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ABSTRACT

Oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) are essential reactions for energy storage and conversion devices relying on oxygen electrochemistry. High-performance, non-precious metal-based hybrid catalysts are developed from post-synthesis integration of dual-phase spinel MnCo$_2$O$_4$ ($dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$) nanocrystals with nanocarbon materials, e.g. carbon nanotube (CNT) and nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide (N-rGO). The synergic covalent coupling between $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ and nanocarbons effectively enhances both the bifunctional ORR and OER activities of the spinel-nanocarbon hybrid catalysts. The $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO hybrid catalysts exhibited comparable ORR activity and superior OER activity as compared to a commercial 30 wt.% Pt supported on carbon black (Pt/C). Electrically rechargeable zinc-air battery using $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrid catalysts on cathode was successfully operated for 64 discharge-charge cycles (or 768 h equivalent), which significantly out-performed the Pt/C counterpart which could only survive up to 108 h under similar conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

The increasing global demand for energy, coupled with the depletion of fossil fuels and the associated detrimental environmental impact, have stimulated intense research on clean and sustainable energy conversion and storage systems.\textsuperscript{1} Some energy storage and conversion devices relying on oxygen electrochemistry, such as rechargeable metal-air batteries and regenerative fuel cells, possess high theoretical specific energy and energy density and are cost-effective and environmentally benign.\textsuperscript{2,3}

Currently, the major bottleneck of devices based on oxygen electrochemistry lies in the lack of efficient and durable bifunctional catalysts for air cathodes, which are needed to overcome the sluggish kinetics of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) during the discharge and charge stages, respectively.\textsuperscript{4,5} Although precious metal-based materials have shown desirable ORR catalytic activity,\textsuperscript{6} their large-scale application in reversible air electrodes is impeded by the high cost and scarcity, as well as the unsatisfactory OER activity.\textsuperscript{7,8} Non-precious metal-based efficient bifunctional catalysts are highly desirable, but unfortunately have achieved with little success in the current state of research and development.

Mixed-valent transition-metal oxides are potential candidates for bifunctional catalysts due to their high abundance, ease of preparation and outstanding redox stability in aqueous alkaline solutions.\textsuperscript{9,10,11,12} Additionally, the variable valence states and the structural flexibility of the spinel offer great
opportunities to fine-tune their catalytic properties.\textsuperscript{13,14} Recent studies reported that the combination of oxides and nanocarbons such as graphene and carbon nanotube (CNT), which by themselves are good ORR catalysts,\textsuperscript{15,16,17} can improve the electrocatalytic activity and the stability of the oxides by virtue of their excellent conductivity, large surface area and high electrochemical stability.\textsuperscript{18,19} For example, directly anchored spinel/nanocarbon hybrid catalysts with high efficiency have been successfully produced from a two-step method or one-pot synthesis. The resulted good catalytic performance could be attributed to the intimate electrical and chemical coupling between the oxide nanoparticles and the nanocarbon backbones.\textsuperscript{20,21,22,23,24,25}

The electrocatalytic performances of several cobalt-based spinel oxides such as Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{20} NiCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{21} CuCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{24} Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}–MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{26} and MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}–CoMn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{27,28,29} have been reported. Specifically, MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} has been widely utilized in alkaline fuel cells,\textsuperscript{30} solid oxide fuel cells,\textsuperscript{31} as well as for water treatment\textsuperscript{32} and for glucose sensors.\textsuperscript{33} Despite these efforts, studies on MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}/nanocarbon bifunctional catalysts for ORR and OER are still limited.\textsuperscript{34,35,36} Significant performance gaps remain unfilled to furnish bifunctional catalytic activity on a par with precious metal-based catalysts.

