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Homogeneous bonding was successfully demonstrated on 150 mm Si wafers by face-to-face direct dielectric bonding of clean and smooth aluminum nitride (AIN) layers. Characterization result from XPS confirms the layer composition and reveals that approximately 5 nm of the layer surface was partially oxidized during processing. After activation, substoichiometric nitrogen bond to aluminum, Al-O and Al-OH bonds were found at the thin film surface. The as-bonded wafer pairs are nearly void and particle free with a high bonding strength of 1527.8 ± 272.2 mN/m. 

Additionally, SOI formed through Al2O3-Al2O3 bonding was successfully demonstrated on 150 mm Si wafer. It provides a possibility to fabricate bonded wafers with enhanced thermal conductivity through room temperature AIN-AlN direct bonding. Its promising heat dissipation capability is verified by COMSOL multi-physic simulation and experimental results obtained from resistance thermal detector (RTD).

Experimental

**AIN-AlN layer bonding.**— All Si wafers used for bonding were p-type, 150 mm Si (100) test grade wafers, thickness of 625 ± 25 μm, total thickness variation (TTV) < 10 μm and with the resistivity in the range of 10–20 Ω·cm. Firstly, the wafers were pre-cleaned by the standard RCA cleaning method for the purpose of organic and metallic contaminants removal. Then, a 20 nm AIN thin film was deposited onto the pre-cleaned Si surface by sputtering aluminum in N2 atmosphere at 75°C. While for comparative study, a 20 nm of SiO2 and Al2O3 were deposited via PECVD and ALD system, respectively. After deposition, a pre-annealing step was provided to densify the AIN thin film, allowing outgassing of the incorporated gases. This process helps to eliminate voids formation at the bonding interface in the subsequent processes and is significantly important to improve the bonding quality. The pre-annealing was carried out at 450°C for 1 hr. During pre-annealing, the furnace was purged with N2 gas to minimize the contact with air or moisture. Next, the pre-annealed wafers underwent 15 s Ar plasma activation for surface hydrophilicity enhancement. They were subsequently cleaned by de-ionized (DI) water rinse and dried with spin dryer. Prior to the bonding, the properties of AIN thin film, such as film composition and roughness, were measured by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). AIN-AlN layer bonding was initiated at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Then, the bond was strengthened by annealing at 300°C in N2 ambient for a duration of 3 hr.

The formation process of AIN-AlN layer bonding is illustrated in Figure 1. The bonding quality was verified by both infrared (IR) imaging and cross-sectional transmission electron microscope (X-TEM), while the post-annealing bonding strength was calculated based on Maszara's crack opening method.

**Thermal characteristics measurement.**— After the bond strengthening annealing, Si on one side of the bonded structure was removed
by grinding and wet etching. Next, RTD patterns using gold (Au) lines, in the form of Kelvin structures, were formed through lithography, metal deposition, and lift-off processes. Figure 2 depicts the top-view of this RTD pattern and the dimension of zig-zag line in the pattern was 1445 μm in length, 15 μm in width, and the thickness of Au line was 150 nm. Here, a 30 nm thick chrome layer was used to promote the adhesion. Then, I-V measurement was carried out on these RTDs to verify the thermal characteristics of the bonding layers.

It is well-known that Au resistivity increases with temperature in a linear fashion. Hence, by measuring the resistance of RTD pattern formed from Au line Kelvin structures, the temperature variation can be easily estimated. In the I-V measurement, a small current I was applied across the RTD, and voltages at two terminals of the pattern was denoted as V1 and V2. Thus, the RTD’s resistance can be calculated from the following equation:

\[ R = \frac{V_1 - V_2}{I} \]

Then, the resistance dependency on temperature was measured between 25 to 150 °C with a temperature increment of 25 °C/step. The injected stressing current was 5 mA to minimize the self-heating effect. After that, a linear approximation between the resistance of RTD and temperature was done by fitting into the equation, expressed as:

\[ R(T) = R(T_0) + a(T - T_0) \]

where \( R(T) \) and \( R(T_0) \) are the resistances measured at temperature \( T \) and \( T_0 \), respectively; and \( a \) is the slope of this linear approximation. Here, \( T_0 \) was set at the room temperature (25 °C). Later, RTD was subjected to a stressing current in the range of 5–100 mA at room temperature with a 5 mA incremental interval. Its resistance at various stressing to a stressing current in the range of 5–100 mA at room temperature was denoted as \( a \) and \( V_2 \). The resultant temperature deviation in RTD can be utilized as the power induced by self-heating of the zig-zag Au line was obtained. As a result, the calibrated temperature of RTD as a function of applied current was calculated and calibrated into the linear fitted equation.

