Failure Analysis of Bond Pad Metal Peeling Using FIB and AFM
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Abstract—Aluminum bond pads on semiconductor chips play an important role in chips functionality and reliability. Bond pad peeling during wire bonding process results in yield reduction. The failure mechanisms of the peeling must be identified so that potential reliability problem of poor bond pad adhesion can be avoided. In this work, FIB, SEM, EDX, and AFM are used to identify the root causes of the peeling. The possible root causes are found to be the presence of an extra layer of thickness of 0.14 μm and the poly-silicon surface roughness asperity due to prolonged BOE etching time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

T he focus ion beam (FIB) technique has become one of the most necessary failure analysis tools for VLSI’s in the past several years. Three functions are available in FIB technique, namely the partial etching, partial metal deposition, and scanning ion/electron microscopy [1]. The partial etching function of FIB can be used for microscopic cross sectioning on VLSI chip, and the scanning ion/electron microscopy can be used for in-situ observation on a VLSI. These applications were introduced by Kaito et al. [2], and applied to VLSI failure analysis by Nasu et al. [3] and Nikawa et al. [4], [5]. In this work, FIB is used to perform failure analysis on bond pad metal peeling problem on a 0.8 μm single poly double metal logic device.

The structure of the Al bond pad consists of several metal stacks as shown in Fig. 1. The bond pad peeling was observed after the wire bonding operation with average fallout of 0.75%. The shape of the peeling was same as the ball size. Both optical and SEM inspection showed no obvious Al or TiW barrier remain on the peeled surface as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Investigation into the bonding machine parameters showed no commonality at any wire bonder, and no major changes of the bonding parameters has been made. Therefore, the bonding process was ruled out as the cause of the failure, and wafer fab process was suspected to be the source of failure mode.
Fig. 4. SEM micrograph of the poly-silicon surface showing the roughness and porosity of the poly surface.

Fig. 5. EDX spectrum and quantification results at 5 KV on the peeled off surface as indicated by the arrow. The results showed mostly silicon (96.2 wt%), traces of O (2.8 wt%), and P (1.0 wt%). This suggests that the peeled off surface is the poly surface.

II. SURFACE SEM/EDX ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS

In order to investigate the failure mechanisms, we began the SEM and EDX analysis on the peeled pads. Surface SEM examination on the peeled off surface showed that the surface was very rough and porous as shown in Fig. 4. ZAF standardless quantification EDX on the peeled-off surface at 5 keV detected mostly Si (96.2 wt%) and traces of O (2.8 wt%) and P (1.0 wt%), and EDX on the peeled-off side of the Al film detected mostly the present of W, Si, and Ti. The EDX spectrums are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. These results implied that the peeling occurred likely at the poly Si and TiW/Ti interface.

III. FIB CROSS-SECTIONING AND SEM/EDX ANALYSIS

In order to clarify the postulation, a cross-section on a bond pad is performed. However, it is difficult to cross-
section the pad by mechanical polishing as the pad will be badly damaged or peeled off during polishing. Hence FIB is used to provide precise cross section to the bond pad so that minimum contamination and damage are introduced [4].

The sample was first coated with Pt using conventional coater in order to prevent possible sample charging problem during FIB operation. It was then put into FEI 611 single beam FIB system. Prior to cross sectioning, a strip of Pt was deposited on the area of interest using ion beam induced Pt deposition to protect the sample surface [6]. This measure can minimize the introduction of artifacts and avoid complicating SEM/EDX analyzes [7]. The FIB cross sectioning was performed from coarse chemical enhanced etching to fine milling using finely focused 25 keV Ga⁺ ion beams. Then, the cross-sectioned area was fine polished at low beam current to produce a final finish [7].

The locations of the cross-sectioning is shown in Fig. 7. The cross-sectioned bond pads are observed under FESEM using the Hitachi S-4100 machine. The results for the peeled pad are shown in Figs. 8 and 9. From the results, an extra layer of about 0.14-µm-thick was found between the Ti and Poly Si layers. No such extra layer was found on the good adjacent bond pad as shown in Fig. 10. Peeling was observed to occur at the extra layer/poly Si and Ti/extra layer. It is therefore possible that this extra layer is one of

![Fig. 6. EDX spectrum and quantification results at 10 KV on the peeled off size of the film as shown by the arrow.](image1)

![Fig. 7. SEM micrograph showing the locations of FIB cut on a good pad (denoted as G on the arrow) and a peeled pad (denoted as B on the arrow).](image2)
where $\rho$ is the density of the material in Mg/m$^3$, $E_i$ is the incident beam energy in keV, and $E_c$ is the critical energy for X-ray line excitation in keV. Using (1), and since Ti and W are the suspected elements from the previous EDS analysis, one can calculate the required acceleration voltage of the incident electron beam as shown in Table I. Hence, 5 keV is chosen for the EDX examination on the extra layer.

