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1. Introduction

With the development of multimedia and web technologies, ever-increasing multimedia collections are available, including those of broadcast news, meetings and lectures. Given the vast amount of multimedia data, automatic approaches for multimedia processing are urgently required, particularly for automatic indexing, summarization, retrieval, visualization and organization technologies. Among these technologies, automatic story (or topic) segmentation is an important precursor since other tasks usually assume the presence of individual topical documents. Story segmentation is a task that divides a stream of text, speech or video into topically homogeneous blocks known as stories. Specifically, for broadcast news (BN), a popular media repository, the objective is to segment continuous audio/video streams into distinct news stories, each addressing a central topic.

SUMMARY In this paper, we propose integration of multimodal features using conditional random fields (CRFs) for the segmentation of broadcast news stories. We study story boundary cues from lexical, audio and video modalities, where lexical features consist of lexical similarity, chain strength and overall cohesiveness; acoustic features involve pause duration, pitch, speaker change and audio event type; and visual features contain shot boundaries, anchor faces and news title captions. These features are extracted in a sequence of boundary candidate positions in the broadcast news. A linear-chain CRF is used to detect each candidate as boundary/non-boundary tags based on the multimodal features. Important interlabel relations and contextual feature information are effectively captured by the sequential learning framework of CRFs. Story segmentation experiments show that the CRF approach outperforms other popular classifiers, including decision trees (DTs), Bayesian networks (BNs), naive Bayesian classifiers (NBs), multilayer perceptron (MLP), support vector machines (SVMs) and maximum entropy (ME) classifiers.
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Story boundary cues (features) from different modalities are of great importance for automatic story segmentation. Lexical cues reveal story boundaries via semantic variations across the text, which mainly include the exploration of word cohesiveness and the use of cue phrases [1]. For example, the TextTiling approach [2], [3] measures the lexical similarity between pairs of sentences in a text and local minima are detected as story boundaries. The lexical chaining method [4] chains related words such as word repetitions, and positions with high counts of chain starts and ends are considered as story boundaries. Recently, speech prosody has drawn considerable attention because it provides an acoustic knowledge source with an embedded rhythm on topic shifts [5], [6]. For example, broadcast news programs often follow editorial prosodic rules, such as the following. (1) News topics are separated by musical breaks or significant pauses; (2) two announcers report news stories in turn; (3) a studio anchor starts a topic and then passes it to a reporter for a detailed report. In addition to editorial prosody, speakers naturally separate their discourse into different semantic units (e.g., sentences, paragraphs and topics) through durational, intonational and intensity cues, known as speech prosody [5], [7]. Compared with lexical and acoustic cues, visual cues are more reliant on editorial rules and news production patterns. The transition of stories is usually followed by the change of video shots. For example, field-to-studio shot transition is a salient story boundary cue. This is because many broadcast news programs follow a clear pattern: each news story starts with a studio shot and then moves to field shots [8]. An anchor face is another visual feature indicating a topic transition [9]. Moreover, in a broadcast news video, a news story is often accompanied by a caption describing the content of the news.

Story segmentation approaches can be categorized into generative topic modeling [10]–[12] and story boundary detection [5], [8], [13]–[15]. The former category treats the word sequence (speech transcripts) as observations of some predefined topics, and topic labels are assigned to the speech transcripts under an optimal criterion. In the detection-based framework, boundary candidates are first determined across a spoken document. Story segmentation is then viewed as a sequential classification/tagging problem, i.e., each candidate is classified into a boundary or nonboundary based on a set of features. In this paper, we focus on the story boundary detection approach. Some recent studies have shown that integrating different features can significantly
improve the detection performance [8], [14], [16]. Decision trees (DTs) have been used for the integration of lexical and acoustic features [14], [17], owing to their effective ability to model feature interactions, to deal with missing features and to handle a large amount of training data. Tür et al. [14] adopted a hidden Markov model (HMM) to fuse features from different knowledge sources. Word usage and lexical cues were represented by a language model embedded in the HMM while prosodic cues, such as pause durations and pitch resets, were modeled by a DT based on automatically extracted acoustic features and alignments. The system developed in the Informedia project [13] was one of the earliest rule-based broadcast news video story segmentation systems, in which, some ad-hoc rules were designed to combine visual, acoustic and lexical features. Recently, support vector machine (SVM) [18] and maximum entropy (ME) models [9] have also been used for story segmentation.

