On some locally 3-transposition graphs

Pasechnik, Dmitrii V.

1993

Pasechnik, D. V. (1993). On some locally 3-transposition graphs. The Second International Conference at Deinze, pp. 319-326.

<https://hdl.handle.net/10356/97315>

<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511526336.030>

© 1993 Cambridge University Press. This paper was published in Finite geometry and combinatorics and is made available as an electronic reprint (preprint) with permission of Cambridge University Press. The paper can be found at the following DOI:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511526336.030>. One print or electronic copy may be made for personal use only. Systematic or multiple reproduction, distribution to multiple locations via electronic or other means, duplication of any material in this paper for a fee or for commercial purposes, or modification of the content of the paper is prohibited and is subject to penalties under law.

Downloaded on 26 Apr 2023 21:21:20 SGT

On some locally 3-transposition graphs

D. V. Pasechnik *

Abstract

Let Σ_n^ϵ be the graph defined on the (+)-points of an n -dimensional $\text{GF}(3)$ -space carrying a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form with discriminant ϵ , points are adjacent iff they are perpendicular. We prove that if $\epsilon = 1$, $n \geq 6$ (resp. $\epsilon = -1$, $n \geq 7$) then Σ_{n+1}^ϵ is the unique connected locally Σ_n^ϵ graph. One may view this result as a characterization of a class of $c^k \cdot C_2$ -geometries (or 3-transposition groups). We briefly discuss an application of the result to a characterization of Fischer's sporadic groups.

1. Introduction and results

The study of geometries of classical groups as point-line systems with fixed local structure is very extensive. For instance, see Tits [12]. We refer the reader to a paper of Cohen and Shult [4] for a brief survey on more recent results.

In this paper we use the aforementioned approach to characterize some "nonclassical" geometries, namely 3-transposition graphs that arise from orthogonal $\text{GF}(3)$ -groups.

For the concept of a 3-transposition graph and a 3-transposition group, see Fischer [8]. In case of characteristic 2 groups such graphs come from classical geometries. It is worthwhile to mention the work of Hall and Shult [9] characterizing some class of 3-transposition graphs as *locally cotriangular* ones. Note that the graphs Σ_n^ϵ considered in the present paper are not locally cotriangular (apart from finitely many exceptions for small n).

There is a one-to-one correspondence between 3-transposition graphs and Fischer spaces (see, e.g. Buekenhout [2], Cuypers [6], Weiss [13], [14]), namely 3-transposition graphs are complements to the collinearity graphs of Fischer spaces. It turns out that it is possible to exploit this duality and classification of Fischer spaces in the final part of the proof of our theorem 1.1. Note, however, that locally Σ_n^ϵ graphs not always arise from Fischer spaces.

*. A part of this research was completed when this author held a position at the Institute for System Analysis, Moscow.

E.g., there are at least two nonisomorphic locally Σ_6^- -graphs, one of them has diameter 4, (i.e. it does not correspond to any Fischer space) see e.g. [1]. The author has shown (in a paper in preparation) that they are the only examples of such graphs.

Throughout the paper we consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Given a graph Γ , let us denote the set of vertices by $V = V\Gamma$, the set of edges by $E = E\Gamma$. Given two graphs Γ, Δ , the graph $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ (resp. the graph $\Gamma \cap \Delta$) is the graph with the vertex set $V\Gamma \cup V\Delta$ (resp. $V\Gamma \cap V\Delta$) and the edge set $E\Gamma \cup E\Delta$ (resp. $E\Gamma \cap E\Delta$). Given $v \in V\Gamma$, we denote by $\Gamma_i(v)$ the subgraph induced by vertices at distance i from v , and $\Gamma_1(v) = \Gamma(v)$. Furthermore, $\Gamma(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X} \Gamma(x)$. To simplify the notation we use $\Gamma(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ instead of $\Gamma(\{v_1, \dots, v_k\})$ and $u \in \Gamma_i(\dots)$ instead of $u \in V\Gamma_i(\dots)$. As usual, $v = v(\Gamma) = |V\Gamma|$, $k = k(\Gamma) = v(\Gamma(x))$, where $x \in V\Gamma$. Let $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$. We denote $\mu = \mu(x, y) = \mu(\Gamma) = v(\Gamma(x, y))$. Of course, we use k, μ if it makes sense, i.e. if those numbers are independent on the particular choice of the corresponding vertices. If Δ is a (proper) subgraph of Γ we denote this fact as $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ (resp. $\Delta \subset \Gamma$).

