator⁸ with a noise level of 2 parts in 10^9 and low drift. The sample was positioned in the center of an induction coil which forms part of the LC resonant tank circuit. The $\Delta\lambda(T)$ is directly proportional to the change in resonant frequency $\Delta f(T)$ of the oscillator, with the proportionality factor G dependent on sample and coil geometries. For a square sample of side $2w$, thickness $2d$, demagnetization N , and volume V , G is known to vary as $G \propto R_{3D}(1 - N)/V$, where $R_{3D} = w/[2[1 + (1 + 2d/w)^2] \arctan(w/2d) - 2d/w]$ is the effective sample dimension.²⁸ For our sample $2w \approx 0.59$ mm and $2d \approx 0.13$ mm. The value of G was determined for a high-purity Al single crystal by fitting the Al data to the extreme nonlocal expression and then adjusting for relative sample dimensions. The magnitude of the ac field inside the induction coil was estimated to be less than 40 mOe, and the cryostat was surrounded by a bilayer mu-metal shield that

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Temperature dependence of the resistivity $\rho(T)$, from 2 to 300 K, of $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ samples 1 (diamonds) and 2 (squares). Solid circles denote data from another sample of the same batch, taken shortly after the sample was grown. (b) Normalized resistivity of $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ sample 1 (open circles) and the $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ sample of Ref. 8 (solid squares).

reduced the dc field to less than 1 mOe. The single-crystal sapphire rod which held the sample with GE varnish was attached to the mixing chamber of an Oxford Kelvinox-25 dilution refrigerator to provide cooling. During the experiment, the probing ac field of the inductor coil was directed along the *c* axis of the sample and the in-plane penetration depth λ_{ab} was measured. Since $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ has a cubic crystal structure, we omit the distinction between axes for the rest of this paper.

As $\lambda(0) \approx 4700 \text{ \AA}$ in $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$,²⁹ it probes a significant depth into the sample and is therefore less sensitive to surface quality, giving a result representative of the bulk. At low temperatures ($T \lesssim T_c/3$), it is well established that $\lambda(T)$ of an isotropic *s*-wave superconductor will asymptotically approach an exponential behavior given by³⁰

$$\Delta\lambda(T) = \lambda(0) \sqrt{\frac{\pi \Delta(0)}{2k_B T}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta(0)}{k_B T}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda(0)$ and $\Delta(0)$ are the zero-temperature values of λ and the superconducting gap, respectively. For a weakly anisotropic gap or multiple gaps, Eq. (1) will still follow but now with $\Delta(0)$ being replaced by the minimum gap value in the system, and $\lambda(0)$ by an effective value which depends on the details of gap anisotropy.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the penetration depth $\Delta\lambda(T)$ in sample 1 at low temperatures. The solid line is a fit to Eq. (1). Inset: $\Delta\lambda(T)$ over the entire temperature range for samples 1 (open circles) and 2 (solid squares).

An exponential temperature dependence characteristic of an s -wave energy gap is observed in both samples over the temperature range 85 mK to 0.26 K. Figure 2 shows the low-temperature variation of $\Delta\lambda(T)$ in sample 1. The inset in Fig. 2 shows $\Delta\lambda(T)$ of both samples over the entire temperature range. It is clear from the inset, from the sharper superconducting transition and the larger T_c (midpoint), that sample 1 is of higher quality than sample 2, consistent with resistivity data. For sample 1, $\Delta\lambda(T)$ starts to fall at 0.76 K and reaches the transition midpoint at 0.68 K, consistent with the reported value of $T_c = 0.74$ K in other experiments.^{7,29,31}

The low-temperature data of sample 1 were fitted to Eq. (1) up to 0.25 K ($\sim T_c/3$), as shown by the solid line in Fig. 2. The zero-temperature gap value $\Delta(0)$ obtained from the fitting was found to be (0.99 ± 0.05) K or $(1.34 \pm 0.07)k_B T_c$ (assuming $T_c = 0.74$ K), where the error corresponds to fitting the data up to $T = 0.23$ K and $T = 0.26$ K, respectively. For sample 2, fitting the low-temperature data to Eq. (1) gives $\Delta(0) = (1.06 \pm 0.5)$ K or $\Delta(0) = (1.43 \pm 0.07)k_B T_c$ (not shown here), slightly larger than the value obtained in sample 1. We also fitted the low-temperature data to a power law $\Delta\lambda(T) \sim T^n$ and obtained $n = 4$, excluding the possibility of line nodes in the energy gap which gives $\Delta\lambda(T) \sim T$ or $\Delta\lambda(T) \sim T^2$ in the presence of impurities.³² As sample 1 has a sharper transition near T_c , we focus on it for the rest of this paper. The value $\Delta(0) = 1.34k_B T_c$ is significantly smaller than the weak-coupling BCS value of $1.76k_B T_c$. This suggests the possibility of an anisotropic gap or multiple gaps (multiband superconductivity).