Despite years of study, most of the previous research has focused on modeling features independently. However, time series data, such as speech and video, has a strong correlation among adjacent units. In particular, when it comes to the highest level of understanding such as story segmentation, global information is believed to be much more helpful. In this study, we employ a detection-based story segmentation approach and propose the integration of multimodal features using conditional random fields (CRFs) for news story segmentation. A CRF is an undirected graphical model that defines the global log-linear distribution of an entire label sequence conditioned on an observation sequence [19]. The model has theoretical advantages for sequential classification: (1) it provides an intuitive method for integrating features from various sources because there is no assumption of independence among features. This property of CRFs is used to help us to investigate the relations among features from intramodality to intermodality; (2) it models the sequential/contextual information and labels of a given candidate by considering its surrounding features and labels (i.e. global optimal labeling). In this way, a CRF models the conditional distribution of a label sequence given the feature sequence by globally combining both the feature-to-label and label-to-label correlations, which is thus a better framework for segmenting time series data. Recently, CRF modeling has exhibited superior performance in various speech and language tasks such as POS tagging [19], shallow parsing [20], sentence boundary detection [21], pitch accent prediction [22] and speech recognition [23].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give an overview of our story segmentation system. In Sect. 3, we describe the proposed CRF approach for story segmentation. Section 4 reports the extraction of multi-modal features. We present our experimental results and analysis in Sect. 5 and summarize the paper in Sect. 6.

2. System Overview

The detection-based story segmentation system consists of

three steps: candidate identification, feature extraction and boundary/nonboundary classification, as shown in Fig. 1. We model the story segmentation task as a sequential boundary/nonboundary classification/tagging problem. We first identify a set of candidates (i.e. potential story boundaries), denoted as $B$, in the broadcast news stream. The principle of this step is to reduce the boundary search complexity and to ensure a low miss rate (high recall rate) of story boundaries at the same time. In this study, we consider all the silence and music positions (labeled by an audio classifier) as story boundary candidates. These positions can cover almost all the story boundaries because news broadcasts use silence breaks and music intervals to maintain the editorial tempo. A set of multimodal features, denoted as $F$, which include acoustic, lexical and visual features, are then collected at these boundary candidates. We aim to classify the set of candidates, $B$, into two classes (boundary and non-boundary) with the highest probability given the feature set $F$:

$$\arg\max_B P(B|F).$$

A CRF classifier, which is trained using multimodal features, is designed to carry out the classification. For performance comparison, several state-of-the-art classifiers, including three generative classifiers based on a DT, a BN and a NB, and three discriminative classifiers based on multi-layer perceptions (MLP), support vector machines (SVMs) and maximum entropy (ME) are evaluated. We also investigate the effectiveness of features and how different features complement each other to improve the story segmentation performance.

3. Modeling Story Boundaries Using Conditional Random Fields

A CRF is a discriminative probabilistic model that has been used for labeling or segmenting sequential data [19]. It is a Markov random field in nature, where each random variable is conditioned on an observation sequence. In Fig. 2, a simple linear-chain CRF is illustrates which frequently used in sequential data labeling, which defines the conditional probability distribution $p(B|F)$ of a label sequence $B = (B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_n)$ given an input observation sequence $F = (F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_n)$. Specifically for the story segmentation task, $B$ represents a label sequence with story-boundary or non-story-boundary labels, and $F$ is the feature observation sequence. We extract acoustic and visual features
from the audio and video of broadcast news respectively, and search for lexical features based on speech recognition transcripts.