In this work, we report non-precious metal-based spinel MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}/nanocarbon hybrid catalysts with satisfactory bifunctional catalytic activities. MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} nanocrystals of mixed cubic and tetragonal phases (hereafter \textit{dp}-MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}), as confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), were synthesized from a hydrothermal method and followed
by relevant heat treatments. To create the oxide/nanocarbon hybrids, we adopted an approach allowing both the $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$ and the nanocarbon to be tailored individually prior to the integration, thus enabling delicate optimization of their structure and electrocatalytic performance. The covalent coupling phenomenon between $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$ and nanocarbons, i.e. carbon nanotube (CNT) or nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide (N-rGO), was revealed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/nanocarbon hybrids exhibited comparable ORR activity and superior OER activity as compared to a commercial 30 wt.% carbon-supported Pt (Pt/C). Rechargeable metal-air battery results showed that the MnCo$_2$O$_4$/nanocarbon hybrid catalysts greatly outperformed the commercial Pt/C in terms of catalytic activity and durability. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that dual-phase spinel MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT ($dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/CNT) and MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO ($dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/N-rGO) hybrids are synthesized and demonstrated in metal-air battery system as efficient ORR/OER bifunctional catalyst.

**Experimental Section**

2.1. Synthesis of MnCo$_2$O$_4$ Nanocrystals. In a typical synthesis, 698 mg Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 344 mg Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O were dissolved in 55 mL de-ionized (DI) water under gentle magnetic stirring to afford a homogeneous solution. Subsequently, 288 mg NaOH was dissolved in 5 mL DI water and added dropwise into the reaction mixture under vigorous stirring. The color of the solution first turned green and gradually evolved into greenish brown, brown and finally dark
brown when NaOH were added dropwise. After continuous stirring for 30 min, the reaction mixture was transferred into a 65 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The hydrothermal reaction was carried out at 160 °C for 10 h. The product was collected by centrifugation, washed with water and ethanol (volume ratio 1:1) by four times before sending for freeze drying. Finally, the freeze-dried powder was calcinated in air at 400 °C for 1 h.

2.2. Synthesis of dp-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ (80 wt.%)/CNT and dp-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ (80 wt.%)/N-rGO hybrids. dp-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrids with dp-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ contents of 60, 70, 80 and 90 wt.% were prepared. In a typical example of dp-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ (80 wt.%)/CNT, the calcinated spinel were physically mixed with 20 wt.% multi-walled CNT (Sigma Aldrich). The mixture was subsequently heated under nitrogen flow at 400 °C for 1 h and allowed to cool down naturally to room temperature. Through this work, the dp-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT stands for dp-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ (80 wt.%)/CNT without further explanation. 0.1 mg/mL GO solution was prepared by diluting the 1 wt.% GO stock solution (Mitsubishi Chemical Gas). The pH of the GO solution was adjusted to 8.0 using aqueous ammonia. Subsequently, appropriate amount of the calcinated spinel MnCo$_2$O$_4$ was added into the GO solution, making the weight ratio of MnCo$_2$O$_4$ to GO as 4:1. Urea of 200 times the weight of GO was then added. The solution was sonicated for 1 h to afford a homogeneous mixture and transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The hydrothermal treatment was carried out at 170 °C for 12 h. The resulted hybrid catalyst was collected by centrifugation, washed with water and ethanol for four times and freeze dried.

2.3. Materials characterization. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded with a Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer using nickel-filtered Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å). Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra were taken by a
JEOL JSM5600 scanning electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 20KV. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were taken by a JEOL JEM 2010F at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The XPS spectra were obtained using a VG ESCALAB 200i-XL X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) with monochromatic Al Kα (1486.6 eV) radiation. Au 4f doublets were used as the reference for charge correction.

2.4. Cyclic voltammetry and rotating disk electrode measurements. All the electrochemical measurements were carried out via Autolab PGSTAT302N. A three-electrode cell configuration was employed with a working electrode of glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE) of 5 mm in diameter, a counter electrode of platinum foil and a reference electrode of Ag/AgCl in 3 M KCl. The electrolyte used for all measurements was 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution. To form a catalyst ink, 4 mg of catalyst and 13 µL 5 wt.% Nafion® solution were dispersed in 1 mL of 2.5:1 v/v water/isopropanol mixed solvent for 30 min sonication. An aliquot of 5 µL of the catalyst ink was applied onto a glassy carbon RDE and allowed to dry in air, giving a catalyst loading 0.1 mg/cm². Oxygen-saturated electrolyte was prepared by purging O₂ (99.999% pure) and a flow of O₂ was maintained over the electrolyte during electrochemical measurements. In control experiments, CV measurements were performed in N₂ (99.99% pure) saturated electrolyte. For the rotating disk electrode (RDE) measurements, the working electrode was scanned at a rate of 5 mV/s at various rotation rates (400, 625, 900, 1225, 1600, 2000 and 2500 rpm).

