

This document is downloaded from DR-NTU, Nanyang Technological University Library, Singapore.

Title	Xing–Ling codes, duals of their subcodes, and good asymmetric quantum codes
Author(s)	Ezerman, Martianus Frederic; Jitman, Somphong; Solé, Patrick
Citation	Ezerman, M. F., Jitman, S., & Solé, P. (2015). Xing–Ling codes, duals of their subcodes, and good asymmetric quantum codes. <i>Designs, Codes and Cryptography</i> , 75(1), 21-42. doi:10.1007/s10623-013-9885-5
Date	2013
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10220/48579
Rights	© 2013 Springer Science+Business Media New York. All rights reserved. This paper was published in <i>Designs, Codes and Cryptography</i> and is made available with permission of Springer Science+Business Media New York.

Xing-Ling Codes, Duals of their Subcodes, and Good Asymmetric Quantum Codes

Martianus Frederic Ezerman · Somphong Jitman ·
Patrick Solé

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract A class of powerful q -ary linear polynomial codes originally proposed by Xing and Ling is deployed to construct good asymmetric quantum codes via the standard CSS construction. Our quantum codes are q -ary block codes that encode k qudits of quantum information into n qudits and correct up to $\lfloor (d_x - 1)/2 \rfloor$ bit-flip errors and up to $\lfloor (d_z - 1)/2 \rfloor$ phase-flip errors. In many cases where the length $(q^2 - q)/2 \leq n \leq (q^2 + q)/2$ and the field size q are fixed and for chosen values of $d_x \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $d_z \geq \delta$, where δ is the designed distance of the Xing-Ling (XL) codes, the derived pure q -ary asymmetric quantum CSS codes possess the best possible size given the current state of the art knowledge on the best classical linear block codes.

Keywords Asymmetric quantum codes · CSS codes · Vandermonde matrix · Xing-Ling codes

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 81P45 · 81P70 · 94B05

The work of S. Jitman was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Singapore under Research Grant NRF-CRP2-2007-03.

The Centre for Quantum Technologies is a Research Centre of Excellence funded by the Ministry of Education and the National Research Foundation of Singapore.

The authors' collaboration leading to this work was facilitated by travel grants provided by the Merlion Project No. 1.02.10.

Martianus Frederic Ezerman
Centre for Quantum Technologies (CQT), National University of Singapore,
Block S15, 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543.
E-mail: frederic.ezerman@gmail.com, cqtmfe@nus.edu.sg

Somphong Jitman
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, Nakhonpathom 73000, Thailand.
*Former address: Division of Mathematical Sciences, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences,
Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371.*
E-mail: somphong@su.ac.th

Patrick Solé
Telecom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, 75634 Paris Cedex 13, France, and
Mathematics Department, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
E-mail: sole@enst.fr

1 Introduction

The ability to perform quantum error-correction is essential in many quantum information processing tasks. In various scenarios involving qubit channels one can benefit from the presence of asymmetry in the respective probabilities of the bit-flip and the phase-flip errors.

In the combined amplitude damping and dephasing channel investigated in [7] and [10], for example, the probabilities of the bit and the phase flips are related to the relaxation and the dephasing time, respectively, whose ratio can then be used to quantify the channel's asymmetry.

A scheme for fault-tolerant quantum computation that works effectively against highly biased noise, where dephasing is far stronger than all other types of noise, is presented in [1]. The accuracy threshold for quantum computation are shown to be improved by exploiting this noise asymmetry.

Quantum codes tailored to handle a particular ratio of asymmetry present in the channel are usually called asymmetric quantum codes (AQCs). Of the systematic construction methods for AQCs, the most widely used is the standard CSS construction, named after Calderbank, Shor, and Steane, that links a pair of nested classical linear q -ary codes to a q -ary AQC whose parameters can be directly deduced from the parameters of the corresponding classical code pair. More background materials on the theoretical derivations of the basic facts can be found in [12] and the references cited therein.

Let C_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a q -ary linear code with length n , dimension k_i and minimum distance d_i . Let C_i^\perp be the Euclidean dual of C_i . To design a standard CSS AQC with good parameters the following three requirements need to be satisfied. First, we require that $C_1^\perp \subset C_2$. Second, for fixed values of (q, n, d_2) , the dimension k_2 must be as large as possible. Third, k_1 must also be as large as possible for specified values of (q, n, d_1) . Since the Euclidean inner product is non-degenerate, the third condition implies that the codimension of C_1^\perp in C_2 should be as large as possible.

Since the requirements are well-understood, many families of nested classical codes have been recognized as natural choices in the construction. Prior works have made use of cyclic codes and their subfamilies such as the BCH and the quadratic residue (QR) codes. Other families that have been investigated include the low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes, the Reed-Muller codes, the Reed-Solomon codes and their generalization, the character codes, the affine-invariant and the product codes. Classical propagation methods have also been applied to construct AQCs of higher lengths based on already constructed ones. The tables provided in [8] and the references therein provide a summary of previously constructed families of AQCs. Note that most of the results in the MDS family presented in [8, Table 2] were mistakenly attributed to [12] instead of to the correct source [4].

This paper derives q -ary CSS AQCs with good parameters based on nested polynomial codes first introduced by Xing and Ling in [11] and, henceforth, called XL codes. These codes fit nicely into the standard CSS framework since they generally have good parameters for their range of lengths $(q^2 - q)/2 \leq n \leq (q^2 + 2)/2$ and their nestedness is self-evident. However, since the dual of an XL code is not necessarily an XL code, the challenge is to understand the structure of the duals of some carefully chosen subcodes of large codimension of the XL codes. This, to the best of our knowledge, had not been considered before.

Our investigation leads to a more complete picture in the studies of AQCs based on XL codes. For $d_x \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ the exact parameters of the resulting AQCs are explicitly determined. For $d_x = 5$ we have enough information to set a good lower bound on the distances while all other parameters can be easily derived from the properties of the classical pair. For prime power $q \leq 9$, the data on currently best-known q -ary linear block codes in Grassl's

online tables [6] can be efficiently used to provide a performance benchmark as a measure of optimality.

After this introduction, Section 2 reviews important definitions and establishes a certificate of optimality based on the state of the art knowledge on classical codes. A summary of the construction and parameters of the XL codes is provided in Section 3 where particular attention is given to subcodes of XL codes with dual distances in the set $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The parameters of the resulting pure q -ary AQC are then computed explicitly for $q \in \{3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$ in Section 4. In many instances they can be certified to be optimal or best-known based on Theorem 2 given in Section 2. A summary and several open directions form the last section.

All computations in this work are done using MAGMA [3] Version 2.19-3.

2 Preliminaries

Let q be a prime power and \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field having q elements. A linear $[n, k, d]_q$ -code C is a k -dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n with *minimum distance* $d := \min\{\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in C \setminus \{0\}\}$, where $\text{wt}(\mathbf{v})$ denotes the *Hamming weight* of $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Given two distinct linear codes C and D , $\text{wt}(C \setminus D)$ denotes $\min\{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) \mid \mathbf{u} \in C \setminus D\}$. Given q, n , and d , let $B_q(n, d)$ denote $\max\{q^k \mid \text{there exists an } [n, k, d]_q\text{-code}\}$.

When discussing a specific code C , we use $d(C)$ and $\dim(C)$ to denote its minimum distance and dimension as an \mathbb{F}_q -subspace, respectively.

For $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathbf{v} = (v_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, their *Euclidean inner product* is given by $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_E := \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \cdot v_i$. With respect to this inner product, the *dual* C^\perp of C is given by

$$C^\perp := \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_E = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in C\}.$$

Let d_x and d_z be positive integers. A quantum code Q in $V_n = (\mathbb{C}^q)^{\otimes n}$ with dimension $K \geq 1$ is called an *asymmetric quantum code* with parameters $((n, K, \{d_z, d_x\}))_q$, or $[[n, k, \{d_z, d_x\}]_q$ with $k = \log_q K$ whenever Q is a stabilizer code, if Q is able to detect any combination of up to $d_x - 1$ bit-flips (or X -errors) and up to $d_z - 1$ phase-flips (or Z -errors) simultaneously.

