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Dynamic Remodeling of Microbial Biofilms by Functionally Distinct Exopolysaccharides

Su Chuen Chew, Binu Kundukad, Thomas Seviour, Johan R. C. van der Maarel, Liang Yang, Scott A. Rice, Patrick Doyle, Staffan Kjelleberg

ABSTRACT Biofilms are densely populated communities of microbial cells protected and held together by a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances. The structure and rheological properties of the matrix at the microscale influence the retention and transport of molecules and cells in the biofilm, thereby dictating population and community behavior. Despite its importance, quantitative descriptions of the matrix microstructure and microrheology are limited. Here, particle-tracking microrheology in combination with genetic approaches was used to spatially and temporally study the rheological contributions of the major exopolysaccharides Pel and Psl in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Psl increased the elasticity and effective cross-linking within the matrix, which strengthened its scaffold and appeared to facilitate the formation of microcolonies. Conversely, Pel reduced effective cross-linking within the matrix. Without Psl, the matrix becomes more viscous, which facilitates biofilm spreading. The wild-type biofilm decreased in effective cross-linking over time, which would be advantageous for the spreading and colonization of new surfaces. This suggests that there are regulatory mechanisms to control production of the exopolysaccharides that serve to remodel the matrix of developing biofilms. The exopolysaccharides were also found to have profound effects on the spatial organization and integration of P. aeruginosa in a mixed-species biofilm model of P. aeruginosa-Staphylococcus aureus. Pel was required for close association of the two species in mixed-species microcolonies. In contrast, Psl was important for P. aeruginosa to form single-species biofilms on top of S. aureus biofilms. Our results demonstrate that Pel and Psl have distinct physical properties and functional roles during biofilm formation.

IMPORTANCE Most bacteria grow as biofilms in the environment or in association with eukaryotic hosts. Removal of biofilms that form on surfaces is a challenge in clinical and industrial settings. One of the defining features of a biofilm is its extracellular matrix. The matrix has a heterogeneous structure and is formed from a secretion of various biopolymers, including proteins, extracellular DNA, and polysaccharides. It is generally known to interact with biofilm cells, thus affecting cell physiology and cell-cell communication. Despite the fact that the matrix may comprise up to 90% of the biofilm dry weight, how the matrix properties affect biofilm structure, maturation, and interspecies interactions remain largely unexplored. This study reveals that bacteria can use specific extracellular polymers to modulate the physical properties of their microenvironment. This in turn impacts biofilm structure, differentiation, and interspecies interactions.

Received 2 July 2014 Accepted 7 July 2014 Published 5 August 2014

Bacteria form surface-attached biofilm communities in nature and are significant in the context of environmental sustainability and health care (1, 2). Integral to the biofilm is a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). The EPS form a heterogeneous viscoelastic material that holds the microbial cells together and account for 50 to 90% of the total organic matter in the biofilm (3). Division of labor in metabolism, gene transfer, and quorum sensing are facilitated in the biofilm because the matrix is responsible for maintaining the spatial organization of cells in the biofilm (4, 5).

The EPS are largely composed of polysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids, humic acids, and lipids and determine the architecture of the biofilm (6). For example, biofilms assume many, often highly differentiated forms during development, including mushroom-like microcolonies and filamentous streamers, as well as a homogenous, undifferentiated layer of cells. The physical properties of the matrix determine the shape and mechanical stability of biofilms (7, 8) and affect processes such as mass transfer and detachment kinetics of the various differentiated forms. For example, EPS shearing can form sieve-like networks that result in rapid accumulation of cells and other dispersed biomass that results in the formation of biofilm streamers (9). Sloughing and
TABLE 1 Characteristics of the strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺</td>
<td>ΔmicA</td>
<td>Overexpresses alginate, wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁺</td>
<td>ΔmicA ΔpelA</td>
<td>Overexpresses alginate, no Pel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻</td>
<td>ΔmicA ΔpslAΔbcd</td>
<td>Overexpresses alginate, no Psl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg⁻ Pel⁺ Psl⁺</td>
<td>PAO1</td>
<td>Overexpresses alginate, no Pel and Psl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg⁻ Pel⁻ Psl⁺</td>
<td>ΔpelA</td>
<td>Minimal or no alginate, wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg⁻ Pel⁻ Psl⁻</td>
<td>ΔpslBCD</td>
<td>Minimal or no alginate, no Pel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻</td>
<td>Overexpresses alginate, no Pel and Psl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Such cross-linking can be chemical through covalent bonds, or physical from noncovalent interactions, such as ionic and hydrogen bonds (28, 29) and topological constraints from entanglements of polymer chains (30).

