<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Title</strong></th>
<th>Strange correlations in spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Author(s)</strong></td>
<td>Wierschem, Keola; Sengupta, Pinaki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>URL</strong></td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10220/24093">http://hdl.handle.net/10220/24093</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rights</strong></td>
<td>© 2014 American Physical Society. This paper was published in Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics and is made available as an electronic reprint (preprint) with permission of American Physical Society. The paper can be found at the following official DOI: [<a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.115157">http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.115157</a>]. One print or electronic copy may be made for personal use only. Systematic or multiple reproduction, distribution to multiple locations via electronic or other means, duplication of any material in this paper for a fee or for commercial purposes, or modification of the content of the paper is prohibited and is subject to penalties under law.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strange correlations in spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnets

Keola Wierschem and Pinaki Sengupta

School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371

(Received 7 August 2014; revised manuscript received 10 September 2014; published 30 September 2014)

We study the behavior of the recently proposed “strange correlator” [Y.-Z. You, Z. Bi, A. Rasmussen, K. Slagle, and C. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 247202 (2014)] in spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains with uniaxial single-ion anisotropy. Using projective quantum Monte Carlo, we are able to directly access the strange correlator in a variety of phases, as well as to examine its critical behavior at a quantum phase transition between trivial and nontrivial symmetry protected topological phases. After finding the expected long-range behavior in these two symmetry conserving phases, we go on to verify the topological nature of two-leg and three-leg spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic ladders. This demonstrates the power of the strange correlator in distinguishing between trivial and nontrivial symmetry protected topological phases.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of many-body effects like the Haldane phase and the fractional quantum Hall effect led to the discovery of an entire class of quantum-mechanical ground states—topologically ordered phases—that fall outside the standard Landau framework. Unlike conventional states of matter, topological phases are not broken-symmetry ground states characterized by a local order parameter. Instead, they have an underlying topological structure that distinguishes them from disordered (topologically trivial) phases. A finite gap separates the lowest excitations from the ground state in the bulk, but there may exist one or more gapless edge states, which is a defining characteristic of this class of phases. The theoretical prediction and subsequent experimental discovery of topological (band) insulators have ushered in a period of heightened interest in topological phases.

While many experimentally realized topological phases, such as fractional quantum Hall states and topological insulators, can be described within the framework of noninteracting electrons, a natural question that arises in the study of these phases is the role of interactions. To address this, a minimal generalization of the free fermion topological phase, known as the symmetry protected topological (SPT) phase, was proposed. An SPT state is defined as the ground state of an interacting many-body system that is comprised of a gapped bulk state that preserves all the symmetries of the system and a gapless nontrivial edge state that is protected by one or more symmetries. In keeping with its minimal character, phases with long-range topological order (defined by long-range entanglement) are excluded from the SPT classification. Instead, SPT states are characterized by short-range entanglement. Significant progress has been made over the past few years in the understanding of SPT states. This includes a formal mathematical classification of these states [1,2], as well as detailed investigations of proposed SPT phases (for both interacting fermions and bosons). The relative simplicity allows us to understand the emergence of topological phases from the interplay of strong correlations, symmetry and topology in these systems and, in turn, provides deeper insight into more complex topological states such as spin liquids and non-Fermi liquid metals.

While several SPT states have been discovered and studied in detail, only a handful of microscopic Hamiltonians with SPT ground states are known to date. Even more worryingly, given a Hamiltonian, there exists no well-established probe to determine if the ground state has SPT character. Although a degenerate entanglement spectrum is often used as an indicator of SPT order [3], this method may fail to correctly identify SPT phases protected by an off-lattice symmetry. Additionally, the relation between the low-lying entanglement spectrum and the ground-state wave function has been called into question [4]. Recently, a strange correlator has been proposed as a direct probe of the SPT character of a wave function and has been demonstrated to identify some well known SPT phases successfully [5]. In this work, we shall present details on how to evaluate the strange correlator in quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations and use it to probe the topological nature of the ground state of spin-1 Heisenberg chains and ladders.