The scenario of multiple gaps is more likely since, in addition, we also observed an unusually long suppression of the normalized superfluid density $\rho(T) = \lambda^2(0)/\lambda^2(T)$ near T_c which could not be due to gap anisotropy (Fig. 3). On the contrary, the presence of multiple gaps often results in suppression of $\rho(T)$ near T_c due to the presence of the smaller superconducting gap.^{33,34} This feature should be intrinsic to the material since it has been observed in another two samples and could not have been caused by some magnetic ordering, as the μSR experiment observed no spontaneous magnetism even down to 20 mK.²⁹

FIG. 3. (Color online) Experimental data (open circles) and theoretical fit (solid line) for the normalized superfluid density $\rho(T)$ of sample 1. Inset: temperature dependence of the two energy gaps from the theoretical fit.

We propose a two-band model to fit our data. In this model, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{i,k\sigma} \epsilon_{i,k} c_{i,k\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,k\sigma} + \sum_{i,k,k'} V_{i,kk'} c_{i,k'\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i,-k'\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i,-k\downarrow} c_{i,k\uparrow} + \sum_{kk'} V_{3,kk'} c_{1,k'\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1,-k'\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2,-k\downarrow} c_{2,k\uparrow} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (2)$$

where $i = 1, 2$ represents the first and second bands, $c_{i,k\sigma}$ and $c_{2,k\sigma}$ are the corresponding electron operators, $V_{1,kk'} = V_1 \gamma_{1,k} \gamma_{1,k'}$ and $V_{2,kk'} = V_2 \gamma_{2,k} \gamma_{2,k'}$ are the reduced pair coupling for the two bands, and $V_{3,kk'} = V_3 \gamma_{1,k} \gamma_{2,k'}$ is the interband pair coupling. This model has been used to describe the two-band superconductor MgB_2 .³⁵

Taking the BCS mean-field approximation, the interaction between the two bands is decoupled as

$$H \approx \sum_{i,k\sigma} \epsilon_{i,k} c_{i,k\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,k\sigma} + \left(\Delta_i \sum_k \gamma_{i,k} c_{i,k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i,-k\downarrow}^\dagger + \Delta_i^* \sum_k \gamma_k c_{i,-k\downarrow} c_{i,k\uparrow} \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta_1 = \sum_k (V_1 \gamma_{1,k} \langle c_{1,-k\downarrow} c_{1,k\uparrow} \rangle + V_3 \gamma_{2,k} \langle c_{2,-k\downarrow} c_{2,k\uparrow} \rangle)$ and $\Delta_2 = \sum_k (V_2 \gamma_{2,k} \langle c_{2,-k\downarrow} c_{2,k\uparrow} \rangle + V_3 \gamma_{1,k} \langle c_{1,-k\downarrow} c_{1,k\uparrow} \rangle)$.

We propose the tight-binding band dispersion

$$\epsilon_{i,k} = -2t_i (\cos k_x + \cos k_y + \cos k_z) + 4t'_i (\cos k_x \cos k_y + \cos k_x \cos k_z + \cos k_y \cos k_z) - \mu_i. \quad (4)$$

Here t_i ($i = 1, 2$) are the hopping constants, t'_i are the next-nearest-neighbor hoppings, and μ_i are the chemical potentials of the two bands. The same tight-binding band dispersion with a slight modification due to antiferromagnetism has been used to describe multiband superconductivity in the electron-doped cuprate superconductor $\text{Pr}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$.³⁴

We next solve, self-consistently, a set of equations of $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \mu_1, \mu_2$ obtained by $\delta F / \delta x = 0$ ($x = \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \mu_1, \mu_2$), where $F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln e^{-\beta H}$ is the free energy, for given model parameters t_i, t'_i, V_i, V_3, n_1 , and n_2 . The superfluid

density along a certain direction, such as the x direction, is given by

$$\rho_s^x = \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_k \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_{i,k}}{\partial k_x^2} \right\rangle + 2 \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{i,k}}{\partial k_x} \right) \frac{\partial f(E_{i,k})}{\partial E_{i,k}} \right),$$

where $f(E_{i,k})$ is the Fermi function. In our fitting, we assume two bands to have s -wave gaps, namely, $\gamma_{1,k} = \gamma_{2,k} = 1$. The choice of gap symmetry is supported by the low-temperature exponential behavior in $\Delta\lambda$ (this work) and Sb-NQR experiments.⁷ For LaOs₄Sb₁₂, the model parameters used are $(n_1, t_1, t'_1, V_1, n_2, t_2, t'_2, V_2, V_3) = (0.08, t_2/4.4, -0.25t_2, 0.08t_2, 0.25, t_2, -0.3t_2, 0.103t_2, 0.0008t_2)$. Here the hopping integral $t_2 = 1$ is taken as the unit of energy in the whole fitting and is related to critical temperature as $T_c \approx 0.049t_2$.