Previous microrheological experiments have mapped the mechanical properties of biofilms according to the height and location within the flow cell (31, 32). However, the difference in rheological properties of specific biofilm structures, such as the microcolonies or undifferentiated regions, was not investigated. Furthermore, a direct link between the molecular components of the matrix and local biofilm rheology and function has not yet been established. In this study, particle-tracking microrheology, in combination with confocal laser scanning microscopy, was used to characterize the local viscoelastic properties of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms at different stages of development. The contributions of Pel and Psl exopolysaccharides to biofilm rheology during development were investigated in *P. aeruginosa* strains at the clinically relevant mucoid phenotype that expresses the combination of the three exopolysaccharides (Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺) and mutant derivatives (Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻, Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁺, and Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁻) (33). To assess the rheological roles of Pel and Psl in the absence of alginate, nonmucoid *P. aeruginosa* strains expressing a combination of Pel and Psl exopolysaccharides (Alg⁻ Pel⁺ Psl⁻, Alg⁻ Pel⁻ Psl⁺, and Alg⁻ Pel⁻ Psl⁻) (20) were also investigated (Table 1). This allowed the rheological impact of exopolysaccharides to the biofilm in the context of population and community behavior to be explored using single-species *P. aeruginosa* streamer as well as *P. aeruginosa*-S. aureus mixed-species biofilm assays.

**RESULTS**

**Particle distribution and size selectivity of biofilms.** Mucoid *P. aeruginosa* biofilm cells expressing various combinations of the Psl and Pel exopolysaccharides were grown in a flow cell biofilm system for 5 days using medium containing 1.0-, 0.5-, and 0.2-μm fluorescent carbohydrate-modified latex particles. The carbohydrate modification reduced particle aggregation and binding to negatively charged cell surfaces (Invitrogen, CA). Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺ and Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁻ cells formed similar biofilms with thin plains (the undifferentiated sections) and large microcolonies, (Fig. 1A and B). Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ biofilms were less differentiated and delayed in development, forming thick plains and small microcolonies at the later stages (Fig. 1C). The Alg⁻ Pel⁻ Psl⁻ cells were unable to form a biofilm on the coverslip (Fig. 1D). This is consistent with previous observations (34). Mucoid *P. aeruginosa* is known to revert back to its nonmucoid state after long periods of biofilm cultivation (35). To estimate the percentage of nonmucoid revertants in the strains, cells from 5-day biofilms were harvested and colony morphologies were observed. All colonies generated from the single and combination mutants retained their mucoid phenotypes.
indicating there was no change in the mucoid status of these strains under our experimental conditions (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

Particles were incorporated from the medium into the three biofilm-forming strains (Alg+ Pe+ Psl+, Alg+ Pe− Psl+, and Alg+ Pe+ Psl−), with increased uptake of the larger particles relative to the smaller particles. Particles were counted in 4- and 5-day biofilms, and the ratio of 1.0- to 0.5- and 0.2-μm particles was used as a measure of size selectivity in the three biofilm-forming strains (Fig. 2A). The Alg+ Pe+ Psl+ biofilm had 1.0- to 0.5- and 0.2-μm particle ratios of 2.02 ± 0.41 and 4.13 ± 1.24, respectively, while Alg+ Pe− Psl+ biofilm displayed ratios of 1.85 ± 0.55 and 4.81 ± 1.12, respectively. The Alg+ Pe+ Psl− biofilm, which was significantly impaired in biofilm development, had lower 1.0- to 0.5- and 0.2-μm particle ratios of 1.52 ± 0.31 and 2.44 ± 0.73, respectively. It was observed that the preference for 1.0-μm particles over the smaller particles was predominant in the microcolonies (Fig. 3). Hence strains that expressed the Psl polysaccharide and that formed more differentiated biofilms gave higher ratios of 1.0- to 0.5- and 0.2-μm particles.