II. MODEL

The Haldane phase of the spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain remains the earliest and most well-understood of all interacting SPT phases. This simple model has a surprisingly rich ground-state phase diagram. In addition to the Haldane phase, a topologically trivial quantum paramagnetic phase (the so-called large-$D$ phase) appears when strong uniaxial easy-plane single-ion anisotropy is introduced. For spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains coupled into a ladder geometry, the topological nature of the ground state exhibits an even/odd effect: trivial SPT character for even-leg ladders and nontrivial SPT character for odd-leg ladders. Our goal is to demonstrate that the strange correlator correctly identifies the varying SPT character of these respective ground states, as well as to examine the critical behavior of the strange correlator at the quantum phase transition between the Haldane and large-$D$ phases.

To this end, we study spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains and ladders with uniaxial single-ion anisotropy, described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + K \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + D \sum_i (\vec{S}_i^z)^2. \tag{1}$$
Here, $\langle ij \rangle$ refers to neighbors along a chain, while $[ij]$ refers to neighbors between adjacent chains (see Fig. 1). In the following, we set the spin exchange coupling $J$ to unity, thereby defining the energy scale of our system. This leaves the interchain coupling $K$ and single-ion anisotropy $D$ as our only Hamiltonian parameters. For the ladder geometries, we further set $D = 0$, while for the chain geometry, the parameter $K$ becomes meaningless. We use QMC methods to study finite-size systems of size $N$ and length $L$, where $N = L$, $2L$, and $3L$ for chain, two-leg ladder, and three-leg ladder geometries, respectively. Periodic boundary conditions are employed along the length of the system.

### III. METHODS

To investigate the above model in the ground-state limit, we use a projective variant of the stochastic series expansion QMC method [6, 7]. The main idea is as follows: instead of expanding the density matrix as a Taylor series of Hamiltonian operations, we project out the ground state by repeated Hamiltonian operation on a trial wave function. While the presence of a trial wave function explicitly removes the usual periodicity in the imaginary-time dimension of the operator string, it can be thought of as a set of vertices of infinite weight. Thus we can still utilize the directed loop equations of Sylijäsen and Sandvik [8], minimize bounce probabilities in the loop algorithm, and obtain efficient global updates.

Now we describe our projective QMC scheme in detail. First, let us examine the effect of $m$ repeated Hamiltonian operations on a trial wave function,

$$ (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m |\psi\rangle = (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m \sum_a c_a |\alpha\rangle. $$

Here, we have expanded $|\psi\rangle$ in the basis of energy eigenstates $|\alpha\rangle$ with coefficients given by $c_a = \langle \alpha | \psi \rangle$. The constant $\mathcal{C}$ is chosen to make $(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})$ negative definite. Thus, as long as $c_0 \neq 0$, the projection of $|\psi\rangle$ will be dominated by the ground-state terms,

$$ (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m |\psi\rangle = \sum_a c_a (E_a - \mathcal{C})^m |\alpha\rangle. $$

This can be made explicit by rewriting the expression as

$$ (\frac{\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C}}{E_0 - \mathcal{C}})^m |\psi\rangle = \sum_a c_a \left( \frac{E_a - \mathcal{C}}{E_0 - \mathcal{C}} \right)^m |\alpha\rangle. $$

The ground state is approached as $m \to \infty$. In addition to the power-based projector $(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m$, it is also possible to use an exponential projector $\exp(-\beta(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C}))$. A detailed description of the exponential projector has been given by Farhi et al. [9].

Having a valid ground-state projector, we can evaluate ground-state observables as

$$ \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi | (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m \mathcal{O} | (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^{2m} | \psi \rangle}. $$

These observables are calculated at the “middle” of the (open) operator string. Appropriate sampling weights can be derived by expanding the projector $(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m$ as a summation over all possible operator strings. Each operator string consists of a product of $2m$ bond operators. This is completely analogous to the corresponding expansion of the density matrix into a summation over operator strings in the standard stochastic series expansion QMC technique [6, 7], with the added simplicity that the length of our operator string remains fixed due to our choice of a power-based projector.

