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Abstract

Photovoltaic power plants (PVPPs) typically operate by tracking the maximum power point in order to maximize conversion efficiency. However, with the continuous increase of installed grid-connected PVPPs, power system operators have been experiencing new challenges, like overloading, overvoltages and operation during grid voltage disturbances. Consequently, constant power generation (CPG) is imposed by grid codes. An algorithm for the calculation of the photovoltaic panel voltage reference, which generates a constant power from the PVPP, is introduced in this paper. The key novelty of the proposed algorithm is its applicability for both single- and two-stage PVPPs and flexibility to move the operation point to the right- or left-side of the maximum power point. Furthermore, the execution frequency of the algorithm and voltage increments between consecutive operating points are modified based on a hysteresis band controller in order to obtain fast dynamic response under transients and low power oscillation during steady-state operation. The performance of the proposed algorithm for both single- and two-stage PVPPs is examined on a 50-kVA simulation setup of these topologies. Moreover, experimental results on a 1-kVA PV system validate the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm under various operating conditions, demonstrating functionalities of the proposed CPG algorithm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Current-voltage characteristics and output power of photovoltaic (PV) strings vary with changes of solar irradiance, temperature and aging. Accordingly, maximum power point tracking (MPPT) techniques are applied in most of applications in order to maximize the extracted power from a given PV system and increase the overall power conversion efficiency [1]. Several MPPT algorithms, varying in approach and complexity, have been introduced in the literature [2]–[10]. Each method has various advantages and disadvantages in different aspects like computational efficiency, speed of tracking the maximum power point, operation under partial shading and power oscillations during
steady-state. Among all MPPT algorithms, perturb and observe (P&O) [11]–[13] and incremental conductance (INC) [14], [15] algorithms are the most commonly used. It is shown in [16], [17] that the tracking performance of these two algorithms is similar under both static and dynamic conditions.

The focus of most of the research studies in the literature is on the extraction of maximum power from PV panels, however, there are several cases in which the control of the PV panel output active power to a certain power reference is necessary. The active power control of the PV panel is referred as constant power generation (CPG) in this study. One of these cases is the adaptation of PVPPs with new grid code regulations in which a constant power injection to the grid is requested. The grid codes aim to avoid the adverse effects of the high penetration of installed PVPPs in the power system, like overloading the power grid [18]. Another case is their operation during voltage sags with the requirement of simultaneous injection of active and reactive power to the grid with the aim of enhancing the voltage of the point of common coupling (PCC). By assuming that the irradiance is constant during the short duration of voltage sags, the extracted power from PV modules should be limited to a certain value in order to satisfy the inverter current limitations [19]. Therefore, a general and flexible algorithm that achieves CPG with fast dynamic response and low power oscillation during steady-state is essential for all different topologies of PVPPs. Two main structures of grid-connected PVPPs are single- and two-stage power conversion topologies, as depicted in Fig. 1.

Several algorithms are introduced in the literature to achieve CPG for different topologies of PVPPs. The basic principles of CPG are introduced in [18], [20]–[23] with the focus on stability issues and necessary maintenance costs of PVPPs with variable output voltage. This idea is implemented on a single-stage PVPP with the ability to control the output active power of the grid-connected inverter in order to comply with grid code requirements [24]. Moreover, a voltage reference calculation method is also introduced based on the P&O algorithm to calculate the voltage reference related to the required active power. Due to the single-stage topology, the operation point is moved to the right-side of the maximum power point (MPP) during the CPG mode. Moving the operation point to the right-side of MPP in [21], [24] reduces the robustness of these algorithms, because the operation point may
go beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel under a fast reduction of irradiance.

To avoid the mentioned drawback, the two-stage PVPP topology is considered in [25] with the ability to achieve CPG operation during voltage disturbances. A multi-mode operation including MPPT, CPG and short-circuit current control is also implemented on the interleaved dc-dc converter. Similar CPG algorithms are investigated in [26], [27] based on dc-link voltage control. In these studies, the CPG operation is implemented by modifying the controller of the dc-dc converter to regulate the dc-bus voltage at its reference during grid disturbances. These methods need the initialization of the controllers during change of operation modes and also show slow dynamic performance.

According to the power-voltage (P-V) characteristics of the PV panel, an algorithm for the calculation of the voltage reference during CPG operation of the two-stage PVPP is presented and investigated in [28]–[30]. In this approach, the implementation of the CPG algorithm does not require any modification in the controller of the dc-dc converter. Accordingly, the calculated voltage reference from this algorithm is replaced by the voltage reference of the MPPT operation mode. As shown in [28], P&O based CPG control results in a faster response compared to INC algorithm under fast changes of irradiance. Moreover, in order to avoid the operation of the PV panel beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel during fast changes of the irradiance, the operation point of the PV panel is moved to left-side of MPP, which limits its application only for two-stage PVPPs. Therefore, there is a need for a general algorithm for calculation of the voltage reference of the PV panel during CPG operation, which can be used for both single- and two-stage PVPPs during grid voltage disturbances or normal operation.

Motivated by the above, this paper proposes a new and general algorithm for the calculation of the PV panel voltage reference, which leads to generation of a constant power from the PV panel. The proposed algorithm is an adaptation of the P&O algorithm, based on the characteristics of the P-V curve of the PV panel. During the CPG operation, the typical MPPT algorithm is replaced with the proposed algorithm to calculate the voltage reference based on the required power reference and as a result no modification is made in the controller of the dc-dc converter. Unlike the presented studies in the literature, the proposed algorithm is flexible to move the operation point of the PV panel to the right- or left-side of the MPP and is also applicable in both single- or two-stage PVPPs. A hysteresis band controller is proposed to change the execution frequency of the algorithm (time-step) and voltage increments between consecutive operating points (voltage-step) in order to achieve a fast dynamic response and low power oscillation during the steady-state operation of the controller. The generality and flexibility of the proposed algorithm is evaluated using simulation and experimental validation on various PVPP topologies and different irradiance and power reference curves.