To determine whether surface properties of the particles affected their uptake in the biofilm, the biofilm was grown together with 1.0-μm sulfate- and carboxylate-modified particles. The sulfate-modified particle is more hydrophobic than the carboxylate-modified particle due to the sulfate modification being shorter than the pendant carboxylate chains, thus exposing more of the hydrophobic aromatic rings of the latex particle (Invitrogen, CA). There were no significant differences between uptake of sulfate- and carboxylate-modified particles (Fig. 2B). Few particles were associated with the non-biofilm-forming Alg+ Pe− Psl− strain. These were instead found attached to the coverslip.

The size-selective incorporation of particles, where larger particles had the greatest access to the interior of the microcolonies, suggests that particle entry is not simply due to penetration through the matrix. To study the mechanism of particle capture by the biofilm, particles were fed into 3-day biofilms rather than from the beginning of the experiment. Confocal images of the biofilms were captured on day 5. Particles were absent in areas where the biofilm had formed by day 3, such as the bottom layer of the biofilm and the centers of microcolonies. Instead, particles were present in the areas of recent biofilm growth, such as the surface, within the top layer of the biofilm, and the exterior of microcolonies (Fig. 3A). Thus, the particles were unable to penetrate regions of high-cell-density layers and microcolonies. They attached to the biofilm surface and were assimilated into the biofilm as they were overgrown and internalized, accumulating at the top layer of the biofilm and microcolony exterior.

Given that particles did not readily penetrate the biofilm, it is likely that the higher accumulation of 1.0-μm particles resulted from processes taking place during microcolony formation. This phase is characterized by continuous matrix production and upward growth of the biofilm as cells divide and migrate upwards from the plain to form the microcolony structure (36). It is possible that as this occurs, 1.0-μm particles at the biofilm surface migrate into the developing microcolony structure, while the 0.5- and 0.2-μm particles slip through the matrix and thus are not effectively retained by the growing biofilm structure.
Exopolysaccharide contributions to biofilm rheology. The viscoelastic properties of the biofilms formed by strains with different combinations of exopolysaccharides were explored by following the MSD and the creep compliance of the 1.0- and 0.5-μm particles embedded in different regions of the biofilms. These regions included the voids (medium above the biofilm), plains (flat layers of cells), and microcolonies (Fig. 1A). The MSD of the particles in the voids was comparable to the MSD of particles in pure medium, which was used as a control. In contrast, particles trapped in the biofilm vibrated at fixed positions, and the MSD values ranged from those typical of viscoelastic materials to those of strongly elastic gels (Fig. 4). The biofilms formed after 3 days of continuous flow culture, and the temporal changes in viscoelastic properties of the biofilm as the biofilm matured from days 3 to 5 were also determined.

Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺ cells formed microcolonies scattered on a thin plain on day 3. The MSD and creep compliance of the 1.0-μm particles in the microcolonies were independent of time and are characteristic of elastic behavior (Fig. 5A). The medians of the MSD and creep compliance (at \( t = 1.5 \) s) were on the order of \( 7.5 \times 10^{-3} \) μm² and \( 4.3 \times 10^{-4} \) Pa⁻¹, respectively, and indicate a higher effective cross-linking within 3-day microcolonies. By day 5, the microcolonies had developed into large distinct mushroom structures indicative of mature microcolonies. The MSD (4.3 \( \times 10^{-4} \) μm²) and creep compliance (2.8 \( \times 10^{-3} \) Pa⁻¹) in these microcolonies increased, thus indicating a lower effective cross-linking within the matrix. The plain was too thin on day 3 to investigate its rheological properties without significant capillary effects from the substratum, and hence the microrheology of the plain was only determined on day 5. The microrheological profile

FIG 2  Ratios of various types of particles (z axis) in biofilm-forming strains (x axis) at days 4 and 5 after continuous feeding with particles upon inoculation of the flow cell. All particle numbers (y axis) are standardized to 100 particles of a size of 1.0 μm and carboxylate modification. (A) Ratio of carboxylate particles according to sizes of 1.0 (purple), 0.5 (red), and 0.2 (orange) μm. (B) Ratio of 1.0-μm particles according to carboxylate (purple) and sulfate (blue) surface modification.