Within the same formulation, we can also easily compute the overlap of the ground-state wave function with an arbitrary wave function $|\Omega\rangle$, as required for calculating the so-called strange correlator [5]—defined in our case as

$$ C(r - r') = \frac{\langle \Omega | (S^z \mathcal{C}^m + S^z \mathcal{C}^m) | (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^{2m} | \psi \rangle}{\langle \Omega | (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^{2m} | \psi \rangle}. $$

Here, $|\Omega\rangle$ is a trivial symmetric product state, $(\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^{2m} | \psi \rangle$ projects out the ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$, and $S_x \mathcal{C}^m + S_y \mathcal{C}^m$ are standard off-diagonal spin correlations. Thus Eq. (6) describes a correlation function at the imaginary time boundary between the states $|\Omega\rangle$ and $|\Psi_0\rangle$. This imaginary time boundary maps onto a spatial interface between the two states via a Lorentz transformation. Now, it has been demonstrated that certain space-time correlation functions (defined in terms of local operators) should possess either long-range order or algebraic decay at the interface between trivial and nontrivial SPT states in one and two dimensions [5]. The same Lorentz transformation maps the space-time correlation at the spatial interface to the strange correlator at the imaginary time interface. Thus the nature of the strange correlator provides a direct and reliable probe for the SPT nature of the ground state of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$.

Interestingly, within our projective QMC formulation, the strange correlator is simply a correlation function measured at the “ends” of the operator string. This can perhaps most easily be seen by comparing Eq. (6) with an equal-time correlation function

$$ \Gamma(r - r') = \frac{\langle \psi | (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m (S_x \mathcal{C}^m + S_y \mathcal{C}^m) (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^m | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{C})^{2m} | \psi \rangle}. $$
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This correspondence highlights the physical interpretation of the strange correlator as a correlation function at the temporal boundary of the time-evolved ground states \(|\Psi_0\rangle\) and \(|\Omega\rangle\).

In the current work, we choose both the trivial product state and the trial wave function to be the product state of zero spin projection along the \(z\) axis,

\[
|\psi\rangle = |\Omega\rangle = \prod_i |0\rangle_i.
\]

This choice conserves the on-site symmetry of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1). Also, note that this definition has the convenient feature that \(C(0) = 2\). Another convenience arises when taking into account the usual sublattice rotation of \(\pi\) along the \(z\) axis of the spin space that is needed to ensure negative-definite off-diagonal vertex weights in the bond expansion of the Hamiltonian. For a projective QMC implementation, this sublattice rotation should also be applied to the trial wave function. Here we note that the current choice of \(|\psi\rangle\) is invariant under such a transformation. This transformation introduces a shift of \(\pi\) in the momentum of spin excitations. Henceforth, we assume that the momentum vector is measured from the shifted point, i.e., \(k \rightarrow k + \pi\).

In order to improve the statistical sampling of the strange correlator at the ends of the operator string, as well as normal observables at the middle level of the operator string, we introduce a bias in our loop updates to preferentially start the loop at these levels of the operator string. Satisfaction of detailed balance is contingent upon equal probabilities of starting forward and reverse loops, which is not affected by our added bias. This is because loops starting at different levels of the operator string are not connected to each other [8].

In the next section, we proceed to analyze the strange correlator in the ground-state thermodynamic limit. Using system sizes of length \(16 \leq L \leq 96\), we are able to accurately determine the proper scaling limits of the strange correlator in several symmetric phases. Analogous to the ground-state scaling of the operator string length in finite-temperature stochastic series expansion QMC, we choose \(m \propto L^2\) to converge to the ground state.

