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Abstract

We show that every weighing matrix of weight \( n \) invariant under a finite abelian group \( G \) can be generated from a subgroup \( H \) of \( G \) with \( |H| \leq 2^{n-1} \). Furthermore, if \( n \) is an odd prime power and a proper circulant weighing matrix of weight \( n \)
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and order $v$ exists, then $v \leq 2^{n-1}$. We also obtain a lower bound on the weight of group invariant matrices depending on the invariant factors of the underlying group. These results are obtained by investigating the structure of subsets of finite abelian groups that do not have unique differences.

1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite multiplicative group of order $v$ and let $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ denote the corresponding integral group ring. Any $X \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ can be written as $X = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ with $a_g \in \mathbb{Z}$. The integers $a_g$ are the coefficients of $X$. We write $|X| = \sum_{g \in G} a_g$ and $X^{-1} = \sum a_g g^{-1}$. We identify a subset $S$ of $G$ with the group ring element $\sum_{g \in S} g$. For the identity element $1_G$ of $G$ and an integer $s$, we write $s$ for the group ring element $s1_G$. The set $\text{supp}(X) = \{ g \in G : a_g \neq 0 \}$ is called the support of $X$.

A weighing matrix of order $v$ is a $v \times v$ matrix $M$ with entries $0, \pm 1$ only such that $MM^T = nI$ where $n$ is a positive integer and $I$ is an identity matrix. The integer $n$ is the weight of the matrix. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $H = (h_{f,g})_{f,g \in G}$ be a $|G| \times |G|$ matrix, indexed with the elements of $G$. We say that $H$ is $G$-invariant if $h_{f,k,g} = h_{f,g}$ for all $f, g, k \in G$. Weighing matrices invariant under cyclic groups are called circulant weighing matrices.

The existence of group invariant weighing matrices has been studied quite intensively, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18], for instance. Interest in methods for the study of group invariant weighing matrices also stems from the multiplier conjecture for difference sets: The most powerful known approach to this conjecture due to McFarland [13] depends on nonexistence results for group ring elements which satisfy the same equation $XX^{-1} = n$ as group invariant weighing matrices. In fact, our results can be used to improve multiplier theorems.

The following is well known, see [16, Lem. 1.3.9].

**Lemma 1.** Let $G$ be a finite group of order $v$. The existence of $G$-invariant weighing matrix of weight $n$ is equivalent to the existence of $X \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ with coefficients $0, \pm 1$ only such that $XX^{-1} = n$. 
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In view of Lemma 1, we will always view $G$-invariant weighing matrices as elements of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The key to our results is the investigation of the support of group invariant weighing matrices in Section 3. As the support of such matrices does not contain a unique difference, we can use the Smith Normal Form of the matrix of the corresponding linear system to gain insights into the structure of the support.

Many group invariant weighing matrices can be constructed as follows. Let $H$ be a subgroup of a finite abelian group $G$ and let $g_1, \ldots, g_K \in G$ be representatives of distinct cosets of $H$ in $G$. Suppose that $X_1, \ldots, X_K \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ have coefficients $0, \pm 1$ only and that $\sum_{i=1}^{K} X_i X_i^{-1} = n$ and $X_i X_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. It follows by straightforward computation ([2, Thm. 2.4]) that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_i g_i$$

is a $G$-invariant weighing matrix of weight $n$. If (1) holds, we say that $X$ is generated from $H$.

Note that, indeed, the main conditions that make (1) a weighing matrix only involve equations over the group ring of $H$. These conditions are $\sum_{i=1}^{K} X_i X_i^{-1} = n$ and $X_i X_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. The choice of the $g_i$’s only makes sure that the coefficients of $X$ are $0, \pm 1$. In fact, such $g_i$’s exist in any abelian group which contains $H$ as a subgroup of index at least $K$.

The following [1, Construction 3.10] provides examples of group invariant weighing matrices obtained via (1).

**Result 2.** Let $q = p^a$ where $p$ is a prime and $a$ is a positive integer. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and assume that $d$ is even if $p$ is odd. Set $r = q^d + q^{d-1} + \cdots + 1$. Let $V$ be a $(d + 1)$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $U_1, \ldots, U_r$ be the $d$-dimensional subspaces of $V$. Let $G$ be any abelian group containing $V$ as a subgroup such that the index of $V$ in $G$ is at least $(r+1)/2$. Finally, let $g_1, \ldots, g_{(r-1)/2} \in G \setminus H$ be representatives of distinct cosets of $H$ in $G$. Then

$$X = U_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{(r-1)/2} (U_{2i} - U_{2i+1}) g_i$$
is a $G$-invariant weighing matrix of weight $q^{2d}$.

The main aim of our work is to show that group invariant weighing matrices necessarily have the form (1) if their weight is small compared to order of the underlying group. Moreover, we show that the order of the group $H$ which contains the “building blocks” $X_i$ is bounded by a constant only depending on $n$. Some results in this direction concerning circulant weighing matrices previously were obtained in [12]. The main result of [12] is the following.

**Result 3.** For every positive integer $n$, there is a positive integer $F(n)$, only depending on $n$, such that every circulant weighing matrix of weight $n$ is generated from a cyclic group of order $F(n)$.