One of the key novelties of the proposed algorithm is its applicability to both single- and two-stage PVPPs. In the single-stage PVPP, the operation point during CPG cannot be moved to the left-side of the MPP in some of cases, because the dc-link voltage may become lower than the minimum dc-link voltage required for grid-connection. Therefore, for a single-stage PVPP, there is a limitation for the movement of the operation point to the left-side of the MPP. On the other hand, the available CPG algorithms, which move the operation point the right-side of the MPP, may experience unstable operation if the operation point goes beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel due to a sudden reduction of irradiance. Therefore, the available algorithms in the literature [28]–[30], have recommended to utilize the two-stage PVPP topology in order to achieve constant power generation from the
PVPP, by moving the operation point to the left-side of MPP during CPG operation mode. Accordingly, it can be seen that the available algorithms in the literature, can only be implemented on either single- or two-stage PVPPs. However, the proposed algorithm in this paper is able to move the operation point to both right- or left-side of MPP. The capability of the proposed algorithm for moving the operation point to the right- or left-side of MPP results in its applicability to both single- and two-stage PVPPs.

The remaining of the paper is organized in the following manner. Principles of the CPG operation for PV strings are analyzed in Section II and the detailed implementations of the proposed CPG algorithm are described in Section III. The simulation results of the proposed controller on a 50-kW PVPP are presented in Section IV, while the experimental evaluation on a 1-kW PV panel is illustrated in Section V. The conclusions of the work are drawn in Section VI.

II. CONSTANT POWER GENERATION PRINCIPLES

In this paper, the operation of the PV string is divided into two different modes:

1) Maximum power extraction mode, referred as MPPT, in which the maximum power ($p_{mpp}$) is extracted from the PV string (operating at point MPP in Fig. 2(a)). The conventional P&O algorithm is applied in this operation mode.

2) Constant power generation mode, named as CPG, in which the extracted power from the PV string is regulated to a certain power reference ($P_{ref}$), provided by an external controller, like the central controller of the grid-connected PVPP. The principles of the proposed algorithm for the CPG operation mode, which is the main contribution of this study, are presented in this section.

According to the P-V curve of the PV string (Fig. 2(a)), there are two operation points resulting in the extraction of $p_{ref}$ from the PV string: Point A in the left-side of MPP, shown by red arrow, and Point B located in the right-side of MPP, depicted by green arrow. Each of these operation points have its advantages and disadvantages.

By moving the operation point of the PV panel to Point B in the right-side of MPP:

- The difference between $v_b$ and $v_{mpp}$ ($|v_{mpp} - v_b|$) is smaller than $|v_{mpp} - v_a|$ and therefore moving the operation point from MPP to Point B can be executed faster than to Point A, which results in a faster dynamic response.

- The output power of the PV panel has higher oscillation at Point B compared to Point A, because a small voltage change at this point results in a large power change.

- The operation point of the PV panel on the right-side of MPP may go beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel under fast changes of the irradiance or temperature. This issue should be considered in the design of the CPG control algorithm.

By shifting the operation point of the PV panel to the Point A in the left-side of MPP during CPG:

- For small power references, it necessitates the PV string voltage to be close to the short-circuit voltage. This imposes the dc-dc converter duty cycle to be close to one, which gives poor control and large oscillation of the dc-dc converter input/output voltages and its inductor current.
Because of the larger difference between $V_a$ and $V_{mpp}$, the dynamic performance is slower, however the oscillation of the power at this point is smaller compared to Point $B$.

In two-stage PVPPs, the operation point during $CPG$ can be moved to either right- or left-side of $MPP$, however there is a limitation in single-stage PVPPs for the movement of the operation point to the left-side of $MPP$ [28], [29]. Therefore, the operation point should be moved to the right-side of $MPP$ for single-stage PVPPs. By operating the single-stage PVPP in the left-side of $MPP$ during $CPG$, if the dc-link voltage becomes smaller than a certain value, the grid-connected inverter is not able to produce the desired voltage for grid-connection and consequently the PVPP should be disconnected from the grid. The proposed method in this paper is flexible for moving the operation point to the right- or left-side of $MPP$ and it can be used for both types of PVPPs. The operation principles of these two methods are presented in this study and each one of them can be implemented on the desired PVPP.
In order to ensure the operation of the PV string in the left- or right-side of MPP during CPG mode, the P-V curve characteristics are analyzed. \( \Delta v \) is defined as \( \Delta v = v_{new} - v_{old} \), where \( v_{new} \) is the PV string voltage in the current time-step and \( v_{old} \) is the PV string voltage in the previous time-step. Similarly, \( \Delta p = p_{new} - p_{old} \), in which \( p_{new} \) denotes the instantaneous power extracted from the PV string at the current execution time-step and is calculated by multiplying the measured instantaneous values of \( v_{pv} \) and \( i_{pv} \) (Fig. 1). The instantaneous power calculated in the previous execution time-step, is stored and used as \( p_{old} \) in the current execution time-step.