There are several benefits of using a CRF to model features: (1) A CRF is capable of accommodating statistically correlated features. Features from the same modality usually have semantic dependencies. For two lexical features, a lower lexical similarity usually accompany with a greater chaining strength at story boundary positions. A similar phenomenon can be observed for acoustic features where a long pause usually occurs with a change in speaker. However, different modality features always compliment each other, which is thus believed to lead to more robust segmentation by integrating different sources of information. (2) Modeling contextual feature information is beneficial for story segmentation. As one of the most conventional lexical similarity features, we often adopt the depth score [2], which reflects the contextual variation tendency of lexical similarity, instead of using the lexical similarity directly indicating, that the contextual information is essential for this high-level structure task.

Starting with a training set with the reference labels and the extracted multi-modal features, we train a linear-chain CRF classifier that can label an input broadcast news stream with boundary and nonboundary tags at each candidate position. The decoding problem, i.e., finding the most likely label sequence \( \hat{\mathbf{B}} \) for a given observation sequence, can be calculated as

\[
\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{B}} p(\mathbf{B} | \mathbf{F}),
\]

where the posterior probability takes the exponential form

\[
p(\mathbf{B} | \mathbf{F}) = \frac{\exp \sum_k \lambda_k \cdot F_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F})}{Z(\mathbf{F})}.
\]

\( F_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}) \) are called feature functions defined over the observation and label sequences. The index \( k \) indicates different feature functions, each of which has an associated weight \( \lambda_k \). For an input sequence \( \mathbf{F} \), and a label sequence \( \mathbf{B} \),

\[
F_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_i f_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}, i).
\]

where \( i \) ranges over all the input positions, and \( f_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}, i) \) is either a state function \( s_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}, i) \) of the entire observation sequence and the label transition at position \( i \) in the label sequence, or a transition function \( t_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}, i) \) of the label at position \( i \) and the observation sequence [24]. \( Z_\mathbf{f} \) is the normalization term, given by

\[
Z_\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{F}) = \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \exp \sum_k \lambda_k \cdot F_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}).
\]

The CRF model is trained by globally maximizing the conditional distribution \( p(\mathbf{B} | \mathbf{F}) \) on a given training set. It can perform trade-off decisions at different sequence positions to achieve a globally optimal labeling. The most likely label sequence is found using the Viterbi algorithm.

When \( t_k(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}, i) = t_k(B_{i-1}, B_i, \mathbf{F}, i) \), a first-order linear-chain CRF is formed, which includes only two sequential labels in the feature set. For an \( N \)-th order linear-chain CRF, the feature function is defined as \( t_k(B_{i-N}, \cdots, B_i, \mathbf{F}, i) \). The probability of a transition between labels depend not only on the current observation, but also on past, future observations and previous labels. Although there are only two classes in our label set, we consider that previous labels affect current decision making. In our task, it is impossible for two adjacent candidates to both be boundaries. In contrast, if several former labels are all non-boundaries, the current candidate has a higher probability of being a boundary. Training is only practical for lower values of \( N \) since the computational cost increases exponentially with \( N \). Specifically, if we substitute \( \mathbf{F} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) in Eqs. (2) – (5) with \( \mathbf{F}_i \) and \( \mathbf{B}_i \), the CRF model is downgraded to an ME model. The ME classifier individually classifies each data sample without using any contextual information, whereas a CRF models sequential information and performs global optimal labeling.

4. Multimodal Feature Extraction

We extract story boundary features from lexical, audio and video modalities. Lexical features consist of lexical similarity, chain strength and overall cohesiveness; acoustic features involve pause duration, pitch, changes in the speaker and audio event type; visual features contain shot boundaries, anchor faces and news title captions.

4.1 Lexical Features

All lexical features are extracted from Chinese character (rather than Chinese word) unigram sequences based on the Mandarin Large Vocabulary Continuous Speech Recognition (LVCSR) transcripts. The corpora we evaluated the TDT2 (Topic Detection and Tracking) Mandarin audio corpus from Linguistic Data Consortium (LDC) and the home-grown China Central Television (CCTV) video corpus. We also obtained the transcription of the TDT2 corpus from LDC. For the CCTV corpus, we construct our own broadcast news recognizer [25]. The word error rate (WER) and character error rate (CER) are 37% and 20% for TDT2, and 25% and 18% for CCTV, respectively.