Though the constant $F(n)$ can be computed for any given $n$, it is huge even for moderately sized $n$. In particular, *all* primes $\leq 4^n + 1$ are divisors of $F(n)$. In Section 5, we prove the following result which substantially generalizes and improves Result 3.

**Theorem 4.** Let $n$ be a positive integer. Every weighing matrix of weight $n$ invariant under an abelian group $G$ is generated from a subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $|H| \leq 2^{n-1}$.

A $G$-invariant weighing matrix $X \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is called **proper** if $\langle \text{supp}(Xg) \rangle = G$ for all $g \in G$. Note that $X$ is proper if and only if $Xg \notin \mathbb{Z}[U]$ for all proper subgroups $U$ of $G$ all $g \in G$.

**Example 5.** Let $q = 2^a$ where $a$ is a positive integer. There exists a proper weighing matrix $Y$ of weight $q^2$ invariant under a cyclic group, say $U$, of order $q^2 + q + 1$ (see [17]). Let $G = U \times \langle g \rangle \times \langle h \rangle$ where $g$ is an element of order 2 and the order of $h$ is coprime to $2(q^2 + q + 1)$. Note that $G$ is a cyclic group of order $2(q^2 + q + 1)k$ where $k$ is the order of $h$. Set

$$X = (1 + g)Y + (1 - g)hY.$$ 

Using $YY^{(−1)} = q^2$, $(1 + g)(1 - g) = 0$, and $(1 + g)^2 + (1 - g)^2 = 4$, it is straightforward to verify that $X$ is a $G$-invariant weighing matrix of weight $4q^2 = 2^{2a+2}$. Furthermore, the fact that $Y$ is proper implies that $X$ is proper, too.
Note that the order of $h$ in Example 5 can be arbitrarily large. Hence Example 5 shows that, for any fixed $a$, there exist proper circulant weighing matrices of weight $2^{2a+2}$ invariant under groups of arbitrarily large order. We will show that this cannot happen if the weight is an odd prime power. In fact, Theorem 4, together with results from [12], yields the following result.

**Corollary 6.** Let $n$ be an odd prime power. If there exists a proper circulant weighing matrix of weight $n$ and order $v$, then $v \leq 2^{n-1}$.

**Example 7.** We show that the statement of Corollary 6 does not hold any more if “circulant” is replaced by “group invariant”. Let $p$ be an odd prime and

$$G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3 \times \langle g_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_{(r-1)/2} \rangle,$$

where $r = p^2 + p + 1$ and the $g_i$’s are elements of order at least 2. Then (2) defines a proper $G$-invariant weighing matrix of weight $p^4$. As the order of the $g_i$’s can be arbitrarily large, this shows that, for fixed $p$, there exist proper weighing matrices of weight $p^4$ invariant under arbitrarily large groups. Hence the conclusion of Corollary 6 does not hold for weighing matrices invariant under arbitrary abelian groups.

We will also obtain a lower bound on the weight of a $G$-invariant matrix depending on the invariant factors of $G$. We first give the necessary definitions. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group with $|G| \geq 2$. Then there are unique integers $k \geq 1, v_1, \ldots, v_k \geq 2$ with $v_1 | v_2 | \cdots | v_k$ such that $G$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/v_1\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/v_k\mathbb{Z})$. The numbers $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ are called the **invariant factors** of $G$. The positive integer $k$ is equal to the minimum number of generators of $G$ and is denoted by $d(G)$.

**Theorem 8.** Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group with invariant factors $v_i, i = 1, \ldots, d(G)$, and suppose that $\prod_{i=1}^m v_i > 2^{n-1}$ for some $m \leq d(G)$. If a proper $G$-invariant weighing matrix of weight $n$ exists, then

$$n \geq (d(G) - m + 2)^2.$$

We will prove Theorem 8 in Section 5. The following example shows that the bound in Theorem 8 can be attained. For an element $g$ of a group $G$, denote the order of $g$ in $G$ by $\text{ord}(g)$.
Example 9. Let $V$ be an elementary abelian group of order $2^{2a}$ and let

$$G = V \times \langle g_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_{2a-1} \rangle$$

be an abelian group such that $\text{ord}(g_i)$ is even and larger than $2^{2a}$ for all $i$. Then (2) defines a $G$-invariant weighing matrix $X$ of weight $n = 2^{2a}$ by Result 2. It is straightforward to check that $X$ is proper.

Write $k = d(G)$ and note that $k = 2a + 2^{a-1}$. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$ be the invariant factors of $G$. By the assumptions above, we have $v_i = 2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2a$ and $v_{2a+1} > 2^{2a}$. Thus $\prod_{i=1}^{2a+1} v_i > v_{2a+1} > 2^{2a} > 2^{n-1}$. Applying Theorem 8 to this example, we get

$$n \geq (2a + 2^{a-1} - (2a + 1) + 2)^2 = 2^{2a-2} + 2^a + 1.$$ 

In particular, the bound provided by Theorem 8 is best possible for $a = 1$.

2 Preliminaries

For the convenience of reader, we recall some known results which will be used later. Let $G$ be a finite multiplicatively written abelian group. We denote the group of complex characters of $G$ by $\hat{G}$. For $A = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$, we set $\chi(A) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \chi(g)$. A proof of the following result can be found in [8, Section VI.3], for instance.