Assuming the operation at Point A in the current execution time-step (Fig. 2(b)), the operation point of the next time-step can be \( A_1 \) or \( A_2 \), which results in:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Delta p}{\Delta v} &= \frac{p_{a1} - p_a}{v_{a1} - v_a} > 0 \\
\frac{\Delta p}{\Delta v} &= \frac{p_{a2} - p_a}{v_{a2} - v_a} > 0
\end{align*}
\]

Consequently, \( \Delta p/\Delta v \) is always positive in the left-side of MPP. Similarly, as presented in Fig. 2(c), moving the operation point from Point B to Point \( B_1 \) or \( B_2 \) in the right-side of MPP results in:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Delta p}{\Delta v} &= \frac{p_{b1} - p_b}{v_{b1} - v_b} < 0 \\
\frac{\Delta p}{\Delta v} &= \frac{p_{b2} - p_b}{v_{b2} - v_b} < 0
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, \( \Delta p/\Delta v \) is always negative in the right-side of MPP. This attribute of the P-V curve is considered in the proposed voltage reference calculation algorithm in order to ensure the operation of the PV string in the right-side of MPP during CPG.

### III. Proposed Voltage Reference Calculation Algorithm

A comprehensive schematic of the proposed algorithm for the operation of PVPP during both MPPT or CPG mode is depicted in Fig. 3. The operation mode of the dc-dc converter is imposed by an external controller. If the operation mode of the PVPP is MPPT, the controller calculates \( V_{ref} = v_{mpp} \) using an MPPT algorithm. For calculating \( V_{ref} \) during CPG operation, three variables including \( \Delta v \), \( \Delta p \) and \( \Delta p^* \), which is defined as \( \Delta p^* = p_{new} - p_{ref} \) are calculated.
During MPPT mode, the voltage calculation execution time step \(T_{\text{step}}\) is considered to be relatively large (e.g. \(T_{\text{step-mppt}} = 0.2\, \text{s}\)) in order to reduce the output power oscillation during the steady-state operation. Furthermore, the voltage-step between different operating points \(V_{\text{step}}\) is set to be a relatively small value \(V_{\text{step-mppt}}\) in order to reduce the power oscillation during MPPT. However, fast performance of the controller in regulating the extracted power from PV string \(p_{\text{pv}}\) to a specified power reference \(P_{\text{ref}}\) is necessary during CPG. Therefore, \(T_{\text{step}}\) and \(V_{\text{step}}\) are calculated based on the proposed method using the hysteresis band controller. Afterwards, by applying the chosen \(V_{\text{step}}\) and \(T_{\text{step}}\), the voltage reference is calculated. The detailed implementation of the proposed time- and voltage-step calculation algorithm and voltage reference calculation strategy are presented in the following subsections.

A. Proposed Time- and Voltage-Step Calculation Algorithm

It is important for the CPG controller to perform fast dynamic response and low power oscillation in the steady-state. Fast dynamic response is desired during fast changes of irradiance, temperature or power reference. Furthermore, during grid faults in which the active power of the PVPP should be limited to a certain value, the fast dynamic response is required for the CPG controller. This goal is achieved by applying different values of \(V_{\text{step}}\) and \(T_{\text{step}}\) during steady-state or transient operation modes, based on the hysteresis band controller.

The operation principle of the hysteresis band controller is depicted in Fig. 4(a) and (b). The operation is divided into two conditions based on the sign of \(\Delta p\), be positive or negative. \(\Delta p_{\text{th}}\) is the critical (threshold) value of \(\Delta p\) that is used to differentiate between the steady-state and transient operation. The vertical axis, named as Status, refers to the operation mode of the controller. If Status = 1, the proper time- and voltage-step for steady-state
operation are chosen, which means $T_{\text{step}} = T_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$ and $V_{\text{step}} = V_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$. Accordingly, when $Status = 0$, $T_{\text{step}}$ and $V_{\text{step}}$ are set as $T_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ and $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$, respectively, which are the chosen time- and voltage-step during the transient period.

As depicted in Fig. 4(a), if $\Delta p > \Delta p_{th}$ (operation at Point $R$), the controller operates at transient operation and $Status = 0$. By continuing the operation of the controller, $\Delta p$ decreases and it becomes smaller than $\Delta p_{th}$ (Point $S$). In the case that $Status$ changes to 1, immediately after $\Delta p$ passes $\Delta p_{th}$, the operation of the controller becomes slow and it takes long time for the controller to reach steady-state with $\Delta p \simeq 0$. Therefore, in the proposed algorithm, the $Status$ remains 0 until $\Delta p$ crosses zero. At $\Delta p \simeq 0$, the output power of the PV panel is close to $P_{\text{ref}}$ and consequently, the controller should keep the operation at this point. This is achieved by changing the $Status$ value to 1 at this moment, which moves the operation point to Point $T$. Afterwards, $Status$ remains 1 and operation point moves between Point $T$ and Point $U$. Similar procedure is implemented for negative values of $\Delta p$, as depicted in Fig. 4(b).

The detailed scheme of the proposed time- and voltage-step calculation algorithm is depicted Fig. 4(c). During grid fault condition, the controller sets $T_{\text{step}}$ and $V_{\text{step}}$ to $T_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ and $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$, respectively, in order to achieve fast dynamic performance. If the grid is under normal condition and CPG operation is desired for the PVPP, time- and voltage-steps are calculated according to presented algorithm in this figure. If $|\Delta p| > \Delta p_{th}$, which shows the transient operation mode with $Status = 0$, as depicted in Fig. 4(a) and (b), $T_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ and $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ are chosen as time- and voltage-step. If $|\Delta p| < \Delta p_{th}$, $Status$ can be either 0 or 1, based on the sign of $\Delta p$ in the current and previous calculation-step, referred as $\Delta p_{\text{old}}$. For instance, in Fig. 4(a), if the operation point is shifted from Point $R$ to Point $S$ in the current calculation-step, $\Delta p/\Delta p_{\text{old}} > 0$ and $Status$ is equal to 0, which sets $T_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ and $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ as time- and voltage-step. After the moment that $\Delta p$ crosses 0, $\Delta p/\Delta p_{\text{old}} < 0$ and consequently $Status$ is changed to 1 and $T_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$ and $V_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$ are selected. A similar concept can also be applied in Fig. 4(b) for negative values of $\Delta p$. The selected time- and voltage-step in this stage are considered in the proposed algorithm for calculation of the voltage reference during CPG.