The standard CSS construction is given in *e.g.* [2, 12].

Theorem 1 *Let C_i be linear codes with parameters $[n, k_i, d_i]_q$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ with $C_1^\perp \subseteq C_2$. Let*

$$d_z := \text{wt}(C_2 \setminus C_1^\perp) \text{ and } d_x := \text{wt}(C_1 \setminus C_2^\perp). \quad (1)$$

Then there exists an AQC Q with parameters $[[n, k_1 + k_2 - n, \{d_z, d_x\}]_q$. The code Q is said to be pure whenever $d_z = d_2$ and $d_x = d_1$.

Remark 1 All CSS codes are stabilizer codes. In the literature it is customary to assume $d_z \geq d_x$ since in general the dephasing errors occur with higher probability than the bit-flip errors do. In this paper we opt not to order the distances to better present how their computational values are derived. Whenever necessary, one can apply a Fourier transformation over \mathbb{F}_q to interchange the role of the bit-flip and the phase-flip error operators. That way, $d_z \geq d_x$ can be obtained.

The purity in Theorem 1 is equivalent to the general definition given in [12, Th. 3.1 Part (ii)]. A certificate of optimality for pure q -ary CSS AQC can be based on the following result.

Theorem 2 *If there exist a pure standard CSS $[[n, k, \{d_z, d_x\}]_q$ code \mathcal{Q} , then*

$$k \leq \log_q(B_q(n, d_x)) + \log_q(B_q(n, d_z)) - n. \quad (2)$$

Proof Assume there exists a pure CSS $[[n, k, \{d_z, d_x\}]_q$ code. Then, equivalently, there exist q -ary linear codes C_1 and C_2 such that $d(C_1) = d_x$, $d(C_2) = d_z$, $C_1^\perp \subset C_2$ and $k = \dim(C_2) - \dim(C_1^\perp)$. Since $\dim(C_1) \leq \log_q(B_q(n, d_x))$ and $\dim(C_2) \leq \log_q(B_q(n, d_z))$,

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \dim(C_2) - \dim(C_1^\perp) = \dim(C_2) - (n - \dim(C_1)) \\ &\leq \log_q(B_q(n, d_x)) + \log_q(B_q(n, d_z)) - n. \end{aligned}$$

The bound (2) holds true for pure CSS AQCs. Fixing n and d_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, if both k_1 and k_2 are optimal, then the cardinality of \mathcal{Q} is optimal among CSS AQCs of equal parameter set (q, n, d_z, d_x) . If both C_1 and C_2 have the same dimension as the currently best-known linear codes listed in [6], then \mathcal{Q} has the currently best-known cardinality among comparable CSS AQCs. Any improvement on the lower bound of $B_q(n, d_i)$ potentially leads to an improved quantum code and there would not be any improvement on the parameters of an AQC if there are no improvements on the lower bound of the corresponding $B_q(n, d_i)$.

To end this section, we recall two mappings from \mathbb{F}_{q^2} onto \mathbb{F}_q which will be used extensively in what follows. The trace mapping Tr sends γ to $\gamma + \gamma^q$ while the norm mapping N outputs γ^{q+1} on input γ . Properties and important results concerning these two mappings in the more general setup of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} for positive integer m are discussed in details in [9, Ch. 2 Sect. 3].

3 Suitably Chosen Nested XL Codes

This section is presented in two parts. In the first subsection we recall the construction of XL codes and their parameters. In the second subsection, we construct nested XL codes of the right parameters to use in the CSS construction.

3.1 Construction of XL Codes

In this subsection, we recall the construction of the XL codes given in [11]. For a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , let \mathbb{F}_{q^2} be its quadratic extension. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q\}$ be a fixed list of the elements in \mathbb{F}_q . Without loss of generality, let us assume that \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is listed as

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \beta_1^q, \beta_2, \beta_2^q, \dots, \beta_r, \beta_r^q\}, \text{ where } r = (q^2 - q)/2. \quad (3)$$

Define $V_{1,0}$ to be the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space generated by the polynomial 1. For $2 \leq m \leq q-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$, let

$$V_{m,\ell} := \langle \{e_{i,j}(x) | 0 \leq i \leq j \leq m-2\} \cup \{e_{i,m-1}(x) | 0 \leq i \leq \ell\} \rangle,$$

where

$$e_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{iq+j} + x^{jq+i} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ x^{iq+j} & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

for all $i, j \geq 0$.

For easy reference, we explicitly list $e_{i,j}(x)$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq 4$ down in Figure 1.

$e_{i,j}(x)$	j					
	0	1	2	3	4	
0	1	$x^q + x$	$x^{2q} + x^2$	$x^{3q} + x^3$	$x^{4q} + x^4$	
i	1	x^{q+1}	$x^{2q+1} + x^{q+2}$	$x^{3q+1} + x^{q+3}$	$x^{4q+1} + x^{q+4}$	
	2		x^{2q+2}	$x^{3q+2} + x^{2q+3}$	$x^{4q+2} + x^{2q+4}$	
	3			x^{3q+3}	$x^{4q+3} + x^{3q+4}$	
	4				x^{4q+4}	

Figure 1: List of $e_{i,j}(x)$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq 4$

Given that $0 \leq t \leq q$, $2 \leq m \leq q-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$, the q -ary linear code $C_q(t, m, \ell)$ is defined as the evaluation code of $\{f(x) \in V_{m,\ell}\}$ on $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$. Explicitly,

$$C_q(t, m, \ell) := \{(f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_t), f(\beta_1), \dots, f(\beta_r)) \mid f(x) \in V_{m,\ell}\}. \quad (5)$$

When t is set to be 0, none of the elements of \mathbb{F}_q is chosen in the evaluation. For $q \leq 9$, Table 1 lists down our choices of α_i for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and β_j for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Table 1 Actual Choices for α_i and β_j used in Computation

q	$a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ root of	$\mathbb{F}_q = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q\}$	$\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$
3	$x^2 + 2x + 2$	$\{1, 2, 0\}$	$\{a, a^2, a^5\}$
4	$x^4 + x + 1$	$\{1, 0, a^5, a^{10}\}$	$\{a, a^2, a^3, a^6, a^7, a^{11}\}$
5	$x^2 + 4x + 2$	$\{1, 4, 0, 2, 3\}$	$\{a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^7, a^8, a^9, a^{13}, a^{14}, a^{19}\}$
7	$x^2 + 6x + 3$	$\{1, 6, 0, 2, 5, 3, 4\}$	$\{a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^5, a^6, a^9, a^{10}, a^{11}, a^{12}, a^{13}, a^{17}, a^{18}, a^{19}, a^{20}, a^{25}, a^{26}, a^{27}, a^{33}, a^{34}, a^{41}\}$
8	$x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$	$\{1, a^{45}, a^{36}, a^{27}, a^{18}, 0, a^9, a^{54}\}$	$\{a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^5, a^6, a^7, a^{10}, a^{11}, a^{12}, a^{13}, a^{14}, a^{15}, a^{19}, a^{20}, a^{21}, a^{22}, a^{23}, a^{28}, a^{29}, a^{30}, a^{31}, a^{37}, a^{38}, a^{39}, a^{46}, a^{47}, a^{55}\}$
9	$x^4 + 2x^3 + 2$	$\{1, 0, a^{70}, a^{60}, a^{50}, 2, a^{30}, a^{20}, a^{10}\}$	$\{a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^5, a^6, a^7, a^8, a^{11}, a^{12}, a^{13}, a^{14}, a^{15}, a^{16}, a^{17}, a^{21}, a^{22}, a^{23}, a^{24}, a^{25}, a^{26}, a^{31}, a^{32}, a^{33}, a^{34}, a^{35}, a^{41}, a^{42}, a^{43}, a^{44}, a^{51}, a^{52}, a^{53}, a^{61}, a^{62}, a^{71}\}$

