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Abstract

The energy band alignment between HfAlO and GaN (0001) was characterized using high-resolution x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The HfAlO was deposited using a metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) gate cluster. A valence band offset of 0.38 eV and a conduction band offset of 2.22 eV were obtained across the HfAlO/GaN heterointerface without any passivation. With in situ SiH₄ passivation (vacuum anneal+SiH₄ treatment) on the GaN surface right before HfAlO deposition, the valence band offset and the conduction band offset across the HfAlO/GaN heterointerface were found to be 0.51 eV and 2.09 eV, respectively. The difference in the band alignment is believed to be dominated by the core level up-shift or chemical shift in the GaN substrate as a result of different interlayers (ILs) formed by the two surface preparations.
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1. Introduction

AlGaN/GaN high-electron-mobility transistor (HEMTs) are attractive for high power, high temperature, and high frequency applications, mainly due to the large critical electric field and high electron saturation velocity ($2.5 \times 10^7 \text{cm/s}$) of AlGaN/GaN heterostructure.\textsuperscript{1-4} Although microwave output power density up to 32 W/mm and total RF output powers in excess of 360 W have been demonstrated, the issues related to the reliabilities faced by AlGaN/GaN HEMTs still remain to be solved in order to move toward commercially available devices.\textsuperscript{5-6} As well know, the effect that most severely limits the RF power performance of AlGaN/GaN HEMTs is the so-called RF current collapse or RF power slump, which originates from trapping effects at the device surface and/or in the buffer.\textsuperscript{7} One of the technological solutions that have been proposed as a possible cure for this detrimental effect is to passivate the surface using a dielectric, such as SiNx, SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, ZnO, etc.\textsuperscript{5,8,9,10} In addition, AlGaN/GaN HEMTs with a conventional Schottky gate structure usually suffer from a large gate leakage, which reduces the maximum output power and results in some reliability issues.\textsuperscript{11-12} High gate leakage could be reduced by integrating an oxide between the metal gate and the nitride and forming a metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) structure. The gate oxide layer also works as a surface passivation layer so as to reduce the surface traps and suppress the surface trapping effects. Various oxides have been investigated as the gate insulators in the MOSHEMTs, such as Al$_2$O$_3$, HfO$_2$, MgO, Sc$_2$O$_3$, ZrO$_2$ etc.\textsuperscript{13-21} Among these dielectric materials, HfAlO is one of the most promising dielectrics to be applied into MOS-HEMTs, due to its higher crystallization temperature ($\sim 800 ^\circ \text{C}$) than that of pure HfO$_2$ ($\sim 400 ^\circ \text{C}$) and its higher dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_r \sim 20$) than that of pure Al$_2$O$_3$ ($\varepsilon_r \sim$
Typically, people are using a thin GaN cap in the AlGaN/GaN HEMT or MOS-HEMT structures, in order to increase the Schottky barrier height and reduce the gate leakage current\textsuperscript{11-12}. To understand the electrical properties of dielectric/nitride interface knowing its band alignment is very important. Band alignment at the dielectric/GaN interface would be very useful in the modeling of the gate leakage current and help in the design of AlGaN/GaN MOS-HEMTs with a GaN cap for optimum performance. There are some reports available on the band-alignment study for the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/GaN and HfO\textsubscript{2}/GaN heterostructures\textsuperscript{25}. However, still very few publications on the HfAlO/GaN band-alignment study can be found. Recent work showed that the AlGaN/GaN MOS-HEMTs with \textit{in situ} SiH\textsubscript{4} passivation have an improved sub-threshold swing, an increased on-state current, and a reduced gate leakage current\textsuperscript{26-27}. However, the effect of \textit{in situ} SiH\textsubscript{4} passivation on the band alignment at HfAlO/GaN interface have not be fully investigated. As such, a study of the band alignment and effect of \textit{in situ} SiH\textsubscript{4} passivation on HfAlO/GaN interface would be of interest, since the nature of this interface has a direct bearing on the device characteristics.

