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[1] From April 2010 to February 2011, CO₂ flux surveys were performed on Lake Rotomahana, New Zealand. The area has been hydrothermally active with fumaroles and sublacustrine hydrothermal activity before and since the eruption of Mt Tarawera in 1886. The total CO₂ emission from the lake calculated by sequential Gaussian simulation is 549 ± 72 t d⁻¹. Two different mechanisms of degassing, diffusion through the water-air interface and bubbling, are distinguished using a graphical statistical approach. The carbon dioxide budget calculated for the lake confirms that the main source of CO₂ to the atmosphere is by diffusion covering 94.5% of the lake area (mean CO₂ flux 25 g m⁻² d⁻¹) and to a lesser extent, bubbling (mean CO₂ flux 1297 g m⁻² d⁻¹). Mapping of the CO₂ flux over the entire lake, including over lake floor vents detected during the survey, correlates with eruption craters formed during the 1886 eruption. These surveys also follow regional tectonic patterns present in the southeastern sector of Lake Rotomahana suggesting a deep magmatic source (∼10 km) for CO₂ and different pathways for the gas to escape to the surface. The values of δ¹³C CO₂ (−2.88 and −2.39‰) confirm the magmatic origin of CO₂.
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1. Introduction

Volcanic lakes are different from other natural lakes because of the input of magmatic gases derived from underlying magma chambers. These lakes are generally hotter and more concentrated [e.g., Varekamp et al., 2000]. Volcanic lakes may host various manifestations of hydrothermal activity on the lake floor, including hot springs, chimneys, pockmarks, and localized areas of mineralization [e.g., de Ronde et al., 2002]. The lake-atmosphere interface of volcanic lakes may exhibit a greater transfer of gases than lakes with no magmatic input, although this depends on the size of the crater and the number of sublacustrine vents discharging fluids on the lake floor [Bernard et al., 2004; Bernard and Mazot, 2004; Mazot et al., 2011]. In order to measure the gas flux from crater lakes it is necessary to measure their fluxes at the lake surface. Degassing through the lake surface is via bubbles, commonly seen as plumes ascending through the water column (i.e., convective/advective degassing), or by molecular diffusion through the water/air interface. Measurement of diffuse degassing from lakes using a floating accumulation chamber method has proved reliable for volcanic lakes worldwide [Perez et al., 2011].

In this study, we report the first data on surface CO$_2$ flux (F$_{CO2}$ values in g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) from the volcanic Lake Rotomahana. A CO$_2$ budget for the lake is estimated to assist understanding the different possible contributions of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere. Results from chemical and isotopic compositions of bubbling and dissolved gases are presented and provide a better understanding of the Rotomahana/Waimangu hydrothermal system.

2. Geological and Volcanological Settings

Lake Rotomahana is part of the Tarawera Volcanic Complex located in the southern part of the Okataina Volcanic Centre (OVC), the most recently active of the eight major rhyolite eruptive centers in the Taupo Volcanic Zone, North Island, New Zealand (Figure 1a) [Nairn, 2002]. Over the past ~26,000 years, rhyolitic and basaltic eruptions formed the Tarawera linear volcanic complex in the southern part of Haroharo caldera [Nairn, 2002]. Prior to the 1886 Tarawera eruption, the Rotomahana area was a site of numerous phreatic and magmatic eruptions and was host to an intensely active hydrothermal field with hot springs, geysers, fumaroles and two famous sinter terraces known as the Pink and White Terraces. Two small lakes occupied part of the site of present-day Lake Rotomahana: Lake Rotomahana (hot) and Lake Rotomakariri (cold) (Figure 1b).

In 1886, the most destructive manifestations of the Tarawera basaltic plinian fissure eruption occurred in the Rotomahana area. Phreatomagmatic and hydrothermal explosions ejected both hydrothermally altered and juvenile rocks, forming large explosion craters. Shortly after the eruption, the Rotomahana valley began filling with cold water. Today, hydrothermal activity in Lake Rotomahana is focused in the western part of the lake (Figure 1b) with numerous fumaroles, hot springs and geysers (known as Steaming Cliffs) occurring along the shoreline, and a number of bubbling areas clearly visible offshore.

