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Abstract—Non-volatile memories (NVM) based on storage layers, pn junctions and transistors, like FLASH, suffer from poor retention at high temperature, high voltage writing, and wear out while cycling. This paper presents the structure, operation, and modeling of a nano-electro-mechanical (NEM) non-volatile memory based on the switching of a free electrode between two stable states. This electrode, called the shuttle, has no mechanical anchors and commutes between two positions. It is guided inside an insulator pod. Adhesion forces between the shuttle and fixed electrodes serve to hold the shuttle in stable positions. Smooth metal layers give strong Van der Waals stiction between two surfaces in contact. Memory detection is obtained by probing the conductance between two fixed contacts, the shuttle acting as a moveable bridge between these electrodes. Electromechanical contacts have an ideally large resistance ratio between on and off levels. At micro-scale, gravity is found to be negligible compared to adhesion forces, which motivates the anchorless design for high-temperature data storage.

The model proposed is based on charge induction over the surface of metal electrodes, and is validated by finite element method (FEM). Kinematic equations and energy transfers of the shuttle device are explored. Due to its unique anchorless design, the scalability of the anchorless shuttle memory is found to be excellent.

Index Terms—Non-volatile memory, MEMS, NEMS, high-temperature electronics, electrostatic actuation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The continuous scaling in MOSFET channel length has led to a significant increase in CMOS static energy consumption due to the increase in sub-threshold leakage current (I_{OFF}) [1]. Typically, scaling of memory cells such as SRAM, DRAM, or FLASH is made difficult because of their tight requirements on static leakage, immunity to process variations, and noise.

Emerging devices that can achieve zero standby leakage and low turn-on voltage have been proposed to alleviate this issue. However, any silicon “CMOS-like” devices such as tunnel-FET [2], impact-ionization MOSFET [3], and suspended-gate FET [4] also suffer from I_{OFF} leakage. To overcome this limit, nano-electro-mechanical (NEM) devices with air gaps and resistive electro-mechanical contacts have been investigated for digital applications [5]–[6] because they ideally have both zero I_{OFF} and abrupt switching. Similarly, non-volatile memories based on micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) have been proposed for high temperature (HT) data storage [7]. MEMS memories can be categorized as either storage-layer-based devices, where data are typically stored as a charge [8], or storage-layer-free devices, where non-volatility is obtained either by adhesion forces [7] or by a bistable mechanical design (zippering [9], buckling [10]).

Fig. 1. Maximum temperature of operation for various types of NVM is summarized in Fig. 1. The actual mainstream for NVM integration is FLASH technology [11]-[12]. Emerging NVM typically include resistive RAM (R-RAM) [13], magnetic RAM (M-RAM) [14], phase-change RAM (PC-RAM) [15], conductive-bridge RAM (CB-RAM) [16], and ferroelectric RAM (Fe-RAM) [17]. Different physical mechanisms (FLASH: floating gate, M-RAM: free magnetic layer, Fe-
RAM: ferroelectric polarization) are exploited to store data, but all suffer from poor retention at HT. However, adhesion-based NEM memories can potentially offer seamless SET/RESET/READ/HOLD cycles over an extremely wide temperature range for industrial or defense electronics.

The observation of metal-metal adhesion increase with temperature is reported in various studies focusing on electro-mechanical contacts [7]-[18]-[19]. This effect can be explained by metal softening with temperature increase. Therefore, the data storage principle in adhesion-based NEM memories is strengthened with temperature, which is an opposite trend compared to all storage-layer-based NVM.

The shuttle memory presented in this paper is a novel type of NVM. Using an anchorless design [20] is advantageous for compactness. It is also suggested that a floating electrode offers unique performance in terms of low actuation voltage and reliability. An analytical model based on Newton’s motion equation is developed and validated by FEM simulation. Finally, energy transfers during a switching cycle are given.

II. THE ANCHORLESS SHUTTLE MEMORY

A. Structure and operating principle

Geometrical and material related parameters are summarized in Table I. For this study, the use of tantalum nitride (TaN) as a shuttle and contacts metal is proposed.

The shuttle memory: metal, insulator and a sacrificial material. The main challenge to get a small footprint and low-voltage actuation ($V_{\text{act}}<1$V) resides in the ability to release nanoscale gaps. Anchorless structures have not been widely explored so far. Some noteworthy reports include radio-frequency (RF) switches [20], [22] and a conceptual non-volatile memory based on the free displacement of a guided carbon nanotube [23]. Gravity and shocks are found to be negligible at sub-micron scale, with a shuttle electrode being immune to accelerations up to $10^5$g. A disk-shape shuttle is ideal, as it prevents any risk of shuttle gripping inside its cavity.

Only three materials are needed for the integration of the shuttle memory: metal, insulator and a sacrificial material. The whole integration process can be done with a very minimum number of lithographic steps, and at moderate temperature to preserve any underlying CMOS stacks. It is also suggested [6] to properly package under vacuum the device, to make sure air damping does not slow down the shuttle during transitions; and to protect metal contacts from oxidation, dust and humidity.

Figure 2 illustrates the structure of the proposed 3-terminal (D: Drain, S: Source, G: Gate) NEM shuttle memory. Permanent retention is obtained by adhesion forces between the shuttle and the fixed electrodes in contact. A guiding cavity ensures that the free-flying shuttle electrode is actively switched and has only two stable positions (UP and DOWN).

Memory reading is achieved by probing the resistance between drain and source electrodes, with an ideally large ratio between ON (resistance: $R_{\text{ON}}$) and OFF (resistance: $R_{\text{OFF}}$) states. In the OFF-state, no current can flow, and the isolation is only determined by surface leakage currents (typically $R_{\text{OFF}}>10^8$Ω). In the ON-state, source and drain electrodes are shorted by the shuttle electrode. The value of $R_{\text{ON}}$ is determined by $R_{\text{ON}}=2\cdot R_{\text{contact}}$, where $R_{\text{contact}}$ is the electro-mechanical contact resistance between the shuttle and fixed electrodes (access and shuttle resistance are negligible). Values of metal-metal electro-mechanical contacts are typically in the range of 5Ω to 50Ω for hard metals having a smooth surface [21]. That means a $R_{\text{OFF}}/R_{\text{ON}}$ ratio as large as 10⁸ is achievable, without degradation at high temperature.