IV. RESULTS

This section is organized as follows. First, we consider the behavior of the strange correlator in the spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain with uniaxial single-ion anisotropy. This system has both trivial and nontrivial SPT states—the large-\(D\) and Haldane phases, respectively. These two phases are separated by a continuous phase transition, which allows for an investigation of the critical behavior of the strange correlator. Subsequently, we examine the behavior of the strange correlator in the spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic two-leg and three-leg ladders. The ground states of spin-1 ladders are expected to exhibit the following even-odd effect: an even (odd) number of legs leads to a trivial (nontrivial) SPT ground state. Hence this is a good place to test the power of the strange correlator to distinguish trivial and nontrivial SPT states. Finally, we look at the finite-size scaling behavior of an order parameter associated with the strange correlator.
the single-ion anisotropy in the Haldane and large-$D$ phases, as well as in the vicinity of the critical point separating these two phases. By fitting the strange correlator to the appropriate asymptotic scaling forms in the region $L < 4r < 3L$, we confirm the expected behavior for trivial and nontrivial SPT phases. In the nontrivial Haldane phase ($D = 0$), the strange correlator approaches a constant value, $C(r) \sim C(\infty) = 0.64$ over the fitting range indicated, in accordance with its nontrivial SPT character. On the other hand, in the trivial large-$D$ phase ($D = 2$) the strange correlator is found to exhibit the expected exponential decay, $C(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi}$, with a correlation length $\xi = 3.76$. This exponential decay is most clearly seen as a linear regime on the log-normal plot in Fig. 2 (upper panel). Near the critical point ($D = 1$), the strange correlator decays algebraically as $C(r) \sim r^{-\eta}$ with an exponent $\eta = 1.00$ just like a traditional correlation function does at the boundary of a continuous phase transition as a result of the diverging correlation length. Here, this algebraic decay shows up as a linear regime in the log-log plot of Fig. 2 (lower panel).

B. Two-leg ladder

The two-leg spin-1 Heisenberg ladder (with $D = 0$) has been shown to have a topologically trivial SPT ground state [14]. This can be understood by considering the limiting case of strong rung interactions, $J \ll K$. In this limit, the ground state is well approximated as a product of rung singlets. By definition, such a state is topologically trivial. When coupled with results from a previous QMC study that demonstrated a lack of any phase transition [15], this leads to the conclusion that the ground state of the isotropic two-leg spin-1 Heisenberg ladder is always topologically trivial.

Here, we calculate the strange correlator for antiferromagnetic rung interactions with $J = K = 1$. As seen in Fig. 3, the strange correlator at long distances quickly decays to zero as our system size approaches the thermodynamic limit. This is true both for correlations within a single leg of the ladder ($\Delta x = 0$) as well as for correlations between opposite legs of the ladder ($\Delta x = 1$). Thus we see that the strange correlator correctly identifies the ground state of the spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic two-leg ladder as a topologically trivial state.

C. Three-leg ladder

In contrast to the two-leg spin-1 ladder, the ground state of the three-leg spin-1 ladder is a topologically nontrivial SPT state [16]. This can be seen in Fig. 4, where the strange correlator converges to a nonzero value as our system size approaches the thermodynamic limit. As before, the long-distance behavior is the same for correlations within a single leg of the ladder ($\Delta x = 0$, inner or outer legs) as well as for correlations between different legs of the ladder ($\Delta x = 1$ or $\Delta x = 2$). Once again, we see that the strange correlator correctly identifies the ground-state SPT character, this time finding a nontrivial SPT ground state for the spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic three-leg ladder.