2.5. Zinc-air battery tests. The air cathode was prepared by thoroughly mixing 50 wt.% MnCo₂O₄/CNT and 40 wt.% carbon black in ethanol media for 1 h. Next, 10 wt.% Nafion solution was added to the slurry and the mixture was further mixed for 1 hour. The resulted catalyst slurry was coated on a carbon paper (10 BC, SGL Carbon)
and dried at 70 °C for 1h to achieve a catalyst loading of 1.0 mg/cm², with the working area of 0.5 cm². A polished zinc plate was used as the anode. The cathode and anode were assembled into a plastic cell filled with 25 mL aqueous electrolyte consisting of 30 wt.% KOH and 20 g/L ZnCl₂. The distance between the anode and cathode was 30 cm and no separator was used. Battery testing and cycling experiments were performed at 25 °C using the recurrent galvanic pulse method (Maccor 4300), by discharging 5 mA for 4 h and charging 2.5 mA for 8 h. Pt/C was subjected to the identical route to serve as a benchmark.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Typical synthesis methods of MnCo₂O₄ include sol-gel, microwave heating, combustion, polyol-based precursor route, solvothermal, etc. These methods, however, generally yield sub-micron particles with poor uniformity. This work introduces a facile and cost effective approach in producing large quantity of uniform MnCo₂O₄ nanocrystals. MnCo₂O₄ precursors were synthesized from a hydrothermal route using cobalt nitrate and manganese nitrate as the source materials and sodium hydroxide as the precipitating agent. The MnCo₂O₄ nanocrystals were obtained by a post-synthesis heat treatment of freeze-dried MnCo₂O₄ precursors at 400 °C for 1 h in air. The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Figure S1) confirms the Mn:Co ratio of 1:2.

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) image shows that the particle sizes of MnCo₂O₄ nanocrystals are mostly in the range of 30–60 nm (Figure 1a), slightly larger than those in hybrid catalysts obtained from two-step methods or one-pot
synthesis (average size < 10 nm). This moderate particle size might be advantageous for the long-term performance of the catalysts, since oxide particles that are too small are prone to high risk of agglomeration or leaching out from the material framework upon electrochemical cycling.\textsuperscript{42} Interestingly, the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image reveals the lattice fringes corresponding to the (111) and (113) planes of a cubic phase and the (112) plane of a tetragonal phase (Figure 1b). Obvious peak splitting presents in the powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the calcined MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (Figure 1c). These two sets of diffraction reflections are indexed to be the cubic (Mn\textsubscript{1/3}Co\textsubscript{2/3})\textsubscript{2}[Mn\textsubscript{1/3}Co\textsubscript{2/3}]\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} phase (Fd-3mZ, ICSD 201314) and the less commonly observed tetragonal (Co, Mn)[Mn, Co]\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} phase (I41/amdS, ICSD 164369), where their structure visualizations are given in Figure 1d and 1e, respectively, and the associated lattice settings are listed in Table S1. The Rietveld refinement of the corresponding XRD pattern in Figure 1c indicates that the dual-phase spinel MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (\textit{dp}-MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}) comprises 77 wt.% of cubic (Mn\textsubscript{1/3}Co\textsubscript{2/3})\textsubscript{2}[Mn\textsubscript{1/3}Co\textsubscript{2/3}]\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and 23 wt.% of tetragonal (Co, Mn)[Mn, Co]\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (Figure S2).

