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Hole spin helix: Anomalous spin diffusion in anisotropic strained hole quantum wells
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We obtain the spin-orbit interaction and spin-charge coupled transport equations of a two-dimensional heavy hole gas under the influence of strain and anisotropy. We show that a simple two-band Hamiltonian can be used to describe the holes. In addition to the well-known cubic hole spin-orbit interaction, anisotropy causes a Dresselhaus-like term, and strain causes a Rashba term. We discover that strain can cause a shifting symmetry of the Fermi surfaces for spin up and down holes. We predict an enhanced spin lifetime associated with a spin helix standing wave similar to the Persistent Spin Helix which exists in the two-dimensional electron gas with equal Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit interactions. These results may be useful both for spin-based experimental determination of the Luttinger parameters of the valence-band Hamiltonian and for creating long-lived spin excitations.
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Systems with spin-orbit interactions have generated great academic and practical interest [1–5] because they allow for purely electric manipulation of the electron spin [6–8], which could be of use in areas ranging from spintronics to quantum computing. However spin-orbit interactions have also the undesired effect of causing spin decoherence [9]. Recently a new mechanism by which a system can sustain both strong spin-orbit interactions and long spin relaxation times has been proposed [10]. In properly tuned systems a nondecaying spin density standing wave can be excited. This Persistent Spin Helix (PSH) has been observed through spin transient experiments in electron doped GaAs quantum wells [11,12].

In electron doped samples the PSH occurs when the Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit interaction strengths are tuned to match each other. At equal strength the spin dynamics conserve an SU(2) triplet of spin operators, two of which describe spin standing waves, while the third describes a uniform spin density that is selected and preserved by the tuned spin-orbit interaction. The triplet’s infinite lifetime is obtained by tuning the spin-orbit interaction to have a constant phase independent of electron momentum, in which case the electron spin structure is independent of momentum and conserved under scattering. In particular, the Rashba and linear Dresselhaus terms are proportional to $k_+ = k_x - i k_y$ and to $k_− = k_x + i k_y$, respectively, so when they are at equal strength the total spin-orbit interaction has constant phase, producing long-lived spin excitations.

The experimental discovery of the PSH in the 2D electron gas raises the question of whether it exists in other systems. Recently one of us predicted that tuned topological insulators can host PSHs with very long lifetimes [13]. Here we examine 2D hole gases under strain, calculate the spin-orbit interaction of the heavy holes, and find Rashba and Dresselhaus-like terms caused respectively by applied strain and anisotropy.

When fine-tuned properly the spin-orbit term has constant phase, producing long-lived spin helices aligned with the strain axis and an anomalous enhancement of the spin lifetime. These results apply also to other systems that like holes are fourfold degenerate at the Γ point, such as the metallic phase predicted in pyrochlore iridates [14–16]. PSHs are a general phenomenon that can be realized in diverse systems with a wide variety of tuning parameters.

Hole-doped quantum wells are sensitive to applied strain and to anisotropy, which are key to the spin physics uncovered here. Unlike the electron gas, in the 2D hole gas the spin components $S_x, S_y, S_z$ are decoupled at leading order if there is neither strain nor anisotropy [17]. This is due to the holes’ spin-orbit interaction $H_{SO}$, which determines the couplings between spin components. In the unstrained isotropic hole gas this operator has a cubic form with $f$-wave symmetry $H_{SO} = \alpha (k^3 \sigma_- - k^3 \sigma_+)$ and hence vanishes when integrated over the isotropic Fermi surface. However recent experiments performed by attaching a piezo to hole-doped GaAs samples have revealed that strain can be used to cause large changes in the spin orbit interaction [18–21]. The experimental results largely confirm the standard Kane and Luttinger $\hat{k} \cdot \vec{p}$ Hamiltonian which predicts that strain and anisotropy substantially deform the two heavy hole Fermi surfaces [18,19,21,22]. For a certain critical value of the strain field, the surfaces meet at two special “touching points” which causes an experimentally confirmed [19] magnetic breakdown of Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) orbits. These touching points hint at interesting spin dynamics, because similar degeneracies are seen in the Fermi surfaces of electron-doped systems when they are tuned to produce PSHs.

