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Role of the circadian clock gene Per2 in adaptation to cold temperature

Sylvie Chappuis1, Jürgen Alexander Ripperger1, Anna Schnell1, Gianpaolo Rando2, Corinne Jud1,4, Walter Wahl2,3, Urs Albrecht1,*

ABSTRACT

Adaptive thermogenesis allows mammals to resist to cold. For instance, in brown adipose tissue (BAT) the facultative uncoupling of the proton gradient from ATP synthesis in mitochondria is used to generate systemic heat. However, this system necessitates an increase of the Uncoupling protein 1 (Ucp1) and its activation by free fatty acids. Here we show that mice without functional Period2 (Per2) were cold sensitive because their adaptive thermogenesis system was less efficient. Upon cold-exposure, Heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) induced Per2 in the BAT. Subsequently, Per2 as a co-activator of PPARα increased expression of Ucp1. PER2 also increased Fatty acid binding protein 3 (Fabp3), a protein important to transport free fatty acids from the plasma to mitochondria to activate UCP1. Hence, in BAT PER2 is important for the coordination of the molecular response of mice exposed to cold by synchronizing UCP1 expression and its activation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In homeothermic animals such as mammals, body temperature is kept within a narrow range in spite of large environmental temperature fluctuations. An average body temperature is maintained by continuous correction of the body temperature by thermoregulatory centers located in the preoptic anterior hypothalamus arranging behavioral and autonomous body temperature responses [1]. This homeostatic regulation is achieved through feedback mechanisms that control heat loss and production, resulting in small variations of temperature around the average. In addition, the circadian clock regulates daily temperature fluctuations by acting on thermoregulatory centers in the brain. Body temperature rises during the activity phase and falls during the rest phase [2]. Thus, daily fluctuations in body temperature are the result of a continuous interplay between circadian and homeostatic mechanisms. Over a day and over a year the interplay between circadian and homeostatic mechanisms experiences changes with the factors light, temperature and humidity as major variables. Day-length appears to affect phase distribution of oscillating neurons in the SCN [3] and thyroid hormone (TH) availability in the hypothalamus by regulating TH deiodinases (Dio) [4]. Temperature increase can lead to the denaturation of proteins. The denatured polypeptides compete for binding to the heat-shock protein (HSP90) with heat-shock factor 1 (HSF1), thereby liberating HSF1 from the HSP90/HSF1 complex [5]. Subsequently, free HSF1 forms a homotrimer, which binds to heat shock elements (HSEs) in promoters of HSF1 target genes. Furthermore, HSF1 has circadian activity [6] and compounds that specifically inhibit its function block the ability of heat pulses to shift the phases of peripheral clocks [7]. To evoke such a shift requires changes in the oscillations of central clock genes in peripheral tissues. Interestingly, HSF1 is not only activated by a heat shock but also by a cold pulse [8]. A recent study identified the clock component Per2 as a potential target gene of HSF1 [9]. The Per2 promoter contains HSEs necessary to synchronize Per2 rhythms to a heat shock pulse in vitro, linking the heat shock response to the circadian clock mechanism.

Adaptive thermogenesis, also referred to as facultative thermogenesis, is defined as heat production in response to environmental temperature (or diet) and serves the purpose of protecting the organism from cold (or regulating energy balance after changes in diet). Cold sensed by the brain leads to activation of sympathetic nerves resulting in the release of noradrenaline and binding of it to beta-adrenergic receptors on the cells of BAT. This leads to acute and chronic effects changing metabolism in BAT [10]. A portion of the acute response to cold is due to shivering, however, with adaptation shivering disappears quite rapidly in rodents [11] and other mechanisms in BAT become dominant. These mechanisms involve stimulation of lipolysis and increase in activity and
production of UCP1, a mitochondrial inner-membrane protein that uncouples proton entry from ATP synthesis to generate heat [12]. Since we observed that mice mutant in the clock gene Per2 may be less cold resistant in a natural wild environment [13] we set out to investigate adaptive thermogenesis in Per2 mutant mice in the laboratory. We present evidence that Per2 is a target of HSF1 in vivo and is involved in the regulation of adaptive thermogenesis via modulation of Ucp1 activity and transcription. Surprisingly, the mode of this regulation in animals appears to be strongly dependent on external conditions such as humidity and temperature that probably reflect seasonal changes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Animals