The normal spinel structure, AB\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, has A cations occupying 1/8 of the tetragonal sites and B cations occupying 1/2 of the octahedral sites. In the inverse spinel structure B[AB]O\textsubscript{4}, all the A cations and half of the B cations occupy octahedral sites while the other half of B cations occupy tetrahedral sites. The two constituent phases of \textit{dp}-MnCo\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, namely [Mn\textsubscript{1/3}Co\textsubscript{2/3}]\textsubscript{2}[Mn\textsubscript{1/3}Co\textsubscript{2/3}]\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and (Co, Mn)[Mn, Co]\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, are intermediate spinel structures between the normal spinel
structure and the inverse spinel structure, with the formula of \((A_{1-x}B_x)[A_{x/2}B_{1-x/2}]_2O_4\). 
\([\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3}]\left[\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3}\right]_2O_4\), i.e. \(x=2/3\) of \((A_{1-x}B_x)[A_{x/2}B_{1-x/2}]_2O_4\), adopts a fully random cation distribution of Co and Mn cations within the cubic close-packed oxygen anion lattices. The fully random Co and Mn distribution would result in fast exchange between Co and Mn cations and probably high transition metal–oxygen exchange rates, which could facilitate oxygen-related catalytic reactions such as ORR and OER. In the case of \((\text{Co, Mn})[\text{Mn, Co}]_2O_4\), nevertheless, Mn cations preferentially occupy the tetrahedral sites and displace some Co cations into the octahedral sites. By having an additional tetragonal spinel phase with Mn cations occupying some of the tetrahedral sites, the proportion of Co\(^{3+}\) cations occupying octahedral sites is increased as compared to the homogeneous cubic \([\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3}]\left[\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3}\right]_2O_4\). Since the surface Co\(^{3+}\) cations are known as active sites for OER in alkaline solution,\(^{40,41}\) the tetragonal spinel structure is likely the cause of the uncompromised bifunctional activity of \(dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) (Figure S3), which is observed to decrease with increasing Mn doping for Mn-rich Mn\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) spinels.\(^{43,44}\)

Unlike noble-metal catalysts, oxide catalysts mediate electrochemical processes through surface redox reactions.\(^5,45,46\) Mixed-valence oxides are advantageous as bifunctional catalysts towards ORR and OER since the alternating valence states of cations can provide donor-acceptor chemisorption sites for the reversible adsorption/desorption of oxygen.\(^13\) Moreover, the electronic transfer processes can take place with relatively low activation energy between cations of different valences through the polaron hopping mechanism.\(^47\) The Mn\(^{4+}/\text{Mn}^{3+}\) redox
couples located at the octahedral sites of the spinel structure were generally considered as the active sites for ORR and were more active than the Co species.\textsuperscript{41,46,48,49}

In this work, the electronic state of Mn$^{4+}$/Mn$^{3+}$ redox couples were tailored by the introduction of nanocarbon materials to establish the covalent interfacial Mn–O–C interactions (Mn–N–C interactions as well as for $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO), where the electron sharing between C and O reduces the electron cloud density around Mn. Correspondingly, the molecular orbital sharing between MnCo$_2$O$_4$ and nanocarbon shifts the Mn$^{4+}$/Mn$^{3+}$ redox couple to the Mn$^{3+}$ end, and thus resulting in improved ORR activities. To create spinel-nanocarbon hybrid catalysts, $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ nanocrystals were integrated with either CNT by calcination under inert atmosphere or graphene via a second hydrothermal treatment in the presence of graphene oxide (GO) and urea. Urea in the hydrothermal treatment functioned as both the reducing agent and the nitrogen source for the formation of N-rGO. The TEM images of various $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/nanocarbon hybrid catalysts are given in Figures S5 and S6.

XPS using Au 4f doublets as a reference for charge correction was employed to extensively investigate the surface elemental compositions and electronic configurations of the catalysts, as shown in Figure 2. Figure 2a confirms the positive shifts of the binding energy of Mn 2$p_{3/2}$ peaks due to the covalent coupling effect between $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ and nanocarbons. The peak shifts of Mn 2$p_{3/2}$ are +0.1 eV and +0.4 eV for $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT and $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO, respectively (Table 1). The positive peak shift is also pronounced for Co cations, as reflected from Figure 2b.
Notably, the surface Co/Mn ratio of the hybrid catalysts is much lower than the stoichiometric ratio of the bulk oxide, implying preferential accumulation of Mn species on the surfaces of \(dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/nanocarbon hybrids. The high surface exposure of Mn\(^{4+}/\)Mn\(^{3+}\) redox couples is favorable towards superior ORR performance.