FIG 3  The number of 1.0-μm (purple) particles increases in the microcolonies over the smaller 0.5-μm (red) and 0.2-μm (orange) particles. Particles were placed into medium at day 3, and confocal images were captured at day 5. (A) Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺ microcolony. xy sections of the microcolony show that particles attach to the biofilm surface (top left) and are grown over, accumulating in newer areas of the biofilm, such as the upper part of the microcolony (top right). An xz section of the microcolony with the upper and bottom positions in the z-plane is shown below. (B and C) xy sections of the upper part of Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺ (B) and Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ (C) microcolonies.
of 5-day plains was close to that of 3-day microcolonies and could be reflective of their maturity.

The MSDs and corresponding creep compliance of 3-day microcolonies and 5-day plains in Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>+</sup> biofilms were similar to those of the Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>+</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> strain, with median MSDs of 6.4 × 10<sup>−9</sup> and 5.6 × 10<sup>−5</sup> μm<sup>2</sup> and creep compliances of 3.6 × 10<sup>−4</sup> and 3.2 × 10<sup>−4</sup> Pa<sup>−1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 5B). In contrast to Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>+</sup> Psl<sup>+</sup> biofilms, there was no change in the MSD as the microcolonies of Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>+</sup> cells matured. This suggests that Pel plays a role in the reducing the effective cross-linking within aging biofilms. Despite the heterogenous morphology of the biofilms, the viscoelastic properties of the Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>+</sup> biofilms did not vary significantly throughout the biofilm.

Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells formed the least differentiated biofilms of the strains tested, which largely consisted of thick plains that allowed for particle tracking on days 3 and 5. The MSD α<sup>−1</sup> with exponent α less than 1 indicated that the biofilm had a viscoelastic behavior (Fig. 5C). The biofilm had similar MSD and creep compliance values of 1.7 × 10<sup>−3</sup> μm<sup>2</sup> and 1.1 × 10<sup>−2</sup> Pa<sup>−1</sup> on both days 3 and 5. Differentiation of the plains into microcolonies was only observed after day 3, and thus particle tracking could only be performed on 5-day microcolonies. The lowered MSD indicated that an increase in effective cross-linking in the matrix network is required for microcolony differentiation in Alg<sup>-</sup> Pel<sup>+</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> biofilms. Thus, only the biofilms expressing both Pel and Psl exopolysaccharides displayed distinct rheological differences over time. Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> and Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>+</sup> biofilms were initially similar in rheology, but as the Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> biofilm matured, the most developed structures became less effective cross-linked.

Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells did not form biofilms and many were superficially attached to the substratum. It is suggested that the 1.0-μm particles were trapped in a thin extracellular material secreted by the cells. The graph of the MSD for the 1.0-μm particles exhibited a concave shape, indicating confined diffusion and that the particles were bound to a fixed point via an elastic link in the extracellular material (Fig. 5D).

The MSDs of the 0.5-μm particles in the microcolonies were lower than that of the 1.0-μm particles, indicating that they had been captured by regions of greater effective cross-linking, reflective of their smaller size (Fig. 5E to G). Interestingly, the MSD of the 0.5-μm particles exhibited diffusive behavior at long time scales in 3-day microcolonies of Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> and Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells. It is possible that the smaller particles were able to move through the EPS mesh over a longer time scale. The reduced accumulation of 0.5- and 0.2-μm particles in the microcolonies indicates that they were unable to be retained into microcolonies, in contrast to the 1.0-μm particles. Thus, the matrix resembled a net that was able to capture and trap objects larger than its mesh size but did not capture objects similar to or smaller than its mesh size. In contrast to the 1.0-μm particles, the MSD of the 0.5-μm particles in 3-day microcolonies of Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells did not increase by day 5 (Fig. 5A and E). However, the MSD of the 1-μm particles was more similar to the MSD of the 0.5-μm particles in the 5-day microcolonies of Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells and supports the hypothesis that the contribution of Pel to the rheology of the microcolony increases over time (Fig. 5G). The 0.5-μm particles did not accumulate in the Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> strain.