Animal care and handling was performed according to the Swiss Federal law for animal protection authorized by the Office Veterinaire Cantonal de Fribourg (FR13_010). Wild-type and Per2<sup>fl/fl</sup> littermate mice were housed under a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle. Per2<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice harbor an in frame deletion of exon 10 of the Per2 gene (part of PAS domain) yielding an unstable protein. The mice were bred on a 129S5/C57BL/6-Tyrc-Brd mixed background [17]. Water and standard rodent chow was provided ad libitum. Cold exposure experiments were performed with animals held under specific conditions in the animal facility: room temperature average was 21 °C and the humidity average was 30%. For temperature and humidity profiles see Figure S4.

2.2. Cold exposure of mice

Mice were 10–12 weeks old at the time of experimentation. Mice were exposed to 4 °C for 2, 4, 6, and 8 h. Before the cold exposure, mice experienced a specific food regimen. The food was removed at ZT14 two days before cold exposure. Then the food was given at ZT12 and removed again at ZT15 one day before cold exposure. On the day of cold exposure mice were transferred at ZT2 to a cold room at 4 °C without food. Skin temperature was measured with an infrared thermometer (ScanTemp 440) directed on a depilated area on the back of the mouse.

2.3. RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and real-time PCR detection

Total RNA was extracted from a piece of interscapular BAT (20–25 mg) using RNA-Bee (AMS Biotechnology). RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase I (Roche), precipitated in 4 M LiCl and purified further by phenol: chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. ssDNA complementary to the RNA starting from hybridized random hexamer primers was synthesized with SuperScript II (Invitrogen). SYBR green fluorescence-based real-time PCR was performed using the primers described in Table S2. All RNA samples were normalized to β-Actin mRNA accumulation.

2.4. Western blot analysis

Tissue (about 20 mg) was homogenized in 400 μl of BAT lysis buffer (25 mM Tris–HCl pH7.5, 1 mM EDTA pH8.0, 1% Triton-X-100, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 1 × protease inhibitor (Roche)) twice for 30 s, 5000 rpm using a Precellys<sup>®</sup>24 machine at 4 °C. The fat layer was removed by sequential centrifugations for 10 min at 3300 rpm and three times for 10 min at 13,000 rpm. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA assay according to the manufacturer (Pierce). Samples were denatured for 15 min at 60 °C to prevent lipid aggregation. For the detection of UCP1, 30 μg of protein was separated on 15% SDS-PAGE. Primary antibodies were rabbit Anti-UCP1 1:5’000 (Abcam ab23841), rabbit Anti-Cardiac FABP/FABP3 1:250 (Abcam ab102075) and rabbit Anti-actin 1:250 (Sigma 5060). Quantification was performed using the Quantity One analysis software (BioRad). β-actin was used for normalization and relative protein levels were calculated by defining maximal protein levels as 1.

2.5. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

Interscapular BAT from 5 individual animals were combined per time point, homogenized in 1% formaldehyde/0.3 M sucrose, and kept for 5 min at 21 °C. Nuclei and soluble chromatin fragments were obtained by ultracentrifugation through 1.8 M sucrose cushions and sonication according to [14]. Chromatin was precipitated with antibodies raised against PER2 [15], PPARα (Abcam), HSF1 (Fisher Scientific) and BMAL1 [14]. Co-immunoprecipitated DNA was quantified with TaqMan real-time PCR using the primers and probes described in Table S2.

2.6. Luciferase reporter assays and transfections

A 2'982 bp fragment of the mouse Ucp1 promoter region or a 1'038 bp fragment of the mouse Fabp3 promoter region was cloned into the pGL3 basic vector (Promega) containing the firefly luciferase reporter gene. Full-length mouse cDNAs encoding mPparα (NM_011444.6), mNpas2 (NM_011305.3), mPer2 (NM_011066.3), mBmal1 (NM_007489), mClock (NM_007715), mNpas2 (BC109166), and bacterial β-galactosidase were cloned into pSCT1. Transfection experiments were performed according to [16].

2.7. Oil-red-0 staining

Interscapular BAT tissue was dissected and fixed in 10% formaldehyde in 1 × PBS, incubated in 20% sucrose in 1 × PBS, and frozen in isopentane. Specimens were sectioned to 7 μm thickness and treated with Oil-red-0 (Sigma-Aldrich) to stain neutral lipids, and were counter-stained with Mayer’s hematoxylin solution (Sigma-Aldrich).