We denote the complete multipartite graph with the m parts of equal size n by $K_{n \times m}$. $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ denotes the automorphism group of Γ . Our group-theoretic notation is as in [5]. Let Γ, Δ be two graphs. We say that Γ is *locally* Δ if $\Gamma(v) \cong \Delta$ for any $v \in V(\Gamma)$. Let $\Gamma, \bar{\Gamma}$ be two graphs. We say that Γ is a *cover* of $\bar{\Gamma}$ if there exists a mapping φ from $V\Gamma$ to $V\bar{\Gamma}$ which maps edges to edges. Suppose we have a chain of graphs $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n$, such that Σ_i is locally Σ_{i-1} , $i = 2, \dots, n$. Then for any complete k -vertex subgraph Υ of Σ_m , $1 < k < m \leq n$ we have $\Sigma_m(V\Upsilon) \cong \Sigma_{m-k}$. We say that a graph Γ is a *triple* graph if for each nonedge (u, v) there exist a unique $w \in V\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma(u, v) = \Gamma(u, w) = \Gamma(v, w)$.

We slightly adopt notation and several basic facts from [10]. Let $T = T_n$ be an n -dimensional $\text{GF}(3)$ -vector space carrying a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,)$ with discriminant ε . We say that the point $\langle v \rangle \subset T$ (or a nonzero vector $v \in T$) is of type $(+)$, $(-)$ or *isotropic* according to $(v, v) = 1, -1, 0$ respectively. Since the form is constant on a point, the notation like (p, q) for points p, q will be used freely. The orthogonal complement of $X \subseteq T$ in T is denoted by $X^\perp$.

Define the graph $\Sigma_n^\varepsilon = \Gamma(V, E)$ as follows. Let V be the set of $(+)$ -points of T . Define $E = \{(u, v) \subset V \times V | (u, v) = 0\}$, i.e. the edges are pairs of perpendicular $(+)$ -points. Given $\Gamma = \Sigma_n^\varepsilon$, we denote by $T(\Gamma)$ the underlying $\text{GF}(3)$ -space. Note that Σ_n^ε , $n \geq 5$ if $\varepsilon = 1$, $n \geq 4$ if $\varepsilon = -1$, is a rank 3 graph with automorphism group $\text{GO}_n^\mu(3)$, where Witt defect μ is empty if n is odd, otherwise $\mu = (-\varepsilon)^{n/2}$. Since T_n can be represented as the orthogonal direct sum of a $(+)$ -point and T_{n-1} , the graph Σ_n^ε is locally Σ_{n-1}^ε . We denote Σ_n^1 by

Σ_n^+ , and Σ_n^{-1} by Σ_n^- . Note that Σ_n^ϵ is a triple graph. We refer the interested reader to [10] for more detailed information about Σ_n^ϵ . We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\Theta = \Theta_{n+1}$ be a connected locally Σ_n^ϵ -graph, where $\epsilon = 1$, $n \geq 6$, or $\epsilon = -1$, $n \geq 7$. Then Θ is isomorphic to Σ_{n+1}^ϵ .*

Remark 1

One may view the graphs Θ_{n+1} as the collinearity graphs of certain $c^k \cdot C_2(s, t)$ -geometries $\mathcal{G}(\Theta_{n+1})$ (here $k = n - (\epsilon + 9)/2$), i.e. rank $k + 2$ geometries with diagram

Conversely, the elements of the geometry may be viewed as i -cliques of the graph with natural incidence, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1, s + k + 1$. Meixner has proved the following result [10].