Usually, the heavy hole Fermi surfaces and their deformation under strain are modeled with considerable accuracy within the four-band Luttinger model [23] or the eight-band Kane Hamiltonian [24]. These models unfortunately obscure the spin-orbit interaction between the two heavy hole states and prohibit analytical calculation of the spin-charge dynamics. We
will therefore focus only on the heavy holes, and will make explicit their spin-orbit interaction $H_{SO}$, which is simply the off-diagonal element of the two-band effective Hamiltonian that governs the heavy holes. Various previous works have developed two-band models of the heavy holes [25–29]; ours distinguishes itself by including strain.

We derive our two-band Hamiltonian from the four-band Luttinger Hamiltonian $H_0$, which describes the total angular momentum $j = 3/2$ band that lies nearest to the Fermi surface. There are four states: two heavy holes with $j_z = \pm 3/2$ and two light holes with $j_z = \pm 1/2$. Following common practice, we choose the bulk Hamiltonian appropriate for crystal growth along the high-symmetry $z$ (001) axis, and we take the hole carrier concentration to be small enough that only the first 2D subband in the quantum well contributes to transport [18,19,22,27,29–34]. We include a strain field $e_{ij}$ using the Bir-Pikus strain Hamiltonian $H_e$ [35], and we model the quantum well with a confinement potential $V_c$ and a small charge asymmetry $V_E = -eEz$.

$$H = H_0 + H_e + V(z), \quad V(z) = V_c + V_E,$$

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{\gamma_1}{2} - \frac{\gamma_2}{2} \right) \vec{k}^2 - \frac{\gamma_3}{m} k_z^2 S_i^2 - 2\frac{\gamma_3}{m} \{k_i,k_j\}\{S_i,S_j\},$$

$$H_e = a\epsilon_{ij} + b\epsilon_{ij} S_i^2 + d\epsilon_{ij} \{S_i,S_j\}.$$

(1)

$\vec{S}$ is a spin 3/2 matrix. The double index implies summation in the anticommutators, do not sum over $i = j$, and $[A,B] = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$. We will show that hole spin physics is a sensitive measure of anisotropy in the valence band, which is parameterized by three Luttinger parameters $\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ control the hole masses along the $z$ axis, while $\gamma_1, \gamma_1$ control the masses along the [111] axis. Both these parameters and the strain deformation potentials $a, b$, and $d$ have widespread applications and are reported in standard reference works [36,37].

The Luttinger Hamiltonian has the most general form possible for a $\hat{k} \cdot \vec{p}$ model with four degenerate bands (angular momentum $j = 3/2$) in a crystal with both cubic discrete symmetry and time reversal symmetry. Zinc-blende semiconductors are not symmetric under inversion and therefore possess only tetrahedral symmetry, which is a subgroup of cubic symmetry, but this asymmetry is weak in the bulk [38]. Several works have examined terms beyond the Luttinger Hamiltonian and developed their effects on heavy holes [22,26,29,39]. Here we retain only the Luttinger Hamiltonian and use an explicit term $V_E = -eEz$ to break inversion symmetry.

The spin-orbit physics can be illuminated by breaking the Hamiltonian explicitly into the heavy hole sector $j_z = +3/2, -3/2$ and the light hole sector $j_z = +1/2, -1/2$:

$$H = V(z) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k_{HH} \hline k_{HL}^* & U_{HL} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U_{HL} = \begin{bmatrix} S & R \hline R^* & -S^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$S = -d(\epsilon_{xy} - \epsilon_{xz}) - \sqrt{3} \gamma_3 k_z k_x/m,$$

$$R = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4m}[k_x^2(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3) + k_z^2(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3) - 2\gamma_3 \beta^2 e^{2\theta}] + i\frac{2m}{\sqrt{3}\gamma_3} d\epsilon_{zy}.$$  

The kinetic terms $k_{HH}$ and $k_{LL}$ are, respectively, equal to $(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)k^2/2m + (\gamma_1 - 2\gamma_2)k_z^2/2m$ and $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)k_z^2/2m + (\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2)k_z^2/2m$, plus a strain-induced constant splitting. $S$ couples holes along the same sign of $j_z$, while $R$ couples holes with opposite sign.