From the fitting, we obtained $\Delta_1(0) = 1.69k_B T_c$, $\Delta_2(0) = 1.30k_B T_c$, and $n_2/n_1 = 3.13$. The calculated value of $\Delta_2(0) = 1.30k_B T_c$ agrees well with the value of the zero-temperature gap value $\Delta(0) = (1.34 \pm 0.07)k_B T_c$ obtained from low-temperature data of $\Delta\lambda(T)$. The two values agree since at low temperatures the smaller energy gap will be the effective gap for quasiparticle excitation. The calculated value of $\Delta_1(0) = 1.69k_B T_c$ is also consistent with the weak-coupling value of $1.76k_B T_c$.^{7,23} Figure 3 shows that the experimental data of $\rho(T)$, in particular the long suppression near T_c , can be well fitted by our two-band model. The long suppression is effectively caused by the smaller gap Δ_2 vanishing at higher temperatures due to interband coupling with the bigger gap Δ_1 (inset of Fig. 3).

Our present finding reveals a two-band s -wave superconductivity in LaOs₄Sb₁₂. Given the close Fermi topologies of PrOs₄Sb₁₂ and LaOs₄Sb₁₂, the present result shows that multiband superconductivity of PrOs₄Sb₁₂ persists even when the f electrons are removed. This excludes the f electrons from being the origin of multiband superconductivity in PrOs₄Sb₁₂. Though the f electrons are not responsible for the multiband superconductivity, they may, however, influence the behavior of each band. Our present finding of s -wave symmetry in both bands suggests that the two bands evolve differently in the presence of f electrons, namely into a nodal band and a fully gapped band in PrOs₄Sb₁₂. This

unconventional effect of f electrons in PrOs₄Sb₁₂ may be related to the weakly split CEF levels,^{1,2,18} since it was found that the nodal feature disappears once PrOs₄Sb₁₂ is doped with Ru.^{36,37} We therefore suggest that theoretical models for PrOs₄Sb₁₂ should take the multiband superconductivity of LaOs₄Sb₁₂ as a starting point, and develop the subsequent modifications in superconducting properties due to the adding of f electrons.

The magnetic penetration depth data of PrOs₄Sb₁₂ and PrRu₄Sb₁₂ had been measured by one of us with the same experimental technique employed here.^{8,36,37} We tried to fit the data of PrOs₄Sb₁₂ with a similar two-band s -wave model, but it was not successful; this is consistent with the unconventional (point-node) nature of one of its gaps. Also, we were able to fit the PrRu₄Sb₁₂ data using just one superconducting gap, showing that the multiband superconductivity of PrRu₄Sb₁₂, as shown in thermal conductivity data, does not significantly affect the magnetic penetration depth data.

In summary, we report measurements of the magnetic penetration depth $\lambda(T)$ in single crystals of LaOs₄Sb₁₂ down to 85 mK. We find that $\lambda(T)$ exhibits an exponential temperature dependence at low temperatures with the zero-temperature gap value $\Delta(0) = (1.34 \pm 0.07)k_B T_c$. Our results show that LaOs₄Sb₁₂ is a two-band s -wave superconductor. Given the close Fermi topology of PrOs₄Sb₁₂ and LaOs₄Sb₁₂, this suggests that the f electrons are not the origin of multiband superconductivity in these two materials.

This work was supported by the NSF through Grants No. DMR99-72087 and No. DMR01-07253. Some of the work was carried out in the Materials Research Laboratory and Center for Microanalysis of Materials, University of Illinois, which is partially supported by the US Department of Energy under Grant No. DEFG02-91-ER45439. We acknowledge financial support by the National Research Foundation of Singapore. This work was also supported partially by NSFC (Grants No. 10934008, No. 10874215, and No. 11174115) and the MOST 973 Project (No. 2011CB309703) of China, and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas ‘‘Heavy Electrons’’ (No. 21102503) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

*elbertchia@ntu.edu.sg

¹E. D. Bauer, N. A. Frederick, P.-C. Ho, V. S. Zapf, and M. B. Maple, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 100506(R) (2002).

²M. B. Maple, P.-C. Ho, V. S. Zapf, N. A. Frederick, E. D. Bauer, W. M. Yuhasz, F. M. Woodward, and J. W. Lynn, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 23 (2002).

³T. Goto, Y. Nemoto, K. Sakai, T. Yamaguchi, M. Akatsu, T. Yanagisawa, H. Hazama, K. Onuki, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 180511(R) (2004).