Lexical Similarity: Lexical cohesion indicates the lexical relationship between words within a story, while different stories employ different sets of words [2]. As a result,
a story boundary may be detected from a shift in word usage or the lexical similarity between sentences. We extract lexical similarity scores as a story boundary feature from the broadcast news transcripts. To capture the variation tendency of lexical similarity, we also compute the difference among, which is denoted as SimDelta. The cosine similarity is calculated at each intersentence position $g$ in the transcripts as follows:

$$\text{lexscore}(g) = \cos(v_s, v_{s+1}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I v_{s,i}v_{s+1,i}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^I v_{s,i}v_{s,i}\sum_{i=1}^I v_{s+1,i}v_{s+1,i}}}$$

where $v_s$ and $v_{s+1}$ are the term (i.e. word) frequency vectors for the sentences before and after $g$, respectively, and $v_{s,i}$ is the frequency of term $w_i$ occurring in sentence $s$ with a vocabulary size of $I$. Since sentence boundaries are not given in the speech recognition transcripts, we apply a block of fixed-length text as a sentence. Figure 3 shows a lexical similarity curve calculated from the speech recognition transcripts of a CCTV broadcast news episode. There is a good match between the story boundaries and the minima in the similarity curve.

**Chain Strength:** Lexical chaining is another embodiment of lexical cohesion. A lexical chain links up repeating terms where a chain starts at the first appearance of a term and ends at the last appearance of the term. Owing to lexical cohesion, chains tend to start at the begin of a story and terminate at the end of the story. Therefore, a high concentration of starting and/or ending chains is an indicator of a story boundary [26]. We measure the chaining strength at each inter-sentence position $g$ as

$$\text{chainstrength}(g) = \text{endchain}(s)+\text{startchain}(s+1),$$

where endchain$(s)$ and startchain$(s+1)$ denote the number of chains ending at sentence $s$ and the number of chains beginning at sentence $s+1$ of $g$, respectively. Similarly, fixed-length text blocks are used as ‘sentences’. The variation tendency of chain strength is also adopted as a dimension of lexical features. We set up a maximal chain length and above which no chains are allowed. This is because some terms in a news story may reappear in another story. For example, some chains may span across the entire text if two items of news reporting the same topic are situated at the beginning and end of a news program. In Fig. 4, it shows a chain strength curve of a CCTV broadcast news episode.

We can clearly observe that story boundary positions tend to have higher boundary strength scores.

**Overall Cohesiveness:** When a topic has sharp variations in the lexical distribution, the lexical similarity and chain strength, which focus on local cohesiveness, are reasonably effective. However, sometimes topic transitions among stories in broadcast news are smooth and the distributional variations are very subtle. Therefore, we adopt an overall cohesiveness that directly maximizes the total cohesiveness of all topic fragments extracted from the text. This boundary indicator can effectively detect smooth story changes.

The lexical cohesiveness of a fragment $f$ is defined by

$$\text{Cohscore}(f) = A[\text{length}(f)] \sum_{i=1}^I [R(w_i)S(w_i)],$$

where $w_i$ is the $i$th term of fragment $f$. $R(w)$ is the number of repetition of term $w$, indicating that each pair of identical words contained in fragment $f$ contributes equally to the cohesiveness of $f$. Thus, the total contribution of word $w_i$ is given by

$$R(w_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{\text{Freq}(w_i)-1} k \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Freq}(w)\left(\text{Freq}(w_i) - 1\right),$$

where $\text{Freq}(w)$ is the term frequency of $w_i$ in fragment $f$. $S(w_i)$ is used to measure the interfragment discriminability for term $w_i$, reflecting the fact that terms appearing in more fragments are less useful for discriminating a specific fragment.

$$S(w_i) = \frac{\text{Freq}(w_i)}{\text{Total}(w_i)},$$

where $\text{Total}(w_i)$ is the number of times that term $w_i$ occurs in the whole text.