Eruptive activity occurred also to the southwest of Lake Rotomahana in the Waimangu area, with an explosive eruption recorded on August 1886 and a hydrothermal eruption in 1924 [Nairn, 2002]. Nowadays, the thermal activity consists of hot springs and crater lakes, fumaroles, hydrothermal eruption craters, steaming and altered ground, and minor silica deposits [Mongillo, 1994].

3. Sampling Procedures

We used a West Systems flux instrument with a LICOR Li-800 nondispersive infrared CO$_2$
detector and the floating accumulation chamber method [Mazot et al., 2011] to measure CO₂ flux at the surface of Lake Rotomahana. The flux was measured over 10 field campaigns, from April 2010 to February 2011, and resulted in 484 points covering the entire lake area (Figure 2). Minimum and maximum wind speeds were measured 1 m above the surface of the lake as part of each flux measurement.
Water samples were collected using Niskin-type sampler along vertical profiles between the lake floor and lake surface at two sites (S1 and S2) at maximum depths of 73 and 95 m, respectively (Figure 2). At both locations bubbles were seen to rise from the lake bottom to the surface. In conjunction with water sampling, vertical profiles of depth (±0.05 m), temperature (±0.01°C), Eh (±20 mV), and pH (±0.2) were measured with a Hydrolab MS5 multiparameter CTD probe (Hach Environmental, Loveland, CO, USA) equipped with a data logger.

Bubble gases were sampled at the surface using stopcock bottles (S1 and S3) and preevacuated Giggenbach flasks (S3). The depth of the lake at S3 was 1 m. Two samples of dissolved gases were collected at the surface of S3 using glass bottles that were hermetically sealed.

On a separate survey (27 January 2011), we employed a FURUNO FCV echo sounder, recording data at 33, 200, and 582 kHz to image the gas streams (plumes) ascending from the lake floor. The echo sounder can record bubbles with a diameter as low as 0.6 mm. A FUGRO SeaSTAR satellite system provided navigation of the University of Waikato vessel M/V Taitum. This survey followed a previous magnetic survey that was oriented NNW-SSE direction with track spaced ~100 m. The vessel tracks were logged using Trimble HydroPro software. Only the bubble plumes encountered along these lines were recorded.

4. Analytical Procedures

Cations, B, and SiO₂ were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy methods (ICP-OES). Anions were measured by ion chromatography (F, SO₄, NO₃, and Br) and titration (Cl and HCO₃).

Bubble gases were sampled and analyzed for CO₂, O₂, N₂, Ar, CO, and CH₄ at GNS Science by methods described in Christenson et al. [2010b]. Dissolved gases from the sealed samples were analyzed according to the method described by Capasso and Inguaggiato [1998], which is based on the equilibrium partition of gas species between a liquid and a gas phase after the introduction of a host gas (Ar) into the sample. Dissolved gases were analyzed using a Perkin Elmer 8500 gas-chromatograph equipped with a 4 m long Carbosieve S II column and Ar as the carrier gas. He, H₂, O₂, N₂, and CO₂ were measured by means of a thermal conductivity detector (TCD), while CH₄ and CO were determined through a FID detector coupled with a methanizer. The δ¹³C contents of total dissolved inorganic carbon (TDIC) of dissolved gases sampled at S3 were analyzed by a Finnigan Delta Plus mass spectrometer. Carbon isotopic values are expressed in δ versus the standard Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB), with an accuracy of 0.2‰. The determination of the helium isotopic composition was carried out at INGV, Palermo (Italy) on a static vacuum mass spectrometer (GVI Helix SFT) built for the simultaneous detection of ³He and ⁴He ion beam, to reduce the analytical error down to very low values (an average of ±0.05 Ra). The observed ³He/⁴He ratios are expressed relative to the atmospheric ³He/⁴He ratio (Ra) of 1.39 × 10⁻⁶. The ⁴He/²⁰Ne (further He/Ne) ratio was measured by a quadrupole mass spectrometer VG Massor FX (accuracy ±5%). The measured ³He/⁴He are corrected for atmospheric contamination following Sano et al. [1987].