Result 10 (Fourier Inversion Formula). Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and let $\hat{G}$ denote the group of complex characters of $G$. Let $X = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Then

$$a_g = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(X g^{-1})$$

for all $g \in G$. In particular, if $\chi(X) = 0$ for all $\chi \in \hat{G}$, then $X = 0$.

The following determinant bound is due to Schinzel [15].

Result 11. Let $A = (A_{ij})$ be a real $n \times n$ matrix. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, write $R_i^+(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \max(0, A_{ij})$ and $R_i^-(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \max(0, -A_{ij})$. We have

$$|\det(A)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \max\{R_i^+(A), R_i^-(A)\}.$$
We will use the Smith Normal Form of integer matrices $M$ to analyse the connection between the solution sets of $Mx = 0$ where, on the one hand, $x$ is considered as an integer vector and, on the other hand, as a vector with entries from a finite abelian group. Lemma 12 below supplies some tools supporting these arguments.

At this point, a remark on notation is appropriate. When we are using group rings, we write groups multiplicatively to distinguish between the addition in the group ring and the group operation. When we consider linear systems of equations over abelian groups, however, we write groups additively, so that we can easily use matrix-vector notation.

**Lemma 12.** Let $G$ be an additive finite abelian group and let $M$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with integer entries where $m \geq n$. Write $s = \text{rank}_\mathbb{Q}(M)$. Let $S$ and $T = (X|Y)$ be unimodular matrices such that $D = \text{SMT}$ is a Smith Normal Form of $M$. Note that $X$ is an $n \times (n-s)$ matrix and $Y$ is $n \times s$; and that $D$ is a rectangular diagonal $m \times n$ matrix with diagonal entries $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ where $d_{s+1}, \ldots, d_n = 0$. Denote the rows of $Y$ by $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$. Then we have the following.

(a) If $y \in G^n$ satisfies $My = 0$, then there is a subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $|H| \leq \prod_{j=1}^s d_j$ such that

$$y = Xe + Yf \text{ with } e \in H^s \text{ and } f \in G^{n-s}. \quad (3)$$

(b) Let $1_n$ denote the vector with all entries 1 in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. If $M1_n = 0$, then we can assume that $Y$ contains $1_n$ as a column.

(c) There is $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-s}$ such that $Y_i\gamma \neq Y_j\gamma$ whenever $Y_i \neq Y_j$.

**Proof.** Since $T$ is invertible, there is $w \in G^n$ such that $y = Tw$. We have $Dw = DT^{-1}y = 0$, since $S^{-1}(DT^{-1}y) = My = 0$. Write $w = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ f \end{pmatrix}$ with $e = (e_1, \ldots, e_s)^T \in G^s$ and $f \in G^{n-s}$. We have $Dw = (e_1d_1, \ldots, esd_s, 0, \ldots, 0)^T = 0$. This implies that, for each $i$, the order of $e_i$ in $G$ divides $d_i$. Hence the subgroup $H$ of $G$ generated by $e_1, \ldots, e_s$ has order at most $\prod_{j=1}^s d_j$. As $e \in H^s$ and $y = Tw = Xe + Yf$, this proves (3).

Now suppose $M1_n = 0$. Set $r = T^{-1}1_n$. Then $Dr = DT^{-1}1_n = 0$, since $S^{-1}DT^{-1}1_n = M1_n = 0$. Write $r = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, $v \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-s}$. Then $u = 0$, since $Dr = 0$. Hence $1_n = Tr = (X|Y)r = Yv$. As all entries in $1_n$ are 1, this implies that the greatest
common divisor of the entries of $v$ is 1. By [19, p. 336], there is an $(n - s) \times (n - s)$ unimodular matrix $V$ which has $v$ as its first column. Set
\[ T' = T \begin{pmatrix} I_s & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}, \]
where $I_s$ is the $s \times s$ identity matrix and the zeros are zero blockmatrices of appropriate sizes. Then $T'$ is unimodular, since $T$ and $V$ are unimodular. Furthermore,
\[ SMT' = D \begin{pmatrix} I_s & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} = D, \]
since the last $n - s$ columns of $D$ are all zero. Note that column $s + 1$ of $T'$ is first column of $YV$ which is equal to $Yv = 1_n$ by the choice of $V$. Hence, replacing $T$ by $T'$, if necessary, we can assume that $Y$ contains $1_n$ as a column. This proves part (b).

If $Y_i \neq Y_j$, then \( \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-s} : Y_i \gamma = Y_j \gamma \} \) is contained in a hyperplane of $\mathbb{Q}^{n-s}$. Since any union of finitely many hyperplanes of $\mathbb{Q}^{n-s}$ does not cover $\mathbb{Z}^{n-s}$, there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-s}$ which does not satisfy any of the equations $Y_i \gamma = Y_j \gamma$ for $Y_i \neq Y_j$. This proves part (c).

\[ \square \]

3 Structure of Sets with no Unique Difference

Throughout this section, we write groups additively. Let $A$ be a subset of a finite abelian group $G$. If there is $g \in G$ such that there is exactly one pair $(a, b)$, $a, b \in A$, with $g = a - b$, we say that $A$ has a unique difference.