As a normalization factor, $A[\text{length}]$ should be decreased slowly when $\text{length}(f)$ is reasonably small as it should not offset the cohesiveness gained by the increase in word repetition. If a fragment is much longer than the average length of the topic, $A[\text{length}]$ should provide a considerable negative effect as a penalty factor. We found that an exponential function with a base close to 1.0 serves our needs well. Formally, the length factor is defined as

$$A[\text{length}(f)] = a^{-\text{length}(f)},$$
$\alpha$ is a constant parameter slightly larger than 1.0.

We define the overall cohesiveness $C$ of a text segment as the sum of the cohesiveness values of all fragments extracted from it, i.e.,

$$C(\text{text}) = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \text{Cohescore}(f_i). \quad (12)$$

To obtain the optimal text segments, we adopt the segmentation scheme for $C(\text{text})$ by using a dynamic programming algorithm. Assume that the whole text consists of $n$ words, represented as $w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n$. Let $F(n)$ denote the objective function, i.e.

$$F(n) = \max[F(w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n)]. \quad (13)$$

The dynamic programming is conducted as follows:

$$F(i) = \max_{0 < j < i} [F(j) + \text{Cohescore}(w_{j+1} \ldots w_i)], \quad (14)$$

with $F(0) = 0$. Figure 5 shows the segmentation results (blue stars) obtained by the overall cohesiveness-based indicator compared with reference story boundaries (vertical red lines) for a CCTV news episode. We align the boundary of each segment to its nearest pause (i.e., story boundary candidate) as the boundary indicator.

4.2 Audio Features

**Pause Duration:** Pause duration is one of the most important speech prosodic factors relevant to discourse structures. Speakers tend to use a long pause at semantic boundaries. The pause duration between different stories usually lasts longer than that between sentences. Broadcast news producers usually insert a clear silence or a music clip between news stories. Previous works have shown that pause duration is effective for the story segmentation of broadcast news [5], [6]. Figure 6 shows the pause duration time trajectory of a VOA (Voice of America) broadcast news episode. We can clearly see the pattern of pauses, where the pause duration is much more salient at story boundaries. We used a home-grown audio classifier [27] to label a broadcast news audio stream into clips of six types: music, pure speech, speech with background sound, speech with music, background sound and silence. Here, for all the detected silences, pause duration is regarded as a prosodic feature, namely PauD.

**Audio Event Type:** According to the editorial rules of broadcast news, studio-to-field transitions often coincide with internews boundaries; a news story usually starts from clean speech (e.g., anchor speech in the studio) and rarely starts from noisy speech (e.g., field speech), as shown in Fig. 7. Studio speech is generally clean while field speech is often contaminated with diverse background noises from news scenes such as streets, factories and buildings. Therefore, the changes in the audio event type may indicate potential topic boundaries. We use an SVM binary tree (SVM-BT) approach [27] to hierarchically classify audio clips into six classes: pure speech, speech with noise, speech with music, music, silence and noise. The SVM-BT architecture can realize coarse-to-fine multiclass classification with high accuracy and efficiency.

**Speaker change:** Broadcast news programs usually contain various speakers, such as anchors, reporters and interviewees. Many news sessions are hosted by two anchors who report news in turn. For example, a male anchor and a female anchor often alternate with each other to announce the news in a news session. Figure 8 shows an example where most of the detected speaker changes are at story boundaries. Some news programs follow a clear syntax: a news story is introduced by an anchor in the studio, which is then followed by a detailed report from a field reporter or an interview. Therefore, in broadcast news, changes in speakers may coincide with story transitions. We use a two-stage
multifeature integration approach to automatically detect changes in speaker from broadcast news audio [28]. Speaker change is used as a binary feature (Change/Not-change for each candidate).

**Pitch:** Pitch declination and reset phenomena are characterized by the tendency of a speaker to raise his/her pitch to the topline at the beginning of a major speech unit and lower it towards the pitch baseline at the end of the major speech unit [7]. Therefore, pitch undergoes a declination within a major speech unit and a reset between two major speech units. Pitch declination and reset behaviors are observed more often at topic level than at smaller speech levels such as utterances [5], [6], [21].