To examine whether the above effects of Pel and Psl were observable in their nonmucoid counterparts, microcolonies of Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup>, Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup>, and Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> mutant strains were investigated (Fig. 5H). The nonmucoid strains were more effectively cross-linked than their mucoid counterparts. This could be due to a higher concentration of other matrix components, such as environmental DNA (eDNA) and pili, that has been observed in nonmucoid strains (37) or may be a direct rheological effect from the absence of alginate. It was found that the Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> microcolony had higher effective cross-linking than both Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> and Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> microcolonies. Like its mucoid counterpart, the Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> strain also developed microcolonies later than the Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> and Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> strains. The 5-day Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> microcolonies remained less effectively cross-linked than the 3-day microcolonies of the other strains. However, they were no longer as viscoelastic as their mucoid counterparts. The reduction in effective cross-linking of the Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> microcolony was also observed from days 3 to 5. Thus, Psl contributes to a more elastic matrix with highly effective cross-linking, whereas Pel increases the malleability by loosening the matrix in both mucoid and nonmucoid P. aeruginosa.

Rheological differences affect streamer formation. Elastic materials reversibly deform under shear, whereas viscous materials irreversibly deform under shear. To test the hypothesis that Psl makes biofilms more elastic and thus resistant to deformation shear forces, whereas Pel makes the biofilm more malleable, a biofilm streamer cultivation system, which involved growing biofilms on a steel mesh, was developed to assess the correlation between the various P. aeruginosa EPS mutants and biofilm streamer morphology. During the cultivation of P. aeruginosa EPS mutant biofilms, Alg<sup>+</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells initially formed rough surface-attached biofilms. In the later stages, the biofilms became smooth with enhanced spreading (Fig. 6A). Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells formed rough surface-attached biofilms that developed tall microcolonies with minimal spreading (Fig. 6B). Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells formed smooth biofilms with extensive streamer formation. In the later stages, the steel mesh was completely covered by the biofilms (Fig. 6C). Alg<sup>−</sup> Pel<sup>−</sup> Psl<sup>−</sup> cells attached to the mesh but could not form a biofilm (Fig. 6D).

Different roles of Pel and Psl for species integration within biofilm communities. To further explore the ecological impact of the rheological differences caused by Pel and Psl of P. aeruginosa, species integration within a dual-species biofilm comprised of P. aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus was investigated. Alg<sup>−</sup>
The left panels (A to D) show MSDs (left axis) and creep compliances (right axis) of 1.0-μm particles in biofilms expressing the Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺ strain (A), which is elastic and for which microcolonies are reduced in effective cross-linking from days 3 to 5, the Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺ strain (B), which is elastic and does not change in rheology from days 3 to 5, and the Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ strain (C), which is viscoelastic and mainly consists of Plains that do not change in rheology from days 3 to 5. (D) Biofilm is not formed in Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ cells, and particle diffusion appears to be confined by extracellular secretion from a thin layer of cells. The right panels (E to H) show MSDs and creep compliances of 0.5-μm particles in biofilms expressing the strains shown. (E) The Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁺ strain is elastic, and the diffusivity of particles increases at long time scales in 3-day microcolonies, indicating that the biofilm mesh size exceeds 0.5 μm. By day 5, mesh size reduces and rheology is similar to that in Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ microcolonies. (F) The Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁺ strain is elastic, and the diffusivity of particles increases at long time scales in 3- and 5-day microcolonies. The rheological properties of the microcolonies remain constant from days 3 to 5. (G) The Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ strain is viscoelastic and mainly consists of Plains that do not change in rheology from days 3 to 5. The 0.5-μm particles are not retained by the Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ cell layer. The lower curves of 0.5-μm compared to 1.0-μm particles indicate that the smaller particles locate to regions of higher effective cross-linking. (H) MSDs of 1.0-μm particles in Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Pel⁺, Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁺, and Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ microcolonies.
Pel⁺ Psl⁺ *P. aeruginosa* cells and *S. aureus* formed biofilms comprised of two distinct layers. In the lower layer, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* were integrated into mixed-species microcolonies. In the upper layer, *P. aeruginosa* dominated the biofilm, forming monospecies biofilms that capped the microcolonies (Fig. 7A). Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁺ *P. aeruginosa* cells did not associate with *S. aureus* and formed separate microcolonies at the substratum. *P. aeruginosa* also dominated the upper part of the biofilm, forming a monospecies biofilm layer on top of the microcolonies from both species (Fig. 7B). Alg⁺ Pel⁺ Psl⁻ *P. aeruginosa* cells associated with *S. aureus* to form a mixed-species biofilm with a less prominent monospecies *P. aeruginosa* cap (Fig. 7C). Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁻ *P. aeruginosa* cells were unable to associate with *S. aureus* and could only form small clusters of monospecies *P. aeruginosa* cells. The amount of *S. aureus* biofilm was minimal when grown in the presence of Alg⁺ Pel⁻ Psl⁻ *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 7D). Thus, Pel was required for integration of *P. aeruginosa* into mixed-species biofilms, while Psl promoted species segregation and monospecies biofilms. *P. aeruginosa* outcompeted *S. aureus* without the two polysaccharides.

