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#### Abstract

We show that there are long binary quasi-cyclic self-dual (either Type I or Type II) codes satisfying the Gilbert-Varshamov bound.


Index Terms-Cubing construction, Gilbert-Varshamov bound, quasicyclic codes, self-dual codes.

## I. InTRODUCTION

It has been known for 30 years that good long self-dual codes exist [6], and for more than a quarter century [1] that there are good long quasi-cyclic codes of rate $1 / 2$.

In this correspondence, we show that good long self-dual quasicyclic codes exist. Building on well-known mass formulas for self-dual binary and quaternary codes, we derive a Gilbert-Varshamov bound for long binary self-dual quasi-cyclic codes.

The proof uses the cubing construction of [5], [3] and the proof technique of [6].

As suggested by one referee, it might have been possible to build on [1] to derive this asymptotic result. However, [1] uses quasi-cyclic codes of index 2 while we use quasi-cyclic codes of index $n / 3$, where $n$ denotes the length. In some sense, we provide information on a different asymptotic ensemble of codes than [1].

## II. Known Facts and Notations

A code is said to be quasi-cyclic of index $\ell$ or $\ell$-quasi-cyclic if and only if it is invariant under $T^{\ell}$, where $T$ denotes the cyclic shift. If $\ell=1$, such a code is just a cyclic code. We assume that all binary codes are equipped with the Euclidean inner product and all the $\boldsymbol{F}_{4}$-codes are equipped with the Hermitian inner product. The latter condition is necessary, when using the cubing construction, to ensure that the resulting binary code is Euclidean self-dual. Self-duality in the following discussion is with respect to these respective inner products. A binary self-dual code is said to be of Type II if and only if all its weights are multiples of 4 and of Type I otherwise. We first recall some background material on mass formulas for self-dual binary and quaternary codes.

Proposition 2.1: Let $\ell$ be an even positive integer.
i) The number of self-dual binary codes of length $\ell$ is given by

$$
N(2, \ell)=\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-1}\left(2^{i}+1\right)
$$

ii) Let $\boldsymbol{v}$ be a codeword of length $\ell$ and even Hamming weight, other than $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$. The number of self-dual binary codes of length $\ell$ containing $v$ is given by

$$
M(2, \ell)=\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-2}\left(2^{i}+1\right)
$$

S. Ling is with the Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543, Republic of Singapore (e-mail: matlings@ nus.edu.sg).
P. Solé is with CNRS, I3S, ESSI, 06903 Sophia Antipolis, France (e-mail: ps@essi.fr).

Communicated by S. Litsyn, Associate Editor for Coding Theory.
Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIT.2003.809501
iii) The number of self-dual $\boldsymbol{F}_{4}$-codes of length $\ell$ is given by

$$
N(4, \ell)=\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-1}\left(2^{2 i+1}+1\right)
$$

iv) The number of self-dual $\boldsymbol{F}_{4}$-codes of length $\ell$ containing a given nonzero codeword of length $\ell$ and even Hamming weight is given by

$$
M(4, \ell)=\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-2}\left(2^{2 i+1}+1\right)
$$

Proof: i) and iii) are well-known facts, cf. [7]. ii) is an immediate consequence of [6, Theorem 2.1] with $s=2$. (Note that every self-dual binary code must contain the all-one vector 1.) iv) follows from [2, Theorem 1] with $n_{1}=\ell$ and $k_{1}=1$.

Proposition 2.2: Let $\ell$ be a positive integer divisible by 8 .
i) The number of Type II binary codes of length $\ell$ is given by

$$
T(2, \ell)=2 \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-2}\left(2^{i}+1\right)
$$

ii) Let $\boldsymbol{v}$ be a codeword of length $\ell$ and Hamming weight divisible by 4 , other than $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$. The number of Type II binary codes of length $\ell$ containing $\boldsymbol{v}$ is given by

$$
S(2, \ell)=2 \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-3}\left(2^{i}+1\right)
$$

Proof: i) is found in [7] and ii) is exactly [6, Corollary 2.4].