Theorem 3 ([11]) Let $0 \leq t \leq q$, $2 \leq m \leq q-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. Let $h = \binom{m}{2}$, $r = (q^2 - q)/2$, and

$$g = \begin{cases} \min\{\max\{2(m-2), m+\ell-1\}, t\} & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \\ \max\{\min\{m-2, t\}, 2t-q\} & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } \ell \leq m-2, \\ \max\{\min\{m-1, t\}, 2t-q\} & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } \ell = m-1. \end{cases}$$

Then $C_q(t, m, \ell)$ as defined in (5) is an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code with $n = t + r$, $k = h + \ell + 1$, and

$$d \geq \delta := n - \frac{1}{2}(q(m-1) + \ell + g). \quad (6)$$

Note that $V_{m,i} \subset V_{s,j}$ for all $m < s$ or for $i < j$ when $m = s$. Hence, $C_q(t, m, i) \subset C_q(t, s, j)$ and

$$\dim(C_q(t, s, j)) - \dim(C_q(t, m, i)) = \binom{s}{2} - \binom{m}{2} + j - i. \quad (7)$$

3.2 Suitable Nested XL Code Pairs

We begin with an easy result to eventually help us construct good AQC's with $d_x = 2$.

Proposition 1 For $0 \leq t \leq q$, The code $C_q(t, 1, 0)^\perp$ is a $[t+r, t+r-1, 2]_q$ -MDS code.

Proof This follows immediately since $V_{1,0} = \langle 1 \rangle$ implies that $C_q(t, 1, 0)$ is the $[t+r, 1, t+r]_q$ -repetition code.

The following is a useful tool in the sequel.

Lemma 1 Select s distinct elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s \in S := \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r\}$ as defined in (3). If $a_i^q + a_i = a_j^q + a_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq s$, then $a_i^{q+1} \neq a_j^{q+1}$.

Proof It suffices to show that for distinct $a, b \in S$,

$$a^q + a = b^q + b \text{ implies } a^{q+1} \neq b^{q+1}.$$

For a contradiction, suppose that

$$\text{Tr}(a) = a^q + a = b^q + b = \text{Tr}(b) \text{ and} \quad (8)$$

$$\text{N}(a) = a^{q+1} = b^{q+1} = \text{N}(b). \quad (9)$$

Substituting $b^q = a^q + a - b$ from (8) into (9) yields

$$(a^q - b)(a - b) = 0,$$

implying $a^q = b$ since $a \neq b$.

If $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, then $a = a^q = b$, a contradiction.

If $a \in \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r\}$, then $b = a^q \notin \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r\} \cup \mathbb{F}_q$ which contradicts $b \in S$.

Remark 2 Using a result in the theory of finite fields (see [9, Exercise 2.24]) one can easily infer that if there are two elements a and b in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} such that $\text{Tr}(a) = \text{Tr}(b)$ and $\text{N}(a) = \text{N}(b)$, then they have the same minimal polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q . Hence, either $a = b$ or $a = b^q$. This constitutes an alternative proof to Lemma 1.

Now we are ready to construct a subcode of an XL code with dual distance at least 3.

Proposition 2 Let $q \geq 4$ and $\mathcal{D} = C_q(t, 2, 1)$ be the evaluation code associated with $V_{2,1}$. Then \mathcal{D}^\perp is a $[t+r, t+r-3, d^\perp]_q$ -code and $\mathcal{D} \subset C_q(t, m, \ell)$ for all $m \geq 3$. Moreover, $d^\perp = 4$ for $(q, t) = (4, 0)$, while for all other cases $d^\perp = 3$.

Proof Since \mathcal{D} is a $[t+r, 3, d_{\mathcal{D}}]_q$ -code, it is clear that \mathcal{D}^\perp has length $t+r$ and dimension $t+r-3$. By how \mathcal{D} is defined, evaluating based on the given basis $\{1, x^q + x, x^{q+1}\}$ gives us a generator matrix $G_{\mathcal{D}} = (\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{B})$ with

$$\mathcal{A} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1^q + \alpha_1 & \alpha_2^q + \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_t^q + \alpha_t \\ \alpha_1^{q+1} & \alpha_2^{q+1} & \dots & \alpha_t^{q+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \beta_1^q + \beta_1 & \beta_2^q + \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_r^q + \beta_r \\ \beta_1^{q+1} & \beta_2^{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r^{q+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Using Lemma 1, it is easy to verify that any two distinct columns of $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ must be linearly independent. Hence, $d^\perp \geq 3$.

Following how the elements of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} are defined in Table 1, let $v(\alpha_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq q$, be the column vector of $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ associated with the element α_i . Similarly, let $w(\beta_j)$, for $1 \leq j \leq r$,

be the column vector associated with the element β_j . The matrix $G_{\mathcal{G}}$ for $t = q$ can then be explicitly constructed and erasing the appropriate column(s) from $G_{\mathcal{G}}$ gives us the matrix $G_{\mathcal{G}}$ for lower values of t .

Note that if one chooses a different ordering of the elements in the sets $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^q$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^r$, then the resulting generator matrix $G_{\mathcal{G}}$ is formed by permuting the columns of \mathcal{A} and the columns of \mathcal{B} separately in accordance with the ordering.

The proposition requires $q \geq 4$ to ensure $m \geq 3$. When $q = t = 4$, evaluating according to Table 1,

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & a^{10} & a^5 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & a^{10} & a^5 & a^5 & a^{10} \\ a^5 & a^{10} & 1 & 1 & a^5 & a^{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $t = 0$, we have $G_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{B}$. We verify using computer algebra that any three columns of \mathcal{B} form a linearly independent set while the last four columns are linearly dependent. The code \mathcal{D}^\perp is therefore a $[6, 3, 4]_4$ -MDS code.

It is straightforward to verify that the sets

$$\begin{aligned} &\{v(1), w(a^3), w(a^6)\}, \{v(0), w(a^7), w(a^{11})\}, \\ &\{v(a^5), w(a^2), w(a^{11})\}, \text{ and } \{v(a^{10}), w(a), w(a^7)\} \end{aligned}$$

are all linearly dependent. Therefore, $d^\perp = 3$ for $1 \leq t \leq 4$.

Our task is slightly easier when $q = 5$. The matrix \mathcal{B} defined by $\{w(\beta_j)\}_{j=1}^{10}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Since it is clear that columns 1, 7, and 8, corresponding to the set $\{w(a), w(a^9), w(a^{13})\}$, of \mathcal{B} form a linearly dependent set, $d^\perp = 3$ for $0 \leq t \leq q$.

Finally, for $q \geq 7$ a more general argument works in all possible cases. The trace mapping Tr is a linear transformation from \mathbb{F}_{q^2} onto \mathbb{F}_q . For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 2\alpha$ and for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we have $\text{Tr}(\beta^q) = \text{Tr}(\beta)$. Hence, when q is even, Tr maps \mathbb{F}_q onto $\{0\}$, while for odd q , when restricted to elements of \mathbb{F}_q , Tr is a one-to-one mapping. Further, since Tr is onto, there are q , respectively, $q - 1$, elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ whose image is 1 when q is even, respectively, when q is odd. Let S be the set of all elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ that Tr sends to 1. Thus,

$$S \cap \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r\} = \begin{cases} \{\beta_{i_1}, \beta_{i_2}, \dots, \beta_{i_{q/2}}\} & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ \{\beta_{i_1}, \beta_{i_2}, \dots, \beta_{i_{(q-1)/2}}\} & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The set of columns $\{w(\beta_{i_1}), w(\beta_{i_2}), \dots, w(\beta_{i_l})\}$ is linearly dependent if $l = q/2$ and q is even or if $l = (q - 1)/2$ and q is odd. We conclude that, whenever $q \geq 7$, there always exist 3 linearly dependent columns, making $d^\perp = 3$. The proof is therefore complete.