The selection of $T_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$, $T_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$ depends on the structure and nominal power of the PVPP. It also relies on the dynamic performance of the controller. The main criteria in the selection of these values is that, the power of the PV panel should be able to follow the change of the voltage reference in the subsequent calculation steps. It is recommended to use a transient time-step ($T_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$) of at least ten times smaller than $T_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$ in the proposed algorithm in order to achieve fast transient response. Furthermore, $T_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$ can be considered equal to $T_{\text{step-mppt}}$. The dynamic performance of the controller in the two-stage PVPP is faster than the single-stage PVPP, thereby a smaller time-step can be considered for the two-stage PVPP during the CPG operation.

In addition to the above considerations, the operation point of the PV panel, being in the right- or left-side of $MPP$, should also be taken into consideration for the selection of $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ and $V_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$. By operation in the right-side of $MPP$, the voltage difference between $v_{mpp}$ and $v_b$ (in Fig. 2(a)) is small and therefore a relatively small $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ can result in the fast response. However, a larger $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ is required for the operation in the left-side of $MPP$, due to the relatively large voltage difference between $v_{mpp}$ and $v_a$ in Fig. 2(a). Furthermore, the power oscillation in the right-side of $MPP$ is larger than the left-side of $MPP$, therefore a smaller $V_{\text{step-cpg-st}}$ shall
be applied for the operation on the right-side of MPP compared to the operation on the left-side of MPP.

The selection of $\Delta p_{th}$ mainly depends on the nominal power of the PV string. $\Delta p_{th}$ is the threshold power, which is used to differentiate between the steady-state and transient operation of the PV string during CPG. By selecting a relatively large value for $\Delta p_{th}$, the proposed algorithm remains in the steady-state operation mode for larger deviations of the extracted power and reference power. In this case, the steady-state error of the system becomes larger. The choice of a relatively small value for $\Delta p_{th}$ results into a fast transient response of the proposed controller, however it also increases the output power oscillations of the PV string. Therefore, the selection of a reasonable value for $\Delta p_{th}$ is necessary to achieve desirable performance from the proposed algorithm. Since the proposed algorithm is based on the the P&O algorithm, the available algorithms in the literature for the optimized operation of the P&O algorithm can be implemented for the adaptive selection of $\Delta p_{th}$, $T_{step-cpg-tr}$ and $V_{step-cpg-tr}$ [31]–[34].

B. Proposed Voltage Reference Calculation Algorithm

The schematic of the proposed algorithm for the calculation of the voltage reference during CPG operation, with the movement to left- or right-side of MPP is presented in Fig. 5. It should be noted that at any time only one of these methods is applied during the CPG operation. The detailed implementation of these methods is presented in this section.

Proposed voltage reference calculation algorithm in right-side of MPP: At the start of CPG, the current operation point of the PV string is determined by calculating $\Delta p/\Delta v$. If the current operating point is in the left-side of MPP, the voltage reference in the next execution step is increased as $V_{ref} = V_{ref-old} + V_{step-cpg}$. The relatively large amount of $V_{step-rp-tr}$, which is assigned by the controller, results in a fast movement of the
operation point to the right-side of MPP. After ensuring that the operation point of PV string is in the right-side of MPP, the proposed algorithm determines the voltage reference, related to $P_{ref}$ by calculating $\Delta p^*$. Positive $\Delta p^*$ shows that the output power is larger than $P_{ref}$, hence $V_{ref}$ should be increased in the next time step, to reduce the output power (Fig. 2(c)). Similarly, for negative $\Delta p^*$, the voltage reference of the next execution step should be decreased ($V_{ref} = V_{ref, old} - V_{step-cpg}$) in order to increase the output power.

**Proposed voltage reference calculation algorithm in left-side of MPP:** Similar to the mentioned algorithm, after determining the current operation point of the PV string by calculating $\Delta p/\Delta v$, if the operation point is in the right-side of MPP, it is moved to the left-side of MPP by decreasing the voltage reference in the next calculation step. Subsequently, the voltage reference is calculated by comparing the output power of the PV panel and $P_{ref}$ and if $\Delta p^*$ is positive/negative, the voltage reference is decreased/increased, as depicted in Fig. 5(b).

In the proposed voltage reference calculation algorithm, the main concerns regarding the operation of PV string during CPG are addressed as follows:

**Remark 1:** In order to achieve fast response, $V_{step-cpg}$ which is the voltage reference increment in each execution step, should be relatively large during the transient period. This is set to $V_{step-cpg, tr}$ during the transient period of CPG, which results in the fast movement of $v_{ref}$ from $v_{mpp}$ to $v_a$ or $v_b$ (Fig. 2(a)). The transient period of CPG is determined by using the hysteresis band controller as shown in Fig. 4(c). This transient period occurs at the start of CPG or fast changes of irradiance, temperature or power reference, however it can also take place during grid faults. These step changes result in quick deviation of $p_{pv}$ and hence $T_{step-cpg} = T_{step-cpg, tr}$ and $V_{step-cpg} = V_{step-cpg, tr}$.