5. CO₂ Flux Data Processing

Graphical statistical analysis (GSA) of the CO₂ flux data permits differentiation of populations of data that correspond to different ranges of flux values [Chiodini et al., 1998, 2001; Cardellini et al., 2003]. Different sources of degassing mechanism, in this case, degassing via bubble plume and by diffusion through the lake, can explain the different ranges of flux values. We partitioned the CO₂ flux data into different lognormal populations.
where the proportion, the mean, and the standard deviation of each population are estimated following the procedure outlined by Sinclair [1974].

The distribution of degassing areas across Lake Rotomahana, and estimates of the total CO₂ discharge from the lake, with associated errors, were derived by sequential Gaussian simulation (sGs) [Deutsch and Journel, 1998]. The measured CO₂ fluxes on the lake surface (Figure 2) were interpolated over a grid of square cells (50 × 50 m²) covering the study area using the hole effect variogram model of Deutsch and Journel [1998]. The parameter values used for this model were 0.8, 1.05, and 2700 for nugget, sill, and range, respectively. This was followed by 500 sequential Gaussian simulations of the CO₂ fluxes. For each simulation, the CO₂ flux estimated at each cell is multiplied by 2500 m² (50 × 50 m cell size) and added to the other CO₂ fluxes estimated for neighborhood cells on the grid to obtain a total CO₂ output. The differences among all simulated maps were used to compute the uncertainty of the flux estimation [Goovaerts, 2001].

6. Results and Discussion

6.1. Chemical and Physical Characteristics of Lake Rotomahana

The surface lake water has a pH of 7.86 with average water temperatures of 18.5°C in April 2010 and 23.6°C in February 2011 (Table 1). The chloride and sulfate concentrations are 257 and 111 ppm, respectively. Conductivity, temperature, and redox potential (Eh) at various depth form S1 and S2 are given in Table 1 and plotted in Figure 3. During sampling bubbles were visible on the surface at both of the sites. The lake was thermally stratified during the study, with a thermocline around 20 m depth. The bottom waters had an average temperature of 15°C (Figure 3a). The pH declined from 7.86 at the lake surface to 6.74 at 73 m depth (Figure 3b). HCO₃⁻ concentrations ranged from 222 mg L⁻¹ at the surface to 260 mg L⁻¹ at the lake floor. We modeled the CO₂ concentration dissolved at depth at both sites S1 and S2 using the chemical composition of the lake water (Table 1) and the speciation program PhreeqcI [Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999]. The calculated CO₂ concentration on the lake floor at S1 and S2 is calculated as

Table 1. Chemical Composition (mg L⁻¹), Temperature (°C), pH, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) of Lake Rotomahana Sampled at the Surface and Through the Water Column at S1 and S2 (see Figure 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Sampling Date</th>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Li⁺</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>F⁻</th>
<th>Br⁻</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 Apr 2010</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−35</td>
<td>25 Feb 2011</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−68</td>
<td>1 Mar 2011</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−73</td>
<td>24 Feb 2011</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>−45</td>
<td>3 Mar 2011</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−95</td>
<td>3 Mar 2011</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Chemical Composition of Bubbling Gases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂ (vol %)</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂ (vol %)</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar (vol %)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (vol %)</td>
<td>0.000273</td>
<td>0.000273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ (vol %)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (vol %)</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He (vol %)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/Ra</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He/Ne</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂/³He</td>
<td>8.85 x 10^8</td>
<td>9.08 x 10^8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[He]_corrected (ppm)</td>
<td>330.6</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ne]_corrected (ppm)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/Ra_corrected</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.96 mmol kg⁻¹, whereas close to the lake surface it is 0.106 mmol kg⁻¹.

Before reaching the lake surface, a significant proportion (90–95%) of the CO₂ is dissolved in the water due to the pH (from 6.5 to 7.9) which promotes the dissolution, and the transfer of CO₂ from gas bubbles to the water column. As an example, by using the water composition on the lake floor at S1 (Table 1), the program Phreeqc and the volume of the lake (456,000,000 m³) an estimation of about 39,284 t of CO₂ is trapped in the lake.