This explicit representation reveals that there is no direct interaction either between the $j_z = \pm 3/2$ heavy holes or between the $j_z = \pm 1/2$ light holes. In consequence, the spin-orbit interaction between the heavy holes is proportional to $R^*$. This is an exact result. It informs us that when $R = 0$ there is a degenerate point in the dispersion, where the two heavy hole bands meet [18]. In fact, addition of a tuned strain field generically creates two such degenerate points on the Fermi surface. Figure 1 illustrates this in the particular case of compression along the $x$ axis. In this special case the two degenerate points lie on the same axis and occur when the strain is tuned for resonance with the Fermi momentum $\beta = k_F$.

We proceed by deriving the exact two-band effective Hamiltonian that controls the heavy holes, $H_{HH} = V(z) + k_{HH} + U_{HL}G_{LL}U_{HL}^*$, where $G_{LL} = [E - k_{LL} - V(z)]^{-1}$ is the light hole Green’s function. (See Ref. [40].) This can be rewritten as

$$H_{HH} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{k}_{HH} & H_{SO} \hline H_{SO}^* & \tilde{k}_{HH}^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_{SO} = -[G_{LL}S]R^*,$$

$$\tilde{k}_{HH} = k_{HH} + V(z) + SG_{LL}S^* + RG_{LL}R^*.\quad (3)$$

This result remains true at leading order in the Hamiltonian of Ref. [29], where inversion asymmetry was added to the Luttinger Hamiltonian.
The commutator \([G_{LL}, S] = -\sqrt{3} \gamma_2 k_- / m [G_{LL}, k_-]\) is insensitive to strain and its phase is set by \(i k_-\). Therefore the phase of the spin-orbit interaction is determined by \(i k_- R^*\). We make this exact result explicit by writing the spin-orbit interaction as \(H_{SO} = -i \Delta_{1E} \sqrt{\frac{2 \Delta_{2E}}{k_-^2}} k_- R^* = i \alpha \left[ k_-^2 (1 + \gamma_2 / \gamma_5) / 2 - k_-^2 \right].\) The spin-orbit strength \(\alpha = -3 \gamma_2^2 / 2 m^2 [G_{LL}, k_-]\) is determined by the quantum well’s confinement potential \(V_c\). It can be approximated analytically in a thin well with thickness \(L\), where confinement creates a splitting \(\Delta E \sim \frac{2 m}{k_-^2} \propto \frac{2 m}{(2 \pi / L)^2}\) between the heavy and light hole bands. This energy scale justifies neglect of higher orders in the potential \(V_c\) and in \(k_-\). At leading order \(\alpha = 6 [V_c, k_-] / (\gamma_2 / m \Delta E)^2\). The \(k_-\) appearing here is an operator and does not commute with the quantum well’s built-in electric field; \([V_c, k_-] = - i e E\). Similar approximations determine that \(\tilde{k}_{HH} = \frac{k_-^2}{m \alpha}\), where the renormalized mass is \(m_H = m (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - 3 \gamma_2^2 / \gamma_5)\).

The first term in \(H_{SO}\) stands alone when there is neither strain nor anisotropy. It is cubic in the spin-orbit strength and has \(f\)-wave character, reproducing the cubic dominance which is well known for holes [22]. Optimal spin lifetimes are obtained only in the anisotropic limit \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5 = -1\) where this term is entirely absent. Anisotropy and strain produce the second and third terms, which respectively have Dresselhaus \((k_+\) and Rashba \((k_-\) character. The spin-orbit interaction \(H_{SO}\) has constant phase when the spin term’s magnitude is tuned to match the magnitude of the anisotropy term, i.e. when \(\beta = k_F \sqrt{(1 - \gamma_2 / \gamma_5) / 2}\). A truly constant phase is not achievable because \(H_{SO}\) induces small anisotropies in the Fermi surface which are of order \(E_{SO} / E_F\). \(E_{SO}\) is \(\alpha k_F^2\). However when the strain is tuned properly these phase fluctuations are very small, one component of the spin almost commutes with the Hamiltonian, and its lifetime becomes very large.