**DISCUSSION**

Microrheological techniques can provide a more quantitative description of how different matrix components contribute to the...
rheology and function of biofilms. Specifically, particle-tracking microcinematography was employed to study the contributions of Pel and Psl exopolysaccharides to the rheological properties of the biofilm matrix. We show here that Psl favors the development of elastic biofilms with highly effective cross-linking, whereas Pel favors viscoelastic and loose biofilms. Biofilms expressing exclusively either Psl or Pel do not appear to change significantly in rheological properties over time. However, when both exopolysaccharides are produced, the biofilm becomes less effectively cross-linked within the mature parts of the biofilm, consistent with a shift in production of the dominant polysaccharides from Psl to Pel.

The reduction in effective cross-linking could be due to a reduction in the expression, release, or degradation of surface-bound Psl at the microcolony center prior to dispersal (22). While the generation of a Psl matrix-free cavity also probably occurs in Pel− Psl− strains, the absence of Psl could have resulted in a complete loss of biofilm and fast dispersal and expulsion of particles without Pel supporting the matrix. Thus, Psl contributes to a stiffer matrix during the initial stages of biofilm development, and Pel increases in contribution to and remodels the matrix into a more malleable structure at the later stages. P. aeruginosa is known to use multiple regulatory systems to control the synthesis of different EPS components during growth (4, 38), and the variation between Psl expression and Pel expression may represent an important adaptation strategy of P. aeruginosa in dynamic and fluctuating environments. We postulate that because young biofilms are thinner and less robust, a more cross-linked and elastic matrix that is relatively more resistant to external mechanical shear forces, such as brushing and rapid flows, is expressed. This would prevent cells from dispersing and thus centralize growth to the newly attached site when the biofilm has just been established and is less populated. After the biofilm has matured and is fully populated, Pel could be used to remodel the matrix to yield a more viscous biofilm. Unlike elastic materials that return to their original shape after stress is removed, viscous materials deform irreversibly when exposed to stress and hence can flow. Thus, a viscous biofilm can more effectively spread laterally to colonize new areas.

We have functionally validated the above hypothesis by growing the biofilm on a steel mesh to promote an alternative, bioprocess-relevant, biofilm morphology (i.e., that of streamers). Streamer formation is dependent on the malleability of the EPS of surface-attached biofilms and can cause rapid disruption of flow in industrial and medical systems (9). Biofilms expressing the Pel polysaccharide initially form a strongly surface-attached rough biofilm. When the Pel polysaccharide was also expressed, surface spreading of the biofilm was enhanced during maturation. Without the Pel polysaccharide, the biofilm spreads minimally and resists streamer formation. As the biofilm matures, it develops tall, rigid colonies. Expression of Pel in the absence of Psl polysaccharide results in extensive streamer formation that eventually fills the spaces between the mesh.

The rheological roles of Pel and Psl in regulating species integration within a dual-species biofilm model were also explored. In cocultures with S. aureus, Pel was required to form mixed-species biofilms with S. aureus at the substratum. Increased loosening and malleability of the P. aeruginosa matrix imparted by Pel may have allowed for S. aureus to infiltrate and associate with P. aeruginosa to produce an integrated, mixed-species biofilm. Alternatively, the loosening of the matrix by Pel may have allowed for P. aeruginosa to interact and become incorporated with the S. aureus matrix (39). Psl expression favored species segregation and formed monospecies microcolonies of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus. The high effective cross-linking in the P. aeruginosa matrix conferred by Psl may have presented a physical barrier that did not allow for interaction with S. aureus strain. Without both polysaccharides, P. aeruginosa outcompeted the S. aureus in the form of tiny cell clusters spread across the substratum. Thus, the entrapment of P. aeruginosa into microcolonies by Psl appeared to provide more substratum space for S. aureus to colonize and establish a biofilm. Psl was also found to be important for dominance of monospecies P. aeruginosa biofilms at the upper layer of the biofilm and could result from Psl facilitating upward growth and microcolony expansion in P. aeruginosa biofilms.