Suppose that $A \subset G$ has no unique difference. Write $|A| = n$ and $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. To each $a_i$ we associate a variable $x_i$. Consider the linear system
\[ E = \{x_i - x_j = x_{i'} - x_{j'} : 1 \leq i, i', j, j' \leq |A|, i \neq i', j \neq j', \text{ and } a_i - a_j = a_{i'} - a_{j'}\}. \tag{4} \]
Since $A$ does not have a unique difference, for every pair $(i, j)$ with $i \neq j$, there is at least one pair $(i', j')$ such that the equation $x_i - x_j = x_{i'} - x_{j'}$ is contained in $E$ (note that for given $(i, j)$, there might well be more than one such pair $(i', j')$).
Note that \( E \) is a homogeneous linear system and can be written in the form \( Mx = 0 \) where \( M \) is a coefficient matrix of the system. Note that \( M \) has entries 0, ±1, and ±2 only. It is indeed possible that \( M \) contains entries ±2. For instance, if \( i = j' \neq 0 \), then the row of \( M \) corresponding to the equation \( x_i - x_j = x_{i'} - x_{j'} \) has an entry ±2, as the equation is equivalent to \( 2x_i - x_j - x_{i'} = 0 \). Furthermore, note that the sum of the positive entries of each row of \( M \) is at most 2, and the sum of the negative entries of each row is at least −2.

**Theorem 13.** Let \( G \) be a finite abelian group and \( A \) be a subset of \( G \) which has no unique difference. Let \( M \) be a coefficient matrix of the linear system (4) determined by \( A \).

Then there exist an integer \( K \geq |A| - \text{rank}_Q(M) \) and a subgroup \( H \) of \( G \) with \( |H| \leq 2^{|A|−1} \) such that the following hold. There are integers \( \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_K \) and nonempty subsets \( A_1, \ldots, A_K \) of \( G \) satisfying the following conditions.

(i) \( A \) is the disjoint union of \( A_1, \ldots, A_K \).

(ii) If \( (A_i - A_j) \cap (A_{i'} - A_{j'}) \) is nonempty for any \( i, j, i', j' \) with \( 1 \leq i, j, i', j' \leq K \), then \( \alpha_i - \alpha_j = \alpha_{i'} - \alpha_{j'} \).

(iii) \( A_i \subset H + g_i \) for some \( g_i \in G \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, K \).

**Proof.** Write \( n = |A| \) and \( s = \text{rank}_Q(M) \). Note that \( s \leq n - 1 \), since the sum of all columns of \( M \) is zero. Let \( S \) and \( T \) be unimodular matrices such that \( D = STM \) is a Smith Normal Form of \( M \). As in Lemma 12, we write \( T = (X|Y) \) and \( 1_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) is a vector with all entries 1. Obviously, if we set all \( x_i \)'s to be 1, it is a solution for the linear system \( E \). Therefore, as \( M \) is the coefficient matrix of \( E \), \( M1_n = 0 \). By Lemma 12 (b), we can assume that \( 1_n \) is the first column of \( Y \). Recall that \( D \) is a rectangular diagonal \( m \times n \) matrix with diagonal entries \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \) where \( d_1, \ldots, d_{s+1}, \ldots, d_n = 0 \). Hence the last \( n - s \) columns of \( D \) and thus of \( S^{-1}D = MT \) are all zero. This shows

\[
MY = 0. \tag{5}
\]

Let \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \) be the rows of \( Y \) and write \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_n\} = \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_K\} \) where the \( Z_i \)'s are pairwise distinct. Since the columns of \( Y \) are linearly independent, we have
rank\(_Q(Y) = n - s\). Hence \(K \geq n - s = |A| - \text{rank}_Q(M)\). By Lemma 12 (c), there is \(\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-s}\) such that the values \(\alpha_i = Z_i\gamma, i = 1, \ldots, K\), are pairwise distinct. In other words, \(Y_i\gamma = Y_j\gamma\) if and only if \(Y_i = Y_j\). By renumbering the \(Z_i\)'s, if necessary, we may assume \(\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_K\). Write \(A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}\) and set \(A_i = \{a_j : Y_j\gamma = \alpha_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, K\). Note that the \(A_i\)'s form a partition of \(A\). This proves part (i).

For part (ii), suppose that \(a_r - a_s = a_{r'} - a_{s'}\) for some \(a_r \in A_i, a_s \in A_j, a_{r'} \in A_{i'}, a_{s'} \in A_{j'}\). Then the equation \(x_r - x_s = x_{r'} - x_{s'}\) is contained in the system (4). By considering the row vector associated with the equation and (5), this implies \(Y_r - Y_s = Y_{r'} - Y_{s'}\). Note that \(Y_i\gamma = \alpha_i\) by the definition of \(A_i\) and, similarly, \(Y_j\gamma = \alpha_j\), \(Y_{r'}\gamma = \alpha_{i'}\), and \(Y_{s'}\gamma = \alpha_{j'}\). Thus \(\alpha_i - \alpha_j = (Y_r - Y_s)\gamma = (Y_{r'} - Y_{s'})\gamma = \alpha_{i'} - \alpha_{j'}\). This proves part (ii).