Strain can also tune the Fermi surfaces of the spin \(\uparrow\) and \(\downarrow\) heavy holes to produce a quasishifting symmetry [Eq. (4)] that is key to persistent spin helices, which together with the quasiconserved spin form a long-lived \(SU(2)\) spin triplet. PSHs occur when the spin \(\uparrow\) and \(\downarrow\) Fermi surfaces, \(\epsilon_{\uparrow, \downarrow}\), have identical shapes so that a shift of \(\vec{q} = \pm \vec{Q}\) moves one Fermi surface on top of the other. This shifting symmetry can be written as

\[
\epsilon_j (\vec{k}) = \epsilon_j (\vec{k} + \vec{Q}).
\]  

Using our heavy hole Hamiltonian, Fig. 1(d) shows that when the Fermi surfaces are tuned for degeneracy \((\beta = k_F)\) they also obey the shifting symmetry that produces PSHs. This is true both in the isotropic limit \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5 = +1\) and in the strongly anisotropic limit \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5 = -1\). In both limits the energy dispersion simplifies to \(E_{\pm} = (\vec{k} \pm \vec{Q})^2 / 2m\) on the circle defined by \(|\vec{k}| = k_F = \beta\). Therefore at leading order in the spin-orbit strength \(E_{SO} / E_F\) the Fermi surfaces are circles offset from each other by \(\pm \vec{Q}\), and produce a spin helix standing wave. The helix’s wave vector has magnitude \(|\vec{Q}| = 2 k_F E_{SO} / E_F\), is proportional to the spin-orbit strength, and is independent of scattering.

The magnetoresistance is very sensitive to this physics. When the spin-orbit interaction has constant phase the magnetoresistance will become null or even change sign. If the Fermi surfaces do not fulfill the nesting condition required by a PSH then there will be neither weak localization nor antilocalization (null magnetoresistance). If a PSH exists then there will be a complete reversal from weak localization to weak antilocalization, from negative to positive magnetoresistance.

It may not be easy to observe these long spin lifetime effects, since semiconductors possess an approximate spherical symmetry [38] which places many of them near the isotropic limit \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5 = 1\). However silicon is a notable exception, with \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5 = 0.23\) [36]. Moreover in many compounds there is considerable scatter in both experimental and theoretical estimates of \(\gamma_2\) and \(\gamma_5\), and certain authors have assigned GaP [37], SiC [41], and boron-doped diamond [42] values of \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5 = 0.17, 0.24\), and \(-0.16\) respectively. Lastly, \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5\) remains completely unknown in the metallic phase of the pyrochlore iridates. In these materials measurement of spin dynamics may prove to be a sensitive means of determining \(\gamma_2 / \gamma_5\).

Intrigued by this possibility, we study the equations of motion governing diffusion of the heavy holes, neglecting excitation and diffusion of light holes for analytic tractability. The heavy holes form a doublet with spin \(\pm \frac{1}{2}\) and total angular momentum \(j = \pm \frac{1}{2}\); we write the charge density \(\rho_{\pm j} [N, S_\uparrow, S_\downarrow, S_z]\). At time scales larger than the elastic scattering time \(\tau\) their diffusion and coupling to each other are controlled by the partial differential equation \(D^{-1} \vec{\rho} = 0\), where the \(4 \times 4\) matrix \(D_{ij}\) is called the diffusion. We derive the diffusion using standard methods from the diagrammatic technique for disordered systems [43–45]; details are reported in the Supplementary Material [40]. We model scattering with a nonmagnetic “white noise” disorder potential \(V = \frac{1}{2} \mu (\vec{q})\), \(\mu (\vec{q} = \vec{F}) = (2 m v^2 / h)^{-1} \tilde{q} \cdot \tilde{F}\), where \(v\) is the density of states. We assume as usual that the Fermi surface is dominant \((E \tau / h \gg 1)\). The diffusion describes sequences of events in which the hole wave function \(\psi\) and its conjugate \(\psi^\dagger\) move together, scattering in unison. Two scattering events are pictured in Fig. 2. A single scattering is described by the operator \(I_{ij}\) and the diffusion sums diagrams with any number of scatterings; \(D(\vec{q}, \omega) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty (I_{ij})^m = (1 - I_{ij})^{-1}\). \(I_{ij}\) is given as a dashed line connecting the two.
by the integral
\[ I_{ij} = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi v F} \int d\tilde{k} \text{Tr}[G^A(\tilde{k} - \tilde{q}/2, E_F)\sigma_i \times G^R(\tilde{k} + \tilde{q}/2, E_F + i\omega)\sigma_j]. \] (5)

\( G^A \) and \( G^R \) are the disorder-averaged single-particle Green’s function and \( \tilde{q} \) is the diffuson momentum. The trace is taken over the spin indices of \( G^A, G^R, \sigma_i \), and \( \sigma_j \), which are all \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices in spin space.