⁴K. Kuwahara, K. Iwasa, M. Kohgi, K. Kaneko, N. Metoki, S. Raymond, M.-A. Méasson, J. Flouquet, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 107003 (2005).

⁵H. Suderow, S. Vieira, J. D. Strand, S. Bud’ko, and P. C. Canfield, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 060504(R) (2004).

⁶D. E. MacLaughlin, J. E. Sonier, R. H. Heffner, O. O. Bernal, B.-L. Young, M. S. Rose, G. D. Morris, E. D. Bauer, T. D. Do, and M. B. Maple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 157001 (2002).

⁷H. Kotegawa, M. Yogi, Y. Imamura, Y. Kawasaki, G.-q. Zheng, Y. Kitaoka, S. Ohsaki, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 027001 (2003).

⁸E. E. M. Chia, M. B. Salamon, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 247003 (2003).

⁹K. Izawa, Y. Nakajima, J. Goryo, Y. Matsuda, S. Osaki, H. Sugawara, H. Sato, P. Thalmeier, and K. Maki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 117001 (2003).

¹⁰A. D. Huxley, M.-A. Measson, K. Izawa, C. D. Dewhurst, R. Cubitt, B. Grenier, H. Sugawara, J. Flouquet, Y. Matsuda, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 187005 (2004).

- ¹¹G. Seyfarth, J. P. Brison, M.-A. Méasson, J. Flouquet, K. Izawa, Y. Matsuda, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 107004 (2005).
- ¹²G. Seyfarth, J. P. Brison, M.-A. Méasson, D. Braithwaite, G. Lapertot, and J. Flouquet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 236403 (2006).
- ¹³R. W. Hill, S. Li, M. B. Maple, and L. Taillefer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 237005 (2008).
- ¹⁴H. Q. Yuan, D. F. Agterberg, N. Hayashi, P. Badica, D. Vandervelde, K. Togano, M. Sigrist, and M. B. Salamon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 017006 (2006).
- ¹⁵H. Harima, *Physica B* **378–380**, 246 (2006).
- ¹⁶H. Sugawara, S. Osaki, S. R. Saha, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, Y. Inada, H. Shishido, R. Settai, Y. Ōnuki, H. Harima, and K. Oikawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 220504(R) (2002).
- ¹⁷E. D. Bauer, A. Slebarski, E. J. Freeman, C. Sirvent, and M. B. Maple, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **13**, 4495 (2001).
- ¹⁸E. A. Goremychkin, R. Osborn, E. D. Bauer, M. B. Maple, N. A. Frederick, W. M. Yuhasz, F. M. Woodward, and J. W. Lynn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 157003 (2004).
- ¹⁹P. Fulde, L. L. Hirst, and A. Luther, *Z. Phys.* **230**, 155 (1970).
- ²⁰P. Fulde and J. Jensen, *Phys. Rev. B* **27**, 4085 (1983).
- ²¹J. Chang, I. Eremin, P. Thalmeier, and P. Fulde, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 220510(R) (2007).
- ²²H. Suhl, B. T. Matthias, and L. R. Walker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **3**, 552 (1959).
- ²³C. R. Rotundu, P. Kumar, and B. Andraka, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 014515 (2006).
- ²⁴D. Parker and P. Thalmeier, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 184503 (2008).
- ²⁵M. Yogi, T. Nagai, Y. Imamura, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, and H. Harima, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **75**, 124702 (2006).
- ²⁶A. Carrington and F. Manzano, *Physica C* **385**, 205 (2003).
- ²⁷H. Sugawara, M. Kobayashi, S. Osaki, S. R. Saha, T. Namiki, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 014519 (2005).
- ²⁸R. Prozorov, R. W. Giannetta, A. Carrington, and F. M. Araujo-Moreira, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 115 (2000).
- ²⁹Y. Aoki, W. Higemoto, S. Sanada, K. Ohishi, S. R. Saha, A. Koda, K. Nishiyama, R. Kadono, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *Physica B* **359–361**, 895 (2005).
- ³⁰B. Mühlischlegel, *Z. Phys.* **155**, 313 (1959).
- ³¹B. Andraka, C. R. Rotundu, P. Kumar, and H. Tsujii, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **22**, 345701 (2010).
- ³²P. J. Hirschfeld and N. Goldenfeld, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 4219 (1993).
- ³³T. Xiang and J. M. Wheatley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 134 (1996).
- ³⁴H. G. Luo and T. Xiang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 027001 (2005).
- ³⁵N. Nakai, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 23 (2002).
- ³⁶E. E. M. Chia, M. B. Salamon, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 180509(R) (2004).
- ³⁷E. E. M. Chia, D. Vandervelde, M. B. Salamon, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **17**, L303 (2005).