6.2. Dissolved and Bubbling Gases

The bubbling gases sampled at S3 are CO₂ rich and characterized by a relatively high helium concentration (0.01 vol %; Table 2). The chemical composition of both dissolved and bubbling gases have O₂/N₂ ratio about 0.3, lower than the air-saturated water (0.54) highlighting consumption of oxygen. The helium isotope composition of the bubbling gases are 7.44 and 7.24 R/Rα_corrected, values just below mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) values, with He/Ne of 29.1 and 9.3 for S1 and S3, respectively (Table 2). The high R/Rα_corrected values indicate a strong contribution of mantle He. He/Ne ratio for S3 is lower than for S1 suggesting air contamination in the S3 sample. CO₂/³He are 8.85 x 10⁸ and 9.08 x 10⁸ for S1 and S3, respectively, lower than MORB like ratio (1.41 x 10⁹) [Marty and Jambon, 1987]. As the gas discharging at 73 m depth was sampled at the surface of S1, the lower value of CO₂/³He is related to differential dissolution of CO₂ and He in lake water as CO₂ is more soluble than He. Samples collected by Giggenbach et al. [1993] showed results of CO₂/³He ratios around 10–20 x 10⁸ on the east side of the Taupo Volcanic Zone and a value of 21 x 10⁸ for Tarawera fumarole. The authors attributed this high value to a possible contamination of CO₂ coming from subducted sediments.

The δ¹³C_TDIC values for the dissolved gases from Lake Rotomahana are 4.23 and 4.72‰ PDB (Table 3) and support the evidence that CO₂ dissolved is not of pure organic origin (δ¹³C = −25‰) [Faure, 1986]. But these values are much higher than the expected isotopic composition for a magmatic source (White Island, δ¹³C ~ −2‰) [Giggenbach, 1995]. For example, Lake Nyos and Lake Monoun (Cameroon) are characterized by δ¹³C_TDIC values of −3.4‰ to −6.7‰, respectively [Kusakabe et al., 1989].

However, the isotope composition of CO₂ gas (δ¹³C_CO₂) in equilibrium with the lake was calculated using chemical and isotope mass balance, the temperature of the lake and the concentrations of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ in the dissolved gas and water, respectively [Mook et al., 1974; Deines et al., 1974]. Determination of δ¹³C_CO₂ is explained in detailed in Inguaggiato et al. [2010]. The obtained values for δ¹³C_CO₂ are −2.88 and −2.39‰ (Table 3), suggesting that the difference between δ¹³C_TDIC and δ¹³C_CO₂ can be explained by the fractionation among dissolved C species and free CO₂ [Mook et al., 1974; Inguaggiato et al., 2000], and therefore the δ¹³C_CO₂ values indicate a clear magmatic origin.

Table 3. Chemical Composition of Dissolved Gases at S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>O₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>CH₄</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 Apr 2010</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>1.58 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>3.07 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>15.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>1.28 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.71 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>19.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

δ¹³C_TDIC  δ¹³C_CO₂ computed

27 Apr 2012 4.23  −2.88
4.72  −2.39

a The carbon isotope composition is expressed in ‰, PDB standard.
CO₂ flux of 25 g m⁻² d⁻¹ (CI = 23–28 g m⁻² d⁻¹). Population C includes 1.5% of the lake with a mean CO₂ flux of 0.2 g m⁻² d⁻¹ (CI = 0.13–0.66 g m⁻² d⁻¹). The high mean CO₂ flux value of population A is due to sublacustrine vents discharging CO₂ into the lake, and is confirmed by intense bubble plumes on the surface of the lake as well as by imaging SONAR. The origin of lowest CO₂ fluxes, represented by population C, is not known. Since this population represents only 1.5% of the total, the possible origin could be the absorption of CO₂ via biological activity of algae thereby decreasing the CO₂ diffusion to the atmosphere. This phenomenon has been observed and explained elsewhere [i.e., Schindler et al., 1997; Matthews et al., 2003].