The associated parasitic drain to source $C_{\text{DS}}$ capacitance is estimated by:

$$C_{\text{DS}} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \frac{L}{d_{\text{DS}}}$$

where $d_{\text{metal}}$ is the thickness of source/drain electrodes and $d_{\text{DS}}$ is the gap between electrodes (see Fig. 2). Typical values ($L=2\mu\text{m}$, $d_{\text{metal}}=300\text{nm}$, $d_{\text{DS}}=200\text{nm}$) result in $C_{\text{DS}}=27\text{aF}$. Such a small value does not impact memory reading.

An anchorless design has unprecedented advantages in terms of reliability and low variability. The absence of flexural (or torsional) anchors makes the scalability of this NEM structure (9F³) remarkable compared to most NEM devices. For example, using a 200nm ½-pitch lithography system results in a density of 700-10³ devices/mm². A proper NEMS/CMOS addressing scheme must be developed to control shuttle-based NVM arrays, integrated on top of a CMOS chip for cost and density efficiency.

The main challenge to get a small footprint and low-voltage actuation ($V_{\text{act}}<1$V) resides in the ability to release nanoscale gaps. Anchorless structures have not been widely explored so far. Some noteworthy reports include radio-frequency (RF) switches [20], [22] and a conceptual non-volatile memory based on the free displacement of a guided carbon nanotube [23]. Gravity and shocks are found to be negligible at sub-micron scale, with a shuttle electrode being immune to accelerations up to $10^5$g. A disk-shape shuttle is ideal, as it prevents any risk of shuttle gripping inside its cavity.

Only three materials are needed for the integration of the shuttle memory: metal, insulator and a sacrificial material. The whole integration process can be done with a very minimum number of lithographic steps, and at moderate temperature to preserve any underlying CMOS stacks. It is also suggested [6] to properly package under vacuum the device, to make sure air damping does not slow down the shuttle during transitions; and to protect metal contacts from oxidation, dust and humidity.

![Diagram of the 3-terminal NEM memory](image-url)

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_0$</td>
<td>dielectric constant of vacuum</td>
<td>$8.854\times10^{-12}$ F/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>gravitational acceleration</td>
<td>$9.81$ m/s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>shuttle surface</td>
<td>$2\mu\text{m} \times 2\mu\text{m} = 4\mu\text{m}²$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>shuttle length</td>
<td>$2\mu\text{m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{shuttle}}$</td>
<td>shuttle thickness</td>
<td>$300\text{nm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>shuttle metal density (TaN)</td>
<td>$16.6-10^3$ kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>shuttle mass</td>
<td>$2\times10^{-14}$ kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{gap}}$</td>
<td>vacuum actuation gap</td>
<td>$100\text{nm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{DS}}$</td>
<td>drain-source slit gap</td>
<td>$200\text{nm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{metal}}$</td>
<td>drain-source metal thickness</td>
<td>$300\text{nm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{shb}}$</td>
<td>Van der Waals distance</td>
<td>$5\text{nm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>shuttle displacement</td>
<td>$0&lt;2d_{\text{gap}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{TaN}}$</td>
<td>Hamaker constant of TaN</td>
<td>$20\times10^{-20}$ J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>TaN-TaN adhesion energy</td>
<td>$33\text{mJ/}\text{m}²$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>real/apparent contact area</td>
<td>$0.1%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shuttle memory bias conditions are listed in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V_D</td>
<td>applied drain voltage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_S</td>
<td>applied source voltage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_D/S</td>
<td>applied drain and source voltage</td>
<td>V_D=S=V_S=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_shuttle</td>
<td>shuttle voltage</td>
<td>floating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_G</td>
<td>applied gate voltage</td>
<td>10V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_F</td>
<td>pull-out voltage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_R</td>
<td>reading voltage</td>
<td>V_R&lt;&lt;V_F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_contact</td>
<td>metal-metal contact resistance</td>
<td>(shuttle in the up-state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_ON</td>
<td>drain-to-source resistance</td>
<td>(shuttle in the down-state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_OFF</td>
<td>drain-to-source resistance</td>
<td>(shuttle in the up-state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_shuttle</td>
<td>fixed shuttle charge</td>
<td>-1.78⋅10^{-15} C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q_top</td>
<td>induced charge on shuttle top interface</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q_bottom</td>
<td>induced charge on shuttle bottom interface</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q_D</td>
<td>induced drain charge</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q_S</td>
<td>induced source charge</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q_G</td>
<td>induced gate charge</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_ep</td>
<td>electrostatic force</td>
<td>shuttle → drain/source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_dow</td>
<td>electrostatic force</td>
<td>shuttle → gate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_pulse</td>
<td>gate voltage pulse duration</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_0</td>
<td>gate voltage turn-on time</td>
<td>t_0=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_1</td>
<td>gate voltage turn-off time</td>
<td>t_1=\text{pulse}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_2</td>
<td>shuttle landing time</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The shuttle NVM is based on the commuting of a floating (mechanical and electrical) electrode between a bottom fixed electrode (G) and two anchored electrodes (D/S). Forces acting on the shuttle are (i) electrostatic forces, (ii) adhesion forces between the shuttle and fixed electrodes, (iii) damping forces during transients, and (iv) shuttle gravity. Damping is negligible, as the device operates under vacuum.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Applied voltage</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switching down</td>
<td>V_G=V_S=0</td>
<td>V_C: Pull-out voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching up (pulse)</td>
<td>V_G=V_F</td>
<td>F_dow&gt;\text{F_{adhesion}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>V_G=V_S=V_P</td>
<td>Switching to both drain and source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V_G=0</td>
<td>F_F&gt;\text{F_{adhesion}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold</td>
<td>V_G=V_S=V_G=0</td>
<td>V_G: Read voltage (V_K&lt;&lt;V_P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>non-volatility; adhesion increases with temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Switching occurs when the electrostatic force applied to the shuttle overcomes adhesion forces. A pulsed voltage (V_G>0) applied to the gate electrode moves the shuttle down (assuming V_D=V_S=0), while a pulsed voltage applied to both drain and source (V_D=V_S>0) moves the shuttle up (assuming V_G=0). Logic states are shown in Table III. The pulsed mode is needed to avoid the shuttle to operate as an electrostatic oscillator. Drain and source are symmetric to ease circuit design. The stability and switching thresholds of the shuttle memory are presented in Fig. 3. A hysteresis ideally centered at V_G=V_D=V_S=0 ensures the non-volatility of the memory, i.e., data retention when the chip is powered off.