D. Finite-size scaling

In order to make a more quantitative statement on the scaling properties of the strange correlator in the thermodynamic limit, we define a finite-size order parameter $\Psi_L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_r C(r)$ based on the corresponding static structure factor [see Eq. (A1) in Appendix]. In Fig. 5, we show the system size dependence of $\Psi_L$ for various SPT phases. As expected, within the nontrivial SPT phases (chain and three-leg ladder with $D = 0$), we find $\Psi_L$ approaches a constant value exponentially with system size as $\Psi_L = \Psi_\infty + (a - b e^{-L/\xi})/L$ [Eq. (A6) in Appendix]. From the fit, we extract $\Psi_\infty = 0.64$ and $\xi = 9.58$ for the Haldane phase of the spin-1 chain, in agreement with our previous fit of $C(r)$ for a single system size. For the three-leg ladder, the corresponding estimates are $\Psi_\infty = 0.29$ and $\xi = 29.3$. The finite value of the order parameter in the thermodynamic limit confirms the nontrivial SPT character of the ground state. For the trivial SPT phases (chain with $D = 2$, two-leg ladder with $D = 0$), $\Psi_L$ instead scales exponentially to zero with system size. Fitting the simulation data to the same form of...
between the Haldane and large-\(D\) phases. That the strange correlator follows the usual critical behavior order parameter in the different parameter regimes confirms functions at the critical point. The behavior of the finite size surprise considering the quasi-long-range nature of correlation the value estimated directly from \(C\).

The extracted exponent earlier figures.

earlier figures. Parameters are the same as in geometries. Lines are obtained as fits to the data using the finite-size scaling forms derived in the Appendix. Parameters are the same as in the system size at the critical point \(D_c\), while the right panel demonstrates finite-size data collapse. Interestingly, when we plot the strange correlator using an exponent \(\eta_s = 2\eta_G\), we see a similar curve crossing and finite-size collapse (lower panels, Fig. 6). This gives a value \(\eta_S = 0.757\) that is lower than our estimate from fitting \(\Psi_L\) in Fig. 5, yet reasonably close considering the distance of \(D = 1\) from \(D_c\). It remains to be seen why this relation works so well here, and whether or not it applies generally at the boundary between two distinct SPT phases.

\[\xi = 9.58, \Psi_\infty = 0.64\]
\[\eta = 0.84\]
\[\xi = 4.15\] for the chain and \(\Psi_\infty = 0\) and \(\xi = 13.1\) for the two-leg ladder. The correlation length of the chain is reasonably close to the value obtained from a direct fit to \(C(r)\). In the last scenario, we probe the behavior of \(\Psi_L\) near the critical point (chain with \(D = 1\)) and find that it follows a scaling form \(\Psi_L = a/L + b/L^\nu\) [Eq. (A11) in Appendix]. The extracted exponent \(\eta = 0.84\) is substantially less than the value estimated directly from \(C(r)\), but this is not a surprise considering the quasi-long-range nature of correlation functions at the critical point. The behavior of the finite size order parameter in the different parameter regimes confirms that the strange correlator follows the usual critical behavior across the phase boundary between trivial and nontrivial SPT phases.

The critical behavior at the quantum phase transition between the Haldane and large-\(D\) phases is captured by a conformal field theory that maps onto a free Gaussian model [17]. The critical exponents for this Gaussian transition can be expressed in terms of a single parameter \(K\) (the Luttinger parameter, not to be confused with the interchain coupling defined earlier). For the equal-time Green’s function \(G(r) = \langle S_0^x S_r^x + S_0^y S_r^y \rangle\), the anomalous dimensionality should be given by \(\eta_G = 1/2K\) (we introduce a subscript to distinguish the critical exponents of the Green’s function and the strange correlator), while the critical exponent governing the correlation length is expected to be \(\nu = 1/(2 - K)\). Similar relations can be derived through the well-known bosonization technique.

The Gaussian transition has been well studied [17–21], with recent results from the density matrix renormalization group obtaining \(D_c = 0.96845(8)\) and \(K = 1.321(1)\) [21]. To test our method, in the top panels of Fig. 6, we plot the equal-time Green’s function at half the system size, \(G(L/2)\), multiplied by \(L^{\nu_G}\) to obtain a dimensionless parameter. As can be seen in the left panel, this dimensionless parameter is independent of the system size at the critical point \(D_c\), while the right panel