We here report the diffusion equations at leading order in the spin orbit strength and in the momentum, with strain along the \( x \) axis:
\[ \partial_t N = D V^2 N, \]
\[ \partial_t S_x = D V^2 S_x - (C_1 + C_2)\partial_y S_y - \left( \frac{1}{T} + U \right) S_x, \]
\[ \partial_t S_y = D V^2 S_y - (C_1 - C_2)\partial_y S_y - \left( \frac{1}{T} - U \right) S_y, \] (6)
\[ \partial_t S_z = D V^2 S_z + (C_1 + C_2)\partial_y S_y + (C_1 - C_2)\partial_y S_y - \frac{2}{T} S_z, \]

where the coefficients read
\[ U = (1 - (\gamma_2/\gamma_3)) 2\alpha^2 k_F^2 \beta^2 \tau, \]
\[ \frac{1}{T} = \alpha^2 k_F^2 (k_F^2 + 2\gamma_2/\gamma_3) 2\beta^2 \tau, \] (7)
\[ C_1 = 4\alpha^2 \beta^2 E_F \tau, \quad C_2 = [1 - (\gamma_2/\gamma_3)] 2\alpha^2 k_F^2 E_F \tau. \]

\( D = v_F^2 \tau/2 \) is the usual diffusion constant. The spin-spin couplings \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are caused by respectively strain and anisotropy, while the lifetime splitting \( U \) is caused by both anisotropy and strain together. We have checked that higher-order terms do not cause qualitative changes in the spin lifetime or the spin-spin couplings, although they do produce a small spin-charge coupling. When the strain is dominant (\( \beta/k_F \rightarrow \infty, \alpha \beta^2 \propto 1 \)), we obtain the well known Rashba spin diffusion equations [44,46]. The couplings \( C_1 \propto \gamma_3, C_2 \propto \gamma_2(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3), \) lifetime \( 1/T \approx \gamma_3^2(\gamma_2^2 + \gamma_3^2), \) and lifetime splitting \( U \propto \gamma_3^2(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3) \) are all highly sensitive to the Luttinger parameters, whose numerical values remain controversial. Experimental measurements of the spin dynamics should help to determine the Luttinger parameters.

Lastly, we discuss the hole spin helix, a spin density wave aligned with the \( x \) axis, precessing in the \( x-z \) plane. When \( \gamma_2/\gamma_3 < 0.6 \), then adjusting the strain strength to \( \beta = k_F \sqrt{(1 - \gamma_2/\gamma_3)^2} \) produces an optimal spin helix lifetime \( T_{\text{spin}} = 3/2 (1 + \gamma_2/\gamma_3)^2 E_{SO}^2 \tau/2 \). The solid blue lines in Fig. 3(a) illustrate the decay rates in GaP: there are two spin helices with enhanced lifetimes at opposite wave vectors \( \pm \tilde{Q} \). \( |\tilde{Q}| \sim (1 - \gamma_2/\gamma_3) k_F E_{SO}/E_F \). Accompanying the spin helices, the \( S_z \) spin component also exhibits an enhanced lifetime \( T_\gamma = (3/2)T_{\text{PSH}} \). As discussed earlier, Fermi surface anisotropy caps this lifetime at order \( O(E_F^2/E_{SO}^4) \). The longest lifetime coincides with \( C_1 = C_2, U = 1/T, C_2 = 8DU \). Figure 3(b) shows the contrast ratio of the \( S_z \) lifetime to the \( S_z \) lifetime, which is 1/2 in the isotropic limit. This ratio is reduced by a factor of two to \( \sim 1/4 \) when \( \gamma_2/\gamma_3 \sim 0.2 \) (Si, GaP, and SiC). The corresponding hole spin helix lifetime enhancement is \( \sim 8/3 \). If the prediction \( \gamma_2/\gamma_3 = -0.16 \) for boron-doped diamond [42] is correct, then the hole spin helix’s nonuniform lifetime enhancement would reach 6.4. This can be confirmed by transient spin grating spectroscopy.
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