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SUMMARY An efficient three-dimensional (3-D) fundamental locally one-dimensional finite-difference time-domain (FLOD-FDTD) method incorporated with memristor is presented. The FLOD-FDTD method achieves higher efficiency and simplicity with matrix-operator-free right-hand sides (RHS). The updating equations of memristor-incorporated FLOD-FDTD method are derived in detail. Numerical results are provided to show the trade-off between efficiency and accuracy.
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1. Introduction

The memristor characterized by a relationship between the electric charge and flux was predicted by Chua in 1971 [1]. It is called the forth lumped element in addition to resistor, capacitor and inductor, but it did not cause a sensation at that time until 2008. Strukov et al. in Hewlett-Packard (HP) lab successfully fabricated a memristor using a thin film of titanium dioxide and a coupled variable-resistor physical model is given to describe its characteristics [2]. Subsequently, analyses and applications of memristor have attracted global attention from various research areas such as reconfigurable electromagnetic switching devices [3], microwave circuits [4], etc. The analyses and simulations of microwave circuits incorporated with memristor are usually implemented with resort to its SPICE model [5]. Meanwhile, computational electromagnetic methods such as finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method incorporated with resistor, capacitor and inductor have been derived and widely utilized in microwave circuit simulation [6]. Recently, FDTD methods incorporated with memristor have been proposed in [7], [8]. Different from the lumped elements including resistor, capacitor and inductor, the forth lumped element bears the attributes of memory. The memristance will change according to the varying charge and flux.

Additionally, the time step of FDTD method is constrained by the Courant-Friedrich-Lewy (CFL) condition for a stable simulation. To enhance the computational efficiency, unconditionally stable FDTD methods have been proposed by using a larger time step. For three-dimensional (3-D) FDTD simulation, alternating-direction-implicit FDTD (ADI-FDTD) [9], [10] and locally one-dimensional FDTD (LOD-FDTD) [11], [12] are two main classes of unconditionally stable FDTD methods. LOD-FDTD method is more efficient than ADI-FDTD method, but ADI-FDTD method has a higher (second-order) accuracy in time. To further enhance the computational efficiency, fundamental schemes [13], [14] can be implemented for the above unconditionally stable FDTD methods with matrix-operator-free right-hand sides (RHS). The unconditionally stable FDTD methods incorporated with lumped elements/devices have been developed to simulate microwave circuits including resistors, capacitors, inductors [15], [16] and diodes [17]. Nevertheless, to the best knowledge of the authors, there is no unconditionally stable method derived for memristor so far.

In this paper, an efficient 3-D fundamental LOD-FDTD (FLOD-FDTD) method incorporated with memristor is proposed. The FLOD-FDTD method achieves higher efficiency and simplicity with matrix-operator-free RHS. In Sect. 2, the updating equations of the FLOD-FDTD method incorporated with memristor are derived. In Sect. 3, numerical results will be provided to show the trade-off between efficiency and accuracy.

2. Efficient FLOD-FDTD Method Incorporated with Memristor

2.1 Modeling of Memristor

According to the coupled variable-resistor model proposed in [2], as shown in Fig. 1, the memristor can be considered as a resistor whose resistance is varied by the dopant width w. d is the thickness of the thin semiconductor film. If an external bias voltage across the memristor is applied, the boundary between the doped and undoped regions will move due to the drift of charged dopants. When the dopant width w approaches the whole thickness d, which is almost fully doped, the memristance is $R_{ON}$. Similarly, when the dopant width w approaches zero, the memristor is fully undoped and the memristance is $R_{OFF}$. Therefore, the memristance

![Fig. 1 The coupled variable-resistor model for memristor.](image-url)
is always varying within a bound from \( R_{ON} \) to \( R_{OFF} \).