Result 1.2 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a residually connected flag-transitive $c^k \cdot C_2$ -geometry. Then if the $c^1 \cdot C_2$ -residues (resp. $c^3 \cdot C_2$ -residues) of $\mathcal{G}$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_6^+)$ (resp. to $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_7^-)$) then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{k+s}^+)$ (resp. $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{k+4}^-)$).*

Our theorem implies that the flag-transitivity assumption can be replaced to a geometric condition (X) from [10]. (X) states that in the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{G}$ each i -clique is the shadow of some element, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1, s + k + 1$.

Remark 2

The significance of Σ_{i+3}^+ as subgraphs of 3-transposition graphs Δ_{2i} of Fischer's sporadic simple groups F_{i2i} ($i = 2, 3, 4$) is well-known. Namely, for $\Gamma = \Delta_{2i}$ the subgraph $\Gamma(x, y)$, where x and y are two vertices at distance 2, is isomorphic to Σ_{i+3}^+ . The author used theorem 1.1 to prove the following result [11].

Result 1.3 *Any connected locally Δ_{22} (resp. Δ_{23}) graph is isomorphic to Δ_{23} (resp. to Δ_{24} or to its 3-fold antipodal cover).*

2. Proof of the theorem

Preliminaries. A proof of the following technical statement is omitted.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\Gamma = \Sigma_n^\epsilon$, $n \geq 5$, and let a, b, c be isotropic points of $T = T(\Gamma)$.

If $(a, b) = 0$, $a \neq b$, $(a, c) \neq 0$ then

(i) $a^\perp \cap c^\perp \cap \Gamma \cong \Sigma_{n-2}^{-\epsilon}$,

(ii) $a^\perp \cap b^\perp \cap \Gamma$ is not isomorphic to $\Sigma_{n-2}^{-\epsilon}$.

(iii) Denote $\Upsilon_p = \Gamma \cap p^\perp$. If $\Upsilon_a \cap \Upsilon_b$ contains $\{v\} \cup \Upsilon_a(v)$ for some $v \in V\Upsilon_a$ then $a = b$.

(iv) For any $u \in V\Gamma \setminus V\Upsilon_a$, the subgraph $\Gamma(u) \cap \Upsilon_a$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_{n-2}^{-\epsilon}$.

Neighbourhood of two vertices at distance two. We start with a simple general fact. Let Δ be a connected graph satisfying the following property

(*) For any $u \in V\Delta$ and $v \in V\Delta \setminus (V\Delta(u) \cup \{u\})$ the subgraph $\Delta(u, v)$ is isomorphic to some M_Δ , whose isomorphism type is independent on the particular choice of u and v .

Lemma 2.2 Let Γ be locally Δ graph, where Δ satisfies (*). Then for any $u \in V\Gamma$, $v \in \Gamma_2(u)$ the graph $\Gamma(u, v)$ is locally M_Δ .

Note that $\Gamma = \Sigma_n^\epsilon$ satisfies (*), when $\epsilon = 1$, $n \geq 5$ (resp. $\epsilon = -1$, $n \geq 4$). Indeed, the stabilizer of $u \in V\Gamma$ in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on $\Gamma_2(u)$. This implies (*). Thus lemma 2.2 holds for locally Γ graphs. The next statement characterizes locally M_Γ -subgraphs of Γ .

Proposition 2.3 Let $\Gamma = \Sigma_n^\epsilon$, and either $\epsilon = 1$, $n \geq 6$, or $\epsilon = -1$, $n \geq 7$. Let $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ be a locally M_Γ graph. Then there exists a unique isotropic point $p \in T(\Gamma)$ such that $\Omega = \Gamma \cap p^\perp$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . It is straightforward to check its basis. We leave it to the reader, noticing that for $\epsilon = 1$, $n = 6$ (resp. $\epsilon = -1$, $n = 6$) it suffices to classify locally $K_{3 \times 2} \cup K_{3 \times 2} \cup K_{3 \times 2}$ (resp. $K_{3 \times 4} \cup K_{3 \times 4} \cup K_{3 \times 4}$) subgraphs of Γ .