We make use of a specially designed vector space to get a subcode of dual distance ≥ 4 .

Proposition 3 *Let $q \geq 4$. Consider the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space*

$$\mathcal{W}_5 := \langle \{1, x^q + x, (x^q + x)^2, x^{q+1}, x^{2(q+1)}\} \rangle.$$

Let \mathcal{E} be the evaluation code associated with \mathcal{W}_5 . That is,

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_t), f(\beta_1), \dots, f(\beta_r)) \mid f(x) \in \mathcal{W}_5\}.$$

Then \mathcal{E}^\perp is a $[t+r, t+r-5, d^\perp \geq 4]_q$ -code and, for all $m \geq 4$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$,

$$\mathcal{E} \subset C_q(t, 3, 2) \subset C_q(t, m, \ell).$$

For $(q, t) = (4, 0)$, \mathcal{E}^\perp is a $[6, 1, 6]_4$ -MDS code, while for $q = 4$ with $1 \leq t \leq 4$ and for $q \geq 5$, we have $d^\perp = 4$.

Proof Note that, in terms of $e_{i,j}(x)$ as defined in (4),

$$\mathcal{W}_5 = \langle \{e_{0,0}(x), e_{0,1}(x), e_{0,2}(x), e_{1,1}(x), e_{2,2}(x)\} \rangle.$$

By consulting Figure 1, it is clear that $V_{3,2} = \mathcal{W}_5 \oplus \langle \{e_{1,2}(x)\} \rangle$. Therefore, $\mathcal{E} \subset C_q(t, 3, 2) \subset C_q(t, m, \ell)$ for all $m \geq 4$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. When $q = 4$, only the first inclusion $\mathcal{E} \subset C_q(t, 3, 2)$ is valid. Arguing in a similar manner as in the proof of Proposition 2 above, $G_{\mathcal{E}}$ given in (13) generates \mathcal{E} .

$$G_{\mathcal{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1^q + \alpha_1 & \alpha_2^q + \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_t^q + \alpha_t & \beta_1^q + \beta_1 & \beta_2^q + \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_r^q + \beta_r \\ (\alpha_1^q + \alpha_1)^2 & (\alpha_2^q + \alpha_2)^2 & \dots & (\alpha_t^q + \alpha_t)^2 & (\beta_1^q + \beta_1)^2 & (\beta_2^q + \beta_2)^2 & \dots & (\beta_r^q + \beta_r)^2 \\ \alpha_1^{q+1} & \alpha_2^{q+1} & \dots & \alpha_t^{q+1} & \beta_1^{q+1} & \beta_2^{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r^{q+1} \\ \alpha_1^{2(q+1)} & \alpha_2^{2(q+1)} & \dots & \alpha_t^{2(q+1)} & \beta_1^{2(q+1)} & \beta_2^{2(q+1)} & \dots & \beta_r^{2(q+1)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

The length and the dimension of \mathcal{E}^\perp can be easily verified, so we proceed to showing that $d(\mathcal{E}^\perp) \geq 4$. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a^q + a \\ (a^q + a)^2 \\ a^{q+1} \\ a^{2(q+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b^q + b \\ (b^q + b)^2 \\ b^{q+1} \\ b^{2(q+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ c^q + c \\ (c^q + c)^2 \\ c^{q+1} \\ c^{2(q+1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

be any three distinct columns of $G_{\mathcal{E}}$. Appendix A establishes that these columns are linearly independent.

As in the proof of Proposition 2, we partition $G_{\mathcal{E}}$ into two matrices \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{B}' according to the ordering of the elements α_i s and β_j s in Table 1 followed by their evaluations. Hence, $G_{\mathcal{E}} = (\mathcal{A}' | \mathcal{B}')$. For $q = t = 4$, the component matrices can be constructed explicitly as

$$\mathcal{A}' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & a^{10} & a^5 \\ 1 & 0 & a^5 & a^{10} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & a^{10} & a^5 & a^5 & a^{10} \\ 1 & 1 & a^5 & a^{10} & a^{10} & a^5 \\ a^5 & a^{10} & 1 & 1 & a^5 & a^{10} \\ a^{10} & a^5 & 1 & 1 & a^{10} & a^5 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

When $(q, t) = (4, 0)$, a computer algebra verification certifies that every five columns of $G_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{B}'$ are linearly independent, proving that $d^\perp = 6$.

To show that $d^\perp = 4$ for $q = 4$ when $1 \leq t \leq 4$, it is enough to exhibit that for any column of \mathcal{A}' one can choose three columns of \mathcal{B}' so that the four columns form a dependent set. The reader is invited to verify that the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \{v(1), w(a), w(a^3), w(a^7)\}, \{v(a^5), w(a^2), w(a^3), w(a^6)\}, \\ & \{v(0), w(a^2), w(a^3), w(a^7)\}, \text{ and } \{v(a^{10}), w(a), w(a^3), w(a^6)\} \end{aligned}$$

are indeed linearly dependent.

For $q = 5$, the matrix \mathcal{B}' is given by

$$\mathcal{B}' := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Columns 1, 2, 8 and 9 are linearly dependent since

$$w(a) + 2w(a^2) + 4w(a^{13}) + 3w(a^{14}) = 0,$$

making $d^\perp = 4$ for all t .

Finally, let $q \geq 7$. The strategy is to exhibit that it is always possible to choose four columns of \mathcal{B}' such that they form a 5×4 matrix of rank at most 3. Note that the norm mapping N maps $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \{0\}$ onto $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and that $N(\alpha^q) = N(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

By the pigeonhole principle, among the r elements β_j s, there are always at least four elements, say $\beta_{j_1}, \beta_{j_2}, \beta_{j_3}$, and β_{j_4} , with $N(\beta_{j_1}) = N(\beta_{j_2}) = N(\beta_{j_3}) = N(\beta_{j_4}) = \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. The four columns $w(\beta_{j_1}), w(\beta_{j_2}), w(\beta_{j_3}), w(\beta_{j_4})$ have the same corresponding entries in their last two rows and, hence, form a matrix of rank at most 3, implying that $d^\perp = 4$.

Next, we design a subcode of an XL code with dual distance ≥ 5 .

Proposition 4 *Let $q \geq 5$. Consider the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space*

$$\mathcal{W}_8 = \langle \{1, x^{q+1}, x^{2(q+1)}, x^{3(q+1)}, x^q + x, x^{2q} + x^2, x^{3q} + x^3, x^{2q+1} + x^{q+2}\} \rangle.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the evaluation code associated with \mathcal{W}_8 . That is,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(f(\alpha_1), \dots, f(\alpha_t), f(\beta_1), \dots, f(\beta_r)) \mid f(x) \in \mathcal{W}_8\}.$$

Define $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{W}_8 \oplus \langle \{e_{1,3}(x)\} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}' := \mathcal{W}_8 \oplus \langle \{e_{2,3}(x)\} \rangle$. Let \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 be, respectively, the linear q -ary evaluation codes associated with the spaces \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' .

Then \mathcal{F}^\perp is a $[t+r, t+r-8, d^\perp \geq 5]_q$ -code and, for all $m \geq 5$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &\subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset C_q(t, 4, 3) \subset C_q(t, m, \ell), \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{F} &\subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset C_q(t, 4, 3) \subset C_q(t, m, \ell). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Proof A closer look at Figure 1 reveals that

$$V_{4,3} = \mathcal{W}_8 \oplus \langle \{e_{1,3}(x), e_{2,3}(x)\} \rangle,$$

justifying the nestedness presented in (16).