In this study, we extract the pitch trajectory from broadcast news audio using the YIN pitch tracker [29]. The nearest left and right successive pitch contours of each boundary candidate (i.e., pause segment) are determined as our regions of interest. A set of three pitch features are extracted from each boundary candidate: the mean pitch before and after a candidate (PLmn and PRmn) and pitch reset (PReset, i.e. PRmn-PLmn). Since pitch is a speaker-dependent characteristic, we normalize the pitch contour by the speaker anchor face counts (0,1,2). Figure 9 shows the anchor face counts for a CCTV news episode. We can clearly see that the anchor face count changes at some story boundaries. Therefore, we use the interframe anchor face count difference at candidate positions as a visual feature for story boundary detection.

**News Title Caption:** In broadcast news video, a news story is often accompanied by a caption indicating the title of the news. Hence, the appearance of a title caption is a clear story boundary indicator. We detect news title captions from broadcast news video on the basis of the color and structural information in the caption region. Since the title caption usually appears later than the news, we measure the time distance from a boundary candidate to the appearance of the next title caption as a feature. In Fig. 10, the blue boxes indicate the appearance of title captions and their durations. We can clearly see that almost every story boundary is associated with the subsequent appearance of a title caption.

5. Experiments

5.1 Experimental Setup

We carried out story segmentation experiments on two Mandarin broadcast news corpora, the LDC TDT2 Mandarin audio corpus and the homegrown CCTV video corpus, to evaluate the proposed approach. Table 1 shows details of the two corpora and the data organization in experiments. We extracted audio, video and lexical features for the CCTV video corpus, and audio and lexical features for the TDT2 audio corpus. We conducted the experiments using feature sets from a single modality (L, A, V) and integrated feature sets from multiple modalities (L+A, L+A+V). The full list of feature sets is shown in Table 2. Note that the position of the candidate (at the beginning of the broadcast news episode), namely Pos, was inserted into all the feature sets in the experiments. The Pos feature was used for time-
Table 1 Corpora for story segmentation experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corpus</th>
<th>TDT-2 Mandarin</th>
<th>CCTV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>VOA newcast</td>
<td>China Central TV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>audio, text</td>
<td>audio, video, text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of programs</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio duration</td>
<td>53h</td>
<td>27h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVCSR WER</td>
<td>Training 37%</td>
<td>Testing 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data assignment</td>
<td>90 programs (1321 bnds)</td>
<td>40 (1209 bnds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>87 programs (1262 bnds)</td>
<td>31 (892 bnds)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Lexical, audio and video feature sets used in the experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>set</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lexical</td>
<td>Lexical Similarity</td>
<td>LexSim</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similarity Variation</td>
<td>SimDelta</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chain Strength</td>
<td>ChStr</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chain Variation</td>
<td>ChainDelta</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global Cohesiveness</td>
<td>GlbCoh</td>
<td>Binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio</td>
<td>Pause Duration</td>
<td>PseDur</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Change</td>
<td>SpkChg</td>
<td>Binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Audio Event Type</td>
<td>AETyp</td>
<td>Discrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pitch Left Mean</td>
<td>PLmm</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pitch Right Mean</td>
<td>PRmm</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pitch Reset</td>
<td>PReset</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td>Shot Boundary</td>
<td>ShotBnd</td>
<td>Binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anchor Count</td>
<td>AchrCnt</td>
<td>Triple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caption Distance</td>
<td>CapDist</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Accuracy rates of feature extraction methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>ShotBnd</th>
<th>AchrCnt</th>
<th>Caption</th>
<th>AETyp</th>
<th>SpkChg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Story Segmentation with CRF

We trained a CRF boundary/nonboundary classifier using labeled candidates in a training set. We adopted the GRMM toolkit\(^1\) to perform CRF training and testing after modifying it to support real-valued feature inputs. Different CRF orders \(N (B = B_{i−N}, \ldots, B_1)\) and feature contexts \(M (F = \{F_{i−M}, \ldots, F_{i−1}, F_i, F_{i+1}, \ldots, F_{i+M}\})\) were tested in order to achieve the best story segmentation performance. The order \(N\) is limited to 2 owing to the exponential computation cost for high orders and the data sparseness problem. The feature context \(M\) indicates the number of preceding and following features that are used in addition to the current \(F_i\).