### B. Adhesion forces and switching threshold

The model presented describes a transition from the up-state (the shuttle being stuck to both drain and source) to the down-state (the shuttle being stuck to the gate). The separation slit between drain and source electrodes is negligible, compared to the shuttle area. Similarly, fringing fields are neglected in the analytical model. Therefore, the electrostatic force applied to the shuttle (up-state) towards the gate is expressed as:

$$F_{elec}(x=0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A \cdot (V_G - V_{D/S})^2}{(2d_{gap})^2} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A \cdot V_G^2}{d_{gap}}$$

(2)

The parameter A represents the planar area, 2d_{gap} the vacuum gap (double-air gap structure, see Fig. 2), \varepsilon_0 the permittivity of vacuum, and x=0 the initial position of the shuttle (0<x<2d_{gap}). When the shuttle is stuck to drain and source, V_{shuttle}=V_D=V_S=0. Adhesion forces between the shuttle and fixed electrodes in Eqn. (3) are given in terms of adhesion energy \Gamma and distance x between the two surfaces in contact, where F_{adh}=0 if x>d_{adh} and d_{adh} is approximated to 5nm [24]. The parameter \alpha represents the ratio between real to apparent contact area; this approximation being valid for smooth surfaces. The exact Force–Energy adhesion plot is highly experimental and usually extracted from atomic force microscopy (AFM) Force–Stiction plots [24].

$$F_{adh}(0 < x < d_{adh}) = \frac{2\Gamma \cdot A \cdot \alpha}{d_{adh}} \cdot \frac{d_{adh} - x}{d_{adh}}$$

(3)

To confirm this value, AFM scan of a physical vapor deposition (PVD) of tantalum nitride (TaN) layer was conducted. The surface is very smooth (D_m=0.4nm) and ideal for electro-mechanical contacts. Adhesion energy of \Gamma=33ml/m^2 is extracted from the AFM scan, where A_TaN is the Hamaker constant of TaN:
This corresponds to $F_{\text{adh}}(x=0,\alpha=1)=13\cdot10^3$ [N/m$^2$], in good accordance with other published reports, see for example [25]. The force is $F_{\text{adh}}(x=0,\alpha=1)=52\cdot10^{-9}$ [N] for a shuttle area of $A=4\mu m^2$.

Gravity (weight) of the shuttle is shown in Eqn. (5), where $d_{\text{shuttle}}$ represents the thickness of the shuttle, and $\rho$ is the density of metal. Using metrics in Table I, $F_{\text{gravity}}=2\cdot10^{-13}$ [N].

$$F_{\text{gravity}}=(\rho \cdot A \cdot d_{\text{shuttle}}) \cdot g$$  
(5)

From Eqns. (2) to (4), the minimum switching voltage $V_p$ needed to pull the shuttle out of adhesion can be extracted. First, gravity is at least 4 to 5 order of magnitude smaller than the adhesion force needed to initiate switching, demonstrating the robustness of the anchorless design. Second, adhesion force very quickly decreases (contact opening) after pull-out, while electrostatic actuation increases (gap reduction). Last, all three forces (electrostatic, adhesion, and gravity) have similarly a linear dependence with the shuttle surface $A$. That means the switching voltage $V_p$ does not depend from the actuation area $A$. This is an unusual result for most electrostatic MEMS devices, but of great interest for device scaling. The switching voltage $V_p$ expression is in Eqn. (6) and plotted in Fig. 4.

$$V_p=4d_{\text{gap}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma \cdot \alpha}{d_{vdw} \cdot \varepsilon_0}}$$  
(6)

From the charge conservation on the shuttle and the electrostatic law of a capacitor $Q=C \cdot V$ (in this case, two air-gap capacitors), the charge equilibrium system is:

$$\begin{align*}
q_D &= q_S \\
q_D + q_S + q_{\text{top}} &= 0 \\
q_{\text{bottom}} + q_G &= 0 \\
q_{\text{top}} + q_{\text{bottom}} &= Q_{\text{shuttle}} \\
q_G &= -q_{\text{bottom}} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A}{2d_{\text{gap}}} \cdot (V_G - V_{\text{SHUTTLE}}) \\
q_{\text{top}} &= -q_D - q_S = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A}{x} \cdot (V_{\text{SHUTTLE}} - V_{D/S})
\end{align*}$$  
(7)

$$Q_{\text{shuttle}} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A}{2 \cdot d_{\text{gap}}} \cdot V_G$$  
(8)

The model was verified by FEM simulation [27], with a charged shuttle placed between fixed electrodes, see Fig. 6(a). Both charge induction over surfaces and shuttle charge splitting between top and bottom interfaces are observed. A charge distribution cross-section is extracted in Fig 6(b).

**C. Shuttle static analysis**

This section describes the static analysis of the shuttle electrode in vacuum. Once the shuttle has lifted off, it ‘flies’ until it eventually reaches the opposite electrode. The study is conducted for a transition from drain/source side towards the gate. When a positive bias $V_G$ is applied to the gate ($V_{D/S}=0$), the shuttle is charged. If the applied voltage $V_G$ is higher than the pull-out (switching) voltage $V_p$, then the shuttle lifts off and carries a net charge $Q_{\text{shuttle}}$ until it reaches the opposite electrode. Gate voltage actuation needs to be turned off during the shuttle flight, or the shuttle would be charged by an opposite charge $-Q_{\text{shuttle}}$ when landing and rebound towards its initial position. This effect, which is not desirable for memory application, is reported as electrostatic pendulum [26].

The model is based on charge distribution over the surface of metal electrodes, as shown in Fig. 5. The net charge on the shuttle splits between the top and bottom interface, and its redistribution depends on both the external applied voltages and the shuttle position ($0<x<2d_{\text{gap}}$).

![Double air gap capacitor divider with charge distribution. While switching, the shuttle electrode carries a constant charge.](image)

Fig. 5. Double air gap capacitor divider with charge distribution. While switching, the shuttle electrode carries a constant charge.

![FEM charge density simulation of a charged conductive shuttle placed between fixed drain, source, and gate electrodes. Bias conditions are V_D=V_S=0 and V_G=10V, and shuttle position is x=50nm (2d_{gap}=150nm). Corner effects inducing extra charges are visible on electrode edges. (b) AA’ cross section of the charge density distribution. The surface charge distribution inducing electrostatic forces $F_{\text{down}}$ and $F_{\text{up}}$ are visible.](image)

**Fig. 6 (a) FEM charge density simulation of a charged conductive shuttle placed between fixed drain, source, and gate electrodes. Bias conditions are V_D=V_S=0 and V_G=10V, and shuttle position is x=50nm (2d_{gap}=150nm). Corner effects inducing extra charges are visible on electrode edges. (b) AA’ cross section of the charge density distribution. The surface charge distribution inducing electrostatic forces $F_{\text{down}}$ and $F_{\text{up}}$ are visible.**
As a result, two electrostatic forces, named $F_{up}$ (towards D/S) and $F_{down}$ (towards gate) build up during the switching, see Eqn. (9). One force ($F_{up}$) operates as a break, while the other ($F_{down}$) acts as a motor for switching.

$$\begin{align*}
F_{up} &= \frac{q_{up}^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A} - \frac{(q_p + q_s)^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A} \\
F_{down} &= \frac{q_{bottom}^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A} - \frac{q_{bottom}^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A}
\end{align*}$$ (9)

From Eqns. (7) to (9), the evolution of the two electrostatic forces ($F_{up}$ and $F_{down}$) as a function of the shuttle position is extracted and plotted (see Fig. 7). The difference between the analytical solution and FEM results (8%) is due to corner/edge effects, which are not captured by the analytical model. This discrepancy induces a higher FEM charge density than predicted by equations, therefore increasing both $F_{up}$ and $F_{down}$.