The resulting EDX spectrum on the extra layer at 5 keV showed in Fig. 12 revealed the presence of Si, Al and W. Ti peak cannot be observed, if any, due to the low incident beam energy used. Comparing the EDX spectrum and quantification results of the barrier metal (Fig. 11) and that of the extra layer (Fig. 12), the weight % of W increases from 72% in Fig. 11 to 83% in Fig. 12. Since the extra layer is further away from the TiW layer, the increment of the weight % of W suggested that the extra layer could be a W layer or Ti$_x$ W$_y$ layer. This is further substantiated by the presence of W and Ti on the peeled surface as shown in Fig. 6 (the Ti peak could also possibly come from the barrier metal underneath the extra layer). The cause of the extra layer is suspected to be the erroneous opening of the shuttles for Ti and W during the barrier metal deposition processes. Awareness of the bondpad metal peeling problem leads to a more cautious operation in the metal deposition, and the problem is no longer existent after the incident, without any process change or machine maintenance.

### IV. Surface Roughness Analysis Using AFM

Bond pad metal peeling is an adhesiveness problem. Besides the presence of the extra layer that may affect the metal adhesiveness to the poly silicon, the surface morphology of the poly silicon may also affect the adhesiveness [10], [11]. Kokini and Sheets [10] found that no normal stress is present in the film along the interface when the substrate roughness amplitude is small. When the roughness is increased, the normal stress remains essentially zero over the peaks and valley of the “rough” surface, and is maximum midway between these two locations. Hopple et al. [11] found that the load will be more evenly distributed when the surface asperity is smaller. Therefore, if a surface roughness is high, the tendency of peeling will be higher. The tendency will be enhanced if the surface asperity is high as well, and delamination of the overcoating film will be likely to occur at and near the location of the high asperity. In this work, the morphology of the poly-silicon surface was studied using the digi-atomic force microscope (AFM). The study examined five pads with a total of nine locations as illustrated in Fig. 13. The results of AFM were summarized in Table II. From the maximum peak and the mean roughness, one can qualitatively estimate the degree of localization of the peak distribution (asperity) of the surface under examination. If the peaks distribution is very localized, then the maximum peak will be high, while the mean roughness is low, and vice versa.
Fig. 11. EDX spectrum and quantification results at 5 KV on barrier metal 1 layer as shown by the arrow. The results showed mostly W (72.64 wt%) and traces of C, O, Al, and Si (Ga is from the FIB cross sectioning process).

Thus, a localization factor defined as the ratio of maximum peak to the mean roughness can be used to show the asperity of the surface.

Compare the wire bonding region of the good and bad dices, B1 versus D1, and C1 versus E1 in Table II, one found the localization factor are higher for bad dice. Hence the distribution of the applied normal stress at the metal/poly Si interface due to the wire bonding force is expected to be much uneven in bad dice. This uneven stress distribution will leads to early failure, i.e., bond-pad peeling. Hence the study showed the importance of the localization factor of the surface roughness in bond-pad peeling.

To investigate the likely cause of high localization factor, comparison of the process conditions of all the processes for the bad lots and good lots was conducted. It was found that the etching time of BOE after poly densification was identified as the most possible cause. Experiments on the effect of etch time of BOE on the poly Si surface roughness were performed, and the results was plotted as shown in Fig. 14. One can see the direct impact of BOE etch time on the Poly Si surface roughness localization factor.
Fig. 12. EDX spectrum and quantification result at 5 kV on the extra layer as shown by the arrow. The results showed mostly W (83.11 wt%) and traces of C, O, Al, and Si (Ga is from the FIB cross sectioning process).

Fig. 13. Probe locations of atomic force microscope analysis.
during wafer fabrication, and the likely cause of the roughness of the poly Si surface. The likely cause of the presence of an extra layer and the morphology of the poly-Si surface under-neath the bondpad. To confirm the failure mechanisms, further experiments are required.

The first experiment is to examine if the extra layer is indeed one of the failure mechanisms. This experiment can be performed by producing wafers with the localization factors (in the bonding region) greater than 11 by prolonged BOE etch. These wafers can then be sent for wire bonding with the identical bonding parameters. Now that these wafers do not have the extra layer (as the problem of extra layer has disappeared), and their poly-Si have similar surface morphology as those in the bad dices. If no bondpad peeling is observed in this new experiment, then extra layer will be the confirmed root cause. Otherwise, the surface morphology of poly-Si as one of the causes will be confirmed.

Another experiment to examine the effect of BOE etch time on barrier metal adhesiveness without the extra layer is also important in establishing the root causes. These experiments will be planned and carried out in the future.

VI. CONCLUSION

Failure analysis on bond pad peeling problem was performed using FIB, SEM, EDX, and AFM. It was found that the problem was not assembly related, but was wafer fab related. The possible failure mechanisms of the bond pad peeling may be related to the presence of an extra layer and the morphology of the poly Si surface. The likely cause of the presence of the extra layer is the erroneous metal deposition operation during wafer fabrication, and the likely cause of the roughness asperity of the poly Si surface is the prolonged BOE etch time.
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