2.8. Measurement of free fatty acids in BAT

Interscapular BAT pieces (5 mg) were homogenized in 1% Triton X-100 in pure chloroform. After centrifugation, the organic phase was evaporated and dried lipids were dissolved in Fatty Acid Assay Buffer (Abcam). Free fatty acids were determined using the Free Fatty Acid Quantification Kit (Abcam).

2.9. Measurement of triglycerides in BAT

Interscapular BAT pieces (5 mg) were homogenized in 5% Triton X-100 in water. Triglycerides were solubilized by heating the samples at 100 °C for 5 min, and centrifuged to remove insoluble material. Triglycerides were determined using the Triglyceride Quantification Kit (Abcam).

2.10. Measurement of plasma metabolites

Blood was taken by retro-orbital bleeding from anesthetized mice, and plasma was separated by centrifugation and frozen. Plasma free fatty acids were determined using the Free Fatty Acid Quantification Kit (Abcam). Plasma triglycerides were determined using the Triglycerides GPO-PAP (Roche).

2.11. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of all experiments was performed using Prism4 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Significant differences between groups were determined using t-test, one- or two-way ANOVA, followed
3. RESULTS

3.1. PER2 is involved in the resistance to cold temperature in mice

In a previous study we observed that Per2 mutant mice (Per2^{Brdm1}) [17] might display reduced resistance to cold temperatures in the field compared to wild-type littermates [13]. Therefore we investigated the influence of cold temperature on wild-type and Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice under laboratory conditions. We kept animals under a constant photoperiod (12 h light/12 h dark) and low humidity of 30%. The low humidity conditions are likely similar to the ones that the animals would encounter in the field during the winter period (see Section 2). In order to avoid variability in feeding habits of individual animals that would affect their response to cold temperatures, animals were food restricted (see Section 2 for details) before their transfer to 4 °C at zeitgeber time (ZT) 2. All along the experiment, skin temperature was monitored every 2 h over an 8-h period. We found that wild-type animals could maintain their skin temperature at about 28 °C during this time period, whereas Per2^{Brdm1} mice failed to do so (Figure 1A). The control mice kept at 21 °C displayed identical skin temperature (Figure 1A). No difference in food intake was found under standard as well as food restricted conditions (Table S1). Initial body weight and cold-induced weight loss were also comparable between the two genotypes (Figures 1B and C). Interestingly, the ratio between body weight and weight of BAT after 8 h at 4 °C was increased in Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice (Figure 1D), pointing to a defect in BAT physiology. Therefore we focused in the following on Per2 expression and function in BAT tissue. Since Per2 may play a role in cold-induced thermogenesis, we assessed its expression profile in BAT under room temperature and 4 °C conditions. The overall time-dependent increase in Per2 transcription for both temperatures reflected its normal circadian expression. Per2 showed cyclic expression in BAT with a peak between ZT12 and ZT18 (Figure S1A) [18]. However, we found that Per2 expression rose faster at 4 °C than at 21 °C in wild-type mice (Figure 1E). This result would indicate that cold inducible factors might activate Per2 gene expression in BAT. A candidate for such a factor is Heat-shock factor 1 (HSF1). Interestingly, HSF1 is not only responsible for heat-induction of the cellular stress response, but it also mediates the expression of heat-shock proteins in response to cold (Figure S2A) [8,19]. Because Per2 gene induction resembles the one of immediate early genes [20] we investigated whether HSF1 is involved in the induction of Per2 under cold conditions. First, we tested whether HSF1 transcription is induced by cold exposure in BAT. We found no significant difference between 21 °C and 4 °C as well as no significant change between the genotypes in response to cold (Figure 1F). Hence, the mechanism of induction of Per2 gene expression in response to cold is probably due to post-transcriptional activation of HSF1 [5]. Therefore we studied the binding of HSF1 to the HSEs (−1125 and −1279 bp upstream of the transcription start site [9]) on the Per2 promoter in BAT using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). We found that HSF1 binding was increased already 2 h after cold exposure in both wild-type and Per2^{Brdm1} mice, in which the Per2 promoter is still intact (Figure 1G). This indicates that a rapid change in HSF1 activity in response to cold is likely to be involved in the induction of Per2 in vivo, as it is for Hspa1a (Figure S2A). This response appeared not to be influenced by altered expression of the beta-adrenergic receptor 3 (Adr3) (Figures 1H and S1B), a receptor on BAT cells activated by noradrenaline released by cold sensation in the brain. After 6–8 h of cold exposure Adr3 expression was induced in wild-type animals, but not in Per2^{Brdm1} mice (Figure 1H), suggesting that PER2 may be directly or indirectly involved in the induction of Adr3 expression in BAT as well. This may contribute to the reduction in cold resistance [21] as observed in Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice (Figure 1A).