It remains to prove (iii). Write \(a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T\). Since \(Ma = 0\), we have

\[
a = Xe + Yf \quad \text{with} \quad e \in H^s \quad \text{and} \quad f \in G^{n-s}
\]

by Lemma 12 (a), where \(H\) is a subgroup of \(G\) with \(|H| \leq \prod_{i=1}^s d_i\) and \(d_1, \ldots, d_s\) are the nonzero diagonal entries of \(D\). From the theory of the Smith Normal Form (see [14, p. 41], for instance), it is well known that \(\prod_{i=1}^s d_i\) is the greatest common divisor of all \(s \times s\) minors of \(M\). Let \(N\) be such a minor of \(M\). The sum of the positive entries of each row of \(N\) is at most 2, and the sum of the negative entries of each row is at least \(-2\), since the same is true for \(M\). Hence \(|\det(N)| \leq 2^s\) by Result 11. Recall \(s \leq n - 1\). Thus \(|H| \leq \prod_{i=1}^s d_i \leq 2^{n-1}\).

Now let \(a_r, a_s \in A_i\). To complete the proof of (iii), we have to show \(a_r - a_s \in H\). By the definition of \(A_i\), we have \(Y_r\gamma = Y_s\gamma\), which implies \(Y_r = Y_s\). Let \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) denote the rows of \(X\). Using (6), we get

\[
a_r - a_s = (X_r - X_s)e + (Y_r - Y_s)f = (X_r - X_s)e.
\]

Since \(e \in H^s\), this shows \(a_r - a_s \in H\).

For an abelian group \(G\) and \(t \in \mathbb{Z}\), we write \(tG = \{tg : g \in G\}\). Note that \(tG\) is a subgroup of \(G\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{Z}\). The following is well known and straightforward to prove.
Lemma 14. Let $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/v_1\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/v_k\mathbb{Z})$ where $v_1, \ldots, v_k \geq 2$ are integers. Then
\[ |tG| = \frac{|G|}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \gcd(t, v_i)} \]
for all positive integers $t$. In particular, $|tG| \geq |G|/t^k$.

For the application of Theorem 13, it is important to provide an upper bound on $\text{rank}_Q(M)$. This is the purpose of the following result. For a group $G$ and $S \subset G$, let $\langle S \rangle$ denote the subgroup of $G$ generated by $S$.

Theorem 15. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group with invariant factors $v_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, d(G)$. Suppose $A$ is a subset of $G$ with $0 \in A$ and $\langle A \rangle = G$ that does not have a unique difference. Let $M$ be a coefficient matrix of the linear system (4) determined by $A$. If $2^{|A|-1} < \prod_{i=1}^{m} v_i$ for some $m \leq d(G)$, then
\[ \text{rank}_Q(M) \leq |A| - d(G) + m - 2. \]

Proof. Let $s = \text{rank}_Q(M)$ and $k = d(G)$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 13, we have $s \leq |A| - 1$ and there is a subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $|H| \leq 2^{|A|-1}$ such that
\[ a = Xe + Yf \text{ with } e \in H^s \text{ and } f \in G^{n-s}, \]
where $X \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times s}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times (n-s)}$. Furthermore, all entries of the first column of $Y$ are equal to 1. Write $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_{n-s})^T$ and $Y = (Y_{ij})$. Note that $Xe \in H^n$, since $e \in H^n$. Hence $A \subset \langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-s} \rangle$.

By assumption, we have $0 \in A$, say $a_t = 0$. Using (7), we get $0 = a_t = h + \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} Y_{tj}f_j$ for some $h \in H$. Note that $Y_{11} = 1$, as all entries of the first column of $Y$ are equal to 1. Hence $f_1 = -h - \sum_{j=2}^{n-s} Y_{tj}f_j$ and thus $H\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-s} \rangle = H\langle f_2, \ldots, f_{n-s} \rangle$. As $A \subset H\langle f_1, \ldots, f_{n-s} \rangle = H\langle f_2, \ldots, f_{n-s} \rangle$ and $\langle A \rangle = G$ by assumption, we conclude $d(G/H) \leq n - s - 1$.

Recall that $|H| \leq 2^{|A|-1} < \prod_{i=1}^{m} v_i$. Hence $|G/H| > \prod_{i=m+1}^{k} v_i$. We claim that $d(G/H) \geq k - m + 1$. To prove this, suppose $d(G/H) \leq k - m$. Then there is a positive integer $l \leq k - m$ such that
\[ G/H = \langle Hg_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle Hg_l \rangle. \]
for some $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in G$. Using (8), Lemma 14, $|G/H| > \prod_{i=m+1}^{k} v_i$, and $l \leq k - m$, we get
\[ |v_{m}(G/H)| \geq \frac{|G/H|}{v_{m}^{k-m}} > \prod_{i=m+1}^{k} \frac{v_i}{v_m}. \]
This implies $|v_m(g_1, \ldots, g_l)| > \prod_{i=m+1}^{k} \frac{v_i}{v_m}$. But we have $|v_m G| = \prod_{i=m+1}^{k} \frac{v_i}{v_m}$ by Lemma 14. This is a contradiction, since $v_m(g_1, \ldots, g_l)$ is a subgroup of $v_m G$. This shows $d(G/H) \geq k - m + 1$.