D. Shuttle dynamic analysis

The time-dependent control of the gate voltage follows the time diagram shown in Fig. 9. To avoid an electrostatic rebound of the shuttle, the switching is divided between an accelerated flight ($V_G>0$, $V_D=V_S=0$) and a free flight ($V_G=0$, $V_D=V_S=0$).

![Fig. 9. Transient details of the pulsed-mode switching, simulating a transition from the “up” state to the “down” state. In order to avoid rebound of the shuttle, the switching of the electrode must be divided into an “accelerated” flight phase and a “free” flight phase.](image)

Dynamic equation is solved from Newton’s second law of motion. The two forces applied to the shuttle are $F_{up}$ and $F_{down}$, and the resulting equation is as followed, where $m$ is the shuttle mass:

$$m \cdot \frac{d^2 x(t)}{dt^2} = \frac{q_{bottom}^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A} - \frac{q_{top}^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A}$$ (10)

Using values from Eqn. (7), Eqn. (10) can be solved analytically. Eqn. (10) is a 2nd order differential equation and has a single physical solution. In Eqn. (11), a K-factor is used as a substitution coefficient. Motion equation (12) is valid for any value of applied voltages, including $V_{D/S}=0$. Eqn. (13) is the first derivative of Eqn. (12) and represents the shuttle speed. Initial conditions for position and speed are $x(t_0)$ and $v(t_0)$, respectively.

$$K = \sqrt{2 \cdot A \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot d_{gap} \cdot m}$$ (11)

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{Q} \left[ (d_{gap} \cdot Q + A \cdot \varepsilon_0 (-V_{D/S} + V_G)) + (-d_{gap} \cdot Q + A \cdot \varepsilon_0 (V_{D/S} - V_G)) \right]$$

$$\cdot \left( Q \cdot t - K \cdot \sinh \left( \frac{Q \cdot t}{K} \right) \right) + Q \cdot x(t_0)$$

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = v(t) = \frac{v(t_0) \cdot \cosh \left( \frac{Q \cdot t}{K} \right) - d_{gap} \cdot Q + A \cdot \varepsilon_0 (V_{D/S} - V_G) + Q \cdot x(t_0) \cdot \sinh \left( \frac{Q \cdot t}{K} \right)}{K}$$ (13)

As shown in Fig. 9, shuttle flight is divided into two phases. At $t=t_1=T_{pulse}$, gate voltage must be switched off. Therefore, the relation between landing time $t_2$ and turn-off time $t_1$ is solved and presented in Eqn. (15), with Eqn. (14) as substitution. $x_1=x(t_1)$ and $v_1=v(t_1)$ represent shuttle position and speed at $t=t_1$, respectively.
The dynamic analysis is done with the assumption that charge redistribution over various surfaces of the shuttle electrode is faster than its own mechanical displacement.

**E. Switching speed and switching energy**

Switching time and switching energy, as a function of $T_{\text{pulse}}$ duration are reported in Fig. 11.

The switching energy corresponds to the kinetic energy of the shuttle $1/2mv^2$, where $m$ is the shuttle mass, and $v=\nu(t_2)$ the shuttle speed on landing ($\nu(t_2)=1.3\text{mm/ns}$ if no $V_G$ turn-off is applied). Without $V_G$ turn-off, the calculated kinetic energy equals the initial charging energy of the shuttle-to-gate capacitor:

$$\frac{1}{2}m \cdot \nu(t_2)^2 = E_0 \cdot \frac{A}{2d_{\text{gap}}} \cdot V_G^2$$

If $V_G$ is turned-off during switching, then a part of the initial charging energy is returned to power supplies (S, D, G).

### III. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a new non-volatile NEM memory device, called the shuttle memory. It consists of a floating metal electrode guided inside a pod cavity, which is actuated by electrostatic forces and has two stable positions. Permanent data retention is obtained by adhesion forces only, which eliminates leakage current and predicts good reliability at high temperature. Furthermore, the anchorless geometry provides better compactness compared to planar MEMS memories, and does not suffer from elastic fatigue or thermal drifts.

A complete analytical model based on Newton’s equation of motion is derived, and validated by FEM simulations. This memory must be controlled by a pulsed mode to avoid a pendulum effect. Further development should include MEMS/CMOS NVM arrays design and detailed analysis of shuttle fabrication parameters.
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The shuttle nano-electro-mechanical non-volatile memory
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Abstract—Non-volatile memories (NVM) based on storage layers, pn junctions and transistors, like FLASH, suffer from poor retention at high temperature, high voltage writing, and wear out while cycling. This paper presents the structure, operation, and modeling of a nano-electro-mechanical (NEM) non-volatile memory based on the switching of a free electrode between two stable states. This electrode, called the shuttle, has no mechanical anchors and commutes between two positions. It is guided inside an insulator pod. Adhesion forces between the shuttle and fixed electrodes serve to hold the shuttle in stable positions. Smooth metal layers give strong Van der Waals stiction between two surfaces in contact. Memory detection is obtained by probing the conductance between two fixed contacts, the shuttle acting as a moveable bridge between these electrodes. Electro-mechanical contacts have an ideally large resistance ratio between ON and OFF levels. At micro-scale, gravity is found to be negligible compared to adhesion forces, which motivates the anchorless design for high-temperature data storage.

The model proposed is based on charge induction over the surface of metal electrodes, and is validated by finite element method (FEM). Kinematic equations and energy transfers of the shuttle device are explored. Due to its unique anchorless design, the scalability of the anchorless shuttle memory is found to be excellent.