Moreover, since

$$(x^q + x)^2 \in \langle \{x^{2q} + x^2, x^{q+1}\} \rangle \text{ and } (x^q + x)^3 \in \langle \{x^{3q} + x^3, x^{2q+1} + x^{q+2}\} \rangle,$$

we can rewrite

$$\mathcal{W}_8 = \langle \{1, x^{q+1}, x^{2(q+1)}, x^{3(q+1)}, x^q + x, (x^q + x)^2, (x^q + x)^3, x^{2q+1} + x^{q+2}\} \rangle. \quad (17)$$

Evaluating based on the elements of \mathcal{W}_8 as expressed in (17) gives us a generator matrix $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ in (18) of the code \mathcal{F} .

$$G_{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1^{q+1} & \dots & \alpha_r^{q+1} & \beta_1^{q+1} & \beta_2^{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r^{q+1} \\ \alpha_1^{2(q+1)} & \dots & \alpha_r^{2(q+1)} & \beta_1^{2(q+1)} & \beta_2^{2(q+1)} & \dots & \beta_r^{2(q+1)} \\ \alpha_1^{3(q+1)} & \dots & \alpha_r^{3(q+1)} & \beta_1^{3(q+1)} & \beta_2^{3(q+1)} & \dots & \beta_r^{3(q+1)} \\ \alpha_1^q + \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_r^q + \alpha_r & \beta_1^q + \beta_1 & \beta_2^q + \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_r^q + \beta_r \\ (\alpha_1^q + \alpha_1)^2 & \dots & (\alpha_r^q + \alpha_r)^2 & (\beta_1^q + \beta_1)^2 & (\beta_2^q + \beta_2)^2 & \dots & (\beta_r^q + \beta_r)^2 \\ (\alpha_1^q + \alpha_1)^3 & \dots & (\alpha_r^q + \alpha_r)^3 & (\beta_1^q + \beta_1)^3 & (\beta_2^q + \beta_2)^3 & \dots & (\beta_r^q + \beta_r)^3 \\ \alpha_1^{2q+1} + \alpha_1^{q+2} & \dots & \alpha_r^{2q+1} + \alpha_r^{q+2} & \beta_1^{2q+1} + \beta_1^{q+2} & \beta_2^{2q+1} + \beta_2^{q+2} & \dots & \beta_r^{2q+1} + \beta_r^{q+2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Now, choose any four distinct columns \mathcal{C}_1 to \mathcal{C}_4 of $G_{\mathcal{F}}$, say,

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a^{q+1} \\ a^{2(q+1)} \\ a^{3(q+1)} \\ a^q + a \\ (a^q + a)^2 \\ (a^q + a)^3 \\ a^{2q+1} + a^{q+2} \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{C}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b^{q+1} \\ b^{2(q+1)} \\ b^{3(q+1)} \\ b^q + b \\ (b^q + b)^2 \\ (b^q + b)^3 \\ b^{2q+1} + b^{q+2} \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{C}_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ c^{q+1} \\ c^{2(q+1)} \\ c^{3(q+1)} \\ c^q + c \\ (c^q + c)^2 \\ (c^q + c)^3 \\ c^{2q+1} + c^{q+2} \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{C}_4 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ d^{q+1} \\ d^{2(q+1)} \\ d^{3(q+1)} \\ d^q + d \\ (d^q + d)^2 \\ (d^q + d)^3 \\ d^{2q+1} + d^{q+2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

A detailed proof of the linear independence of these four columns is in Appendix B.

Remark 3 While we are yet to supply a proof, our computation indicates that $d(\mathcal{F}^\perp) = 6$ for $q = 5$ when $t \leq 3$, for $q = 7$ when $t \leq 1$, and for $q = 8$ when $t = 0$, while for all other cases, $d(\mathcal{F}^\perp) = 5$.

4 The Resulting Asymmetric Quantum Codes

Using the standard CSS construction in Theorem 1 and the results obtained in Section 3, the following theorems can then be established.

Theorem 4 Let $0 \leq t \leq q$, $2 \leq m \leq q - 1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m - 1$. Then there exists an

$$[[n, k, \{d_z \geq \delta, d_x = 2\}]]_q\text{-code}$$

with

$$n = t + (q^2 - q)/2, k = m(m - 1)/2 + \ell, \text{ and } \delta \text{ as expressed in (6).}$$

Proof From (7) and Proposition 1, $C_q(t, 1, 0) \subseteq C_q(t, m, \ell)$, with $d((C_q(t, 1, 0))^\perp) = 2$. Consult Theorem 3 to compute for the required parameters.

Theorem 5 Let $q = 4$, $1 \leq t \leq 4$, $m = 3$, $0 \leq \ell \leq 2$ or $q \geq 5$, $0 \leq t \leq q$, $3 \leq m \leq q - 1$, $0 \leq \ell \leq m - 1$. Then there exists an

$$[[n, k, \{d_z \geq \delta, d_x = 3\}]]_q\text{-code}$$

with

$$n = t + (q^2 - q)/2, k = m(m - 1)/2 + \ell - 2, \text{ and } \delta \text{ as computed according to (6).}$$

Proof Apply Theorem 1 and infer the parameters of the resulting AQC from Proposition 2 and Theorem 3.

Remark 4 For $(q, t) = (4, 0)$ the resulting AQCs have either inferior parameters to or the same parameters as those of AQMDS codes already discussed in [4].

Theorem 6 Let $q \geq 5, 0 \leq t \leq q, 4 \leq m \leq q-1, 0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. Then there exists an

$$[[n, k, \{d_z \geq \delta, d_x = 4\}]]_q\text{-code}$$

with

$$n = t + (q^2 - q)/2 \text{ and } k = m(m-1)/2 + \ell - 4.$$

For $q = 4, 1 \leq t \leq 4$, there exists an AQC with parameters

$$[[t+6, 1, \{d_z \geq \delta, d_x = 4\}]]_4.$$

In both cases δ is as defined in (6).

Proof The assertions follows from combining Theorem 3 and Proposition 3. Note that when $1 \leq t \leq 4$, the code $C_4(t, 3, 2)$ has dimension 6.

Theorem 7 Let $q \geq 5, 0 \leq t \leq q, 5 \leq m \leq q-1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. Then there exists an

$$[[n, k, \{d_z \geq \delta, d_x \geq 5\}]]_q\text{-code}$$

with

$$n = t + (q^2 - q)/2, k = m(m-1)/2 + \ell - 7, \text{ and } \delta \text{ as in (6)}.$$

Proof From Proposition 4, we have $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C_q(t, m, \ell)$, implying

$$k = \dim(C_q(t, m, \ell)) - \dim(\mathcal{F}) = m(m-1)/2 + \ell - 7.$$

The distances d_z and d_x are computed based on Proposition 4 and Theorem 3.

Remark 5 Related to Remark 3, computational evidences indicate that, in general, there is no gain in the parameters of the derived AQC in utilizing the codes \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 for the cases mentioned in the said remark. Computational results for $q \leq 9$ as will be presented in the tables below suggest that it suffices to consider the chain $\mathcal{F} \subset C_q(t, 4, 3) \subset C_q(t, m, \ell)$ for all $m \geq 5$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$.

For each possible combination of the relevant parameters with $3 \leq q \leq 9$, we perform the followings:

1. Compute the designed distance δ of $C := C_q(t, m, \ell)$ according to Theorem 3.
2. Generate and store the generator matrix of C based on Table 1. The real distance $d(C) \geq \delta$ can then be computed and recorded.
3. Look up in the database of MAGMA for the value of best-known dimension linear code given $(q, n, d(C))$ by using the BDLC routine. If this value equals $\dim(C)$, use C as C_2 in our construction.
4. Apply the results of Subsection 3.2 to generate the required proper subcode C_1^\perp .
5. Compute for the true distance of C_1 and derive the parameters of the resulting AQCs.
6. Use Theorem 2 as a yardstick to measure how good the code Q is.