3.2. Ucp1 expression in BAT is modulated by PER2 involving PPArx

In the mouse, UCP1 is essential for adaptive thermogenesis in response to acute cold exposure [22]. In wild-type mice exposed to cold, we observed an induction of Ucp1 after 4 h. However, Ucp1 cold-induction was significantly reduced in Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice at the mRNA (Figure 2A) as well as at the protein levels (Figure 2B). This indicated that PER2 might be involved in the transcriptional regulation of Ucp1. PER2 does not directly bind to DNA, but interacts with a number of nuclear receptors [15], including PPArx, for which there are binding sites on the Ucp1 promoter [23,24]. Therefore we tested whether PER2, through its interaction potential with PPArx could modulate the transcription of Ucp1 by binding to PPREs on the enhancer of Ucp1 (around −2'500 bp). Using ChIP analysis, we detected diurnal binding of PER2 to the Ucp1 promoter at 21 °C and low humidity conditions (Figure S3A). This binding was increased after 6 h at 4 °C in the BAT of wild-type mice, which was not observed in Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice (Figure 2C). Analyzing the same chromatin, binding of PPArx to the Ucp1 promoter was observed in both genotypes (Figure S3B), but was not modulated by cold exposure (Figure 2D). These data support the notion that PER2 may modulate Ucp1 expression as co-activator of PPArx, which was expressed similarly in both genotypes (Figure S1O). To test this, we analyzed PER2 binding on the Ucp1 promoter in PPArx−/− mice [25]. The binding of PER2 observed after 6 h at 4 °C in wild-type BAT was abolished in PPArx−/− mice (Figure 2E) strongly indicating that PER2 binding on the Ucp1 promoter was mediated by PPArx. Consistent with this view was our finding that Ucp1 mRNA levels did not increase in PPArx−/− mice after cold exposure compared to wild-type animals (Figure 2F). Additional evidence for the interplay between PER2 and PPArx in the regulation of Ucp1 expression was provided by in vitro transactivation experiments in HEK293 cells. We observed an induction of a Ucp1-luc reporter plasmid by the PPArx/RXRα heterodimer and a significant increase of this activation by addition of PER2 to the heterodimer (Figure 2G). Finally, we observed an even more dramatic cold intolerance of PPArx−/− mice to cold exposure (Figure 2H) compared to Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice (Figure 1A). Thus, PER2 may act as a co-activator in cold-induced Ucp1 expression involving PPArx thus modulating cold-induced adaptive thermogenesis. Since PGC-1α may also be involved in PPArx mediated activation [26] we tested whether PGC-1α expression varies between wild-type and Per2^{Brdm1} mutant mice. Similar to RXRα expression (Figure S1E) we found a slight difference between the two genotypes (Figure S1F). However, over the course of one day Ucp1 expression was comparable in the two genotypes over one day under 21 °C and low humidity conditions (Figure S1G). Under acute cold exposure, there was no difference between the two genotypes regarding the levels of RXRα and Pgc-1α (Figures S2B and C). Taken together, these observations indicated that PGC-1α and RXRα were unlikely to contribute to the differential regulation of Ucp1 observed between the two genotypes after cold exposure (Figure 2A).