Figure 2c shows the C 1s spectra of the different catalysts. Previous studies assigned the binding energy of the C–C and C–H bonds to be at 284.5–285 eV and had concluded that the existence of oxygenate groups can lead to positive peak shift.\(^{50}\) As shown in Figure 2c, the intensity of the peaks originated from C–O interactions (C 1s Scan 2) is higher for \(dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/CNT and \(dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO than that of CNT and N-rGO alone, indicating the existence of a stronger oxidized C environment due to the oxide-nanocarbon interactions. The coupling effect is especially pronounced for \(dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO as an additional C 1s peak (Scan 3) was observed at 289.4 eV, probably due to the formation of C–O–transition metal or C–N–transition metal covalent bonds.\(^{51}\) Additionally, compared to N-rGO, the fraction of C atoms containing oxygenate functionalities is increased by 20% in \(dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO, which could serve as further evidence for the strong covalent coupling between spinel and nanocarbon.

More for the case of \(dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO, the successful incorporation of nitrogen in graphene nanosheets during the hydrothermal treatment was confirmed by XPS analysis (Figure 2d). The total nitrogen content is as high as 6.21% and two types of N-configurations, pyridinic-N and pyrrolic-N, are identified. Again, a
positive shift of the binding energy of N 1s peaks is observed for \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO compared to that of intrinsic N-rGO. This implies that the N as well as the C species of N-rGO were involved in the hybridization with \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanocrystals.

The electrocatalytic activities of the catalysts supported on glassy carbon-rotating disk electrodes (RDEs) were characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) in O\(_2\)-saturated and N\(_2\)-saturated 0.1 M aqueous KOH solutions (Figure 3a). CV measurements with \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/CNT and \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO hybrids reveal almost identical ORR onset potential and peak potential with those of commercial Pt/C (Table 2), indicating the salient ORR performance of the hybrid catalysts. Control experiments using N-rGO and CNT were conducted following the same catalyst preparation and testing route. Figure 3a shows that N-rGO and CNT alone exhibit certain ORR catalytic activity, but with much more negative ORR onset potentials and peak potentials.

RDE measurements were further employed to assess the ORR and OER kinetics of the catalysts (Figures 3b and 3c). Rotating disk electrode results show that the ORR/OER activities are optimized for the hybrid catalyst consisting of 80 wt.% \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) and 20 wt.% CNT (Figure S7). As seen in Figure 3b, the ORR onset potentials of \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/CNT and \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO hybrids are -0.11 V and -0.09 V, respectively, which are essentially competitive to that of Pt/C (-0.09 V). The onset potential of \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/N-rGO is consistent with those reported MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\)/rGO results and better than MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) and Super P carbon black composite.\(^{21, 35, 41}\) As given in Figure 3b, the physical mixture of \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) and
CNT shows improved ORR activity as compared to each individual component alone. This suggests that the blended CNT helps to increase the electrical conductivity of \( dp\text{-MnCo}_2\text{O}_4 \), which by itself is a modest conductor.\textsuperscript{52} It is noteworthy in Figure 3b that the ORR performance of \( dp\text{-MnCo}_2\text{O}_4\text{/CNT hybrid} \) is superior over the physically mixed counterpart, fully demonstrating the effectiveness of the spinel/nanocarbon hybridization strategy.

The leading edge of hybrid catalysts would result from the more efficiently established percolating network for electrical conduction, more uniform and efficient distribution of nanocarbons and oxide catalysts and the improved covalent coupling between oxide and nanocarbon. Most metal oxides generally have lower electrical conductivity than carbon-based materials, which may limit the electron transport on their catalytically active sites. This issue becomes more prominent at high current density regions, where huge amount of electrons flood into and out of the reaction sites on electrode surfaces during ORR and OER. Therefore, the integration of metal oxides with nanocarbons promotes the formation of a conducting network, facilitating the charge transfer during the electrochemical reactions at the oxide surfaces. The nanocarbon materials could also help to disperse oxide nanocrystals to prevent agglomeration, thereby increasing the accessible surface area of the catalysts.