Tables 2 to 5 present good pure CSS AQC's based on the Xing-Ling codes for $3 \leq q \leq 9$. When the code Q is optimal by attaining the upper bound in Theorem 2, it is presented in bold. If $d_z = d(C_2) > \delta$ we list down both values in the tables. In all other instances, $d_z = \delta$. We exclude CSS asymmetric quantum MDS codes from our tables as their treatment is already available in [4].

For $d_x \in \{2, 3\}$ the code Q presented reaches the best possible parameters given the current state of the art best-known classical \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes.

In a few cases, for $d_x = 2$, there are AQC's from the so-called BKLC construction in [5, Subsection IV.C] with better d_z than those derived in this paper. They are noted at the end of each of the relevant tables. The BKLC construction is based on the best-known linear code C in terms of its minimum distance $d(C)$ when $q, n, \dim(C)$ are fixed with the condition that C must have a codeword of weight equals its length n . On the other hand, there are XL codes $C_q(t, m, l)$ with parameters $[n, k, d]_q$ such that d is the highest possible or the highest known given (q, n, k) . That is, they reach the bound for d when the BKLC routine in MAGMA is called. As we have already discussed, XL codes contain the all one word $\mathbf{1}$, making them well suited for the BKLC construction of AQC's.

In the tables below, we mark the AQC's with \star whenever they are derived from XL codes but are not derivable by the BKLC construction from the stored codes in the MAGMA database. For example, the currently stored $[7, 2, 5]_4$ -code in MAGMA does not contain the words of weight 7 but the XL code $C_4(1, 2, 0)$ listed as Entry 4 in Table 2 contains $\mathbf{1}$.

There are also a couple of known instances where the CSS-like construction based on subfield linear codes as discussed in [5] yields AQC's with better parameters than those based on the XL codes. The note at the end of Table 2 highlights two such instances.

For $3 \leq q \leq 9$ we found exactly two instances when $C_q(t, 3, 2) \subset C_q(t, m, l)$ in Proposition 3 yields AQC's with parameters reaching the equality in Theorem 2. They are marked with \dagger in Table 2. The AQC's marked # in Tables 2 to 4 are closely connected to the codes noted in Remark 3.

5 Conclusion

Nested XL codes provide good ingredients to derive pure q -ary CSS AQC's. In many cases the derived quantum codes can be shown to be optimal or best-known. For $d_x \geq 4$ the derived AQC's have very little, if at all, overlap with previously known ones. The comparison of parameters are done with respect to the list provided in [8] and the more recent results in [5].

In the cases where $d_x \in \{4, 5\}$, subjecting the pair $C_1^\perp \subset C_2$ based on the XL codes treated in this paper to the so-called triangle bound in [5, Section V] may certify that the derived good AQC's are in fact best possible or best known. More generally, for lengths beyond those covered by the XL codes, one can perhaps look at families of (nested) polynomial codes to come up with good AQC's.

Appendix A: Proof of Linear Independence of the Column Vectors in (14)

Proof We consider all possible cases separately.

Table 2 Good q -ary AQC for $q \in \{3, 4, 5\}$

No.	q	(t, m, ℓ)	(d_z, δ)	Q from Th. 4	Q from Th. 5	Q from Th. 6	Q from Th. 7
1	3	(2, 2, 0)		$[[5, 1, \{3, 2\}]]_3^*$			
2		(3, 2, 0)		$[[6, 1, \{4, 2\}]]_3^*$			
3		(3, 2, 1)		$[[6, 2, \{3, 2\}]]_3$			
4	4	(1, 2, 0)		$[[7, 1, \{5, 2\}]]_4^*$			
5		(1, 2, 1)		$[[7, 2, \{4, 2\}]]_4$			
6		(1, 3, 0)		$[[7, 3, \{3, 2\}]]_4$	$[[7, 1, \{3, 3\}]]_4$		
7		(2, 2, 0)		$[[8, 1, \{6, 2\}]]_4$			
8		(2, 2, 1)		$[[8, 2, \{5, 2\}]]_4$			
9		(2, 3, 0)		$[[8, 3, \{4, 2\}]]_4$	$[[8, 1, \{4, 3\}]]_4$		
10		(2, 3, 1)		$[[8, 4, \{3, 2\}]]_4$	$[[8, 2, \{3, 3\}]]_4$		
11		(3, 2, 1)		$[[9, 2, \{6, 2\}]]_4$			
12		(3, 3, 1)		$[[9, 4, \{4, 2\}]]_4$	$[[9, 2, \{4, 3\}]]_4$		
13		(3, 3, 2)		$[[9, 5, \{3, 2\}]]_4$	$[[9, 3, \{3, 3\}]]_4$	$[[9, 1, \{3, 4\}]]_4$	
14		(4, 3, 2)	(4, 3)	$[[10, 5, \{4, 2\}]]_4$	$[[10, 3, \{4, 3\}]]_4$	$[[10, 1, \{4, 4\}]]_4$	
15	5	(0, 2, 0)		$[[10, 1, \{8, 2\}]]_5^*$			
16		(0, 2, 1)		$[[10, 2, \{7, 2\}]]_5$			
17		(0, 3, 1)		$[[10, 4, \{5, 2\}]]_5$	$[[10, 2, \{5, 3\}]]_5$		
18		(0, 3, 2)		$[[10, 5, \{4, 2\}]]_5$	$[[10, 3, \{4, 3\}]]_5$		
19		(0, 4, 0)		$[[10, 6, \{3, 2\}]]_5$	$[[10, 4, \{3, 3\}]]_5$	$[[10, 2, \{3, 4\}]]_5$	
20						$[[10, 1, \{3, 6\}]]_5^\dagger$	
21		(1, 2, 1)		$[[11, 2, \{8, 2\}]]_5$			
22		(1, 3, 2)		$[[11, 5, \{5, 2\}]]_5$	$[[11, 3, \{5, 3\}]]_5$	$[[11, 1, \{5, 4\}]]_5$	
23		(1, 4, 1)		$[[11, 7, \{3, 2\}]]_5$	$[[11, 5, \{3, 3\}]]_5$	$[[11, 3, \{3, 4\}]]_5$	
24						$[[11, 2, \{3, 6\}]]_5^\dagger$	
25		(2, 2, 0)		$[[12, 1, \{9, 2\}]]_5^*$			
26		(2, 4, 2)		$[[12, 8, \{3, 2\}]]_5$	$[[12, 6, \{3, 3\}]]_5$	$[[12, 4, \{3, 4\}]]_5$	
27		(3, 2, 0)		$[[13, 1, \{10, 2\}]]_5$			
28		(3, 2, 1)		$[[13, 2, \{9, 2\}]]_5$			
29		(3, 3, 2)		$[[13, 5, \{6, 2\}]]_5^*$	$[[13, 3, \{6, 3\}]]_5$	$[[13, 1, \{6, 4\}]]_5$	
30		(3, 4, 3)		$[[13, 9, \{3, 2\}]]_5$	$[[13, 7, \{3, 3\}]]_5$	$[[13, 5, \{3, 4\}]]_5$	$[[13, 2, \{3, 6\}]]_5^\#$
31		(4, 2, 0)		$[[14, 1, \{11, 2\}]]_5$			
32		(4, 2, 1)		$[[14, 2, \{10, 2\}]]_5$			
33		(4, 4, 1)	(5, 4)	$[[14, 7, \{5, 2\}]]_5$	$[[14, 5, \{5, 3\}]]_5$	$[[14, 3, \{5, 4\}]]_5$	
34		(5, 2, 0)		$[[15, 1, \{12, 2\}]]_5^*$			
35		(5, 2, 1)		$[[15, 2, \{11, 2\}]]_5$			
36		(5, 4, 1)	(6, 5)	$[[15, 7, \{6, 2\}]]_5$	$[[15, 5, \{6, 3\}]]_5$	$[[15, 3, \{6, 4\}]]_5$	
Note on	Remark			Note on	Remark		
Entry 4	$\exists [[7, 1, 5, \{5, 2\}]]_4$ CSS-like in [5]			Entry 20	Use $C_5(0, 3, 2) \subset C_5(0, 4, 0)$		
Entry 6	$\exists [[6, 1, \{3, 3\}]]_4$ CSS-like in [5]			Entry 24	Use $C_5(1, 3, 2) \subset C_5(1, 4, 1)$		

Case I. When $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1} = c^{q+1}$, Lemma 1 says that $a^q + a, b^q + b, c^q + c$ are pairwise distinct. Hence, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^q + a & b^q + b & c^q + c \\ (a^q + a)^2 & (b^q + b)^2 & (c^q + c)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Vandermonde matrix, establishing linear independence for this case.