Index Terms—Non-volatile memory, MEMS, NEMS, high-temperature electronics, electrostatic actuation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The continuous scaling in MOSFET channel length has led to a significant increase in CMOS static energy consumption due to the increase in sub-threshold leakage current ($I_{\text{off}}$) [1]. Typically, scaling of memory cells such as SRAM, DRAM, or FLASH is made difficult because of their tight requirements on static leakage, immunity to process variations, and noise.
Emerging devices that can achieve zero standby leakage and low turn-on voltage have been proposed to alleviate this issue. However, any silicon “CMOS-like” devices such as tunnel-FET [2], impact-ionization MOSFET [3], and suspended-gate FET [4] also suffer from $I_{off}$ leakage. To overcome this limit, nano-electro-mechanical (NEM) devices with air gaps and resistive electro-mechanical contacts have been investigated for digital applications [5]–[6] because they ideally have both zero $I_{off}$ and abrupt switching. Similarly, non-volatile memories based on micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) have been proposed for high temperature (HT) data storage [7]. MEMS memories can be categorized as either storage-layer-based devices, where data are typically stored as a charge [8], or storage-layer-free devices, where non-volatility is obtained either by adhesion forces [7] or by a bistable mechanical design (zippering [9], buckling [10]).

Review of HT performances for various types of NVM is summarized in Fig. 1. The actual mainstream for NVM integration is FLASH technology [11]–[12]. Emerging NVM typically include resistive RAM (R-RAM) [13], magnetic RAM (M-RAM) [14], phase-change RAM (PC-RAM) [15], conductive-bridge RAM (CB-RAM) [16], and ferroelectric RAM (Fe-RAM) [17]. Different physical mechanisms (FLASH: floating gate, M-RAM: free magnetic layer, Fe-RAM: ferroelectric polarization) are exploited to store data, but all suffer from poor retention at HT. However, adhesion-based NEM memories can potentially offer seamless SET/RESET/READ/HOLD cycles over an extremely wide temperature range for industrial or defense electronics.

The observation of metal-metal adhesion increase with temperature is reported in various studies focusing on electro-mechanical contacts [7]–[18]–[19]. This effect can be explained by metal softening with temperature increase. Therefore, the data storage principle in adhesion-based NEM memories is strengthened with temperature, which is an opposite trend compared to all storage-layer-based NVM.

The shuttle memory presented in this paper is a novel type of NVM. Using an anchorless design [20] is advantageous for compactness. It is also suggested that a floating electrode offers unique performance in terms of low actuation voltage and reliability. An analytical model based on Newton’s motion equation is developed and validated by FEM simulation. Finally, energy transfers during a switching cycle are given.

II. THE ANCHORLESS SHUTTLE MEMORY

A. Structure and operating principle

Geometrical and material related parameters are summarized in Table I. For this study, the use of tantalum nitride (TaN) as a shuttle and contacts metal is proposed.

Figure 2 illustrates the structure of the proposed 3-terminal (D: Drain, S: Source, G: Gate) NEM shuttle memory. Permanent
retention is obtained by adhesion forces between the shuttle and the fixed electrodes in contact. A guiding cavity ensures that the free-flying shuttle electrode is actively switched and has only two stable positions (UP and DOWN).

Memory reading is achieved by probing the resistance between drain and source electrodes, with an ideally large ratio between ON (resistance: $R_{\text{ON}}$) and OFF (resistance: $R_{\text{OFF}}$) states. In the OFF-state, no current can flow, and the isolation is only determined by surface leakage currents (typically $R_{\text{OFF}}>10\,\Omega$). In the ON-state, source and drain electrodes are shorted by the shuttle electrode. The value of $R_{\text{ON}}$ is determined by $R_{\text{ON}}=2\cdot R_{\text{contact}}$, where $R_{\text{contact}}$ is the electro-mechanical contact resistance between the shuttle and fixed electrodes (access and shuttle resistance are negligible). Values of metal-metal electro-mechanical contacts are typically in the range of 5\,\Omega to 50\,\Omega for hard metals having a smooth surface [21]. That means a $R_{\text{OFF}}/R_{\text{ON}}$ ratio as large as $10^8$ is achievable, without degradation at high temperature.

The associated parasitic drain to source $C_{\text{DS}}$ capacitance is estimated by:

$$C_{\text{DS}} = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \frac{L \cdot d_{\text{metal}}}{d_{\text{DS}}}$$

where $d_{\text{metal}}$ is the thickness of source/drain electrodes and $d_{\text{DS}}$ is the gap between electrodes (see Fig. 2). Typical values ($L=2\,\mu\text{m}$, $d_{\text{metal}}=300\,\text{nm}$, $d_{\text{DS}}=200\,\text{nm}$) result in $C_{\text{DS}}=27\,\text{aF}$. Such a small value does not impact memory reading.

An anchorless design has unprecedented advantages in terms of reliability and low variability. The absence of flexural (or torsional) anchors makes the scalability of this NEM structure ($9\,\mu\text{m}^2$) remarkable compared to most NEM devices. For example, using a 200nm ½-pitch lithography system results in a density of $700\cdot10^3$ devices/mm$^2$. A proper NEMS/CMOS addressing scheme must be developed to control shuttle-based NVM arrays, integrated on top of a CMOS chip for cost and density efficiency.

The main challenge to get a small footprint and low-voltage actuation ($V_{\text{DD}}<1\,\text{V}$) resides in the ability to release nanoscale gaps. Anchorless structures have not been widely explored so far. Some noteworthy reports include radio-frequency (RF) switches [20], [22] and a conceptual non-volatile memory based on the free displacement of a guided carbon nanotube [23]. Gravity and shocks are found to be negligible at sub-micron scale, with a shuttle electrode being immune to accelerations up to $10^5\,\text{g}$. A disk-shape shuttle is ideal, as it prevents any risk of shuttle gripping inside its cavity.

Only three materials are needed for the integration of the shuttle memory: metal, insulator and a sacrificial material. The whole integration process can be done with a very minimum number of lithographic steps, and at moderate temperature to preserve any underlying CMOS stacks. It is also suggested [6] to properly package under vacuum the device, to make sure air damping does not slow down the shuttle during transitions; and to protect metal contacts from oxidation, dust and humidity.

Shuttle memory bias conditions are listed in Table II.
The shuttle NVM is based on the commuting of a floating (mechanical and electrical) electrode between a bottom fixed electrode (G) and two anchored electrodes (D/S). Forces acting on the shuttle are (i) electrostatic forces, (ii) adhesion forces between the shuttle and fixed electrodes, (iii) damping forces during transients, and (iv) shuttle gravity. Damping is negligible, as the device operates under vacuum.