Population B represents the flux (F_{CO₂}) by diffusion at the air-water boundary, and can be explained using a thin boundary layer model [e.g., Liss and Slater, 1974]. This model considers a thin film between the water and the atmosphere. The flux by diffusion is calculated by an empirical equation similar to the first Fick’s law equation [e.g., McGillis and Wanninkhof, 2006]

\[ F_{CO₂} (g \text{ m}^{-²} \text{ d}^{-¹}) = k_{CO₂} \times (C_w - C_{w/a}) \times 240 \]  

where \( k_{CO₂} \) is the gas transfer velocity (in cm h⁻¹) for CO₂, \( C_w \) and \( C_{w/a} \) refer to the concentration of CO₂ in water and in the water film at the water-air interface, respectively. The constant 240 is the conversion factor between units of mg cm⁻² h⁻¹ and g m⁻² d⁻¹.

Several approaches exist for estimating \( k_{CO₂} \) [Frankignoulle et al., 1996; Upstill-Goddard et al., 1990; McGillis et al., 2001; Zappa et al., 2003; Clark et al., 1994]. This coefficient is dependent on the diffusion rate and the wind speed and cannot be measured directly. However, the wind speed can be measured in situ and \( k_{CO₂} \) is derived from a function of this parameter [Liss and Merlivat, 1986; Wanninkhof, 1992; Nightingale et al., 2000; Frankignoulle et al., 1996; Zhao and Xie, 2010].

To evaluate the impact of wind speeds on CO₂ flux we revisited 10 high flux sites, which lack bubble plumes, on a windless day and performed a set of replicate flux measurements. The original measurements were performed on 17 December 2010 with a mean wind speed of 4.7 m s⁻¹. We found that the CO₂ flux was on average 75% lower than compared to CO₂ flux when wind speeds were higher. This suggests that the measured CO₂ flux corresponds to CO₂ diffusion at the lake-atmosphere interface and is amplified by the wind. For these sites, the CO₂ flux and maximum wind speed have a good correlation (\( R^2 = 0.88 \)) showing that increased wind speed (which creates larger waves) strongly enhances gas transfer [Zhao and Xie, 2010]. These 10 sites were chosen because the area was clear from bubbles at the bottom (echo sounder); the other sites, where CO₂...
flux is represented by population B, were rather inconclusive from our observations.

[23] Given this finding, we decided to use these parameters (wind speed and water temperature) to calculate a more appropriate $k_{CO2}$ for Lake Rotomahana. From Figure 5, the derived formula is

$$k_{CO2} = 6.41 \times u_{10}^{0.95} \times [S_{CCO2}/600]^{-1/2}$$

where $u_{10}$ is the wind speed corrected to a height of 10 m under neutral air boundary conditions ($u_{10} = u_1 \times 1.29$ ($u_1$ is the wind speed measured at 1 m height) [Liss and Merlivat, 1986]. $S_{CCO2}$ is the Schmidt Number defined as the kinematic viscosity of water at the measured temperature divided by the diffusivity of the gas at that temperature. The transfer velocity $k_{CO2}$ was normalized to a Schmidt number of 600, corresponding to the value for dissolved atmospheric CO$_2$ in fresh water at 20°C.

[24] The $S_{CCO2}$ is a function of water temperature as [Wanninkhof, 1992]

$$S_{CCO2} = 1911.1 - 118.11 \times t + 3.4527 \times t^2 - 0.04132 \times t^3$$

where $t$ is the water temperature (in °C).

[25] The $S_{CCO2}$ at our measured average daytime surface water temperature of 23.6°C is 504. At an average wind speed $u_1$ of 2.4 m s$^{-1}$, $k_{CO2}$ is thus calculated as 20.5 cm h$^{-1}$.

[26] The value for $C_w$ (equation (1)) was obtained by analyzing dissolved CO$_2$ concentration and pH in the water sampled at the lake surface and was calculated using the PhreeqC code. $C_w$ is equal to 0.0047 mg cm$^{-3}$. The value for $C_{w/a}$ is assumed to be the same as the concentration of CO$_2$ in the air-saturated water at standard temperature and pressure (STP; 10$^{-5}$ mg cm$^{-3}$).

[27] From equation (1) with $k_{CO2}$ of 20.5 cm h$^{-1}$ and $C_w$ of 0.0047 (mg cm$^{-3}$), we estimate the mean CO$_2$ flux by diffusion to the atmosphere as 23 g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ which is similar to the value of $F_{CO2}$ (25 g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) we measured at the lake surface (i.e., population B).