Table 3 Good 7-ary AQC's

No.	(t, m, ℓ)	(d_z, δ)	Q from Th. 4	Q from Th. 5	Q from Th. 6	Q from Th. 7
1	(0, 2, 0)		$[[21, 1, \{18, 2\}]]_7^*$			
2	(0, 2, 1)		$[[21, 2, \{17, 2\}]]_7$			
3	(0, 3, 1)		$[[21, 4, \{14, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 2, \{14, 3\}]]_7$		
4	(0, 3, 2)		$[[21, 5, \{13, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 3, \{13, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 1, \{13, 4\}]]_7$	
5	(0, 4, 0)	(12, 11)	$[[21, 6, \{12, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 4, \{12, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 2, \{12, 4\}]]_7$	
6	(0, 4, 2)		$[[21, 8, \{10, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 6, \{10, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 4, \{10, 4\}]]_7$	
7	(0, 4, 3)		$[[21, 9, \{9, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 7, \{9, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 5, \{9, 4\}]]_7$	$[[21, 2, \{9, 6\}]]_7^\#$
8	(0, 5, 1)		$[[21, 11, \{7, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 9, \{7, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 7, \{7, 4\}]]_7$	$[[21, 4, \{7, 6\}]]_7^\#$
9	(0, 5, 3)		$[[21, 13, \{6, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 11, \{6, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 9, \{6, 4\}]]_7$	$[[21, 6, \{6, 6\}]]_7^\#$
10	(0, 5, 4)		$[[21, 14, \{5, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 12, \{5, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 10, \{5, 4\}]]_7$	$[[21, 7, \{5, 6\}]]_7^\#$
11	(0, 6, 2)		$[[21, 17, \{3, 2\}]]_7$	$[[21, 15, \{3, 3\}]]_7$	$[[21, 13, \{3, 4\}]]_7$	$[[21, 10, \{3, 6\}]]_7^\#$
12	(1, 2, 1)		$[[22, 2, \{18, 2\}]]_7$			
13	(1, 3, 2)		$[[22, 5, \{14, 2\}]]_7$	$[[22, 3, \{14, 3\}]]_7$	$[[22, 1, \{14, 4\}]]_7$	
14	(1, 4, 0)	(12, 11)	$[[22, 6, \{12, 2\}]]_7$	$[[22, 4, \{12, 3\}]]_7$	$[[22, 2, \{12, 4\}]]_7$	
15	(1, 4, 1)		$[[22, 7, \{11, 2\}]]_7$	$[[22, 5, \{11, 3\}]]_7$	$[[22, 3, \{11, 4\}]]_7$	
16	(1, 4, 3)		$[[22, 9, \{10, 2\}]]_7$	$[[22, 7, \{10, 3\}]]_7$	$[[22, 5, \{10, 4\}]]_7$	$[[22, 2, \{10, 6\}]]_7^\#$
17	(1, 5, 2)		$[[22, 12, \{7, 2\}]]_7$	$[[22, 10, \{7, 3\}]]_7$	$[[22, 8, \{7, 4\}]]_7$	$[[22, 5, \{7, 6\}]]_7^\#$
18	(1, 5, 4)		$[[22, 14, \{6, 2\}]]_7$	$[[22, 12, \{6, 3\}]]_7$	$[[22, 10, \{6, 4\}]]_7$	$[[22, 7, \{6, 6\}]]_7^\#$
19	(1, 6, 3)		$[[22, 18, \{3, 2\}]]_7$	$[[22, 16, \{3, 3\}]]_7$	$[[22, 14, \{3, 4\}]]_7$	$[[22, 11, \{3, 6\}]]_7^\#$
20	(2, 2, 0)		$[[23, 1, \{19, 2\}]]_7^*$			
21	(2, 2, 1)		$[[23, 2, \{18, 2\}]]_7$			
22	(2, 3, 2)		$[[23, 5, \{14, 2\}]]_7$	$[[23, 3, \{14, 3\}]]_7$	$[[23, 1, \{14, 4\}]]_7$	
23	(2, 4, 2)		$[[23, 8, \{11, 2\}]]_7$	$[[23, 6, \{11, 3\}]]_7$	$[[23, 4, \{11, 4\}]]_7$	
24	(2, 5, 3)		$[[23, 13, \{7, 2\}]]_7$	$[[23, 11, \{7, 3\}]]_7$	$[[23, 9, \{7, 4\}]]_7$	$[[23, 6, \{7, 5\}]]_7$
25	(2, 6, 4)		$[[23, 19, \{3, 2\}]]_7$	$[[23, 17, \{3, 3\}]]_7$	$[[23, 15, \{3, 4\}]]_7$	$[[23, 12, \{3, 5\}]]_7$
26	(3, 2, 0)		$[[24, 1, \{20, 2\}]]_7^*$			
27	(3, 2, 1)		$[[24, 2, \{19, 2\}]]_7$			
28	(3, 3, 2)		$[[24, 5, \{15, 2\}]]_7$	$[[24, 3, \{15, 3\}]]_7$	$[[24, 1, \{15, 4\}]]_7$	
29	(3, 4, 3)		$[[24, 9, \{11, 2\}]]_7$	$[[24, 7, \{11, 3\}]]_7$	$[[24, 5, \{11, 4\}]]_7$	$[[24, 2, \{11, 5\}]]_7$
30	(3, 5, 4)		$[[24, 14, \{7, 2\}]]_7$	$[[24, 12, \{7, 3\}]]_7$	$[[24, 10, \{7, 4\}]]_7$	$[[24, 7, \{7, 5\}]]_7$
31	(3, 6, 5)		$[[24, 20, \{3, 2\}]]_7$	$[[24, 18, \{3, 3\}]]_7$	$[[24, 16, \{3, 4\}]]_7$	$[[24, 13, \{3, 5\}]]_7$
32	(4, 2, 0)		$[[25, 1, \{21, 2\}]]_7^*$			
33	(4, 2, 1)		$[[25, 2, \{20, 2\}]]_7$			
34	(4, 5, 3)		$[[25, 13, \{8, 2\}]]_7$	$[[25, 11, \{8, 3\}]]_7$	$[[25, 9, \{8, 4\}]]_7$	$[[25, 6, \{8, 5\}]]_7$
35	(5, 2, 0)		$[[26, 1, \{22, 2\}]]_7^*$			
36	(5, 2, 1)		$[[26, 2, \{21, 2\}]]_7$			
37	(5, 5, 4)		$[[26, 14, \{8, 2\}]]_7$	$[[26, 12, \{8, 3\}]]_7$	$[[26, 10, \{8, 4\}]]_7$	$[[26, 7, \{8, 5\}]]_7$
38	(6, 2, 0)		$[[27, 1, \{23, 2\}]]_7^*$			
39	(6, 2, 1)		$[[27, 2, \{22, 2\}]]_7$			
40	(7, 2, 0)		$[[28, 1, \{24, 2\}]]_7^*$			
41	(7, 2, 1)		$[[28, 2, \{23, 2\}]]_7$			
42	(7, 4, 2)		$[[28, 8, \{14, 2\}]]_7$	$[[28, 6, \{14, 3\}]]_7$	$[[28, 4, \{14, 4\}]]_7$	
43	(7, 6, 2)	(7, 6)	$[[28, 17, \{7, 2\}]]_7$	$[[28, 15, \{7, 3\}]]_7$	$[[28, 13, \{7, 4\}]]_7$	$[[28, 10, \{7, 5\}]]_7$
Note on	Remark		Note on	Remark		
Entry 14	$\exists [[22, 6, \{13, 2\}]]_7$ BKLC		Entry 28	$\exists [[24, 5, \{16, 2\}]]_7$ BKLC		
Entry 22	$\exists [[23, 5, \{15, 2\}]]_7$ BKLC		Entry 42	$\exists [[28, 8, \{15, 2\}]]_7$ BKLC		