Switching occurs when the electrostatic force applied to the shuttle overcomes adhesion forces. A pulsed voltage \(V_G > 0\) applied to the gate electrode moves the shuttle down (assuming \(V_D = V_S = 0\)), while a pulsed voltage applied to both drain and source \(V_D = V_S > 0\) moves the shuttle up (assuming \(V_G = 0\)). Logic states are shown in Table III. The pulsed mode is needed to avoid the shuttle to operate as an electrostatic oscillator. Drain and source are symmetric to ease circuit design. The stability and switching thresholds of the shuttle memory are presented in Fig. 3. A hysteresis ideally centered at \(V_G = V_D = V_S = 0\) ensures the non-volatility of the memory, i.e. data retention when the chip is powered off.

\[ F_{elec}(x = 0) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 \cdot A \cdot \left( \frac{V_G - V_{D/S}}{2d_{gap}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_0 \cdot A \cdot V_G^2 \frac{d_{gap}^2}{d_{gap}} \]  

(2)

The parameter \(A\) represents the shuttle planar area, \(2d_{gap}\) the vacuum gap (double-air gap structure, see Fig. 2), \(\epsilon_0\) the permittivity of vacuum, and \(x = 0\) the initial position of the shuttle \((0 < x < 2d_{gap})\). When the shuttle is stuck to drain and source, \(V_{shuttle} = V_D = V_S = 0\). Adhesion forces between the shuttle and fixed electrodes in Eqn. (3) are given in terms of adhesion energy \(\Gamma\) and distance \(x\) between the two surfaces in contact, where \(F_{adh} = 0\) if \(x > d_{vdw}\) and \(d_{vdw}\) is approximated to 5nm [24]. The parameter \(\alpha\) represents the ratio between real to apparent contact area; this approximation being valid for smooth surfaces. The exact Force–Energy adhesion plot is highly experimental and usually extracted from atomic force microscopy (AFM) Force–Stiction plots [24].

\[ F_{adh}(0 < x < d_{vdw}) = \frac{2\Gamma \cdot A \cdot \alpha \cdot d_{vdw} - x}{d_{vdw}} \]  

(3)

To confirm this value, AFM scan of a physical vapor deposition (PVD) of tantalum nitride (TaN) layer was conducted. The surface is very smooth \((D_{rms} = 0.4\text{nm})\) and ideal for electro-mechanical contacts. Adhesion energy of \(\Gamma = 33\text{mJ/m}^2\) is extracted from the AFM scan, where \(A_{TaN}\) is the Hamaker constant of TaN:
\[
\Gamma = \frac{A_{\text{fan}}}{12 \cdot \pi \cdot D_{\text{rms}}^2}
\]  
(4)

This corresponds to \( F_{\text{adh}}(x=0, \alpha=1)=13 \cdot 10^3 \text{[N/m}^2\text{]}, \) in good accordance with other published reports, see for example [25]. The force is \( F_{\text{adh}}(x=0, \alpha=1)=52 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{[N]} \) for a shuttle area of \( A=4 \mu \text{m}^2 \).

Gravity (weight) of the shuttle is shown in Eqn. (5), where \( d_{\text{shuttle}} \) represents the thickness of the shuttle, and \( \rho \) is the density of metal. Using metrics in Table I, \( F_{\text{gravity}}=2 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{[N]} \).

\[
F_{\text{gravity}} = (\rho \cdot A \cdot d_{\text{shuttle}}) \cdot g
\]  
(5)

From Eqns. (2) to (4), the minimum switching voltage \( V_P \) needed to pull the shuttle out of adhesion can be extracted. First, gravity is at least 4 to 5 order of magnitude smaller than the adhesion force needed to initiate switching, demonstrating the robustness of the anchorless design. Second, adhesion force very quickly decreases (contact opening) after pull-out, while electrostatic actuation increases (gap reduction). Last, all three forces (electrostatic, adhesion, and gravity) have similarly a linear dependence with the shuttle surface \( A \). This means the switching voltage \( V_P \) does not depend on the actuation area \( A \). This is an unusual result for most electrostatic MEMS devices, but of great interest for device scaling. The switching voltage \( V_P \) expression is in Eqn. (6) and plotted in Fig. 4.

\[
V_P = \frac{4d_{\text{gap}} \cdot \sqrt{\Gamma \cdot \alpha}}{d_{vdw} \cdot \varepsilon_0}
\]  
(6)

C. Shuttle static analysis

This section describes the static analysis of the shuttle electrode in vacuum. Once the shuttle has lifted off, it flies until it eventually reaches the opposite electrode. The study is conducted for a transition from drain/source side towards the gate. When a positive bias \( V_G \) is applied to the gate \( (V_{\text{DS}}=0) \), the shuttle is charged. If the applied voltage \( V_G \) is higher than the pull-out (switching) voltage \( V_P \), then the shuttle lifts off and carries a net charge \( Q_{\text{shuttle}} \) until it reaches the opposite electrode. Gate voltage actuation needs to be turned off during the shuttle flight, or the shuttle would be charged by an opposite charge \( -Q_{\text{shuttle}} \) when landing and rebound towards its initial position. This effect, which is not desirable for memory application, is reported as an electrostatic pendulum [26].

The model is based on charge distribution over the surface of metal electrodes, as shown in Fig. 5. The net charge on the shuttle splits between the top and bottom interface, and its redistribution depends on both the external applied voltages and the shuttle position \( (0<x<2d_{\text{gap}}) \).

From the charge conservation on the shuttle and the electrostatic law of a capacitor \( Q=C \cdot V \) (in this case, two air-gap capacitors), the charge equilibrium system is:
\[
\begin{align*}
q_D &= q_S \\
q_D + q_S + q_{top} &= 0 \\
q_{bottom} + q_G &= 0 \\
q_{top} + q_{bottom} &= Q_{shuttle} \\
q_G &= -q_{bottom} = -\frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A}{(2d_{gap} - x)} (V_G - V_{SHUTTLE}) \\
q_{top} &= -q_D - q_S = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A}{x} (V_{SHUTTLE} - V_{D/S})
\end{align*}
\]

The net charge on the shuttle depends on its initial charging, as written in Eqn. (8).

\[Q_{shuttle} = Q = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A}{2d_{gap}} V_G\]  

The model was verified by FEM simulation [27], with a charged shuttle placed between fixed electrodes, see Fig. 6(a). Both charge induction over surfaces and shuttle charge splitting between top and bottom interfaces are observed. A charge distribution cross-section is extracted in Fig 6(b).

