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We introduce a constructive procedure that maps all spatial correlations of a broad class of $d$-level states of $N$ parties into temporal correlations between general $d$-outcome quantum measurements performed on a single $d$-level system. This allows us to present temporal phenomena analogous to genuinely multipartite nonlocal phenomena, such as Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger correlations, which do not exist if only projective measurements on a single qubit are considered. The map is applied to certain lattice systems in order to replace one spatial dimension with a temporal one, without affecting measured correlations. We use this map to show how repeated application of a one-dimensional (1D) cluster gate leads to universal one-way quantum computing when supplemented with general two-outcome quantum measurements. In this way, we recover a temporal version of measurement-based quantum computing performed on a sequentially recreated 1D cluster.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum mechanics treats space and time very differently. Whereas spatial coordinates are represented by operators, usually time enters as a number parametrizing sequences of events. One might expect that quantum predictions in a purely spatial domain are very different from those in a purely temporal domain. To the contrary, here we show that for a broad class of states, expectation values of local quantum measurements performed on spatially separated $d$-level particles can be mapped to those measured on a single $d$-level system at different instances of time.

Temporal correlations have been widely studied, beginning with the seminal work of Leggett and Garg, who considered them in the context of macroscopic realism [1,2]. Brukner et al. rephrased the scenario to include more observables at a single instant of time, and they presented a task that is solved more efficiently by a non-macrorealistic system [3]. Both approaches were further generalized to involve many instances of time [4–7], and a semidefinite program is known that determines whether a probability distribution can be realized in a sequential manner [8]. All these works consider a scenario of sequential projective measurements done on a single system, and they show that temporal measurement statistics are indeed different from the spatial ones: for a sequence of projective measurements on a qubit, there is no temporal analog of genuinely multipartite entanglement [9], no monogamy of entanglement [10], and temporal Tsirelson bounds can be higher [11].

Here we show that some of these differences disappear as soon as one considers general [positive operator-valued measure (POVM)] measurements in place of the projective ones. Our approach is based on the fact that every pure quantum state of finite dimension can be expressed in the form of a matrix product state (MPS), and therefore, under some constraints on the entanglement structure, can be generated in a sequential manner [12]. We utilize the sequential procedure to construct a series of POVM measurements on a single particle giving rise to temporal correlations identical to the spatial correlations of the corresponding MPS, even if the latter is genuinely multiparty-entangled. We emphasize that the dimension of a single system in the temporal scenario is the same as the dimension of a single local subsystem in the $N$-partite state [13]. Moreover, we generalize the procedure to a broad class of quantum states that cannot be generated sequentially in one dimension but can be represented as higher-dimensional quantum lattice systems. Spatial correlations in such systems are mapped into spatiotemporal ones reducing the lattice dimension by 1.

As an important example, we consider two-dimensional (2D) cluster states, which are a universal resource for one-way quantum computing [14,15]. The spatial process of one-way computing on a 2D cluster state is transformed into a temporal process involving the sequential application of a gate preparing only 1D cluster states and nontrivial two-outcome local POVM measurements. The universality of this case is shown to follow from the use of POVMs; allowing only projective measurements results in correlations that can be simulated classically. In this manner, we obtain a 1D model for universal quantum computing, which involves nontrivial local two-outcome measurements and where a 1D cluster state is recreated after each round of local measurements.

II. TEMPORAL QUANTUM CORRELATIONS

The temporal correlation function for a sequence of $N$ generalized quantum measurements is defined as follows:

$$E_{m_1,\ldots,m_N} = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_N} i_1,\ldots,i_N P(i_1,\ldots,i_N|m_1,\ldots,m_N),$$

where

$$P(i_1,\ldots,i_N|m_1,\ldots,m_N) = P(i_1|m_1)P(i_2|i_1,m_1,m_2) \times \cdots P(i_N|i_1,\ldots,i_{N-1},m_1,\ldots,m_N).$$

(1)
is the probability to observe a particular sequence of outcomes \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_N\} \) conditioned on the settings \( \{m_1, \ldots, m_N\} \).