Combining this with $d(G/H) \leq n - s - 1$, we get $s = \text{rank}_Q(M) \leq n - k + m - 2$. \(\square\)

**Corollary 16.** Let $G$ be a finite abelian group with invariant factors $v_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, d(G)$. Suppose $A$ is a subset of $G$ with $0 \in A$ and $\langle A \rangle = G$ that does not have a unique difference. Suppose $|G| > 2^{|A|-1}$ and let $m$ be a positive integer such that $\prod_{i=1}^{m} v_i > 2^{|A|-1}$. Then the conclusions of Theorem 13 hold with
\[ K \geq d(G) - m + 2. \]
In particular, $K \geq 2$.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorems 13 and 15. \(\square\)

### 4 Structure of Group Ring Elements Satisfying $XX^{(-1)} = n$

From now on, we write groups multiplicatively again. The following observation provides a connection between sets with no unique difference and group ring elements satisfying $XX^{(-1)} = n$.

**Lemma 17.** Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and let $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $X \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is a solution of $XX^{(-1)} = n$ and that $|\text{supp}(X)| > 1$. Then $\text{supp}(X)$ has no unique difference.

**Proof.** Write $X = \sum_{g \in S} a_g g$ where $S = \text{supp}(X)$, $a_g \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $a_g \neq 0$ for all $g \in S$. Suppose that $\text{supp}(X)$ has a unique difference. Then there is $k \in G$ such that
there is exactly one pair \((c, d)\), \(c, d \in \text{supp}(X)\), with \(k = cd^{-1}\) (recall that we write \(G\) multiplicatively). Note that the identity element of \(G\) is not a unique difference of \(\text{supp}(X)\), as \(|\text{supp}(X)| > 1\). Hence \(k\) is not the identity element of \(G\). But the coefficient of \(k\) in \(XX^{(-1)}\) is \(a_c a_d \neq 0\), as \(k = cd^{-1}\) is the only representation of \(k\) as a difference of elements of \(\text{supp}(X)\). This contradicts \(XX^{(-1)} = n\).

As for \(G\)-invariant weighing matrices, we call \(X \in \mathbb{Z}[G]\) proper if \(\langle \text{supp}(Xg) \rangle = G\) for all \(g \in G\). The condition for \(X\) to be proper in the following theorem is not restrictive. In fact, if \(\langle \text{supp}(Xg) \rangle \neq G\), then the theorem can be applied with \(X\) replaced by \(Xg\) and \(G\) replaced by \(\langle \text{supp}(Xg) \rangle\).

**Theorem 18.** Let \(G\) be a finite abelian group with invariant factors \(v_i, i = 1, \ldots, d(G)\). Suppose that \(X\) is a proper element of \(\mathbb{Z}[G]\) with \(XX^{(-1)} = n\) where \(n\) is a positive integer and \(|G| > 2^{n-1}\). Let \(m\) be a positive integer with \(\prod_{i=1}^{m} v_i > 2^{n-1}\). Then there is an integer \(K \geq d(G) - m + 2\) such that the following hold.

There exist a subgroup \(H\) of \(G\) with \(|H| \leq 2^{n-1}\), nonzero elements \(X_1, \ldots, X_K\) of \(\mathbb{Z}[H]\), and \(g_1, \ldots, g_K \in G\), such that

(i) \(X = \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_i g_i\);

(ii) \(\text{supp}(X_i g_i) \cap \text{supp}(X_j g_j) = \emptyset\) whenever \(i \neq j\);

(iii) \(X_i X_j = 0\) whenever \(i \neq j\).

**Proof.** Write \(X = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g\) with \(a_g \in \mathbb{Z}\). Recall that \(A = \text{supp}(X) = \{g \in G : a_g \neq 0\}\). As \(XX^{(-1)} = n\) by assumption, \(A\) does not have a unique difference by Lemma 17. Furthermore, \(XX^{(-1)} = n\) implies \(\sum_{g \in G} a_g^2 = n\) and thus \(|A| \leq n\).

Replacing \(X\) by \(Xg\) for some \(g \in G\), if necessary, we can assume \(1 \in A\). Since \(X\) is proper by assumption, we have \(\langle A \rangle = G\). As \(|G| > 2^{n-1}\) by assumption, Corollary 16 shows that there are \(K \geq d(G) - m + 2\), a subgroup \(H\) of \(G\) with \(|H| \leq 2^{n-1}\), integers \(\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_K\), and nonempty disjoint subsets \(A_1, \ldots, A_K\) of \(G\) such that conditions (i)-(iii) in Theorem 13 hold. By condition (iii) of Theorem 13, there are \(g_1, \ldots, g_K \in G\) such that \(A_i g_i^{-1} \in H\) for all \(i\).
Set $X_i = g_i^{-1} \sum_{g \in A_i} a_g g$ for $i = 1, \ldots, K$. Note that $X_i \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ and $X_i \neq 0$ for all $i$, as the $A_i$’s are nonempty. Furthermore, $a_g \neq 0$ for all $g \in A_i$, as $A_i \subset \text{supp}(X)$. We have $\sum_{i=1}^K X_i g_i = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{g \in A_i} a_g g = \sum_{g \in \text{supp}(X)} a_g g = X$. Thus condition (i) of Theorem 18 holds. Note that $\text{supp}(X_i g_i) = \text{supp}(\sum_{g \in A_i} a_g g) = A_i$. As the $A_i$’s are disjoint, this proves part (ii) of Theorem 18.