To show the state of the memristor, a variable \( x_{mem} \) with respect to time \( t \) is introduced to describe the extent of the doped width:

\[
x_{mem}(t) = w(t)/d.
\] (1)

The relationship between the dopant state \( x_{mem} \) and the current \( i(t) \) can be expressed as

\[
\frac{dx_{mem}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{d} \frac{du(t)}{dt} = \mu_e \frac{R_{ON}}{d^2} i(t) f(x_{mem}),
\] (2)

where \( \mu_e \) is the average ion mobility and \( f(x_{mem}) = 1 - (2x_{mem} - 1)^2p \). \( f(x_{mem}) \) is a non-linear window function [2], [8] that can make the state \( x_{mem}(t) \) bounded within the interval \([0, 1]\), and \( p \) is the nonlinear exponent constant. As long as the state variable \( x_{mem}(t) \) is calculated, the memristance \( M_{mem}(t) \) with respect to time can be derived by

\[
M_{mem}(t) = R_{ON} x_{mem}(t) + R_{OFF}[1 - x_{mem}(t)].
\] (3)

2.2 LOD-FDTD Method with Memristor

The conventional 3-D LOD-FDTD method incorporated with memristor can be expressed in terms of two procedures as

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} A)u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= (1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} A)u^n + \frac{\Delta t}{2} s_{mem}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4a) \\
(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} B)u^{n+1} &= (1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} B)u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} s_{mem}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4b)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \left[ E_x, E_y, E_z, H_x, H_y, H_z \right]^T, \\
S_{mem} &= \left[ -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} J_{memx}, -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} J_{memy}, -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} J_{memz}, 0, 0, 0 \right]^T.
\end{align*}
\] (5a, b)

\( \Delta x, \Delta y \) and \( \Delta z \) are the spatial steps along the x-, y- and z-directions, respectively; \( J_{memx}, J_{memy} \) and \( J_{memz} \) are the corresponding current density along the x-, y- and z-directions; \( A \) and \( B \) are the splitting matrices which are defined in [13].

The current density through a memristor in the first procedure from \( n \) to \( n + \frac{1}{2} \) can be derived as (taking \(+z\)-oriented memristor as an example)

\[
J_{memc}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2 \Delta x \Delta y M_{memc}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{(E_z^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - E_z^n)} + \frac{\Delta z}{2 \Delta x \Delta y M_{memc}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}},
\] (6)

where \( M_{memc} \) is the corresponding memristance along the z-direction. Similar equation can be written for the second procedure from \( n + \frac{1}{2} \) to \( n + 1 \) with a change in the time index.

2.3 FLOD-FDTD Method with Memristor

To enhance the efficiency, the updating equations of FLOD-FDTD incorporated with memristor can be derived, free of matrix operator in RHS, by introducing an auxiliary variable:

\[
v = \left[ e_x, e_y, e_z, h_x, h_y, h_z \right]^T
\] (7)

By manipulating (4) and (6), the FLOD-FDTD method incorporated with memristor can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} I - \frac{\Delta t}{4} A_{mem} v^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= u^{n} \quad (8a) \\
u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= v^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - u^n \quad (8b) \\
\frac{1}{2} I - \frac{\Delta t}{4} B_{mem} v^{n+1} &= u^{n+1} \quad (8c) \\
u^{n+1} &= v^{n+1} - u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8d)
\end{align*}
\]

\( A_{mem} \) and \( B_{mem} \) are the modified splitting matrices for the memristor-incorporated FLOD-FDTD method:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{mem} &= A - \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}[d_{11}, d_{22}, d_{33}], d_{11}, -d_{11}, 0, 0, 0] \quad (9a) \\
B_{mem} &= B - \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}[d_{11}, d_{22}, d_{33}], d_{11}, -d_{11}, 0, 0, 0]. \quad (9b)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
d_{11} = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y \Delta z}, d_{22} = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x \Delta z}, d_{33} = \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta x \Delta y}. \quad (10)
\]

It can be seen from (8a) and (8c) that their RHS are free of matrix operators. Thus, the efficiency is improved compared to the conventional LOD-FDTD with memristor.

2.4 Efficient Implementation

The efficient implementation of the 3-D FLOD-FDTD method incorporated with memristor in (8) can be exemplified by the \( E_z \) and \( H_z \) components in (11) (on next page). Equations (11a) and (11c) are the implicit update equations of \( e_z \) (auxiliary variable), while (11b) and (11d) are the explicit field components update equations of \( E_z \) and \( H_z \) for both procedures. After the implementation of the first procedure according to (11a) - (11b), the current through memristor can be calculated using Ampere’s Law as

\[
I_{memc}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = (H_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - H_x^n) + \frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x \Delta y M_{memc}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta x.
\] (12)

Since memristance will change according to the dopant state \( x_{memx}, x_{memy} \), \( x_{memz} \) will be updated for each sub time step. The
Calculate the current through memristor using (12), update the memristance
\[ M_{\text{mem}}^{n+1}\frac{1}{2} = R_{\text{ON}} x_{\text{mem}}^{n+1}\frac{1}{2} + R_{\text{OFF}} [1 - x_{\text{mem}}^{n+1}\frac{1}{2}] \]
using (14). (The time indices in (12)-(14) should be changed according to the second procedure.)