The ORR polarization curves of \( dp\text{-MnCo}_2\text{O}_4\text{/N-rGO} \) and Pt/C in the mixed kinetic- and diffusion-limiting region overlap with each other and show similar half-wave potential (Figure 3b), indicating the fast reaction kinetics of \( dp\text{-MnCo}_2\text{O}_4\text{/N-rGO} \) in catalyzing ORR. In addition, a significant increase of current
density in the diffusion-limited region is observed for the hybrid catalysts. At −0.8 V, $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT, $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO and Pt/C attain ORR current densities of 5.33 mA/cm$^2$, 5.71 mA/cm$^2$ and 6.01 mA/cm$^2$, respectively (Table 2). Note that mechanically mixed $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ with nanocarbon could not afford strong hybrid coupling between the components, and therefore, the electrocatalytic kinetics as well as the ORR current density of $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$+CNT mixture were inferior to those of $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrid. This confirms the importance of the covalent hybrid coupling for the outstanding electrocatalytic performance.

To further understand the reaction mechanisms of the $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/nanocarbon hybrids, Koutecky–Levich plots were generated at various electrode potentials. The least squares fitted slopes were used to calculate the number of electrons transferred ($n$) on the basis of the Koutecky-Levich equation:

$$\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_L} + \frac{1}{j_K} = \frac{1}{B\omega^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{j_K} \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

$$B = 0.2nFC_0(D_0)^{2/3}v^{-1/6} \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

$$j_K = nFkC_0 \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

where $j$ is the measured current density, $j_L$ is the diffusion-limiting current density and $j_K$ is the kinetic-limiting current density. $\omega$ is the angular velocity (rpm), $n$ is the number of transferred electrons, $F$ is the Faraday constant, $C_0$ is the bulk concentration of O$_2$ (1.21 mol/m$^3$ in 0.1 M KOH),$^{54}$ $D_0$ is the diffusion coefficient of O$_2$ (1.87 × 10$^{-9}$ m$^2$/s in 0.1 M KOH),$^{55}$ $v$ is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte (10$^{-6}$ m$^2$/s in 0.1 M KOH)$^{54}$ and $k$ is the electron-transfer rate constant. A potential region from −0.55 V to −0.70 V of the voltammograms is selected to generate the Koutecky–Levich plots under rotating rates from 400 to 2500 rpm (Figure 4). The
electron transfer number ($n$) during the ORR was calculated to be ~4.0, suggesting that the hybrid catalysts favor a desirable four-electron oxygen reduction pathway, similar to that of Pt/C. The Tafel plots indicate a significantly reduced Tafel slope for the hybrids in the low overpotential region as compared to the bare $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ alone (Figure S9). This is an indication of the enhanced ORR kinetics with the integration of $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ with nanocarbons, consistent with the RDE results discussed above.

Besides the ORR activity, excellent OER activity is also crucial for bifunctional catalysts. The onset potentials of OER are 0.53 V and 0.59 V for $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT and $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO, respectively, both of which are significantly lower than the 0.67 V of Pt/C (Table 2). As can be observed from Figure 3c, the hybrid catalysts also afford much higher current density. The reduced OER onset potentials and higher current densities indicate that $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/nanocarbon hybrids are better OER catalysts than Pt/C.