Let us first briefly discuss the case of sequential projective measurements on a qubit prepared in an initial state described by a Bloch vector \( \vec{\xi} \). The qubit is measured at time instances \( t_1, \ldots, t_N \) with the corresponding dichotomic observables parametrized by Bloch vectors \( \vec{m}_1, \ldots, \vec{m}_N \). Sequential projective measurements on a single qubit form a Markov chain [3,7], so that the conditional probabilities in (1) fulfill the Markov property:

\[
P(i_k|i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}, m_1, \ldots, m_k) = P(i_k|i_{k-1}, m_{k-1}, m_k).
\]

(2)

Straightforward calculation of the temporal correlations reveals that for odd and even \( N \), we have, respectively,

\[
E_{\vec{m}_1, \ldots, \vec{m}_N} = \langle \vec{m}_1 \cdot \vec{\xi} \rangle \langle \vec{m}_2 \cdot \vec{m}_3 \rangle \cdots \langle \vec{m}_{N-1} \cdot \vec{m}_N \rangle,
\]

(3)

demonstrating that temporal correlations between outcomes of multiple projective measurements on a qubit always factorize into correlations between at most two measurements. In this sense, a qubit never gives rise to genuine multipoint correlations in time.

For generalized measurements, defined by measurement operators \( \{M_k\} \), the probabilities in (1) read

\[
P(i_k|1, \ldots, i_{k-1}, m_1, \ldots, m_k) = \text{Tr}(\rho_k M_k^\dagger M_k),
\]

(4)

where the post-measurement state is defined by a recursive formula,

\[
\rho_{k+1} = (M_k \rho_k M_k^\dagger)/\text{Tr}(M_k \rho_k M_k^\dagger).
\]

(5)

The correlations of a sequence of POVM measurements depend directly on the measurement operators \( M_k \) via the post-measurement states (5). Different sets of \( \{M_k\} \) correspond to different possible physical implementations of given POVM elements, \( E_k = M_k^\dagger M_k \). This is in contrast to the spatially separated scenario in which all necessary information is given by \( E_k \).

III. SEQUENTIAL GENERATION OF QUANTUM STATES

A vital part of our map between spatial and temporal correlations relies on the knowledge of sequential generation of a quantum state. We say that a state can be generated sequentially if it can be prepared from a product state by a sequential application of unitary operations on blocks of parties of a given size. Let us first consider a one-dimensional lattice system, in which at each node there is a \( d \)-level quantum particle. It was demonstrated by Schönh et al. [12] that sequentially generated states can be written as matrix product states (MPSs) and, conversely, any MPS can be generated sequentially.

The MPS representation [16–19] is an efficient method of describing multipartite quantum states, most often used in the context of one-dimensional spin systems with local interactions. Here we use open boundary conditions for which the MPS form of a state is given by

\[
|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_N=1}^d A^{[1]}_{i_1} \cdots A^{[N]}_{i_N} |i_1, \ldots, i_N\rangle.
\]

(6)

The first and the last matrices are vectors, and each matrix \( A^{[p]}_{i_p} \) has a maximum dimension \( D \times D \). The parameter \( D \), called the bond dimension, is the largest rank of the reduced density matrix with respect to every cut. It was shown in Ref. [20] that the rank of the reduced density matrix is a measure of entanglement, and therefore \( D \) contains information about the entanglement structure of the state.

To sequentially generate an MPS of a bond dimension \( D \), we use the method proposed in Ref. [21]. Starting from a chain of \( N \) initially uncorrelated \( d \)-level particles, we apply unitary operations on \( m \) neighboring particles in a sequential manner. As a result, we obtain a state with bond dimension at most \( D = d^{m-1} \). Given the MPS form of a state (6), the required unitaries can be computed using the singular value decomposition [12]. Within this paper, we restrict our attention

FIG. 1. (Color online) Sequential generation of a multipartite MPS and its temporal counterpart. (a) Two-particle unitary gates \( U_k \) (gray rectangles) are sequentially performed on particles (red circles) giving the state \( \Psi \) (big red shape). In the end, projective measurements (blue shapes) are conducted on the particles. Dashed lines indicate how unitary gates are related to MPS matrices of Eq. (6). (b) Measurements can be shifted in time. (c) Every gate followed by a measurement can equivalently be represented as a POVM. (d) The circuit can be rearranged into a sequence of quantum channels performing POVM measurements. (e) We can reduce the required resources to two particles. After each measurement, one of them is reset and recycled into the remaining protocol.
to the case of bipartite unitaries, i.e., \( m = 2 \), which is depicted in Fig. 1(a).