## III. Main Result

Let $C_{1}$ denote a binary code of length $\ell$ and $C_{2}$ a quaternary code of length $\ell$. We construct a binary code $C$ of length $3 \ell$ by the cubing construction [3]. Define a map

$$
\Phi: C_{1} \times C_{2} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{3 \ell}
$$

by the rule

$$
\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, a+\boldsymbol{b} \omega):=(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b})
$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ are binary vectors of length $\ell$, and we write $\boldsymbol{F}_{4}=\left\{0,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$. Then we can define the code $C$ as $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$

$$
C:=\left\{\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b} \omega) \mid \boldsymbol{x} \in C_{1}, \boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b} \omega \in C_{2}\right\}
$$

Now a direct calculation shows that

$$
\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \omega^{2}(\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b} \omega)\right)=(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b})
$$

is a shift of $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b} \omega)$ by $\ell$ places. Therefore, $C$ is $\ell$-quasi-cyclic. Furthermore, it is easy to check that $C$ is self-dual if and only if both $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are, and $C$ is of Type II if and only if $C_{1}$ is of Type II and $C_{2}$ is self-dual.

We assume henceforth that $C$ is a self-dual code constructed in the above way. Any codeword $\boldsymbol{c}$ in $C$ must necessarily have even Hamming weight. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{c}$ corresponds to the pair $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{c}_{1} \in C_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_{2} \in C_{2}$. Since $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are self-dual, it follows that
$\boldsymbol{c}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_{2}$ must both have even Hamming weights. When $\boldsymbol{c} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there are three possibilities for the pair $\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{c}_{2}\right)$ :

1) $\boldsymbol{c}_{1} \neq 0, \boldsymbol{c}_{2} \neq 0$;
2) $\boldsymbol{c}_{1}=0, c_{2} \neq 0$;
3) $c_{1} \neq 0, c_{2}=0$.

We try to enumerate the number of words $c$ in each of these categories for a given weight $d$ ( $d$ even).

For type 2, if the Hamming weight of $\boldsymbol{c}$ is $d$, then $\boldsymbol{c}_{2}$ has Hamming weight $d / 2$. Since $\boldsymbol{c}_{2}$ has even Hamming weight, it follows that $d$ is divisible by 4 in order for this case to occur. It is easy to see that the number $A_{2}(\ell, d)$ of such words $c$ is given by $\binom{\ell}{d / 2} 3^{d / 2}(4 \mid d)$. For $d$ not divisible by 4 , set $A_{2}(\ell, d)=0$.
The argument to obtain the number of words of type 3 is similar. It is easy to show that the number $A_{3}(\ell, d)$ of such words is given by $\binom{\ell}{d / 3}$ $(6 \mid d)$. When $d$ is not divisible by $6, A_{3}(\ell, d)=0$.

For $A_{1}(\ell, d)$, the number of words of type 1 , we simply give an upper bound. The total number of words in $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{3 \ell}$ of weight $d$ is $\binom{3 \ell}{d}$, so

$$
A_{1}(\ell, d) \leq\binom{ 3 \ell}{d}-A_{2}(\ell, d)-A_{3}(\ell, d)
$$

Combining the preceding observations and Proposition 2.1, the number of self-dual binary $\ell$-quasi-cyclic codes of length $3 \ell$ whose minimum weight is $<d$ is bounded above by
$\sum_{e<d, e \text { even }}\left(A_{1}(\ell, e) M(2, \ell) M(4, \ell)+A_{2}(\ell, e) N(2, \ell) M(4, \ell)\right.$

$$
\left.+A_{3}(\ell, e) M(2, \ell) N(4, \ell)\right)
$$

Theorem 3.1: Let $\ell$ be an even integer and let $d$ be the largest even integer such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{e<d \\
e \equiv 0 \bmod 2}}\binom{3 \ell}{e} & +\left(\sum_{\substack{e<d \\
e \equiv 0 \bmod 4}}\binom{\ell}{e / 2} 3^{e / 2}\right) 2^{\frac{\ell}{2}-1} \\
& +\left(\sum_{\substack{e<d \\
e \equiv 0 \bmod 6}}\binom{\ell}{e / 3}\right) 2^{\ell-1} \leq\left(2^{\frac{\ell}{2}-1}+1\right)\left(2^{\ell-1}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a self-dual binary $\ell$-quasi-cyclic code of length $3 \ell$ with minimum weight of at least $d$.