**Remark 2:** By operating at Point A or B, a small modification of the voltage reference produces a relatively large change of $p_{pv}$. In this study, the oscillation of $p_{pv}$ during the steady-state of CPG, determined by the proposed hysteresis band controller, is reduced by imposing a relatively small value for $V_{step-cpg}$, equal to $V_{step-cpg, st}$.

**Remark 3:** If the required power reference is greater than the maximum available power from the PV string during CPG, the proposed algorithm is able to calculate the voltage of maximum power point. Assuming that the movement of operation point to the right-side of MPP is chosen as the CPG control method, at the start of CPG, the proposed algorithm moves the operation point to the right-side of MPP by increasing $V_{ref}$. After moving the operation point to the right-side of MPP, the proposed algorithm calculates $\Delta p^*$ and since it is negative, $v_{ref}$ is reduced for the next execution time step, which, as a result brings the operation point to the left-side of MPP again. This operation will be repeated in this condition and hence the operation point oscillates around MPP resulting in the extraction of the maximum available power from the PV string. Since the parameters of the proposed algorithm are optimally selected for the CPG operation, not for the MPPT operation, the proposed algorithm extracts the maximum power from the PV string under such condition. However, the output power oscillations are relatively large.

**Remark 4:** One of the reasons that the available algorithms in the literature move the operation point only to the left-side of MPP is that by moving the operation point to right-side of MPP, it may go beyond the open-circuit voltage on the PV string as a result of a sudden change of irradiance or temperature. However, the proposed algorithm for moving the operation point to right-side of MPP in this paper, can regulate the power under such
condition. As depicted in Fig. 5(a), if the operation point is in right-side of MPP and the power becomes smaller than $P_{ref}$ as a result of the sudden change of irradiance or temperature, $V_{ref}$ reduces in the subsequent steps, which moves the operation point lower than the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel.

Remark 5: The selection of the operation region of the PV panel, to be in the right- or left-side of MPP, is predetermined by an external controller. The proposed algorithm in this paper, calculates the PV string voltage reference based on the chosen operation region and the required power reference from the external controller. As mentioned in Section II, the operation at the right- or left-side of MPP has various advantages and disadvantages and accordingly, a novel algorithm can be developed, as a future work of this study, which dynamically decides the operation region based on the real time parameters of the system in order to achieve a better performance.

IV. Simulation Results

Both single- and two-stage PVPP topologies (Fig. 1) are modeled and developed using Matlab/Simulink© and the PLECS toolbox. The PV panels are modeled using the SHARP NU-U235F1 model. Each PV panel produces the maximum power of 235 W (30 V and 7.84 A) at 25°C temperature and 1 kW/m² irradiance. The main system parameters are listed in Table I. The selection of voltage- and time-step are based on the criteria mentioned in Section III.

The schematic of the control algorithm, which can be implemented in both single- and two-stage PVPPs, is illustrated in Fig. 6. The operation mode and power reference are set by an external controller, like the central controller of the grid-connected PVPP. Furthermore, the operation region of the PV panel (operation in the right- or left-side of MPP) is also predetermined in the central controller. The operation mode is set based on the implemented fault detection and protection algorithm in the central controller. The PV string voltage reference is calculated through the proposed voltage reference calculation algorithm. Subsequently, $V_{ref}$ is fed into the power converter controller, being the dc-dc converter in the two-stage PVPP or the grid-connected inverter in the single-stage PVPP. It should be noted that since the calculated $V_{ref}$ using the proposed voltage reference calculation algorithm results in the regulation of the extracted power to $P_{ref}$, no additional controller or modifications of the dc-dc converter controller are required for the operation during CPG and the same controller as MPPT can be applied.

Although the main focus of this paper is for grid-connected PVPPs, the proposed algorithm can also be implemented on stand-alone PVPPs. In the two-stage PVPP, the proposed algorithm is implemented on the dc-dc converter and based on the inverter control algorithm, the PVPP can be utilized as stand-alone or grid-connected mode. In the single-stage PVPP, the proposed algorithm calculates the voltage reference in the outer loop of the controller, while the inner loop of the controller can be implemented as voltage controller for stand-alone PVPP or current controller for the grid-connected inverter.

In order to evaluate the generality of the proposed CPG algorithm, three different cases are investigated: 1) two-stage PVPP with the movement of the operation point to the right-side of MPP, 2) two-stage PVPP with the movement of operation point to the left-side of MPP and 3) single-stage PVPP with the movement of operation point to the right-side of MPP. Furthermore, two test scenarios are implemented in each of these three cases. In test scenario one, the performance of the proposed CPG algorithm under changes of irradiance is investigated, while the
TABLE I
SIMULATION PARAMETERS OF THE PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Two-stage case study values</th>
<th>Single-stage case study values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVPP nominal power</td>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>50 kW</td>
<td>50 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel maximum power</td>
<td>$p_{mpp}$</td>
<td>235 W</td>
<td>235 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel maximum power point voltage</td>
<td>$v_{mpp}$</td>
<td>30 V</td>
<td>30 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel maximum power point current</td>
<td>$i_{mpp}$</td>
<td>7.84 A</td>
<td>7.84 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of parallel-connected PV panels in the PV string</td>
<td>$N_p$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of series-connected PV panels in the PV string</td>
<td>$N_s$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel filling factor</td>
<td>$FF$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dc-bus voltage</td>
<td>$v_{dc}$</td>
<td>770 V</td>
<td>770 V (= $v_{pv}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid voltage</td>
<td>$v_{pcc}$</td>
<td>430 V$_{rms}$</td>
<td>430 V$_{rms}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel capacitor</td>
<td>$C_{pv}$</td>
<td>5 mF</td>
<td>5 mF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPPT mode time-step</td>
<td>$T_{step-mppt}$</td>
<td>0.1 s</td>
<td>0.1 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steady-state CPG mode time-step</td>
<td>$T_{step-cpg-st}$</td>
<td>0.1 s</td>
<td>0.15 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient CPG mode time-step</td>
<td>$T_{step-cpg-tr}$</td>
<td>0.002 s</td>
<td>0.05 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPPT mode voltage-step</td>
<td>$V_{step-mppt}$</td>
<td>5 V</td>
<td>5 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steady-state CPG mode voltage-step</td>
<td>$V_{step-cpg-st}$</td>
<td>Right-side: 1 V</td>
<td>Right-side: 1 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient CPG mode voltage-step</td>
<td>$V_{step-cpg-tr}$</td>
<td>Right-side: 3 V</td>
<td>Right-side: 3 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold power</td>
<td>$\Delta p_{th}$</td>
<td>8 kW</td>
<td>8 kW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Control diagram of the single- and two-stage PVPP topologies.