Figure 2. Top-view of the RTD pattern for thermal characteristics measurement.

Figure 3. Atomic concentration profiles of pre-annealed AlN sample by XPS.

Results and Discussion

AlN film composition. — XPS measurement was performed to verify the composition of AlN thin film. Owing to the sputter deposition method, a large amount of gases was incorporated in the thin film. These gases would out-diffuse and cause the formation of voids when the annealing temperature is above 100 °C. With the increasing annealing time and temperature, the voids are enlarged and finally lead to large debonded areas around the wafer center region. In order to eliminate voids formation, a pre-annealing process was provided at 450 °C. However, it is reported that the AlN thin film is prone to oxidation when exposed to air. Moreover, annealing at a high temperature accelerates the oxidation. With these concerns, XPS was carried out on a 30 nm-thick pre-annealed AlN thin film sample using monochromatic Al K-α source for composition examination. From the obtained atomic concentration diagram shown in Figure 3, the atomic ratio between Al and N within the film is nearly 1:1, which confirms that the sputtered film is stoichiometrically matched to that of AlN. However, there is a slight mismatch in the stoichiometry at the surface region, where the oxygen concentration is 20.8 at%. This indicates that the AlN thin film was partially oxidized by H2O or O2 in the air and/or air leakage into the N2 furnace. When the film is sputter-etched, the atomic concentration of oxygen drops to 5.2-5.7 at%. The possible sources introducing oxygen into the thin film are the impurities from source materials and gas incorporation during sputtering caused by the relatively high chamber pressure.

Figure 4 and 5 show the XPS spectra of Al 2p and N 1s measured at the film surface and within the film of pre-annealed and activated samples. All the results were calibrated against C 1s peak at 284.6 eV. Each plot of Al 2p peak is deconvoluted into two distinct sub-peaks, noted as sub-peak #1 at 73.6 ± 0.1 eV and sub-peak #2 at 74.6 ± 0.6 eV. Since it is anticipated that the sputtered film is AlN, the dominant sub-peak #1 is thus assigned to aluminum bound to nitrogen, coinciding with previously reported values. The sub-peak #2 which has a higher binding energy is assigned to Al-O binding because of the strong electron withdrawing capability of oxygen. Moreover, this peak is close to the reported binding energy of Al-O in aluminum oxide and hydroxides, supporting this assignment. The emergence of Al-O bonds can be attributed to two reasons: a) surface exposure to H2O or O2 and annealing accelerated oxidation; and b) plasma activation induced bond defects which facilitate oxygen incorporation to aluminum. Owing to the conjunction effect of surface oxidation and plasma activation, Al 2p sub-peak #2 is observed to have the highest intensity at the activated sample surface (shown in Figure 4c) and the lowest intensity within the pre-annealed film (shown in Figure 4b).

Figure 1. Formation process for AlN-AlN layer bonding and RTD on the bonded wafers.

Figure 4. Bonding & Annealing

Figure 5. Activation

Figure 6. Sputtering
From Figure 5, two sub-peaks of N 1s are at 396.6 ± 0.1 eV and 398.6 ± 0.2 eV. The sub-peak #1 at 396.6 ± 0.1 eV corresponds to nitrogen in AlN.22,26 The second sub-peak is assigned to nitrogen in the bound state that has a stronger electron withdrawing environment than AlN. It is expected to be in the form of N-Al-O. The FWHM of N 1s sub-peak #2 of activated sample surface is found to be broader than the rest. The broadening is due to nitrogen bound to aluminum that has one or more oxygen coordination. Apart from this, another deconvolution of N 1s peak at 398.2 eV is observed at the activated film surface. This peak is considered to be substoichiometric nitrogen, which is caused by bond defects induced stoichiometry destruction. However, no N-O bound state is observed, which is reported to be within the range of chemical shift between hydroxyl oxygen in hydroxide and O2− oxygen in oxides.27,28 OH− species are arisen from the chemisorption of water at the active site of thin film surface. Therefore, it can be suggested from the XPS results that the surface of AlN thin film consists of substoichiometric nitrogen bound to aluminum, Al-O and Al-OH bonds after plasma activation. In addition, the hydrophilicity is improved, with the contact angle dropping from 36.5° after pre-annealing process to 10.5° after plasma activation. It is because plasma activation can introduce bond defects, resulting in dangling bonds and enhanced chemical reactivity.22 As a consequence, hydroxyl can easily bond to the active sites of the activated sample surface.33 This can give rise to a more hydrophilic surface.