Now let us check the inductive step. Let Ω be a connected component of a locally M_Γ subgraph of Γ , $v_1 \in V\Omega$, $v_2 \in \Omega(v_1)$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\Omega(v_i) = \Gamma(v_i) \cap p_i^\perp$, where p_i is an isotropic point of $T(\Gamma(v_i))$, hence of $T(\Gamma)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Since $p_2 \subset v_1^\perp$, it defines a locally $M_{\Gamma(v_1)}$ subgraph Υ of $\Gamma(v_1)$, and $\Upsilon(v_2) = \Omega(v_1, v_2)$. Hence by lemma 2.1 (iii), applied to $\Gamma(v_1)$, $p_1 = p_2$. Therefore $\Omega = \Gamma \cap p_1^\perp$. Finally, it is easy to check that Ω is a unique connected component of the subgraph under consideration. $\square$

Final part of the proof. Let Θ be a connected locally $\Gamma = \Sigma_n^\varepsilon$ graph. Here we assume either $\varepsilon = 1, n \geq 6$ or $\varepsilon = -1, n \geq 7$. Pick a vertex $u \in V\Theta$.

Lemma 2.4

- (i) $\mu(\Theta) = \mu(\Sigma_{n+1}^\varepsilon), v(\Theta) = v(\Sigma_{n+1}^\varepsilon).$
- (ii) Θ is a triple graph.

Proof. (i). The first claim follows from proposition 2.3. Indeed, counting in two ways the edges between $\Theta(u)$ and $\Theta_2(u)$, we obtain the precise value of the number of vertices of Θ . Let $v \in \Theta_2(u), w \in \Theta(v) \setminus \Theta(u)$. By lemma 2.1 (iv) we obtain $\Theta(u, v, w) \cong \Sigma_{n-2}^\varepsilon$, hence nonempty. Thus the diameter of Θ equals two, and we are done.

(ii). Assume that there exist three distinct vertices $v_i \in \Theta_2(u), i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $\Upsilon = \Theta(u, v_1) = \Theta(u, v_2) = \Theta(u, v_3)$. It contradicts the fact that $\Theta(w)$, where $w \in V\Upsilon$, is a triple graph. Observe that $|\Theta_2(u)|$ is exactly twice the number of isotropic points in $T(\Gamma)$. Hence we have no choice determining the edges between $\Theta(u)$ and $\Theta_2(u)$. Now since Σ_{n+1}^ε is a triple graph, the same is true for Θ . $\square$

Let us denote $\Gamma = \Theta(u), \Xi = \Theta_2(u)$. Let Υ be the graph defined on isotropic points of $T(\Gamma)$, two points are adjacent if they are not perpendicular.

Proposition 2.5 Ξ is a two-fold cover of Υ .

Proof. Let $(v_1, v_2) \in E\Xi$. By lemma 2.1 (iv) we have $\Theta(u, v_1, v_2) \cong \Sigma_{n-2}^\varepsilon$. Denote by p_i the isotropic point of $T(\Gamma)$ such that $p_i^\perp \cap \Gamma = \Theta(u, v_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). By proposition 2.3 such a point p_i exists and is unique ($i = 1, 2$). By lemma 2.1 (i), (ii), $(p_1, p_2) \neq 0$.