3.3. Cold treatment lowers triglyceride (TAG) levels more in Per2 mutant BAT compared to wild-type

Fatty acids play a key role in adaptive thermogenesis as the source of oxidative fuel in the mitochondria [27] for activation of UCP1. PER2 is

by Bonferroni’s post-test. Values were considered significantly different with p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), or p < 0.001 (***)
Figure 1: Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mutant mice display a reduced resistance to cold temperature: (A) wild-type and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mice were exposed to 4 °C (n=52) or left at 21 °C (n=36) and skin temperature was measured every 2 h over 8 h. Values are means ± SEM and two-way ANOVA shows a significant effect on genotype at time points 4, 6 and 8 at 4 °C (p < 0.001). (B) Initial body weight of wild-type (n=104) and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mice (n=94). Values are means ± SEM and Student’s t-test reveals no significant difference (p > 0.05). (C) Cold-induced weight loss of wild-type (n=31) and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mice (n=16), 8 h after start of experiment. Values are means ± SEM and Student’s t-test reveals no significant difference (p > 0.05). (D) Ratio between body weight and weight of interscapular BAT after 8 h at 4 °C in wild-type (n=25) and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mice (n=10). Values are means ± SEM and Student’s t-test shows a significant difference (p < 0.001). (E) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of Per2 in BAT in wild-type mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (n=6). Values are means ± SEM and temperature significantly affects the results (p < 0.001; two-way ANOVA). (F) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of Hsf1 in BAT of wild-type and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (n=6). Values are means ± SEM. (G) Binding of HSF1 to the Per2 promoter in BAT as revealed by ChIP. Plotted are mean values ± SEM from three experiments. In wild-type and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mice, the binding of HSF1 to the Per2 promoter is significant at time point 2 h (p < 0.01) as shown by two-way ANOVA. (H) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of Adr3 in BAT of wild-type and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (n=6). Values are means ± SEM and the genotypic difference at 4 °C is considered significant at time point 8 at 4 °C (p < 0.05; two-way ANOVA).
Figure 2: Cold-induction of \( Ucp1 \) gene and protein is reduced in the BAT of \( Per2^{brdm1} \) mice: (A) quantitative real-time PCR analysis of \( Ucp1 \) in BAT of wild-type and \( Per2^{brdm1} \) mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (\( n=6 \)). Values are means ± SEM and two-way ANOVA shows that genotypic difference at 4 °C is considered very significant (\( p<0.01 \)). (B) Western blot analysis of BAT 8 h after start of the experiment. Values were normalized to actin. At 4 °C UCP1 is significantly induced (\( n=3, p<0.05 \)). (C) Binding of PER2 to the \( Ucp1 \) promoter in BAT as revealed by ChIP. Plotted are mean values ± SEM from three experiments. In wild-type mice, the binding of PER2 to the \( Ucp1 \) promoter is significantly increased at time points 6 h (\( p<0.05 \)) and 8 h (\( p<0.001 \)) as shown by two-way ANOVA. (D) Binding of PPAR to the \( Ucp1 \) promoter in BAT as revealed by ChIP. Plotted are mean values ± SEM from three experiments. (E) Binding of PER2 to the \( Ucp1 \) promoter in BAT as revealed by ChIP. Plotted are mean values ± SEM from three experiments. In wild-type mice, the binding of PER2 to the \( Ucp1 \) promoter is significantly increased at time points 6 h (\( p<0.005 \)) and 8 h (\( p<0.001 \)) as shown by two-way ANOVA. (F) Expression of \( Ucp1 \) in BAT of wild-type and PPAR-/- mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (\( n=3 \)). Values are means ± SEM and two-way ANOVA shows that genotypic difference at 4 °C is considered very significant (\( p<0.001 \)). (G) Transcriptional regulation of the \( Ucp1 \) gene by PER2, PPAR and RXR in HEK293 cells. Each value represents the mean ± SD of six independent experiments. One-way ANOVA shows significant \( Ucp1 \) inductions by PPAR/RXR (\( p<0.0001 \)) and by PPAR/RXR/PER2 (\( p<0.001 \)). (H) Wild-type and PPAR-/- mice were exposed to 4 °C (\( n=12 \) each genotype) or left at 21 °C (\( n=12 \) each genotype) and skin temperature was measured every 2 h over 8 h. Values are means ± SEM and two-way ANOVA shows a significant effect on genotype at time points 4, 6 and 8 at 4 °C (\( p<0.001 \)).
involved in the regulation of metabolism [15], particularly in lipid metabolism [28]. In order to activate UCP1 and to produce enough heat, cold-exposed animals need free fatty acids (FFA) in brown adipocytes. Both endogenous FFA resulting from the lipolysis of stored triglyceride droplets and exogenous FFA coming from circulation are mobilized. We found that TAG levels in BAT were comparable between wild-type and Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mutant animals at 21 °C (Figure 3A), which is in line with previous observations [28]. At 4 °C, however, TAG levels were severely reduced in Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mutant mice after 8 h (Figure 3A). This was also visualized using oil-red-O staining on BAT sections (Figure 3C). In plasma, TAG levels were comparable between the two genotypes under both temperature conditions (Figure 3B), pointing at a defect in lipid usage specifically in BAT of Per2<sup>Brdm1</sup> mutant mice. Interestingly, FFAs displayed similar levels at 21 °C and 4 °C in both genotypes in BAT.