Surface roughness.— Direct wafer bonding only occurs between activated wafer pairs when the stringent requirements in surface morphology and roughness are fulfilled. Thus, well control of surface roughness is essential in obtaining successfully bonded wafers, where the root mean square (RMS) roughness needs to be less than 0.5 nm for polar group passivated surface.24 Smooth and adhesive thin film was sputtered with the RMS of 0.13 nm in a 5 μm × 5 μm AFM scan area as shown in Figure 6a. The roughness value is further reduced to 0.12 nm after the pre-annealing process, which can be seen from Figure 6b. The smoothening in surface roughness is originated from both the surface oxidation process35 and the removal of incorporated gases. Though Ar plasma activation roughens the surface (shown in Figure 6c), the RMS value of activated surface, which is 0.33 nm, is still within the bonding requirement. Thus, it allows direct wafer bonding when bringing two such wafers into intimate contact.

Bonding quality.— The IR imaging result in Figure 7a shows that AlN thin film exhibits very good bondability when two of them are bonded face-to-face. The good bondability can be attributed to the sufficiently clean and flat surface with low surface roughness. Only very few unbonded areas were observed due to the presence of particles, which were trapped during wafer handling and can be eliminated with careful control of contamination sources. This led to a respectable AlN-AlN bonded interface. After annealing, no obvious void enlargement or new void formation was found, which indicates that out-gassing is not significant. The low out-gassing level is thanks to the pre-annealing process introduced prior to wafer bonding. As microvoids are not within the detection limit of IR imaging due to its low resolution, X-TEM was employed to detect the voids from microscopic scale. Figure 8 shows the X-TEM image taken from the bonded region center of bond-strengthening annealed wafer pairs. The TEM sample was prepared by focused ion beam (FIB) milling. According to the X-TEM images, it reveals that the bonded layer is uniform...
without defects. This further confirms that a seamless bonding has been successfully achieved. AlN layers were deposited uniformly on each Si wafer with small thickness variation. The total thickness of bonded layer is 23.8 nm. Here, the large difference between measured and target bonded layer thickness was caused by imprecision in sputtering rate. Hence, the calibrated sputtering rate based on the thickness information obtained from TEM was used for AlN thin film deposition in the rest experiments. According to Figure 8, the bonding interface region appears to be brighter (6.1 nm), due to film surface oxidation upon exposing to air and stoichiometry destruction during activation.

Figure 9 plots the EDX line scan result performed across the bonding interface. Based on the result, the bonding interface is AlNO with a high O and low N content. However, the O level is low within the AlN layer. Additionally, slight interdiffusion between AlN and Si is observed. All these results agree with the findings from XPS.

The bonding strength is estimated using the crack opening model. A crack is introduced by inserting a razor blade, thickness of 2y, into
the edges of the bonded wafer pairs. Then, the crack propagates along the interface, giving rise to a crack length L. The bonding strength γ can be calculated through substituting the value of L into the following equation:

$$\gamma = \frac{3E_t t^2}{8L^2}$$

where $E$ is the elastic modulus of Si (100), and $t$ is the thickness of a single wafer. Based on this method, the crack length of the bonded sample is 22.6 ± 0.9 mm and the bonding strength is estimated to be 1527.8 ± 272.2 mJ/m², which is sufficiently high to withstand the subsequent process steps. It has been proven by the fact that the sample is able to withstand FIB preparation steps without any debonding issue.