IV. MAPPING FROM SPATIAL TO TEMPORAL CORRELATIONS

As described, any MPS of qudits with bond dimension \( D \leq d \) can be generated by a sequential application of bipartite unitary gates. Now we utilize this scheme to find a sequence of measurements performed on a single particle such that the correlations between outcomes of these measurements are exactly the same as spatial correlations measured on the MPS. Note the crucial requirement that a single particle evolving in time is measured. If higher-dimensional systems are allowed, the task becomes simpler and trivializes for systems with dimension equal to the total dimension of the MPS. If the bond dimension exceeds the dimension of a single particle, we can implement the projective measurement on the first particle right after the gate \( U_k \) is applied without disturbing the later process of preparing the state [see Fig. 1(b)]. The entire protocol can equivalently be seen as a sequence of quantum channels [Fig. 1(c)] with a single-particle input and output that realize \( d \)-outcome POVM measurements [Fig. 1(d)]. Let us parametrize by \( \alpha_k \) the rank-1 projective measurement on the \( k \)th particle. The corresponding measurement operators \( \{ M_{k}^{(i)} \} \) can be determined from the following equation [22]:

\[
S(\alpha_k)\psi_i \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (M_{k}^{(i)}\psi_i) \otimes V(\alpha_k)i, \quad (7)
\]

where \( S \) is a swap operator, \( \psi_i \) is a unitary rotation from the standard basis to the measurement basis of the \( k \)th observer, \( M_{k}^{(i)} \) is the measurement operator corresponding to the outcome \( i, |\psi_k+1\rangle \) is the initial state of the \( (k+1) \)st particle before the preparation takes place, and \( |\psi \rangle \) is an arbitrary state. Note that in the presented mapping, the \( k+1 \)st particle of the MPS is mapped into an ancilla of the \( k \)th POVM, the \( k \)th projective measurement is mapped into the \( k \)th POVM for \( k = 1, \ldots, N-1 \), and the last projective measurement is mapped into itself [Fig. 1(d)].

Finally, if we recycle the qudits, we can implement the construction with only two of them [Fig. 1(e)]. A similar process of recycling qubits has already been used in an experimental realization of Shor’s algorithm [23] in the circuit model of quantum computation. Summing up, due to the equivalence of quantum circuits depicted in Fig. 1, all quantum predictions of arbitrary pure MPSs of \( N \) qudits, with bond dimension \( D \leq d \), can be reconstructed by temporal consecutive \( d \)-outcome POVM measurements followed by a projective measurement in the final step.

V. GENUINELY MULTIPOINT TEMPORAL CORRELATIONS

In the context of quantum information and quantum foundations, many important multi-qubit states, such as the Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state (GHZ) [24], the \( W \) state [25], and the 1D cluster state [26], have bond dimension \( D = 2 \), hence they can be generated sequentially with bipartite unitaries. To create the GHZ, state we apply \( \text{CNOT} \) gates on a chain of qubits prepared in a state \( |+\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \cdots |0\rangle \), whereas in the case of a 1D cluster we use \( C \)-phase gates on a state \( |+\rangle \cdots |+\rangle \). Both of these states are genuinely \( N \)-partite entangled, however their entanglement properties are very different [27].