power reference is constant. The evaluation results for this test scenario for the three mentioned cases are presented in Cases I, II and III. In scenario two, the power reference is changed, while the transition from MPPT to CPG is also considered. The evaluation results for the second test scenario are demonstrated in Cases IV, V and VI.
The implemented irradiance profile for the first test scenario is presented in Fig. 7(a). Different types of irradiance changes, including step/ramp increase/decrease are considered in order to demonstrate the performance of the proposed algorithm under various conditions including a worst case step-change of the irradiance. Accordingly, the maximum available power from the PV panel under MPPT operation is illustrated in Fig. 7(b). As expected, the available power when $I_{rr} = 1 \text{ kW/m}^2$ equals to 50 kW, while it is smaller for lower irradiance amounts and it is larger for higher amounts of irradiance. The required power reference in this test scenario is 40 kW, and as depicted in Fig. 7(b), there are several instances that the available power from the PV panel is smaller than the required power reference.

**Case I:** This case demonstrates the performance of the proposed CPG algorithm on the two-stage PVPP with the movement of the operation point to the left-side of MPP and results are presented in Fig. 8. Before $t = 3$ s, $I_{rr} = 1 \text{ kW/m}^2$ and the voltage reference is regulated at 310 V through the proposed algorithm, which keeps the operation point of the PV panel at Point A, as depicted in Fig. 8(c). During this period, the time-step is equal to 0.1 s and the voltage-step is set to 6 V; consequently, the output power of the PV panel experiences small power oscillation, as shown in Fig. 8(b). The irradiance decreases linearly between $t = 3$ s and $t = 6$ s, as illustrated in Fig. 7(a). However, before $t = 4$ s, the available power is larger than $P_{ref}$ and therefore, $p_{pv}$ is regulated at 40 kW by increasing $v_{pv}$. It can be seen that during this transient period, small time-step and large voltage-step is used which results in the fast dynamic performance of the proposed CPG algorithm. Between $t = 6$ s and $t = 9$ s, the PV string operates at Point B, which is its maximum power point at $I_{rr} = 0.5 \text{ kW/m}^2$, because the available power is smaller than the required power. There is a step increase of irradiance at $t = 9$ s to $I_{rr} = 1.2 \text{ kW/m}^2$, which as a result the operation point is quickly moved to Point C in Fig. 8(c) with a decrease of the voltage to $v_{pv} = 250$ V. Similarly, a step decrease in the irradiance occurs at $t = 12$ s to $I_{rr} = 0.75 \text{ kW/m}^2$ that moves the operation point to D. At this point, $p_{pv}$ is approximately equal to 38 kW, that is the maximum available power of the PV string under such irradiance. Finally, as a result of the linear increase of the irradiance, the operation point of the PV
Fig. 8. Simulation results, test scenario one. Case I: Constant power generation of the PV module in the two-stage topology with the movement of the voltage reference to the left-side of MPP: (a) Voltage reference ($v_{ref}$), (b) PV voltage ($v_{pv}$), (c) extracted power from the PV module ($p_{pv}$) and (d) operation point of the PV module.

panel moves to Point E, which is the same operation point as A. The power oscillations during the steady-state operation of the controller are approximately 1 kW, which indicates a tracking error of around $\pm 1\%$. 

Case II: This case study examines the performance of the proposed CPG algorithm for the movement of the operation point to the right-side of MPP in the two-stage PVPP and results are depicted in Fig. 9. The same irradiance profile as Fig. 7(a) is considered in this study with $P_{ref} = 40$ kW. Before $t = 3$ s, the PV strings operates at Point A in Fig. 7(c) with $v_{pv} = 470$ V. Due to the decrease of irradiance after this moment, the operation point is moved to Point B that is the maximum power point of the PV string at $Irr = 0.5$ kW/m$^2$. A similar process as Case I is performed in this case study as well. It can be seen that the output power of the PV string is kept...
Fig. 9. Simulation results, test scenario one. Case II: Constant power generation of the PV module in the two-stage topology with the movement of the voltage reference to the right-side of MPP: (a) Voltage reference ($v_{ref}$), (b) PV voltage ($v_{pv}$), (c) extracted power from the PV module ($p_{pv}$) and (d) operation point of the PV module.

at 40 kW during all times, even during a sudden step change of the irradiance. Furthermore, at instances that the maximum available power is smaller than $P_{ref}$, the PV panel operates at MPP.