Polymerization of M-OHs by hydrogen bonding is one reason for its high bonding strength. Similar to other group III metals, Al behaves less ionic and its hydroxides has strong polarization power. Thus, its hydroxides can polymerize forming strong M-O-M bonding with water molecule as the by-product, which can be expressed as:

$$M-OH + H2O → M-O-M + H2O.$$  

The resultant water at bonding interface is first absorbed by the amorphous bonding layer, and then diffuses to react with Si under low temperature annealing. Through this bonding interface reaction, H₂O is converted into H₂, which is a small molecule and can diffuse easily along the bonding layer or through Si. However, it is still not clear if there is any reaction between M-NH groups across the bonding interfaces similar to that in Si₃N₄. Since the substoichiometric nitrogen groups formed after activation are non-fully coordinated and chemically reactive, it is anticipated that they are likely to be passivated by H after DI water rinse. Consequently, the surface is covered with M-NH groups. It is possible that they would react and form M-N₃-M bonds with the release of hydrogen.

**Thermal characteristics of bonded wafers.**— According to the COMSOL multi-physic simulation results, AlN has shown a great advantage as the insulator layer in terms of heat dissipation capability compared with conventional insulator materials SiO₂ and the recently widely studied Al₂O₃. Their heat dissipation capability was investigated and compared by studying the temperature profile across the bonded structure when the material stack was subjected to a heat flux of $1 \times 10^8$ W/m². To reduce the computation load, material stack with 40 nm bonding layer and 10 μm Si substrate was used in this simulation. From Figure 10, the simulated surface temperature of 40 nm SiO₂, Al₂O₃, AlN, Si on Si structures are 35.25, 32.50, 32.42, and 32.42°C, respectively. 40 nm AlN on Si appeared to have the similar temperature profile as direct Si-Si bonded wafers, because they have close thermal conductivity values (AlN: 134 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹ and Si: 131 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹). Thus, it can be concluded that the presence of AlN thin film does not degrade the thermal property or hinder heat from spreading to the underlying Si substrate.

To further confirm the findings from COMSOL multi-physic simulation results, I-V measurement was carried out on RTDs that had been formed on the bonded wafers after grinding with three different bonding intermediate layers: SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and AlN. In the I-V measurement, each data point took the average from 50 sampling times. Then, the calculated RTD resistance is substituted into the respective linear fitted Eq. 2. Thus, the calibrated temperature increase in RTD under stressing current in the range of 5–100 mA is obtained. Figure 11 shows the measurement results against 5 samples. It can be revealed that the temperature increase in RTD formed on the AlN bonding layer is less obvious than the rest under the same stressing current. When plotting the temperature increase in RTD against supply power density, a linear fitted curve can be obtained (shown in Figure 12). According to the measured data, the samples with RTD on AlN bonding material are estimated to have the smallest temperature increment of 2.98 ± 0.08°C under a supply power density of 1000 W/cm², whereas the temperature increase in SiO₂ and Al₂O₃
 bonded samples are 3.89 $\pm$ 0.10 and 3.56 $\pm$ 0.10 $^\circ$C, respectively. As compared to the traditional bonding material SiO$_2$, there is around 23% improvement in heat dissipation capability by using AlN as the bonding layer. This result experimentally proves that the AlN has the best heat dissipation capability among these three bonding materials studied here. The relatively low improvement in heat dissipation capability by using AlN, comparing to the expectation, is attributed to the poor quality of sputtered film and the presence of AlN bonding surface serving as a barrier for heat dissipation. Moreover, the stack structure used in this demonstration is also responsible for it, where the RTDs are formed on top of 40 nm bonding layer/650 $\mu$m-thick Si. In this case, the Si substrate plays a dominant role in the heat dissipation. However, the improvement in heat dissipation is expected to be more significant when applying AlN in other bonding cases, such as III-V wafer bonding and Ge wafer bonding, as these semiconductor materials have much lower thermal conductivity than Si.

Conclusions

In conclusion, a successful void-free AlN-AlN layer bonding was demonstrated on 150 mm Si wafer. Characterization results verified that the sputtered film was AlN, which was clean, smooth and with only approximately 5 nm partially oxidized top surface. The surface of the activated AlN thin film is verified to compose of substoichiometric nitrogen bond to aluminum, Al-O and Al-OH bonds, based on XPS spectra. The results have shown that a respectable AlN-AlN bonded interface is achieved with very few unbonded areas. The bond strength reached 1527.8 $\pm$ 272.2 mJ/m$^2$ with the addition of 3 hr bond strengthening annealing at 300$^\circ$C. After the annealing, no obvious void enlargement or new void formation was observed, which was in virtue of sufficient outgassing during the pre-annealing step. Furthermore, the bonded AlN-AlN layer showed superior heat dissipation capability based on COMSOL multi-physical simulation and experimental results.
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