We show explicitly a set of qubit measurements producing genuinely multipoint temporal correlations. Consider local projective measurements performed on GHZ and cluster states. Denote the angles (on the Bloch sphere) parametrizing the measurement on the \( k \)th particle by \( \{ \phi_k, \theta_k \} \). Solving Eq. (7), it is easy to obtain the corresponding \( k \)th POVM measurement operators entering the temporal scenario. In the case of a GHZ state, we find

\[
M_{k}^{(1)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\cos(\theta_k/2) & 0 \\
0 & -\cos(\theta_k/2)
\end{array} \right),
\]

\[
M_{k}^{(1)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
e^{i\phi_k} \cos(\theta_k/2) & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i\phi_k} \sin(\theta_k/2)
\end{array} \right),
\]

whereas for a cluster state they read

\[
M_{k}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc}
e^{i\phi_k} \sin(\theta_k/2) & -\cos(\theta_k/2) \\
e^{i\phi_k} \sin(\theta_k/2) & \cos(\theta_k/2)
\end{array} \right),
\]

\[
M_{k}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\cos(\theta_k/2) & e^{-i\phi_k} \sin(\theta_k/2) \\
\cos(\theta_k/2) & e^{-i\phi_k} \sin(\theta_k/2)
\end{array} \right).
\]

Interestingly, both of them give rise to the same POVM elements:

\[
E_{k}^{(1)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\sin^2(\theta_k/2) & 0 \\
0 & \cos^2(\theta_k/2)
\end{array} \right),
\]

\[
E_{k}^{(1)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\cos^2(\theta_k/2) & 0 \\
0 & \sin^2(\theta_k/2)
\end{array} \right).
\]

The mapping from spatial to temporal correlations allows us to obtain the correlation functions for local measurements on both the GHZ and 1D cluster states by performing sequential POVM measurements (8) and (9) on a single qubit followed by a projective one at the last step. Note that in the above construction, the POVM elements (10) do not depend on the phase \( \phi \), whereas the measurement operators (8) and (9) depend directly on this parameter. This shows that the information about the phase is solely encoded in the way the state collapses at each stage of the sequence of measurements, and it illustrates the fact that knowledge about POVM elements alone is not sufficient to determine temporal correlations.

VI. MAPPING FROM SPATIAL TO SPATIOTEMPORAL CORRELATIONS

If the bond dimension exceeds the dimension of a single quantum system under consideration, multipartite unitaries are
necessary for the sequential generation, whereas our mapping works for bipartite unitaries only. This difficulty can be overcome to some extent by arranging single systems into an \( r \)-dimensional hypercubic lattice with a \( d \)-level quantum system at each node, such that sequential generation is possible along at least one spatial dimension. We define two procedures mapping all spatial correlations in such a state to spatiotemporal ones (see Fig. 2). In both cases, the initial state of the entire system is assumed to be a product state.

In the first case [see Fig. 2(a), order 1 of operations on the hypercube], at each stage \( i \) we (i) generate an arbitrary quantum state of the particles placed in an \( i \)th \( (r - 1) \)-dimensional slice perpendicular to the distinguished temporal dimension of the hypercube; (ii) move in a temporal direction and perform all the unitaries between the \( i \)th and \( (i + 1) \)st slice that is next in time; (iii) perform projective measurements. We consecutively repeat steps (i)–(iii). All the measurements can be mapped into a single-particle POVM in complete analogy to the 1D case. The difference is that the initial input state for the local POVMs at each stage is the entire state of the \( (r - 1) \)-dimensional hypercube. An important example of a state with correlations that can be mapped in this way is a 2D cluster state [26], which is created by applying C-phase gates between every two neighboring qubits on a square lattice. Therefore, a sequence of projective measurements on a 2D cluster state can be mapped into a sequence of POVMs performed on a repeatedly created 1D cluster [Fig. 2(b)].

In the second procedure [Fig. 2(a), order 2 of operations on the hypercube], one first creates arbitrary quantum states of the two neighboring \( (r - 1) \)-dimensional slices perpendicular to the distinguished dimension, then the unitaries in between them are performed. In this case, the correlations of measurements performed along the temporal direction can also be mapped into correlations arising from the sequence of POVMs. The important difference is that in this case at each stage one first has to prepare the state of the \( (r - 1) \)-dimensional slice, and use its particles as ancillas of the POVMs [Fig. 2(c)]. Note that in this case, one need not perform any entangling operations on output states of the consecutive POVMs. An interesting example of this class of states are the so called sequentially generated states (SGSs) [21], which are a subclass of projected entangled pair states (PEPSs) [28]. PEPSs provide a complete representation of the arbitrary quantum state of finite dimension in terms of a 2D tensor network. SGSs are characterized by the property that, in contrast to arbitrary PEPSs, they have exponentially vanishing long-range correlations [21].