\section*{2. Experiment details}

The band alignment at HfAlO/GaN interface was studied experimentally using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) for different surface treatments of GaN. The test samples were prepared using undoped GaN (0001) on sapphire wafers, and the GaN epitaxial layer has a thickness of 2 \textmu m and a root-mean-square surface roughness of less than 0.5 nm. All samples went through the pre-gate cleaning process, which consisted of a 2-minute acetone, 3-minute isopropanol degreasing step, a 10-minute HCl (H\textsubscript{2}O:...
HCl=1:1) native oxide removal step, and an *ex situ* surface passivation using (NH$_4$)$_2$S solution for 30 minutes. Work related to (NH$_4$)$_2$S solution treatment could be found in Ref. [27]. After pre-gate cleaning, the wafers were quickly loaded into a multiple-chamber metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) gate cluster system for *in situ* SiH$_4$ passivation and high-*k* deposition. Vacuum anneal (VA) and SiH$_4$ treatment were performed for 1 minute under 300 and 400 °C, respectively. The detailed process conditions of *in situ* SiH$_4$ passivation can be founded elsewhere. After passivation, a 3 nm HfAlO high-*k* dielectric was deposited using the same MOCVD gate cluster. Before the dielectric deposition, the sample was not exposed to air ambient at all. Finally, there was a post-deposition anneal (PDA) at 500 °C for 60 s in N$_2$ ambient for all the samples. For the control HfAlO(3nm)/GaN sample, VA and SiH$_4$ treatment were skipped. The “bulk samples” consist of a pre-cleaned GaN substrate and a HfAlO(30nm )/GaN substrate.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of both the control and SiH$_4$ passivated samples. An interfacial layer (IL) of about 1 nm is observed in both samples. The nature of these layers formed by two different surface treatments was further investigated by XPS. In this experiment, XPS analysis was conducted using a mono-chromatized Al Kα (1486.6 Ev) x-ray source on the VG ESCALAB 220i-XL system with a constant pass energy of 20 eV. The banding energy calibration was performed using gold (Au), silver (Ag), and copper (Cu) standard samples by setting the Au 4f$_{7/2}$, Ag 3d$_{5/2}$, and Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ peaks at binding energies of 83.98±0.02, 368.26±0.02,
and 932.67±0.02 eV, respectively. The Fermi level edge was calibrated using pure nickel (Ni) and setting the binding energy at 0.00±0.02 eV. To determine the valence band offset for the HfAlO/GaN interface, the Ga 3p and the Hf 4f core-level spectra were used for the GaN and HfAlO samples, respectively.

Figure 2 shows the XPS measurement for the core-level spectra as well as the valence band maximum (VBM) for the various samples. For the bulk samples, the VBM is obtained from the intercept of the slope at the leading edge of the valence band spectrum with the base line as shown in Figure 2 (a) and (b). XPS is well-established to determine the band discontinuities at the heterojunction interface, based on the assumption that the energy difference between the valence band edge and core level peak of the substrate is intact with or without the over-layer deposition.\(^{29,31}\) Although the valence band offset at the interface of two materials can be estimated from the difference between VBM, this contains an appreciable error since the interface dipole which generally exists is not accounted for. A more accurate value for the valence band offset \(\Delta E_V\) between HfAlO and GaN can be obtained through the method discussed in Ref. \(^{32}\) and is given by

\[
\Delta E_V = \left( E_{\text{CL},\text{GaN}} - E_{\text{V},\text{GaN}} \right) - \Delta E_{\text{CL}} - \left( E_{\text{CL},\text{HfAlO}} - E_{\text{V},\text{HfAlO}} \right),
\]

(1)

where \(E_{\text{CL},\text{GaN}}\) and \(E_{\text{V},\text{GaN}}\) are the core-level and the valence band maximum binding energy of the GaN bulk sample, \(E_{\text{CL},\text{HfAlO}}\) and \(E_{\text{V},\text{HfAlO}}\) are the core-level and the valence band maximum binding energy of the HfAlO bulk sample, and \(\Delta E_{\text{CL}}\) is the difference between the respective core-level binding energy of HfAlO and GaN in the HfAlO/GaN interface sample.\(^{33-35}\) Similar approach has been used to study the band alignment of GaN-related system, and can be found in Ref. [36]-[38]. The energy differences for the
bracketed terms as well as $\Delta E_{CL}$ are also illustrated in Figure 2. The valence band offset between the HfAlO and GaN as calculated from Ga 3p and the Hf 4f core-levels using Eq. (1) is 0.38 eV for the control sample. Based on the valence band offset, the conduction band offset can be calculated by

$$\Delta E_C = E_{G,HfAlO} - E_{G,GaN} - \Delta E_V,$$

(2)