We therefore suggest a new model for P. aeruginosa biofilm remodeling in which Psl is more elastic and acts as stiff wire-like structures to build the initial biofilm architecture and support on-site growth—e.g., the enlargement of existing microcolonies. Pel is more viscous and acts to allow spreading of cells in the matrix, which would be important for expansion during the later stages (Fig. 8). This complements previous studies that have shown that Psl is important for cell attachment (22), biofilm initiation, and microcolony development (20, 40), and Pel is important for pellicle formation (non-surface-attached or floating biofilm morphology) (19). The model presented here is based upon the early creep experiments conducted on P. aeruginosa streamers (8) and suggests that Psl is responsible for constructing the firmly surface-attached streamer head, while Pel designs the loose streamer tail. Recently, a combined optical and atomic force microscopy study has revealed that Psl expression results in cells tilting upwards off the surface, while Pel expression results in P. aeruginosa cells lying flat on surfaces (41). If cell orientation impacts the growth pattern, Psl would direct cells and growth upwards, increasing microcolony height, and Pel would direct cells to grow laterally for the spreading onto new surfaces, consistent with our model.

FIG 8 Schematic of P. aeruginosa streamer formation, in which Psl forms the surface-associated streamer head and Pel forms the streamer tail. Pel acts as stiff wires that build up the biofilm architecture and support on-site growth, such as the enlargement of microcolonies, whereas Pel acts as a spreader and filler important for expansion during the later stages of biofilm development.
Regardless of the strain used, the microsized particles used did not penetrate the biofilm easily once formed. Instead, the particles are incorporated during the growth of the biofilm. Both particle-counting and passive microrheological experiments indicate that the biofilm exhibits a range of mesh sizes smaller than 1.0 μm. The 0.5-μm particles were apparently able to slip through the matrix in the microcolonies, indicating that the matrix mesh size is between 0.5 and 1.0 μm within the microcolonies. Drug delivery using particles to combat biofilms should also consider using particles larger than biofilm mesh size as these results indicate that they would assimilate more readily into the microcolonies than the smaller particles during biofilm growth.

In conclusion, particle-tracking microrheology can be used to spatially and temporally resolve the local mechanical properties of biofilms in real time at the microscope and are effective tools for studying biofilms. Our study shows how different parts of the biofilm can be remodeled using different components of the matrix and suggests that the production of Pel and that of Psl are differentially regulated during biofilm development. The flexibility of the matrix would be an important emergent property of the biofilm resulting from microbial adaption to growth conditions. This could have consequences for P. aeruginosa to compete or cooperate with coexisting species in the biofilm. The concept of modifying the physical properties of the biofilm by using natural components of the matrix has important implications for the guided engineering and control of biofilms for health care and industrial applications—for example, by expressing an elastic and highly cross-linked matrix to limit biofilm propagation by surface spreading and streamer formation or to resist invasion or incorporation of other microbial species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. The P. aeruginosa ΔmucA, ΔmucA ΔpelA, ΔmucA ΔpslB/BCD and ΔmucA ΔpelA ΔpslB/BCD mucoid mutants are described in reference 33, and the P. aeruginosa wild-type strain PAO1 and the ΔpelA and ΔpslB/BCD mutants are described in reference 20. Fluorescence-tagged strains were constructed by the insertion of a mini-Tn7-enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP)-Gm<sup>+</sup> cassette as described (42). Overnight cultures of P. aeruginosa strains were grown in Luria-Bertani broth (10 g liter<sup>−1</sup> NaCl, 10 g liter<sup>−1</sup> yeast extract, 10 g liter<sup>−1</sup> tryptone), and overnight cultures of S. aureus 15981 strains (43) were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) (5 g liter<sup>−1</sup> NaCl, 2.5 g liter<sup>−1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 17 g liter<sup>−1</sup> tryptone, 3 g liter<sup>−1</sup> soytrye, 0.25% [wt/vol] glucose) at 37°C under shaking conditions (200 rpm).