Note that we generalize the standard notion of a graph state [29] to the case of noncommuting gates, which to the best of our knowledge was first suggested in [30]. In the case in which the unitaries in the temporal direction commute with the unitaries needed to create the state of the \( (r - 1) \)-dimensional slice, both mappings can be used.

VII. MEASUREMENT-BASED QUANTUM COMPUTING

Universal one-way quantum computing is a processing of quantum information based on single-qubit projective measurements performed on a resource state such as a 2D cluster state [26]. Each elementary gate from the circuit model can be implemented by a sequence of such measurements, although the sequence may depend on previous measurement outcomes. What is important is that the classical information about outcomes has to be sent in a single direction [15]. Therefore, we can adapt our procedure of transforming spatial correlations of a 2D cluster state into spatiotemporal ones, where the temporal direction is defined by the direction of classical information transfer. In effect, the computing can be
performed by repeatedly preparing a 1D cluster state followed by local POVMs.

The idea of cluster state recycling has already appeared in the works of Raussendorf and Briegel [15,26] and in, for example, proposals for cluster states built from optical lattices [31]. The entire 2D cluster state is not produced at the start of the computation, but rather prepared just in time. Our scheme is similar in spirit, but only requires a 1D array of qubits in comparison to the 2D subcluster required to implement entangling gates via measurements.

The universality of the scheme given in Fig. 3 relies on the use of POVMs. To see this, first note that any computation arising by directly measuring a 1D cluster state, either with projective or POVM measurements, can be efficiently simulated classically [32]. Now consider a single 1D cluster gate, i.e., a sequence of C-phase gates preparing a 1D cluster state, and allow for projective or POVM measurements after each usage of this gate plus feed forward of classical information. The scheme with projective measurements has an efficient classical simulation because these measurements uncorrelate future results from the previous ones; see Eq. (3). More precisely, the simulation runs as follows. Each round can be thought of as a preparation, a cascade of two-qubit unitary matrices, each one interacting with only the previous qubit in the sequence, followed by a projective measurement. The effect of the projective measurement, in contrast to a POVM, is to prepare each qubit in a known eigenstate that forms the input of the following round. Thus the simulation of Ref. [32] can continue for a polynomial number of time steps and simulate the original quantum circuit. POVMs do not destroy the temporal correlations, and as Fig. 3 shows, they allow for universal computation.

A very simple example of entangling gates plus POVMs corresponds to the circuit model. In the trivial case of a single POVM element that is simply a one-qubit unitary rotation, $E_1 = U$, we clearly have universal quantum computation. Our results demonstrate that nontrivial POVMs, where particles are really measured, can be used to promote the 1D lattice of qubits to universal quantum computation. These nontrivial POVMs give rise to temporal quantum correlations that replace part of the spatial correlations arising from 2D cluster states.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We obtain genuinely multipoint temporal quantum correlations in a scenario of sequential generalized quantum measurements on a single particle. In this way, we recover in a temporal experiment the statistics of results arising from local projective measurements of any multiparticle quantum state of qu$d$its that has an MPS representation with bond dimension $D \leq d$. Our approach can also be applied to a broad class of generalized graph states, subject to the restriction that they can be generated in a sequential way with respect to one spatial dimension. Spatial correlations of such graph states are then mapped into spatiotemporal ones reducing the space dimension by 1.

We show that repeatedly preparing a 1D cluster state followed by (nontrivial) local POVMs is universal for quantum computing. Our model allows for a resource reduction from $N^2$ qubits of a 2D cluster to $2N$ qubits of a 1D cluster with local POVMs, whereas the total number of entangling operations used for the computation is the same in both architectures. Our construction proves that genuinely multipoint temporal quantum correlations are a resource for quantum computing.
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[13] Note that recovering the statistics of spatial measurements in a temporal scenario in which a system of arbitrarily large dimension is measured is a trivial task, as one can simply perform one after another the local measurements of the spatial case.