Next we tested whether PER2 would interact with the E-box motif on the similar fashion as observed for (Figure 4G) indicating, that PPAR BMAL1, CLOCK and NPAS2. We found that the BMAL1/NPAS2 complex cells together with the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors with PPAR promoter (Figure 4I). Thus, it appears that PER2 may act as a positive Fabp3 was added to the complex, exposure did not trigger an increased binding of BMAL1 to the promoter (negative control) (Figure 4I). We observed that BMAL1 bound above, Fabp3 promoter represent two possibilities for PER2 expression was not induced by cold in PPARα mice (Figure 4I). As expected, cold PER2 exerts its action via interaction with PPARα [15] and the clock component BMAL1. Interestingly, PPARα−/− mice display a similar phenotype as Per2Brdm1 mutant mice (Figures 1A and 2H), supporting the notion that PER2 and PPARα converge on the same target genes Ucp1 (Figure 2) and Fabp3 (Figure 4) to respond to cold temperature. PER2 may be a coordinating entity to optimize UCP1 production and activation. The amount of UCP1 in mitochondria alone would not be sufficient for increasing heat production in response to environmental cues. A factor at least as important as the quantity of UCP1 is the amount of FFAs reaching the mitochondria for the activation of UCP1. In this process FABPs are important proteins that guide the non-water soluble FFAs through the cytoplasm to mitochondria. Therefore, the coordination of UCP1 production and FFA transport via FABPs would lead to an efficient response to cold. We find that HSF1 induces Per2 in response to cold temperature and then PER2 branches on the synchronous regulation of both the Ucp1 and Fabp3 genes thus orchestrating the cold response. We performed our experiments at ZT2, because this corresponds to the beginning of the resting phase in mice. During this time feeding and muscle activity do normally contribute less to heat production and alternative mechanisms are prevailing. Since Per2 expression is low at ZT2, cold exposure at this time point has a greater effect on Per2 levels than it would have at a time point during the activity phase where Per2 levels are already high (Figure S4C). Therefore we point out that this study does not show whether the response to cold is time of day dependent. The response to cold temperature is not only determined by the mechanisms described here. A recent study showed that cold-inducible RNA-binding protein (CIRP) modulates circadian gene expression in fibroblasts post-transcriptionally [31]. In our study, where the temperature differences between summer and winter conditions were about 2 °C, the levels of CIRP may have been different in the two conditions. This may influence the levels of PER2 protein as observed in Figure S4C. However, we do not know whether and how CIRP may affect PER2 protein expression and/or stability. The fasting schedule before cold exposure affected the animals. This is illustrated by the lack of time dependent increase of binding of PER2 to the Ucp1 and Fabp3 promoters in the control animals at 21 °C (Figures 2C, E and 4D, F, filled blue bars). Mice kept under ad libitum conditions and 21 °C show time of day dependent binding of PER2 to these promoters (Figures S3A and C). This difference may indicate that under ambient temperature conditions and food restriction mice shift their balance of energy utilization towards β-oxidation, because ATP production is limiting under these conditions and heat production seems to be less important. However, after cold exposure, this shift towards β-oxidation appeared to be reversed in wild type mice (Figures 2C, E
Figure 4: The cold-induction of Fabp3 is reduced in the BAT of Per2Brdm1 mice: (A) quantitative real-time PCR analysis of Fabp3 in BAT of wild-type and Per2Brdm1 mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (n=6). Values are means ± SEM and two-way ANOVA shows that genotypic difference at 4 °C is considered extremely significant (p=0.001). (B) Western blot analysis of BAT 8 h after start of the experiment. Values were normalized to actin. At 4 °C FABP3 is significantly induced (n=3, p<0.05). This induction is significantly lower in Per2Brdm1 mice (p<0.05). (C) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of Fabp4 in BAT of wild-type and Per2Brdm1 mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (n=6). Values are means ± SEM. (D) Binding of PER2 to the Fabp3 promoter as revealed by ChIP. Plotted are mean values ± SEM from three experiments. In wild-type mice, the binding of PER2 to the Fabp3 promoter is significantly increased at time points 6 h (p<0.05) and 8 h (p<0.001) as shown by two-way ANOVA. (E) Binding of PPAR to the Fabp3 promoter as revealed by ChIP. Plotted are mean values ± SEM from three experiments. (F) Binding of PER2 to the Fabp3 promoter. Plotted are mean values ± SEM from three experiments. In wild-type mice, the binding of PER2 to the Fabp3 promoter is significantly increased at time points 6 h (p<0.05) and 8 h (p<0.001) as shown by two-way ANOVA. (G) Expression of Fabp3 in BAT of wild-type and Per2Brdm1 mice at 21 °C and 4 °C (n=3). Values are means ± SEM and two-way ANOVA shows that genotypic difference at 4 °C is significant (p<0.01). (H) Transcriptional regulation of the Fabp3 gene by BMAL1, CLOCK, NPAS2 and PER2 in NIH 3T3 cells. A luciferase reporter plasmid fused to the Fabp3 promoter was used. Each value represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments with three replicates for each experiment. One-way ANOVA shows significant Fabp3 induction by BMAL1/NPAS2 (p<0.01) and by BMAL1/NPAS2/PER2 (p<0.05). (I) Binding of BMAL1 to Dmp (positive control), Fabp3 and Ucp1 (negative control) promoters in wild-type BAT as revealed by ChIP. Values are means ± SEM from two independent experiments. (J) Model suggesting the involvement of the clock protein PER2 in the transcriptional regulation of Fabp3 and Ucp1. The expression of the adrenergic receptor Adr3 gene is also affected by PER2 via an unknown mechanism (hatched lines). B = BMAL1, C = CLOCK, FAPB3 = fatty acid binding protein 3, FFA = free fatty acids, HSF1 = heat shock factor 1, N = NPAS2, PER2 = Period 2, PPAR = peroxisome proliferator activated receptor, UCP1 = uncoupling protein 1. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
and 4D, F, chequerd blue bars). In contrast this cannot be observed neither in Per2 nor in Ppara mutant mice.