It remains to prove (iii). Recall that $a_g \neq 0$ for all $g \in A_i$ and all $i$. Thus

$$\text{supp} \left( X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1} \right) = \text{supp} \left( (X_i g_i)(X_j g_j)^{(-1)} \right)$$

$$= \text{supp} \left( \left( \sum_{g \in A_i} a_g g \right) \left( \sum_{h \in A_j} a_h h^{-1} \right) \right)$$

$$\subset \text{supp} \left( \sum_{g \in A_i} \sum_{h \in A_j} g h^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \text{supp} \left( A_i A_j^{(-1)} \right).$$

(9)

For any real number $\alpha$, we set

$$Y_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha_i - \alpha_j \leq \alpha} X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1} \text{ and } Z_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha_i - \alpha_j > \alpha} X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1}.$$  

Our strategy is to show $Y_\alpha = 0$ when $\alpha < 0$. Subsequently, we use some specific values for $\alpha$ and the condition $Y_\alpha = 0$ to show that (iii) holds.

Consider integers $i, j, i', j'$ with $1 \leq i, j, i', j' \leq K$. By condition (ii) of Theorem 13, the intersection of $\text{supp}(A_i A_j^{(-1)})$ and $\text{supp}(A_{i'} A_{j'}^{(-1)})$ can only be nonempty if $\alpha_i - \alpha_j = \alpha_{i'} - \alpha_{j'}$. In view of (9), this implies that

$$\text{supp} \left( X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1} \right) \cap \text{supp} \left( X_{i'} X_{j'}^{(-1)} g_{i'} g_{j'}^{-1} \right) \neq \emptyset \text{ only if } \alpha_i - \alpha_j = \alpha_{i'} - \alpha_{j'}.$$  

(10)

Taking $i' = j'$ in (10), we conclude

$$1 \notin \text{supp}(X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1}) \text{ whenever } i < j,$$  

(11)

since $1 \in \text{supp}(X_{i'} X_{i'}^{(-1)})$ for all $i'$ and $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \neq 0$ for $i < j$. Furthermore, (10) implies

$$\text{supp}(Y_\alpha) \cap \text{supp}(Z_\alpha) = \emptyset$$  

(12)
for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that
\[ n = XX^{(-1)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{K} X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1} = Y_\alpha + Z_\alpha. \]

We conclude $\text{supp}(Y_\alpha + Z_\alpha) = \text{supp}(n) = \{1\}$. Thus, in view of (12), we either have $Y_\alpha = 0$ or $\text{supp}(Y_\alpha) = 1$. If $\alpha < 0$, then $i < j$ for all terms $X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1}$ occurring in $Y_\alpha$. Hence $1 \notin \text{supp}(Y_\alpha)$ by (11) and thus
\[ Y_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \alpha < 0. \tag{13} \]

We now consider some specific values of $\alpha$. Recall that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_K$. For any $1 \leq t < \ell \leq K$, we define $\alpha(t, \ell) = \alpha_t - \alpha_\ell$. Clearly, $\alpha(t, \ell) < 0$ for $t < \ell$. We also define
\[ B(t, \ell) = \{(i, j) : i \geq t \text{ and } \alpha_i - \alpha_j \leq \alpha(t, \ell)\}. \]

Note that $i < j$ for all $(i, j) \in B(t, \ell)$, since $\alpha(t, \ell) < 0$. Observe that $\alpha_j - \alpha_\ell \geq \alpha_i - \alpha_\ell \geq 0$ for $(i, j) \in B(t, \ell)$. Thus $j \geq \ell$ whenever $(i, j) \in B(t, \ell)$. Moreover, $j > \ell$ whenever $(i, j) \in B(t, \ell)$ and $i > t$. Therefore, we can write
\[ B(t, \ell) = \{(t, \ell)\} \cup B'(t, \ell) \]
where $B'(t, \ell) = \{(i, j) \in B(t, \ell) : j > \ell\}$.

By (13), we have
\[ 0 = Y_{\alpha(1, \ell)} = \sum_{(i, j) \in B'(1, \ell)} X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1} \text{ for } \ell > 1. \tag{14} \]

First, setting $\ell = K$ in (14), we get $X_1 X_K^{(-1)} = 0$, as $B(1, K) = \{(1, K)\}$. Now we prove by induction that
\[ X_1 X_K^{(-1)} = X_1 X_{K-1}^{(-1)} = \cdots = X_1 X_2^{(-1)} = 0. \tag{15} \]

Suppose we have
\[ X_1 X_K^{(-1)} = X_1 X_{K-1}^{(-1)} = \cdots = X_1 X_\ell^{(-1)} = 0 \]
with $\ell \geq 3$. Recall that $B(1, \ell - 1) = \{(1, \ell - 1)\} \cup B'(1, \ell - 1)$. Therefore,
\[ 0 = Y_{\alpha(1, \ell - 1)} = X_1 X_{\ell - 1}^{(-1)} + \sum_{(i, j) \in B'(1, \ell - 1)} X_i X_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1}. \tag{17} \]
By the induction assumption (16), we have \( X_1X_j^{(-1)} = 0 \) for \( j \geq \ell \). As \( j \geq \ell \) for \((i, j) \in B'(1, \ell - 1)\), after multiplying (17) by \( X_1 \), we get
\[
(X_1)^2 X_{\ell-1}^{(-1)} = 0.
\] (18)

Let \( \chi \) be any complex character of \( G \). Note that (18) implies \( \chi(X_1)^2 \chi(X_{\ell-1}^{(-1)}) = 0 \) and thus \( \chi(X_1X_{\ell-1}^{(-1)}) = \chi(X_1)\chi(X_{\ell-1}^{(-1)}) = 0 \). Therefore, \( X_1X_{\ell-1}^{(-1)} = 0 \) by Result 10. This completes the proof of (15).