[28] In summary, only 5% of the degassing of CO$_2$ at Lake Rotomahana is due to degassing through bubble plumes. The remainder is via diffusion at the surface of the lake. In other words, the majority of CO$_2$ discharging from lake floor vents dissolves in the water column of the lake and escapes to the atmosphere via diffusion mechanism.

### 6.4. CO$_2$ Budget From the Lake

[29] On the basis on the variogram model, 500 sequential Gaussian simulations were performed over a grid of 3851 square cells (50 × 50 m) covering an area of 9.63 km$^2$ (Figure 6). The average CO$_2$ output estimated by this method was 549 ± 72 t d$^{-1}$. CO$_2$ emission to the atmosphere from the Lake Rotomahana is quite significant if compared with another New Zealand volcanic lake, Ruapehu which has a total CO$_2$ output of 96 t d$^{-1}$ [Christenson et al., 2010a] and El Chichon volcanic lake located in Mexico (164 t d$^{-1}$) [Mazot et al., 2011].

[30] The hydrothermal system of Rotomahana is understood to be in steady state since the last eruption in 1886 and consequently, the CO$_2$ volcanic hydrothermal input to the lake is constant. To quantify the different carbon contributions (CO$_2$ only) to the total carbon budget of the lake, a simplified mass balance equation can be formulated as follows:

$$C_{input} = C_{storage} - C_{sink} + C_{diffusive loss} + C_{bubble loss}$$

where the carbon input from the hydrothermal system ($C_{input}$) can be balanced with the CO$_2$ emission to the atmosphere through the bubbles ($C_{bubble loss}$) and through diffusion ($C_{diffusive loss}$), plus storage ($C_{storage}$) and minus sinks ($C_{sink}$).

[31] $C_{storage}$ (burial rate) in boreal lakes was estimated to be between 0.2 and 0.5 g CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ [Tranvik et al., 2009], our data indicate carbon
burial rates for Lake Rotomahana are between 2 and 5 t d⁻¹.

[32] The removal of carbon from the lake as a sink (C_{sink}) would occur from mineral precipitation and biotic uptake. The geochemical Phreeqci modeling [Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999] indicates no carbonate precipitation. Biotic uptake of CO₂ from a geochemical study in a boreal lake [Lopez Bellido et al., 2009] showed actually that the ecosystem respiration exceeded photosynthesis and so freshwater lakes acted as a source of CO₂ to the atmosphere (−C_{sink}). CO₂ flux from the lake to the atmosphere in that study was estimated to be 0.6–0.8 g CO₂ m⁻² d⁻¹. So the studies of other lakes suggest that biogenic carbon to the atmosphere is very low.

[33] The main components of the carbon budget therefore are C_{input} = C_{diffusive loss} + C_{bubble loss} = 549 t d⁻¹.

[34] As explained in section 2, the Rotomahana system is part of a bigger hydrothermal system including the Waimangu geothermal system. 44 soil CO₂ flux measurements were performed on the site in 2006 (unpublished data). The average CO₂ flux was 111 g m⁻² d⁻¹ and ranged from 6 to 2765 g m⁻² d⁻¹. These data support the fact that the mean CO₂ flux from Waimangu is high. The total CO₂ output from the Waimangu/Rotomahana system was estimated to be 1000 t d⁻¹ by integrating the Waimangu area (4.4 km²) to Lake Rotomahana and using the CO₂ output calculated in this study.

6.5. Total CO₂ Emission From the Lake and Tectonic Structures

[35] At least 100 vents (separate bubble plumes) were mapped on the lake floor by the M/V Taitum with a Lowrance HDS echo sounder (Figure 6). Carbon dioxide flux maps show that the high CO₂ emission areas are located near the western margin of the lake close to the Steaming Cliffs (Figure 2). High CO₂ fluxes were also measured in the southeastern part of the lake where no hydrothermal activity is present apart from bubbling at the bottom of the lake (Figure 6).