As a result, two electrostatic forces, named \(F_{up}\) (towards D/S) and \(F_{down}\) (towards gate) build up during the switching, see Eqn. (9). One force (\(F_{up}\)) operates as a break, while the other (\(F_{down}\)) acts as a motor for switching.

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{up} &= \frac{q_{top}^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A} = \frac{(q_D + q_S)^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A} \\
F_{down} &= \frac{q_{bottom}^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A} = \frac{q_G^2}{2 \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot A}
\end{align*}
\]

From Eqns. (7) to (9), the evolution of the two electrostatic forces (\(F_{up}\) and \(F_{down}\)) as a function of the shuttle position is extracted and plotted (see Fig. 7). The difference between the analytical solution and FEM results (8%) is due to corner/edge effects, which are not captured by the analytical model. This discrepancy induces a higher FEM charge density than predicted by equations, therefore increasing both \(F_{up}\) and \(F_{down}\).

In this static analysis example, \(V_G\) pulse is turned-off at \(x=100\)nm, which corresponds to the middle of the course. We observe from Fig. 7, that even with the condition \(V_G=V_D=V_S=0\), \(F_{up}\) and \(F_{down}\) forces are non-zero, due to the fixed shuttle charge. Figure 8 shows the evolution of the induced shuttle voltage, with an abrupt change at \(x=100\)nm.

\[D.\ Shuttle\ dynamic\ analysis\]

The time-dependent control of the gate voltage follows the time diagram shown in Fig. 9. To avoid an electrostatic rebound of the shuttle, the switching is divided between an accelerated flight (\(V_G>0, V_D=V_S=0\)) and a free flight (\(V_G=0, V_D=V_S=0\)).

Dynamic equation is solved from Newton’s second law of motion. The two forces applied to the shuttle are \(F_{up}\) and \(F_{down}\), and the resulting equation is as followed, where \(m\) is the shuttle mass:
Using values from Eqn. (7), Eqn. (10) can be solved analytically. Eqn. (10) is a 2nd order differential equation and has a single physical solution. In Eqn. (11), a K-factor is used as a substitution coefficient. Motion equation (12) is valid for any value of applied voltages, including $V_{DS} \neq 0$. Eqn. (13) is the first derivative of Eqn. (12) and represents the shuttle speed. Initial conditions for position and speed are $x(t_0)$ and $v(t_0)$, respectively.

$$K = \sqrt{2 \cdot A \cdot \varepsilon_0 \cdot d_{gap} \cdot m}$$

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{Q} \left( d_{gap} \cdot Q + A \cdot \varepsilon_0 (-V_{D/S} + V_G) + [-d_{gap} \cdot Q + A \cdot \varepsilon_0 (V_{D/S} - V_G)] + Q \cdot x(t_0) \right) \cdot \cosh \left( \frac{Q \cdot t}{K} \right) + K \cdot v(t_0) \cdot \sinh \left( \frac{Q \cdot t}{K} \right)$$

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = v(t) = v(t_0) \cdot \cosh \left( \frac{Q \cdot t}{K} \right)$$

As shown in Fig. 9, shuttle flight is divided into two phases. At $t=t_1=\text{T}_{\text{pulse}}$, gate voltage must be switched off. Therefore, the relation between landing time $t_2$ and turn-off time $t_1$ is solved and presented in Eqn. (15), with Eqn. (14) as substitution. $x_i=x(t_i)$ and $v_i=v(t_i)$ represent shuttle position and speed at $t=t_1$, respectively.

$$\kappa = \frac{d_{gap} \cdot Q^2 \left( -d_{gap} + x_1 \right) + \sqrt{K^2 v_1^2 + Q^2 + \left( 2d_{gap} - x_1 \right) \cdot x_1}}{d_{gap} \cdot Q^2 + K^2 \cdot v_1^2}$$

$$t_2 = t_1 = \frac{K}{Q} \cdot \text{Arc sec} \kappa \quad 0 < \kappa \leq 1$$

From Eqn. (12), the position of the shuttle as a function of time is plotted. Curves are shown in Fig. 10. There is a given range for proper $V_G$ pulse duration. If the pulse is too short, then the shuttle would go back to its initial position; if the pulse is too long, then the shuttle would experience an electrostatic rebound.

The dynamic analysis is done with the assumption that charge redistribution over various surfaces of the shuttle electrode is faster than its own mechanical displacement.

### E. Switching speed and switching energy

Switching time and switching energy, as a function of $T_{\text{pulse}}$ duration are reported in Fig. 11.

The switching energy corresponds to the kinetic energy of the shuttle $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$, where $m$ is the shuttle mass, and $v=v(t_2)$ the shuttle speed on landing ($v(t_2)=1.3\text{nm/ns}$ if no $V_G$ turn-off is applied). Without $V_G$ turn-off, the calculated kinetic energy equals the
initial charging energy of the shuttle-to-gate capacitor:

\[
\frac{1}{2}m \cdot v(t_f)^2 = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \frac{A}{2d_{\text{gap}}} \cdot V_G^2
\]  

(16)

If \( V_G \) is turned-off during switching, then a part of the initial charging energy is returned to power supplies (S, D, G).

III. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a new non-volatile NEM memory device, called the shuttle memory. It consists of a floating metal electrode guided inside a pod cavity, which is actuated by electrostatic forces and has two stable positions. Permanent data retention is obtained by adhesion forces only, which eliminates leakage current and predicts good reliability at high temperature. Furthermore, the anchorless geometry provides better compactness compared to planar MEMS memories, and does not suffer from elastic fatigue or thermal drifts.

A complete analytical model based on Newton’s equation of motion is derived, and validated by FEM simulations. This memory must be controlled by a pulsed mode to avoid a pendulum effect. Further development should include MEMS/CMOS NVM arrays design and detailed analysis of shuttle fabrication parameters.
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Fig. 1. Maximum temperature of operation for various types of non-volatile memories. This review is based on recently published papers, constrains are more stringent for commercial memories.

Fig. 2. (a) Top-view layout of the 3-terminal NEM memory. AA’ 3D cross sections in the DOWN (b) and UP (c) states. Memory reading is done by $V_{DS}$ bias and current reading: $I_{DS}=0$ in DOWN-state, and $I_{DS}>0$ in UP-state.
Fig. 3. Stability and switching thresholds of the shuttle NVM. A hysteresis ideally centered at $V_D=V_S=V_G=0$ ensures that data are properly stored when the device is powered off.

Fig. 4. Switching pull-out voltage $V_P$ (log-scale) vs. actuation gap $d_{gap}$. Sub-25nm actuation gaps are typically needed for low-voltage actuation. Switching voltage does not depend on shuttle area $A$. 