Now we show by induction on \( t \) that \( X_iX_j^{(-1)} = 0 \) whenever \( i \leq t \) and \( i < j \). For \( t = 1 \) this holds by (15). Suppose that \( X_iX_j^{(-1)} = 0 \) whenever \( i \leq t - 1 \) and \( i < j \). We have
\[
0 = Y_{\alpha(t, \ell)} = \sum_{i,j=1 \atop \alpha_i - \alpha_j \leq \alpha(t, \ell)} K X_iX_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1}
\]
for all \( \ell > t \) by (13), as \( \alpha(t, \ell) < 0 \). In the sum above, all terms with \( i < t \) vanish by the induction assumption. Therefore,
\[
0 = \sum_{i,j=1 \atop \alpha_i - \alpha_j \leq \alpha(t, \ell)} K X_iX_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1} = \sum_{(i,j) \in B(t, \ell)} X_iX_j^{(-1)} g_i g_j^{-1}
\]
for \( \ell > t \) by the definition of \( B(t, \ell) \). Now apply the same argument as before, we obtain \( X_1X_j^{(-1)} = 0 \) for all \( j > t \).

In summary, we have shown \( X_iX_j^{(-1)} = 0 \) whenever \( i \neq j \). Hence \( \chi(X_i)\overline{\chi(X_j)} = 0 \) for all complex characters \( \chi \) of \( G \) and all \( i \neq j \). This implies \( \chi(X_i)\chi(X_j) = 0 \) for all complex characters \( \chi \) of \( G \) and thus \( X_iX_j = 0 \) for all \( i \neq j \) by Result 10. This completes the proof of part (iii) of Theorem 18.

\[ \square \]

5 Group Invariant Weighing Matrices

In this section, we prove the results on group invariant matrices stated in the introduction.
Proof of Theorem 4 Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $X$ be a weighing matrix of weight $n$ invariant under an abelian group $G$. By Lemma 1, we can view $X$ as an element of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ satisfying $XX^{(-1)} = n$. We need to show that $X$ is generated from subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $|H| \leq 2^{n-1}$.

Write $A = \text{supp}(X)$. Replacing $X$ by $Xg$ for some $g \in G$, if necessary, we can assume $1 \in A$. If $|\langle A \rangle| \leq 2^{n-1}$, there is nothing to show, since then $X$ trivially is generated from $\langle A \rangle$ (in this case $K = 1$ and $X_1 = X$). Thus we may assume $|\langle A \rangle| > 2^{n-1}$. But then $X$ is generated from a subgroup $H$ of $\langle A \rangle$ with $|H| \leq 2^{n-1}$ by Theorem 18. 

Proof of Corollary 6 Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order $v$. Suppose there exists a proper circulant weighing matrix $X \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ of weight $n$, where is an odd prime power. We need to show $v \leq 2^{n-1}$.

Suppose $v > 2^{n-1}$. We may assume $1 \in \text{supp}(X)$. Since $X$ is proper, we have $\langle \text{supp}(X) \rangle = G$ and thus $|\langle \text{supp}(X) \rangle| = v > 2^{n-1}$. By Theorem 18, there is a proper subgroup $H$ of $G$ such that $X$ is generated from $H$. Hence $X = \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_ig_i$ with $X_i \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ and $g_i \in G$ for some positive integer $K$, such that the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 18 are satisfied. Note that $K \geq d(G) - m + 2 \geq 2$. But this is impossible by [12, Thm. 2.6]. Thus $v \leq 2^{n-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 8 Suppose a proper $G$-invariant weighing matrix $X$ of weight $n$ exists, and that $\prod_{i=1}^{m} v_i > 2^{n-1}$, where the $v_i$’s are invariant factors of $G$. We have to show

$$n \geq (d(G) - m + 2)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

By Theorem 18, we have $X = \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_ig_i$ where $K \geq d(G) - m + 2$ and the conditions stated in Theorem 18 hold. Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ be arbitrary. Since $X_i \neq 0$, there is a character $\chi$ of $G$ such that $\chi(X_i) \neq 0$. As $X_iX_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$, we conclude $\chi(X_j) = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Thus $\chi(X) = \chi(X_ig_i)$. Since $XX^{(-1)} = n$, we have $|\chi(X)|^2 = n$. Hence $|\chi(X_i)| = \sqrt{n}$. This implies $|X_i| \geq \sqrt{n}$. Comparing the coefficient of the identity in $n = XX^{(-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} X_iX_i^{(-1)}$, we get $n = \sum_{i=1}^{K} |X_i| \geq K\sqrt{n}$, i.e., $n \geq K^2$. Since $K \geq d(G) - m + 2$, this proves (19). 
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