[36] The high CO₂ flux measured at the surface of Lake Rotomahana shows that the geothermal system including Waimangu associated with it is still active and is driven by magmatic degassing. From Villamor et al. [2011], the magma appears to be located at depth >10 km. The dike system that created the Tarawera Rift in 1886 could provide pathways for CO₂ to enter the hydrothermal system and so to the lake. In the assumption of a constant CO₂ flux equal to that measured in this work (549 ± 72 t d⁻¹), the total annual emission is 200,385 t of CO₂. Assuming the basaltic magma at depth has a density of 2700 kg m⁻³ and contains around 2 wt % CO₂ (e.g., the value given for Etna...
by Aiuppa et al. [2007]), then 0.0037 km$^3$ of magma degasses to produce the observed emissions during 1 year. This amount of magma, when integrated over the estimated repose period for Tarawera (~700 years) [Shane et al., 2008], is of the same order of magnitude as the 1886 erupted volume (~2 km$^3$) [Walker et al., 1984].

[37] A series of large hydrothermal eruptions occurred across the Waiotapu geothermal field, 10 km south of Lake Rotomahana (Figure 1), at about the same period of time as the ~AD1315 “Kaharoa” rhyolite magmatic eruptions from Tarawera volcano vents, 10–20 km distant. The study of the alteration mineralogy of the hydrothermal eruption deposits and fluid inclusions (CO$_2$ concentration <0.59 mol kg$^{-1}$) in drill core samples from Waiotapu geothermal wells (water inflow = 440 kg s$^{-1}$) [Hedenquist, 1991] showed a CO$_2$ pulse of ~1000 t d$^{-1}$. From Nairn et al. [2005], this pulse was derived from basalt intruded at depth.

[38] Figure 7 shows a model cross section below Lake Rotomahana with the possible pathways for CO$_2$ to escape to the surface. In the northwest part of the lake close to the Steaming Cliffs area, the 1886 eruption excavated preexisting conduits beneath Lake Rotomahana (sills) and created new fluid pathways to the surface. In the south of the Waimangu/Rotomahana hydrothermal system (Ngakuru fault domain), deep faults with a 60$^\circ$ dip and minor faults forming narrow grabens were found [Villamor et al., 2011]. This structure should be present in the southern part of the lake as well, suggesting another pathway for CO$_2$ to escape to the surface and beneath Lake Rotomahana. It is highly likely that the bubble vents identified at the lake floor are associated not only with the Haroharo caldera rim structure [Nairn, 2002] but also with these grabens.

7. Conclusions: CO$_2$ Emission in the Taupo Volcanic Zone

[39] Comparing the CO$_2$ flux calculated for Lake Rotomahana with other geothermal systems and volcanoes, we find it to be about half of the CO$_2$ discharge rate estimated for the nearby Rotorua geothermal system (>1000 t d$^{-1}$), located close by OVC [Werner and Cardellini, 2006] and about 25% of the discharge from White Island volcano (>2000 t d$^{-1}$), a passively degassing volcano in the Taupo Volcanic Zone [Werner et al., 2008].

[40] Studies on Lake Rotomahana have shown the lake is fed by fluids from at least 100 lake floor vents, most of them located in the north-western and south-eastern part of the lake. The total CO$_2$ output estimated at the surface of the lake is 549 ± 72 t d$^{-1}$. The main mechanism of CO$_2$ transfer from the lake to the atmosphere is by diffusion and our study showed that CO$_2$ flux depends greatly on the wind speed. We developed a new formula for calculated $k_{CO_2}$, and so CO$_2$ flux by diffusion, specifically for the Lake Rotomahana.

[41] The source of the CO$_2$ is interpreted to be the magmatic mush zone located at ~10 km depth. The gas rises along pathways caused by the Tarawera eruption in 1886, along the Haroharo caldera rim and along faults that form narrow grabens. The chemical and isotopic composition of dissolved and bubbling gases suggest that (1) there is a strongly contribution of mantle He with R/R$_{A,\text{corrected}}$ close to MORB values and (2) the origin of CO$_2$ is magmatic.

[42] This study showed that the continuous CO$_2$ emission from Lake Rotomahana is quite significant and the Rotomahana/Waimangu volcanic system is still active, since its 1886 eruption.
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