Where $E_{G,HfAlO}$ and $E_{G,GaN}$ is the band-gap for HfAlO and GaN, respectively. With known values of the bandgap for HfO$_2$ (5.9 eV) and Al$_2$O$_3$ (7.0 eV), The bandgap of HfAlO (10 % Al$_2$O$_3$) estimated to be 6.0 eV using a linear interpolate.\textsuperscript{16,39} Using a value of 6.0 eV for the HfAlO band-gap and a value of 3.4 eV for the GaN bandgap, a conduction band offset $\Delta E_C$ of 2.22 eV is obtained for the control sample.\textsuperscript{40} Similarly, the valence band offset $\Delta E_V$ and conduction band offset $\Delta E_C$ for the HfAlO/GaN interface sample with \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivation are calculated to be 0.51 and 2.09 eV, respectively. The conduction band offset $\Delta E_C$ was lowered by amount of 0.13 eV for the sample with \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivation. Using the calculated values, the energy band diagram for the control and passivated samples can be deduced and are shown in Figure 3. From Figure 3, it can be seen that HfAlO/GaN interface has a Type I alignment for both samples. Also, the HfAlO/GaN interface with \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivation has a lower $\Delta E_C$, compared to that of the control. Table 1 summarizes the differences between the core-levels as well as the valence and conduction band offsets obtained for the control and sample with \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivation.

The mechanism causing the difference in the band alignment at the HfAlO/GaN interface between the control sample and the sample with \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivation was revealed as the different ILs formed at the HfAlO/GaN interface. The effect of \textit{in situ}
SiH₄ passivation on the band alignment at the HfAlO/GaN interface was then investigated. Figure 4 (a) shows the presence of GaOₓ at the HfAlO/GaN interface for the control. With *in situ* SiH₄ passivation on GaN surface, the GaOₓ disappears and a SiOᵧ is observed in Figure 4 (b). This signifies the presence of SiOᵧ in place of GaOₓ at the HfAlO/GaN interface. During the SiH₄ treatment, several monolayers of Si will be deposited on the GaN surface. For the GaN layer with *in situ* SiH₄ passivation prior to HfAlO deposition, the Ga-ON peak is absent as the GaN surface is protected by several monolayers of Si during the HfAlO deposition. Through XPS analysis, as shown in Figure 4 (b), these Si monolayers were subsequently oxidized (~1 nm SiOᵧ) during the HfAlO deposition [Figure 1 (b)]. It should be noted that Si was not introduced in the control sample, and oxidation of GaN surface took place during HfAlO deposition, thus lead to GaOₓ IL formation in the control sample.” As shown in Figure 5 (a), there is no obvious shift of the core-level Hf 4f peak for both samples, which means the band alignment difference for the samples with and without *in situ* SiH₄ passivation is not caused by the energy level change of the HfAlO layer. However, the peak of the core-level Ga 2p for the passivated sample shown in Figure 5 (b) was shifted to lower binding energy, as compared to the control, which indicates that the charges or the dipoles mainly reside at the IL/GaN interface. The peak shift (~ 0.24 eV) of the core-level Ga 2p for the passivated sample is due to the chemical shift or the removal of native oxide at interface layer. With The band alignment difference, such as 0.13 eV ΔEₓ difference, is mainly caused by the chemical shift or core-level up-shift in the GaN.²⁵ With GaOₓ IL layer being replaced by SiOᵧ IL layer at GaN/HfAlO interface, there is an upward energy band bending towards IL/HfAlO interface.²⁵ It was reported that the interface traps located at
HfAlO/GaN interface could also contribute to band alignment difference, but the effect of interface trap could not account for 0.13 eV difference in $\Delta E_C$. Therefore, the band alignment for HfAlO/GaN interface is dominated by the chemical bonding of IL, which further leads to up-shift of core level of GaN layer.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the band alignment of MOCVD-HfAlO/GaN with different surface treatments was studied by high resolution XPS measurement. The valence band offset and corresponding conduction band offset for the HfAlO/GaN interface were found to be 0.38 eV and 2.22 eV, respectively, for the non-passivated sample, and 0.51 eV and 2.09 eV, respectively, for the sample with in situ SiH$_4$ passivation. The band alignment difference is believed to be dominated by the up-shift in the core level or chemical shift in the GaN substrate as a result of different interfacial layer formed by two different surface treatments. The physics details of the band alignment at HfAlO/GaN interface will provide a guide for the device design for AlGaN/GaN MOS-HEMTs with a thin GaN cap layer.
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Figures Caption