Cultivation of flow cell biofilms. Overnight P. aeruginosa cultures were diluted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) of 0.4, and 350 μl was injected into the flow cell chambers with individual channel dimensions of 1 by 4 by 40 mm to grow biofilms. Biofilms were fed with 1× M9 medium (48 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 22 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 19 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 9 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>) supplemented with 0.04% [wt/vol] glucose and 0.2% [wt/vol] Casamino Acids at a flow rate of 5.5 × 10<sup>−3</sup> m s<sup>−1</sup> and room temperature of 25°C. The bacteria were initially allowed to attach to the coverslip substratum for 1 h before M9 medium was fed into the chambers using a Masterflex L/S 07523-80 peristaltic pump. For the development of biofilm streamers, a steel mesh of mesh size of 200 μm by 262.5 μm was fitted into the channels of the flow cell chamber. The flow system was assembled and prepared as described previously (44). Confocal images of the biofilms were taken on days 4 and 5 (Zeiss LSM780 confocal system).

Cultivation of static polymicrobial biofilms. Overnight cultures of mucoid P. aeruginosa and S. aureus cultures were diluted 100-fold in TSB. The P. aeruginosa mucoid strains and mutants were each mixed 1:1 (vol/vol) with S. aureus to form the cocultures. μ-Slide 8-well microscopy chambers (ibidi, Munich, Germany) were inoculated with 200-μl concentrations of 1:1 (vol/vol) cocultures of mucoid P. aeruginosa and S. aureus and incubated for 1 day at 37°C under static conditions for biofilm formation. Biofilms were stained with SYTO62 (Invitrogen, CA) to allow visualization of S. aureus, and confocal images of the biofilms were taken on day 1 (Zeiss LSM780 confocal system).

Particle counting. Particles in the confocal images of biofilms captured at days 4 and 5 were counted using IMARIS software (Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland). The particles were located using a Gaussian filter, and the initial thresholds were checked for accuracy. The size selectivity ratios for each strain are a mean of ratios calculated from a set of four confocal images, each covering a surface area of 212.55 μm<sup>2</sup> by 212.55 μm. Errors are given as standard errors of the means.

Passive microrheology. Fluorescent particles (Invitrogen, CA) with diameters of 1.0, 0.5, and 0.2 μm were dispersed in the M9 medium to final concentrations of 2.18 × 10<sup>9</sup> particles ml<sup>−1</sup> and continuously fed to the biofilm. This allowed for incorporation of the particles into the matrix during the course of biofilm development. The Brownian motion of the particles, driven by thermal energies (k<sub>B</sub>T), was followed using fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Axio Imager M1) on days 3 and 5. By monitoring the particle’s mean squared displacement (MSD) over time, t, the viscoelastic properties of the biofilm can be measured. The MSD of the bead is proportional to the creep compliance, J(t), of the material in which the bead is embedded according to the relation

\[ J(t) = \frac{3na}{2k_BT}(\Delta r^2(t)) \]

where J is creep compliance, a is particle diameter, k<sub>B</sub> is the Boltzmann constant, and T is temperature. The exposure time is short enough to minimize dynamic error and the static error in the MSD as estimated by monitoring 1.0-μm immobilized beads. It is assumed that the structures of the biofilm that give rise to the viscoelastic properties are much smaller than the size of the beads. These measurements can be used to map out the spatial variations in biofilm’s mechanics and microstructure. The particle trajectories were obtained with public domain tracking software http://physics.georgetown.edu/matlab/, and further analysis was performed using home-written MatLab scripts (30, 45). The MatLab scripts calculate the mean square displacement in two dimensions by averaging the widths of the distributions obtained in the x and y directions. Each MSD curve was calculated from a range of 5 to 10 videos of 1 to 2.5 min and a frame rate of approximately 30 to 75 frames per s.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://mbio.asm.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1128/mBio.01536-14/-/DS1 supplemental.
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