Adaptive thermogenesis is a complex process to which many tissues contribute. In addition to BAT activation in response to cold, vascular endothelial cell function is important for body and skin temperature regulation. For example, a defect in vasoconstriction in response to cold would lead to an increased heat loss similar to what we observed in this study. Such a defect appears to be unlikely to play a major role in Per2 mutant mice. We have shown in a previous study, that these animals have no problem in vasoconstriction but show a reduced mutant mice. We have shown in a previous study, that these Per2 expression pathway regulating expression of Per2Brdm1 mutant mice show reduced endurance of muscles accompanied by increased levels of glycolytic enzymes in the anterior tibialis muscle [33]. This indicates a greater dependence of muscles in these mice on anaerobic metabolism under stress conditions. Since the mice studied here have a mutation in the Per2 gene in all tissues, including muscle, we cannot rule out contributions of defects in muscle metabolism to the phenotype described here. Since the muscle phenotype has been observed independent of humidity conditions it is unlikely that this muscle defect played a dominant role in the experiments described here. Additionally, total activity does not differ between the two genotypes [17]. Interestingly, mouse models with disrupted expression of circadian clock genes have altered sympathetic signaling (for review see [34,35]). This is also reflected in the Per2 mutant mice where we observed altered expression of Adip3 (Figure 1H). Therefore, it is likely that alterations in sympathetic signaling contribute to the phenotype we describe here (Figure 4J).

Of note is that the observations made in this study are valid under low humidity conditions (as encountered in winter in nature) (Figure S4A, S4B). In line with this finding is the observation that Per2 expression in wild-type mice is lower in high compared to low humidity conditions (Figure S4C), suggesting an involvement of Per2 in seasonal adaptation. The identification of the humidity-mediated signal transduction pathway regulating expression of Per2 will be a challenge for the future.

Taken together, we provide evidence that Per2 is involved in the signal transduction cascade for cold-induced thermogenesis in BAT providing a rationale why these mice were found less fit for survival under natural conditions [13].
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Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2013.05.002.

REFERENCES