Conversely, assume p_1, p_2 are nonperpendicular isotropic points of $T(\Gamma)$. Denote by Ω_i the locally M_Γ subgraph of Γ , which corresponds to p_i ($i = 1, 2$). For each Ω_i we have exactly two vertices $v_{ij} \in \Theta_2(u)$ such that $\Omega_i = \Theta(u, v_{ij})$ ($i, j = 1, 2$). Considering the neighbourhood of v_{11} , we see that both v_{21} and v_{22} cannot be adjacent to v_{11} . On the other hand $k(\Xi) = k(\Upsilon)$. Hence one of v_{21} and v_{22} must be adjacent to v_{11} . We have shown that the mapping $v \mapsto \Theta(u, v)$, where $v \in V\Xi$, is a covering from Υ to Ξ . $\square$

The latter statement implies that Ξ possesses an involutory automorphism g_u which interchanges any $v, w \in V\Xi$ such that $\Theta(u, v) = \Theta(u, w)$, and fixes $\{u\} \cup \Theta(u)$ pointwise.

Consider the subgroup G_u of $\text{Aut}(\Theta)$ generated by $g_x, x \in \Theta(u)$. We have $G_u \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Therefore Θ is the collinearity graph of a $c^k \cdot C_2$ -geometry satisfying the conditions of result 1.2. Hence our result follows from it. However, we would like to give a complete proof of theorem 1.1 here.

Our claim is that $\{g_v | v \in V\Theta\}$ is a class of 3-transpositions in $\text{Aut}(\Theta)$. Indeed, clearly for any $x \in \Theta(u)$ the involutions g_u and g_x commute. Now let $y \in \Theta_2(u)$. We must prove $\tau = (g_u g_y)^3 = 1$. Note that τ belongs to the kernel of the action of the stabilizer of every $v \in \Theta(u, y)$ on $\Theta(u)$. Therefore it fixes every vertex of Θ . Our claim is proved. The use of the classification of 3-transposition groups given in [8] completes the proof of theorem 1.1. $\square$

Note: Hans Cuypers (personal communication) has suggested another idea how to complete the proof, which is much more geometric. Namely, it may be easily shown that the partial linear space on $V\Theta$, whose lines are triples, is an irreducible Fischer space (see introduction) (or a locally polar geometry with affine planes, see Cuypers and Pasini [7]). Then the use of classification of these objects [6] (resp. [7]) completes the proof.

References

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. *Distance-regular Graphs*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [2] F. Buekenhout. La géométrie des groupes de Fischer, 1974. Unpublished notes.
- [3] F. Buekenhout. Diagram geometries for sporadic groups. In J. McKay, editor, *Finite Groups Coming of Age*, volume 45 of *A.M.S. Series Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–32, 1985.
- [4] A. M. Cohen and E. E. Shult. Affine polar spaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 35, pp. 43–76, 1990.
- [5] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of Finite Groups*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [6] H. Cuypers. On a generalization of Fischer spaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 34, pp. 67–87, 1990.
- [7] H. Cuypers and A. Pasini. Locally polar geometries with affine planes. *European J. Combin.*, 13, pp. 39–57, 1992.
- [8] B. Fischer. Groups generated by 3-transpositions I. *Invent. Math.*, 13, pp. 232–246, 1971. See also University of Warwick Lecture Notes.
- [9] J. I. Hall and E. E. Shult. Locally cotriangular graphs. *Geom. Dedicata*, 18, pp. 113–159, 1985.
- [10] T. Meixner. Some polar towers. *European J. Combin.*, 12, pp. 397–416, 1991.
- [11] D. V. Pasechnik. Geometric characterization of Fi_{22} , Fi_{23} and Fi_{24} sporadic simple groups, 1993. Submitted to *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*.
- [12] J. Tits. A local approach to buildings. In *The Geometric Vein (Coxeter Festschrift)*, pages 519–547. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1981.

- [13] R. Weiss. On Fischer generalizations of $Sp_{2n}(2)$ and $U_n(2)$. *Comm. Algebra*, 11, pp. 2527–2554, 1983.
- [14] R. Weiss. A uniqueness lemma for groups generated by 3-transpositions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 97, pp. 421–431, 1985.

D. V. Pasechnik, Department of Mathematics, University of Western Australia, Nedlands 6009 WA, Australia. e-mail: dima@maths.uwa.edu.au