Case III: The operation of the proposed CPG algorithm with the movement of the operation point to right-side of MPP is examined under the irradiance profile shown in Fig. 7(a), for a single-stage PVPP with grid-connected inverter and results are depicted in Fig. 10. In this case study, the number of series-connected PV panels in the PV string is increased to 25 in order to achieve the required dc-link voltage for grid-connection. Under $Irr = 1 \text{ kW/m}^2$ (before $t = 3 \text{ s}$) the proposed algorithm regulates the dc-link voltage to 850 V (Point A in Fig. 10(c)). Subsequently, the operation point is moved to Point B, which is the maximum power point of the PV panel $Irr = 0.5 \text{ kW/m}^2$ and
power of approximately 25 kW. The test scenario continues similar to Case II and the presented results demonstrate the capability of the proposed CPG algorithm in regulating the output power of the single-stage PVPP to the required power reference.

Cases IV, V and VI: In test scenario two, the performance of the proposed algorithm under three severe operation conditions is demonstrated in these case studies: 1) Change of the operation mode from MPPT to CPG, 2) step change of the power reference and 3) step decrease of the irradiation which moves the operation point beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV string. These test scenarios are performed on the presented PVPP topologies and the results are illustrated in Figs. 11, 12 and 13. Prior to $t = 3$ s, the PVPP operates at MPPT and the maximum
available power of the PV string (50 kW) is extracted in all the three cases. At $t = 3$ s, the operation mode is change to CPG from the PVPP central controller with $P_{ref} = 35$ kW and therefore, the proposed CPG algorithm quickly regulates the PV string power to the required power reference at Point $B$ in all of the three cases. The power reference is decreased to $P_{ref} = 25$ kW at $t = 6$ s. Consequently, the proposed algorithm increases/decreases the voltage reference in the right-/left-side of MPP to Point $C$ to adjust the PV panel power with its reference value.

One of the interesting features of the proposed algorithm is its robustness for the operation in the right-side of MPP, when its operation point goes beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel. At $t = 9$ s, a sudden decrease of the irradiance from $Irr = 1$ kW/m$^2$ to $Irr = 0.3$ kW/m$^2$ is simulated. It can be seen from Fig. 12(c) and 13(c) that the PV panel voltage is equal to 495 V and 883 V before this moment, for the two- and single-stage PVPP respectively. Due to the step reduction of the irradiance, these voltages are beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel under such irradiation, which are 486 V and 868 V, respectively. The proposed algorithm decreases the voltage reference under such condition and consequently brings the operation point inside the P-V curve range of the PV panel. Because the maximum available power of the PV string under this condition is smaller than $P_{ref}$. 

---

**Fig. 11.** Simulation results, test scenario two. Case IV: Constant power generation of the PV module in the two-stage topology with the movement of the voltage reference to the left-side of MPP: (a) Irradiance, (b) PV voltage ($v_{pv}$), (c) extracted power from the PV module ($p_{pv}$) and (d) operation point of the PV module.
Fig. 12. Simulation results, test scenario two. Case V: Constant power generation of the PV module in the two-stage topology with the movement of the voltage reference to the right-side of MPP: (a) PV voltage ($v_{pv}$), (b) extracted power from the PV module ($p_{pv}$) and (c) operation point of the PV module.

the algorithm adjusts $v_{pv}$ to $v_{mpp}$ during this period.

Simulation results demonstrate the generality of the proposed CPG algorithm for different types of PVPPs with the flexibility to move the operation point of the PV panel to the right- or left-side of MPP. Furthermore the robustness of the proposed algorithm in regulating the PV panel power under step change of irradiance and power reference is demonstrated under various operation conditions.
Fig. 13. Simulation results, test scenario two. Case VI: Constant power generation of the PV module connected in the single-stage topology with the movement of the voltage reference to the right-side of MPP: (a) PV voltage ($v_{pv}$), (b) PV power ($p_{pv}$) and (c) operation point of the PV module.

V. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

The operation and performance of the proposed algorithm are demonstrated experimentally using a dc-dc boost converter setup (Fig. 14) based on the CREE C2M0080120D SiC Power MOSFET. The complete parameters of the experimental setup are given in Table II and the circuit diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 14(b). The PV side is emulated using an ETS600/8 Terra SAS photovoltaic simulator and the V-I characteristics are given in Table II. The dc-side voltage is regulated with a Sorensen SGI 400-38 dc power supply in parallel with a resistor ($R_l$) as in practical applications it would be controlled by the inverter-side dc-voltage control. The schematic of the implemented controller is the same as the presented control strategy for simulation evaluation, shown in Fig. 6(a). The proposed controller and protection functions of the converter are implemented in a dSPACE 1006 platform.

Two different cases are experimentally tested in order to verify the operation of the controller under different situations.

Case I: This case demonstrates the performance of the proposed algorithm under direct changes in the power reference ($P_{ref}$) with regards to other control objectives. The irradiance and temperature are assumed to remain
Fig. 14. Experimental verification. (a) Schematic diagram of the dc-dc converter test system and (b) setup of the experiment.

### TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV panel maximum power</td>
<td>$p_{mpp}$</td>
<td>1 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel maximum power point voltage</td>
<td>$v_{mpp}$</td>
<td>137 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel maximum power point current</td>
<td>$i_{mpp}$</td>
<td>7.5 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel filling factor</td>
<td>$FF$</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dc-bus voltage</td>
<td>$v_{dc}$</td>
<td>250 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV panel capacitor</td>
<td>$C_{pv}$</td>
<td>200 µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dc/dc converter switching frequency</td>
<td>$f_{sw}$</td>
<td>50 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPPT mode calculation time-step</td>
<td>$T_{step-mppt}$</td>
<td>0.2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPG mode calculation time-step</td>
<td>$T_{step-cpg}$</td>
<td>0.02 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPPT mode voltage-step</td>
<td>$V_{step-mppt}$</td>
<td>0.5 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steady-state CPG mode voltage-step</td>
<td>$V_{step-cpg-st}$</td>
<td>0.35 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient CPG mode voltage-step</td>
<td>$V_{step-cpg-tr}$</td>
<td>3.5 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold power</td>
<td>$\Delta p_{th}$</td>
<td>80 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

constant for the duration of the event and the results are shown in Fig. 15. Under steady-state before $t = 1$ s, the converter operates in MPPT mode extracting the maximum power (1 kW under 1000 W/m²) from the PV panels. The PV voltage is regulated to 138 V, while the inverter dc-side voltage is equal to $v_{dc} = 250$ V, with a duty cycle
of approximately 0.45 and the PV panel operates at Point A of Fig. 15(c).

At $t = 1 \text{s}$, the external controller sends a direct power reference of 0.35 kW. The calculated voltage reference by the proposed algorithm is 162 V as shown in Fig. 15(b) and accordingly the operation point moves to Point $M$ in Fig. 15(c). The average value of the extracted power remains 0.35 kW during this $CPGI$ operation mode. At $t = 2.5 \text{s}$, the power reference changes again to 0.75 kW. During this transient, $V_{\text{step-cpg}}$ is set to $V_{\text{step-cpg-tr}}$ due to the implementation of the proposed hysteresis-band-based controller. Thus, the controllers reaches the new voltage reference quickly and the output power of the converter increases to 0.75 kW in less than 0.1 s.

---

Fig. 15. Experimental results. Case I - Behavior of the proposed power reduction method under change of the power reference: (a) PV panel voltage, current, power and dc-link voltage, (b) voltage reference and dc-dc converter duty cycle and (c) PV panel operation point.
Fig. 16. Experimental results: Case II - Behavior of the proposed power reduction method under change of the irradiance: (a) PV panel voltage, current, power and dc-link voltage, (b) voltage reference and dc-dc converter duty cycle and (c) operation point of the PV panel.

The operation mode after $t = 4$ s changes to MPPT operation mode, in which the normal P&O algorithm is implemented, the same as the period before $t = 1$ s. However, the normal P&O algorithm, with $T_{\text{step-mppt}} = 0.2$ s and $V_{\text{step-mppt}} = 0.5$ V as mentioned in Table II, requires relatively long settling time to calculate $v_{\text{mpp}}$ and the results, shown between $t = 4$ s and $t = 5$ s, did not reach the steady-state. It can be seen that the proposed controller can quickly regulate the PV panel power to the required power reference, even under a sudden step change of the power reference.

Case II: The second case demonstrates the impact of irradiance to the performance of the proposed algorithm. The irradiance is reduced linearly from 1000 W/m² to 400 W/m² between $t = 1.95$ s and $t = 6.8$ s, while the power
reference is kept constant at $P_{ref} = 0.65$ kW. The CPG command is received at $t = 1$ s and the controller operates similarly to Case I, at Point B in Fig. 16(c). Between $t = 1$ s and $t = 1.95$ s, the irradiance is constant 1000 W/m$^2$, and the PV power is also kept constant. However, after $t = 1.95$ s due to the reduction of the irradiance, $V_{ref}$ changes in order to regulate the power to the requested reference. This happens until $t = 5.9$ s. At this moment, the maximum available power from the PV panel is equal to 0.65 kW and the operating point corresponds to the MPP. Further reduction in the irradiance below 595 W/m$^2$ means that the reference power cannot be satisfied, at which point the algorithm tracks the MPP. After $t = 6.9$ s, when the irradiance stays constant to 400 W/m$^2$, the proposed CPG algorithm regulates the reference voltage at 132 V, which is equal to the $v_{mpp}$, as shown in Fig. 16(c) with the PV panel operating at Point C. The robustness of the proposed CPG control algorithm is show in this case study and it is demonstrated that it is able to regulate the PV panel power to the required power reference under the change of irradiance.

The cases that are presented and experimentally verified, demonstrate that the proposed algorithm combined with the change in the time- and voltage-step is capable of either controlling the extracted PV power to the required power reference or tracking the maximum power point when the power requirements cannot be satisfied.

**VI. Conclusion**

A general algorithm for calculating the voltage reference of a PV panel, which regulates its output power to a certain power reference has been introduced and investigated in this paper. The proposed algorithm is based on the P-V curve characteristics of the PV panel. Unlike the presented studies in the literature, the proposed algorithm is flexible in moving the operation point to the right- or left-side of maximum power point and can be applied for single- or two-stage PVPP topologies. As the time- and voltage-step of the voltage reference calculation algorithm are calculated based on a hysteresis band controller, fast dynamic performance during transients and slow power oscillations during steady-state are obtained.

Detailed implementation of the proposed CPG algorithm has been presented and its flexibility and effectiveness has been demonstrated on 50-kVA single- and two-stage PVPP simulation setups and a 1-kVA experimental setup, under various irradiance and power reference profiles. The PVPP obtains CPG capability under all of the presented severe operating conditions. Also, it is shown that if the target power reference is larger than the maximum available power of the PVPP, the proposed algorithm operates at maximum power point. Furthermore, the robustness of the proposed algorithm for regulating the PVPP power while its operation point goes beyond the open-circuit voltage of the PV panel due to sudden decrease of irradiance, is demonstrated with results for both PVPP topologies. The results demonstrate flexibility and generality of the proposed algorithm as an additional function for existing MPPT algorithms in grid-connected PVPPs.
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