If we are interested only in Type II $\ell$-quasi-cyclic codes, using Proposition 2.2 , we see easily that the number of Type II binary $\ell$-quasi-cyclic codes of length $3 \ell$ whose minimum weight is $<d$ is bounded above by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{e<d, e \equiv 0 \bmod 4} & \left(A_{1}(\ell, e) S(2, \ell) M(4, \ell)\right. \\
& \left.+A_{2}(\ell, e) T(2, \ell) M(4, \ell)+A_{3}(\ell, e) S(2, \ell) N(4, \ell)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2: Let $\ell$ be divisible by 8 and let $d$ be the largest multiple of 4 such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{e<d \\
e \equiv 0 \bmod 4}}\binom{3 \ell}{e}+\left(\sum_{\substack{e<d \\
e \equiv 0 \bmod 4}}\binom{\ell}{e / 2} 3^{e / 2}\right) 2^{\frac{\ell}{2}-2} \\
&+\left(\sum_{\substack{e<d \\
e \equiv 0 \bmod 12}}\binom{\ell}{e / 3}\right) 2^{\ell-1} \leq\left(2^{\frac{\ell}{2}-2}+1\right)\left(2^{\ell-1}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a Type II binary $\ell$-quasi-cyclic code of length $3 \ell$ with minimum weight of at least $d$.

## IV. Asymptotic Analysis

We will require the celebrated entropy function

$$
H(x):=-x \log _{2}(x)-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x)
$$

defined for $x \in(0,1)$ and of constant use in estimating binomial coefficients of large arguments [5, pp. 309-310].

We are now in a position to state and prove the asymptotic versions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.
Theorem 4.1: There exists an infinite family of self-dual quasicyclic binary codes $C_{i}$ of length $3 \ell_{i}$ and of distance $d_{i}$ such that the limit $\delta$ of $d_{i} / 3 \ell_{i}$ for large $i$ exists and is bounded below as

$$
\delta \geq H^{-1}(1 / 2)=0.110 \cdots
$$

Proof: The right-hand side (RHS) of the inequality of Theorem 3.1 is plainly of the order of $2^{3 \ell / 2}$ for large $\ell$. We compare this in turn to each of the three summands in the left-hand side (at the price of a more stringent inequality, congruence conditions on the summation range are neglected). By [5, Ch. 10, Corollary 9], for large $\ell$ (with $\mu=\delta$ and $n=\ell$ ), the first and third summands are of order $2^{3 \ell H(\delta)}$ and $2^{\ell+\ell H(\delta)}$, respectively. They both are of the order of the RHS for $H(\delta)=1 / 2$. By [5, Ch. 10, Lemma 7], for large $\ell$ (with $\lambda=\delta$ and $n=\ell$ ), the second summand is of order $2^{\ell f(3 \delta / 2)}$ for $f(t):=0.5+t \log _{2}(3)+H(t)$, which is of the order of the RHS for

$$
\delta=0.1762 \cdots
$$

a value $>H^{-1}(1 / 2)$.
Similarly, for doubly even codes, we have the following.
Theorem 4.2: There exists an infinite family of Type II quasi-cyclic binary codes $C_{i}$ of length $3 \ell_{i}$ and of distance $d_{i}$ such that the limit $\delta$ of $d_{i} / 3 \ell_{i}$ for large $i$ exists and is bounded below as

$$
\delta \geq H^{-1}(1 / 2)=0.110 \cdots .
$$

Proof: Since we neglected the congruence conditions in the preceding analysis, the calculations are exactly the same.
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