\[\xi = 29.3, \Psi_\infty = 0.29\]
\[\xi \approx 9.58, \Psi_\infty \approx 0\]
\[\eta \approx 0.84\]
\[\xi \approx 4.15\] for the chain and \(\Psi_\infty \approx 0\) and \(\xi \approx 13.1\) for the two-leg ladder. The correlation length of the chain is reasonably close to the value obtained from a direct fit to \(C(r)\). In the last scenario, we probe the behavior of \(\Psi_L\) near the critical point (chain with \(D = 1\)) and find that it follows a scaling form \(\Psi_L = a/L + b/L^\nu\) [Eq. (A11) in Appendix]. The extracted exponent \(\eta = 0.84\) is substantially less than the value estimated directly from \(C(r)\), but this is not a surprise considering the quasi-long-range nature of correlation functions at the critical point. The behavior of the finite size order parameter in the different parameter regimes confirms that the strange correlator follows the usual critical behavior across the phase boundary between trivial and nontrivial SPT phases.

The critical behavior at the quantum phase transition between the Haldane and large-\(D\) phases is captured by a conformal field theory that maps onto a free Gaussian model [17]. The critical exponents for this Gaussian transition can be expressed in terms of a single parameter \(K\) (the Luttinger parameter, not to be confused with the interchain coupling defined earlier). For the equal-time Green’s function \(G(r) = \langle S_0^x S_r^x + S_0^y S_r^y \rangle\), the anomalous dimensionality should be given by \(\eta_G = 1/2K\) (we introduce a subscript to distinguish the critical exponents of the Green’s function and the strange correlator), while the critical exponent governing the correlation length is expected to be \(\nu = 1/(2 - K)\). Similar relations can be derived through the well-known bosonization technique.

The Gaussian transition has been well studied [17–21], with recent results from the density matrix renormalization group obtaining \(D_c = 0.96845(8)\) and \(K = 1.321(1)\) [21]. To test our method, in the top panels of Fig. 6, we plot the equal-time Green’s function at half the system size, \(G(L/2)\), multiplied by \(L^{\nu_G}\) to obtain a dimensionless parameter. As can be seen in the left panel, this dimensionless parameter is independent of the system size at the critical point \(D_c\), while the right panel demonstrates finite-size data collapse. Interestingly, when we plot the strange correlator using an exponent \(\eta_s = 2\eta_G\), we see a similar curve crossing and finite-size collapse (lower panels, Fig. 6). This gives a value \(\eta_S = 0.757\) that is lower than our estimate from fitting \(\Psi_L\) in Fig. 5, yet reasonably close considering the distance of \(D = 1\) from \(D_c\). It remains to be seen why this relation works so well here, and whether or not it applies generally at the boundary between two distinct SPT phases.

V. DISCUSSION

In one dimension, a nonlocal order parameter can be defined to distinguish between trivial and nontrivial SPT phases [22]. For the Haldane phase of the spin-\(1\) Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain, this is none other than the original string order parameter [13]. Similar nonlocal order parameters can also be defined on two-leg [15] and three-leg [16] ladders. However, note that such nonlocal order parameters can either measure hidden symmetry conservation or hidden symmetry breaking, depending upon their construction [22]. Thus the four-body string order parameter of Todo et al. [15] is in fact evidence for a trivial SPT state in the two-leg ladder.

While carefully constructed string order parameters may allow for the characterization of SPT phases in one dimension, they are only valid in the presence of dihedral symmetry (\(D_2\) or \(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\)) [22]. Additionally, they do not extrapolate easily to higher dimensions. The strange correlator is thus a powerful tool for the generic investigation of SPT properties regardless of on-site symmetry, and extends easily to higher dimensions. As demonstrated by You et al. [5], the strange correlator can easily distinguish trivial and nontrivial SPT states in one and two dimensions. In three dimensions, a long-range or quasi-long-range strange correlator still implies nontrivial SPT order; however, due to the possibility of topologically ordered edge
states, it becomes possible for a nontrivial SPT state to have a short-range strange correlator [5].

Further insight into the strange correlator may be obtained by taking into consideration the edge modes in nontrivial SPT states. Taking the Haldane ground state as a concrete example, and recalling its relation to the AKLT state, we can see that there should be free spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the spatial boundary between the Haldane phase and the trivial large-$D$ phase. These free states will evolve in time as steady states, meaning they possess long-range correlations in time. After a Lorentz transformation, temporal correlations at the spatial boundary between the Haldane and large-$D$ phases transform into real-space correlations at the temporal boundary between these nontrivial and trivial SPT states. Thus a long-range strange correlator can be viewed as evidence for free edge modes in one dimension.