**3. Comparison and Numerical Results**

Table 1 shows the comparison of arithmetic operation counts in the RHS of one main iteration between 3-D LOD-FDTD and FLOD-FDTD. The number of multiplications/divisions (M/D) and additions/subtractions (A/S) are both counted in one main iteration with the assumption that all the multiplicative factors are pre-computed. It can be seen that the total arithmetic operation counts have been decreased for FLOD-FDTD method with memristor and the efficiency gain based on the total arithmetic operation counts in RHS is 1.45.

A numerical example is given to demonstrate the application of the proposed memristor-incorporated FLOD-FDTD method. A shielded microstrip line with a resistor and a memristor is simulated, where the geometry is shown in Fig. 2. Each cell is a cube with the side length of 1 mm. The computational domain is 100 × 80 × 30. The resistor and memristor occupy one cell each and are connected to the microstrip line and ground by PEC wires. A current source is added at the center of the microstrip line: \( I = 5000 \sin(2\pi ft) \) with \( f = 1 \text{GHz} \) flowing along the z-axis from bottom to the microstrip line. The relative di-
electric constant of the microstrip substrate is 10 and the thickness is 10 mm. The thickness of the microstrip is set to 1 mm. The value of the resistor is $R = 5k\Omega$ and the initial value of the memristor is $5k\Omega$. The other parameters are $R_{ON} = 10\Omega$, $R_{OFF} = 10k\Omega$, $\mu_e = 1 \times 10^{-12}m/s$ and $d = 1 \times 10^{-12}m$. Figure 3 shows the electric field $E_z$ across the resistor and memristor using explicit FDTD method and FLOD-FDTD method when CFLN=1, where CFLN= $\Delta t/\Delta t_{CFL}$. The time step is set to the CFL limit for the explicit FDTD. It can be observed that the electric field across the memristor deviates from the one of resistor. In addition, the results of FLOD-FDTD method when CFLN=1 agree well with the ones of explicit FDTD method.

Figure 4 shows the electric field $E_z$ across the memristor using explicit FDTD method and FLOD-FDTD method when CFLN=1, 2, 4 and 8. It can be seen that the simulated results of FLOD-FDTD method when CFLN=1, 2 and 4 agree well with the result of FDTD method, but the result of FLOD-FDTD method for CFLN=8 deviates from the other results to some extent. The larger time step will cause larger error of the update of memristance for each time step since the update of memristance is based on the calculated value from the previous time step.

Figure 5 shows the simulated memristances across the memristor using explicit FDTD method and FLOD-FDTD method when CFLN=1, 2, 4 and 8. Again, the simulated memristances of FLOD-FDTD method when CFLN=1, 2 and 4 agree well with the result of FDTD method, but the memristances of FLOD-FDTD method for CFLN=8 deviates from the other results to some degree. The trade-off between efficiency and accuracy has been illustrated here. We have quantified the errors and calculation time for different CFLNs for FLOD-FDTD method with memristor. The relative errors of FLOD-FDTD method with memristor compared with explicit FDTD method are 0.0071, 0.0393 and 0.2103 when CFLN is 2, 4 and 8, respectively. As the CFLN becomes larger, the operator splitting error[18] and the discretization error of the dopant states in (13) with larger time step would be the main reasons to cause more error. In addition, the calculated CPU time of the 3-D circuit in Fig. 2 from 0 to 1000$\Delta t_{CFL}$ using the FLOD-FDTD method with memristor are 130.8 s and 65.3 s when CFLN are 4 and 8, while the explicit FDTD takes 147.8 s. Furthermore, through the simulation of the circuit in Fig. 2 for a long duration up to 100000 iterations (using CFLN=8), we have validated numerically the stability of our FLOD-FDTD method incorporated with memristor.

4. Conclusion

This paper has presented an efficient FLOD-FDTD method incorporated with memristor. The FLOD-FDTD achieves higher efficiency and simplicity with the removal of matrix operators in the RHS. The updating equations of the FLOD-FDTD method incorporating memristor has been derived. Numerical results have been provided to show the trade-off between efficiency and accuracy.
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