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LABORATORY SCALE

1. IGT PRINTABILITY TESTER

- suitable for comparisons of different paper/ink combinations
  - rub-off
  - set-off
  - ink requirement
  - ink hue
  - delamination
- does not cover emulsified inks

2. PRÜFBAU TESTPRINTER

- paper width 4cm
- impression 200 - 1600 N
- speed 0.5 - 6 m/s
- temperature 15 - 40 C
- extra modules: damping drying
TYPICAL MEASUREMENTS

- as with IGT
- tack
- ink penetration
- picking
- coating behaviour in general
Fig. 12.24. The print unit of an AIC2 IGT printability tester.
PILOT SCALE

In pilot scale testing, following types of equipment (presses) are used:
- experimental presses
- small full scale presses
- units of full scale presses
- sheet-fed presses

Pilot scale presses (usually) include a damping unit. Thus printing with emulsified inks.

Should be capable of operating under reproducible printing conditions.

Equipped with extra control devices:
- print density
- ink film thickness
- water film thickness
In order to obtain representative results, each test should be carried out in optimal conditions adjusted to the paper/ink combination in question. Otherwise, single printing conditions are tested and the results are not valid.

Optimisation is carried out by:

- doing the NCI-test
- optimising the nip condition (true rolling)
- optimising the water feed (visually - no tinting, no water marks)
FULL SCALE

Full scale tests are regarded as being the only reliable end use test in product development end work.

A few preconditions have to be kept in mind:
- printed samples must be representative
- the press has to be warmed up
- stable conditions have to be achieved (ink, water)

Printing under constant density is not recommended as this gives results from one specific condition only. A simplified NCI-method is recommended.

Example: IFRA Colour Testforme.
CORRELATION BETWEEN LABORATORY TESTS AND PRINTED RESULTS

IFRA Special Report 1.7 "Correlations between ink measuring methods and printing results"

Results from the project: Printability issues can to a certain degree be predicted:

- Rub-off: Correlation is significant
- Set-off: To some degree predictable
- Print-through: To some degree predictable
- Water take-up: To some extent predictable
- Ink mileage: Predictable
- Colour hue: Predictable
Runnability issues cannot be predicted:

Rheological properties (viscosity, tack, thixotropy): suitable for trouble shooting for a given type of press/materials condition. Not as a general quantitative recommendation.
CONCLUSIONS

Laboratory scale tests can be used to a limited extent only.

They are well suited for monitoring the consistency of an ink or paper - not for finding the optimal solution for your press.

Pilot scale tests can for certain parameters be used for optimising and comparing the products. However, results must always be verified by full scale tests.

Use full scale tests as often as you can. Keep printing conditions constant and change only one parameter at a time.