Edge states can also explain the even/odd effect in spin-1 ladders with time-reversal symmetry. For even-legged ladders, the spin-1/2 edge states along each chain become coupled into an overall integer spin state, and it is possible to form a singlet, thereby removing the edge degrees of freedom. However, for odd-leg ladders the total edge spin will be half an odd integer, and by Kramers theorem must be at least doubly degenerate. In this case, the edge state can only be removed by breaking the symmetry or undergoing a bulk phase transition.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have implemented a projective QMC method that is able to calculate the strange correlator in a wide variety of phases. Using this method to study the spin-1 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain, we have verified the topological nature of this prototypical SPT system. Adding a uniaxial single-ion anisotropy, we find evidence of critical behavior in the strange correlator at the quantum phase transition between the Haldane and large-$D$ phases. Thus the strange correlator can be used as an order parameter for phase transitions between trivial and nontrivial SPT states. We have also calculated the strange correlations in two-leg and three-leg ladders to verify their relative trivial and nontrivial SPT phases. Although the topological characterization of these phases was known from past work, the QMC methods implemented here are easily extended to higher dimensions. Further, the strange correlator should continue to maintain at least quasi-long-range behavior in two dimensions [5]. This paves the way for applications to systems in two dimensions, where string order becomes ill defined [23].
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APPENDIX: FINITE-SIZE SCALING FORMS

Here we derive finite-size scaling forms for the order parameter, which can be defined as the structure factor per site:

$$\Psi_L = \frac{S(0)}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_r C(r).$$  (A1)

This expression can be converted into an integral in the continuum limit that is obtained as $L \to \infty$:

$$S(0) = \int_0^L C(r)dr.$$  (A2)

Assuming that the correlation function approaches the asymptotic form $C(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi}$ for $r > R$, we replace the integral from 0 to $R$ by a “core charge” $C_0$. This yields a simple expression for the structure factor,

$$S(0) = C_0 + 2A\xi [e^{-R/\xi} - e^{-(L-R)/\xi}],$$  (A4)

which ultimately is the same as

$$S(0) = a - be^{-L/\xi},$$  (A5)

i.e., we cannot uniquely determine $C_0$ and $R$. This leads to a finite-size scaling form:

$$\Psi_L = \Psi_\infty + (a - be^{-L/\xi})/L,$$  (A6)

where $\Psi_\infty \neq 0$ allows for an exponential decay to a nonzero value, as is the case for ordered phases.

At a critical point, the expected asymptotic scaling form becomes $C(r) \sim r^{-\eta}$, so instead we find

$$S(0) = C_0 + A\int_{R}^{L-R} [r^{-\eta} + (L - r)^{-\eta}]dr,$$  (A7)

which after integration becomes

$$S(0) = C_0 + \frac{2A}{1-\eta}[(L - R)^{1-\eta} - R^{1-\eta}].$$  (A8)

Again, we cannot uniquely determine $C_0$ and $R$, which leaves the general scaling form

$$S(0) = a + b(L - R)^{1-\eta}.$$  (A9)

For small $R/L$, this can be replaced by the simpler form

$$S(0) = a + bL^{1-\eta}.$$  (A10)

Thus we have used the following finite size scaling form for the order parameter near the critical point:

$$\Psi_L = a/L + b/L^\eta.$$  (A11)

The above finite-size scaling forms can also be derived for higher dimensions $d > 1$ by using the relation $\Psi_L = S(0)L^{-d}$. In this case, the correlation function at a critical point is defined as $C(r) \sim r^{2-(d+z)}$, where $z$ is the dynamic critical exponent for ground-state phase transitions. For the Gaussian phase transition separating the Haldane and large-$D$ phases, we expect $z = 1$ [20].