$\alpha = \frac{\text{real contact area}}{\text{apparent contact area}}$ 

$F_{adh}=10^4 \text{N/m}^2$ 

$\text{Top air gap} = \text{Bottom air gap} = \text{Actuation gap}$
Fig. 5. Double air gap capacitor divider with charge distribution. While switching, the shuttle electrode carries a constant charge.

Fig. 6 (a) FEM charge density simulation of a charged conductive shuttle placed between fixed drain, source, and gate electrodes. Bias conditions are $V_D=V_S=0$ and $V_G=10V$, and shuttle position is $x=50$nm ($2d_{gap}-x=150$nm). Corner effects inducing extra charges are visible on electrode edges. (b) AA’ cross section of the charge density distribution. The surface charge distribution inducing electrostatic forces $F_{down}$ and $F_{up}$ are visible.
Fig. 7. Analytical modeling of electrostatic forces $F_{\text{elec, down}}$ (shuttle $\rightarrow$ gate) and $F_{\text{elec, up}}$ (shuttle $\rightarrow$ drain/source) vs. shuttle displacement. $V_G$-pulse turn-off is applied after shuttle ½-course. Solid markers represent TCAD solutions.

Fig. 8. Evolution of the shuttle voltage during switching. $V_G$-pulse turn-off is applied after shuttle ½-course. Solid markers represent TCAD solutions.
Fig. 9. Transient details of the pulsed-mode switching, simulating a transition from the "UP" state to the "DOWN" state. In order to avoid rebound of the shuttle, the switching of the electrode must be divided into an "accelerated" flight phase and a "free" flight phase.

Fig. 10. Position of the shuttle electrode as a function of time. Depending on the duration of the $V_G$ pulse, three cases could happen: (i) the shuttle back to its initial position if pulse duration is too short, (ii) proper switching or (iii) shuttle electro-mechanical rebound if the pulse is too long.
Fig. 11. Switching time and switching energy plots, as a function of $V_G$ pulse duration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_0$</td>
<td>dielectric constant of vacuum</td>
<td>$8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ F/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>gravitational acceleration</td>
<td>$9.81$ m/s$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>shuttle surface</td>
<td>$2\mu$m x $2\mu$m = $4\mu$m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>shuttle length</td>
<td>$2\mu$m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{shuttle}$</td>
<td>shuttle thickness</td>
<td>$300$nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>shuttle metal density (TaN)</td>
<td>$16.6 \times 10^3$ kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>shuttle mass</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-14}$ kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{gap}$</td>
<td>vacuum actuation gap</td>
<td>$100$nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{DS}$</td>
<td>drain-source slit gap</td>
<td>$200$nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{metal}$</td>
<td>drain-source metal thickness</td>
<td>$300$nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{vdw}$</td>
<td>Van der Waals distance</td>
<td>$5$nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>shuttle displacement</td>
<td>$0 &lt; x &lt; 2d_{gap}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{TaN}$</td>
<td>Hamaker constant of TaN</td>
<td>$20 \times 10^{-20}$ J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>TaN-TaN adhesion energy</td>
<td>$33$ mJ/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>real/apparent contact area</td>
<td>$0.1%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II
INDEX OF STIMULUS AND MODEL PARAMETERS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V_D )</td>
<td>applied drain voltage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_S )</td>
<td>applied source voltage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{DS} )</td>
<td>applied drain and source voltage</td>
<td>( V_{DS} = V_D = V_S = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{shuttle}} )</td>
<td>shuttle voltage</td>
<td>floating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_G )</td>
<td>applied gate voltage</td>
<td>10V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_P )</td>
<td>pull-out voltage</td>
<td>( V_R &lt; V_P )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{contact}} )</td>
<td>metal-metal contact resistance</td>
<td>( R_{\text{ON}} = 2 \cdot R_{\text{contact}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{ON}} )</td>
<td>drain-to-source resistance (shuttle in the up-state)</td>
<td>( R_{\text{ON}} = 2 \cdot R_{\text{contact}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\text{OFF}} )</td>
<td>drain-to-source resistance (shuttle in the down-state)</td>
<td>( R_{\text{OFF}} = 2 \cdot R_{\text{contact}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{\text{shuttle}} )</td>
<td>fixed shuttle charge</td>
<td>(-1.78 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ C})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_{\text{top}} )</td>
<td>induced charge on shuttle top interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_{\text{bottom}} )</td>
<td>induced charge on shuttle bottom interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_D )</td>
<td>induced drain charge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_S )</td>
<td>induced source charge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_G )</td>
<td>induced gate charge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{\text{up}} )</td>
<td>electrostatic force shuttle ( \rightarrow ) drain/source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{\text{down}} )</td>
<td>electrostatic force shuttle ( \rightarrow ) gate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{pulse}} )</td>
<td>gate voltage pulse duration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_0 )</td>
<td>gate voltage turn-on time ( 0 \rightarrow V_G )</td>
<td>( t_0 = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_1 )</td>
<td>gate voltage turn-off time ( V_G \rightarrow 0 )</td>
<td>( t_1 = T_{\text{pulse}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_2 )</td>
<td>shuttle landing time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III
OPERATING PRINCIPLE OF THE NVM DEVICE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Applied voltage</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switching down</td>
<td>( V_D = V_S = V_P ) (pulse)</td>
<td>( V_P ): Pull-out voltage ( F_{\text{down}} &gt; F_{\text{adhesion}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching up</td>
<td>( V_D = V_S = V_P ) (pulse) ( V_G = 0 )</td>
<td>Switching to both drain and source. ( F_{\text{up}} &gt; F_{\text{adhesion}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read</td>
<td>( V_D = V_S = V_P, V_G = 0 ) ( I_{DS} = 0 ) ( I_{DS} = V_{DS}/2 \cdot R_C )</td>
<td>( V_R ): Read voltage ( (V_R &lt;&lt; V_P) ) ( I_{DS} = 0 ) ( I_{DS} = V_{DS}/2 \cdot R_C )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold</td>
<td>( V_D = V_S = V_G = 0 )</td>
<td>Non-volatility: adhesion increases with temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The diagram compares different types of non-volatile memories based on their temperature of retention, $T$ [°C].

- **MEMS**: Good performance reported.
- **CB-RAM**: Storage principle preserved with severe degredations.
- **R-RAM**: MEMS memory.
- **PC-RAM**: MEMS memory.
- **M-RAM**: MEMS memory.
- **Fe-RAM**: MEMS memory.

The x-axis represents the temperature of retention, ranging from 0 to 350 °C. The bars indicate the range of retention temperatures for each type of memory.
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