The bifunctional stability of $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT is evident from the unchanged morphologies of the catalyst before and after ORR/OER runs (cf. Figures S5c and S10). The bifunctional activity and the durability of the $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/nanocarbon hybrid catalyst were further evaluated in a Zn-air battery prototype under realistic operating conditions. As shown in Figures 5 and S11, superior battery performance is achieved by using $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrid catalysts in the air cathode, which sustained 64 discharge-charge cycles (or 768 h equivalent) with the degradation rates of only 0.08 mV/h and 0.13 mV/h for the discharging and charging stages, respectively. In comparison, the battery prototype with Pt/C catalysts was limited by
merely 9 cycles (or 108 h equivalent), in which the degradation rate was as high as 3 mV/h. The striking contrast between $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT and Pt/C catalysts in the long-term battery test evidently demonstrates the high efficiency and durability of the $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$-nanocarbon hybrids. This study casts light on the design rationale of efficient and durable bifunctional catalysts that are low-cost and scalable for reversible electrochemical energy storage and conversion devices such as rechargeable metal-air batteries and regenerative fuel cells.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Spinel MnCo$_2$O$_4$ nanocrystals with mixed cubic and tetragonal phases ($dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$) were successfully synthesized from a facile hydrothermal route followed by appropriate thermal treatment. Subsequent integration of $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ with nanocarbon materials (N-rGO and CNT) created efficient ORR/OER bifunctional catalysts with comparable ORR activity and superior OER activity with regard to a commercial Pt/C. The synergic covalent coupling phenomena between the $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$ and nanocarbons were evident according to the binding energy shifts of Co, Mn, C and N in the circumstances of with and without spinel-nanocarbon integration. The overall activity and durability of the hybrid catalysts outperformed Pt/C in electrically rechargeable metal-air batteries, whereby the prototype using $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrid performed stably over 64 discharge-charge cycles (or 768 h equivalent), as compared to the Pt/C counterpart which could only survive up to 108 h under similar conditions.
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Figure 1. (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of the \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanocrystals after heat treatment; (c) XRD profile of the \( dp\)-MnCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) consisting of cubic \( (\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3})[\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3}]_2\)O\(_4\) and tetragonal \( (\text{Co, Mn})[\text{Mn, Co}]_2\)O\(_4\); and the structure visualization of (d) cubic \( (\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3})[\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{2/3}]_2\)O\(_4\) and (e) tetragonal \( (\text{Co, Mn})[\text{Mn, Co}]_2\)O\(_4\) in the view of \( c\) direction.
Figure 2. (a) Mn 2p high-resolution XPS spectra of $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$, $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/N-rGO and $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/CNT; (b) Co 2p high-resolution XPS spectra of $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$, $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/N-rGO and $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/CNT; (c) C 1s high-resolution XPS spectra of CNT, $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/CNT, N-rGO and $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/N-rGO; and (d) N1s high-resolution XPS spectra of N-rGO and $dp$-$\text{MnCo}_2\text{O}_4$/N-rGO.
Figure 3. (a) CV curves (O$_2$-saturated: solid line, N$_2$-saturated: dashed line), (b) RDE oxygen reduction polarization curves and (c) RDE oxygen evolution polarization curves of $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$, $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrid, $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO hybrid, mechanically mixed $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$+CNT, CNT, N-rGO and Pt/C in 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution, with the rotating rate of 2000 rpm.
Figure 4. ORR polarization curves of (a) $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrid and (b) $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO hybrid catalysts loaded on glassy carbon RDE in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH at various rotation rates. The insets show the corresponding Koutecky–Levich plots at different potentials.
Figure 5. Cycling performance of rechargeable Zn-air batteries with $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT hybrid and Pt/C catalysts as cathode. A cycle consists of a discharging stage under 10 mA/cm$^2$ for 4 h and a charging stage under 5 mA/cm$^2$ for 8 h.
Table 1. Summary of XPS data for $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$, $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT, $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO, CNT and N-rGO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mn 2p$_{3/2}$ (eV)</th>
<th>Mn$^{3+}$/Mn$^{4+}$ Pairs (%)Mn</th>
<th>Co 2p$_{3/2}$ (eV)</th>
<th>Co/Mn</th>
<th>C 1s Scan 2,3 (%)C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>641.9</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>780.1</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT</td>
<td>642.0</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>780.2</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO</td>
<td>642.3</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>780.7</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-rGO</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Electrochemical results of the $dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/nanocarbon catalysts and Pt/C.

The rotating rate of RDE is 2000 rpm. The potential is versus Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ORR onset potential (V)</th>
<th>ORR current density at -0.8 V (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>OER onset potential (V)</th>
<th>OER current density at +0.8 V (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/CNT</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-5.33</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dp$-MnCo$_2$O$_4$/N-rGO</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-5.71</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>10.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-6.01</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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