Tables 4 and 5 show the story segmentation results using a CRF for the CCTV and TDT2 corpora, respectively. We also report the performance of training data used to compare evaluations. The results show that (1) with an increase

\(^1\)http://mallet.cs.umass.edu/grmm/
in the sequential/contextual information ($M$), the story segmentation performance is generally improved on both corpora; (2) multimodal feature integration significantly outperforms a single-modal feature set in terms of story segmentation. The best F1-measures for the lexical feature set (L), acoustic feature set (A) and visual feature set (V) on testing set are 0.7361, 0.7518, 0.7046 on the CCTV corpus, respectively. For the TDT2 corpus, the lexical feature set (L) and acoustic feature set (A) achieve F1-measure scores of 0.7175 and 0.7269, respectively. These results show that the features obtained from the three modalities can achieve comparable story segmentation performance. When features from different modalities are combined, the F1-measure is increased to 0.8204 (L+A, N = 1, M = 3) and 0.8576 (L+A+V, N = 1, M = 2) on the CCTV corpus and 0.7981 (L+A, N = 2, M = 3) on the TDT2 corpus. We found that results based on the CCTV corpus were always better than those on the TDT2 corpus. This is probably because of the different genres and style between CCTV and TDT2. For example, for CCTV broadcast news, at the end of programs, there are brief news stories that only contain one or two sentences which the anchors report in turn. For such brief stories, speaker change and pitch reset features are more effective in as indicators.

5.3 Comparison with Different Classifiers

For performance comparison, we also tested several popular classifiers, i.e., a C4.5 decision tree (DT), a naive Bayesian classifier (NB), RBF-kernel support vector machines (SVMs), multilayer perceptron (MLP), a Bayesian network (BN) and the maximum entropy classifier (ME). The Weka toolkit\footnote{http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/} was used to train the DT, NB, SVM, MLP, BN and SVM classifiers, and the ME classifier was trained using the opennlp.maxent package\footnote{http://opennlp.sourceforge.net/}.

Since some features may have low discriminative ability, we performed a feature selection procedure to find the optimal feature subset with the highest F1-measure. We adopted the backward elimination algorithm to search for the optimal subset by iteratively eliminating features whose absence did not decrease performance on different classifiers and corpora. Parameter tuning, classifier training and feature selection were performed on the training set and experimental results were reported on the testing set. All classifiers were equally tuned to obtain the best performance.

Experimental results for different classifiers on the CCTV and TDT2 corpora are listed in Tables 6 and 7, respectively. We clearly observe that the CRF classifier outperforms other classifiers for both individual feature sets and integrated feature sets on the two tested corpora. From the feature selection, we found that not all features contribute to story boundary detection for a particular classifier. Some features were removed owing to their low discriminative ability or because of the lower correlation with other more effective features. After feature selection, the highest F1-measure for the two corpora were 0.8607 (for CCTV) and 0.7981 (for TDT2). We also notice that feature selection approach selects different optimal subsets for different corpora and different classifiers.

### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose the integration of multimodal features using conditional random fields (CRFs) for the automatic segmentation of broadcast news stories. Features from different modalities, i.e., audio, visual and lexical modalities, are extracted for sequential boundary/nonboundary tagging of a story boundary candidate set. Sequential interlabel relations and contextual information are effectively captured by a linear-chain CRF. Experimental results for story segmentation have shown that (1) the CRF approach outperforms other competitive classifiers, i.e., DT, BN, NB, SVM and MLP; (2) multimodal feature integration shows significantly improved performance compared with features from single modalities.
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