Fig. 1 (a) Cross-sectional TEM images of HfAlO/GaN sample without \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivation, showing that a native oxide interfacial layer (~ 1 nm) was formed on the GaN surface. (b) Cross-sectional TEM images of HfAlO/GaN sample with \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivation, showing that an oxidizing Si layer (~ 1 nm) was formed on the GaN surface.

Fig. 2 The core-level and valence band spectra for (a) bulk GaN and (b) bulk HfAlO samples. The intercept between the base line and the slope of the leading edge gives the valence band maximum VBM of the sample, where the Fermi level is taken as the reference level. The core level spectra of Ga 3p and Hf 4f obtained for (c) control and (d) \textit{in situ} SiH$_4$ passivated HfAlO(3nm)/GaN interface sample are also obtained. Energy differences between core level and VBM are computed as shown for the subsequent calculation of $\Delta E_V$.

Fig. 3 (a) Schematic of energy band line-up at the HfAlO/GaN heterointerface without \textit{in situ} VA anneal and SiH$_4$ treatment. (b) Schematic of energy band line-up at the HfAlO/GaN heterointerface with \textit{in situ} VA anneal and SiH$_4$ treatment. Energy band line-up for HfAlO/GaN interface with and without \textit{in situ} VA anneal and SiH$_4$ treatment has a Type I alignment. Numerical values of $\Delta E_C$, $\Delta E_V$, and $\Delta E_{CL}$ obtained using XPS are also indicated.

Fig. 4 XPS showing the Ga 3p spectra of two GaN samples coated with ~ 3 nm HfAlO. (a) Ga-O peaks are observed for the sample without \textit{in situ} VA anneal and SiH$_4$ treatment, and (b) Ga-O peak disappears for the sample with \textit{in situ} VA anneal and SiH$_4$ treatment, and Si-O peak is detected.

Fig. 5 XPS shows the core levels of (a) Hf 4f and (b) Ga 2p spectra for two samples shown in Fig. 4. With \textit{in situ} VA anneal and SiH$_4$ treatment, the binding energy (BE) of Ga 2p peak is shifted to lower BE, as compared with that of control sample. The change in the band alignment is mainly due to the up-shift in the core level in the GaN.
Table Caption

Table 1: Differences between the core-levels as well as the valence and conduction band offsets obtained for the control and sample with *in situ* SiH₄ passivation.
Figure 1. Liu et al.
Figure 2. Liu et al.
\[ \Delta E_C = 2.22 \text{ eV} \quad \Delta E_V = 0.38 \text{ eV} \quad \Delta E_{cl} = 88.66 \text{ eV} \]

\[ \Delta E_C = 2.09 \text{ eV} \quad \Delta E_V = 0.51 \text{ eV} \quad \Delta E_{cl} = 88.53 \text{ eV} \]

Figure 3. Liu et al.
Figure 4. Liu et al.
Figure 5. Liu et al.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SiH₄ passivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{CL}$</td>
<td>88.66 eV</td>
<td>88.53 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{C}$</td>
<td>2.22 eV</td>
<td>2.09 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{V}$</td>
<td>0.38 eV</td>
<td>0.51 eV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Liu et al.