Case II. When $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1} \neq c^{q+1}$, using $b^q + b \neq a^q + a$ from Lemma 1, verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^{q+1} & b^{q+1} & c^{q+1} \\ a^q + a & b^q + b & c^q + c \end{vmatrix} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & c^{q+1} - a^{q+1} \\ 0 & (b^q + b) - (a^q + a) & (c^q + c) - (a^q + a) \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (c^{q+1} - a^{q+1})[(a^q + a) - (b^q + b)] \neq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 4 Good 8-ary AQC's

No.	(t, m, ℓ)	(d_ℓ, δ)	Q from Th. 4	Q from Th. 5	Q from Th. 6	Q from Th. 7
1	(0, 2, 1)		$[[28, 2, \{24, 2\}]_8]$			
2	(0, 3, 1)		$[[28, 4, \{20, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 2, \{20, 3\}]_8]$		
3	(0, 3, 2)		$[[28, 5, \{19, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 3, \{19, 3\}]_8]$	$[[28, 1, \{19, 4\}]_8]$	
4	(0, 4, 3)		$[[28, 9, \{15, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 7, \{15, 3\}]_8]$	$[[28, 5, \{15, 4\}]_8]$	$[[28, 2, \{15, 6\}]_8\#]$
5	(0, 5, 3)		$[[28, 13, \{11, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 11, \{11, 3\}]_8]$	$[[28, 9, \{11, 4\}]_8]$	$[[28, 6, \{11, 6\}]_8\#]$
6	(0, 5, 4)		$[[28, 14, \{10, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 12, \{10, 3\}]_8]$	$[[28, 10, \{10, 4\}]_8]$	$[[28, 7, \{10, 6\}]_8\#]$
7	(0, 6, 3)		$[[28, 18, \{7, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 16, \{7, 3\}]_8]$	$[[28, 14, \{7, 4\}]_8]$	$[[28, 11, \{7, 6\}]_8\#]$
8	(0, 6, 5)		$[[28, 20, \{6, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 18, \{6, 3\}]_8]$	$[[28, 16, \{6, 4\}]_8]$	$[[28, 13, \{6, 6\}]_8\#]$
9	(0, 7, 3)		$[[28, 24, \{3, 2\}]_8]$	$[[28, 22, \{3, 3\}]_8]$	$[[28, 20, \{3, 4\}]_8]$	$[[28, 17, \{3, 6\}]_8\#]$
10	(1, 2, 0)		$[[29, 1, \{25, 2\}]_8^*]$			
11	(1, 2, 1)		$[[29, 2, \{24, 2\}]_8]$			
12	(1, 3, 2)		$[[29, 5, \{20, 2\}]_8]$	$[[29, 3, \{20, 3\}]_8]$	$[[29, 1, \{20, 4\}]_8]$	
13	(1, 4, 3)		$[[29, 9, \{15, 2\}]_8]$	$[[29, 7, \{15, 3\}]_8]$	$[[29, 5, \{15, 4\}]_8]$	$[[29, 2, \{15, 5\}]_8]$
14	(1, 5, 4)		$[[29, 14, \{11, 2\}]_8]$	$[[29, 12, \{11, 3\}]_8]$	$[[29, 10, \{11, 4\}]_8]$	$[[29, 7, \{11, 5\}]_8]$
15	(1, 6, 2)		$[[29, 17, \{8, 2\}]_8]$	$[[29, 15, \{8, 3\}]_8]$	$[[29, 13, \{8, 4\}]_8]$	$[[29, 10, \{8, 5\}]_8]$
16	(1, 6, 4)		$[[29, 19, \{7, 2\}]_8]$	$[[29, 17, \{7, 3\}]_8]$	$[[29, 15, \{7, 4\}]_8]$	$[[29, 12, \{7, 5\}]_8]$
17	(1, 7, 4)		$[[29, 25, \{3, 2\}]_8]$	$[[29, 23, \{3, 3\}]_8]$	$[[29, 21, \{3, 4\}]_8]$	$[[29, 18, \{3, 5\}]_8]$
18	(2, 2, 0)		$[[30, 1, \{26, 2\}]_8^*]$			
19	(2, 2, 1)		$[[30, 2, \{25, 2\}]_8]$			
20	(2, 3, 1)		$[[30, 4, \{21, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 2, \{21, 3\}]_8]$		
21	(2, 3, 2)		$[[30, 5, \{20, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 3, \{20, 3\}]_8]$	$[[30, 1, \{20, 4\}]_8]$	
22	(2, 4, 3)		$[[30, 9, \{16, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 7, \{16, 3\}]_8]$	$[[30, 5, \{16, 4\}]_8]$	$[[30, 2, \{16, 5\}]_8]$
23	(2, 5, 3)		$[[30, 13, \{12, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 11, \{12, 3\}]_8]$	$[[30, 9, \{12, 4\}]_8]$	$[[30, 6, \{12, 5\}]_8]$
24	(2, 5, 4)		$[[30, 14, \{11, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 12, \{11, 3\}]_8]$	$[[30, 10, \{11, 4\}]_8]$	$[[30, 7, \{11, 5\}]_8]$
25	(2, 6, 3)		$[[30, 18, \{8, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 16, \{8, 3\}]_8]$	$[[30, 14, \{8, 4\}]_8]$	$[[30, 11, \{8, 5\}]_8]$
26	(2, 6, 5)		$[[30, 20, \{7, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 18, \{7, 3\}]_8]$	$[[30, 16, \{7, 4\}]_8]$	$[[30, 13, \{7, 5\}]_8]$
27	(2, 7, 5)		$[[30, 26, \{3, 2\}]_8]$	$[[30, 24, \{3, 3\}]_8]$	$[[30, 22, \{3, 4\}]_8]$	$[[30, 19, \{3, 5\}]_8]$
28	(3, 2, 0)		$[[31, 1, \{27, 2\}]_8^*]$			
29	(3, 2, 1)		$[[31, 2, \{26, 2\}]_8]$			
30	(3, 3, 1)		$[[31, 4, \{22, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 2, \{22, 3\}]_8]$		
31	(3, 3, 2)		$[[31, 5, \{21, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 3, \{21, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 1, \{21, 4\}]_8]$	
32	(3, 4, 1)		$[[31, 7, \{18, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 5, \{18, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 3, \{18, 4\}]_8]$	
33	(3, 4, 2)		$[[31, 8, \{17, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 6, \{17, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 4, \{17, 4\}]_8]$	
34	(3, 4, 3)		$[[31, 9, \{16, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 7, \{16, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 5, \{16, 4\}]_8]$	$[[31, 2, \{16, 5\}]_8]$
35	(3, 5, 0)	(15, 14)	$[[31, 10, \{15, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 8, \{15, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 6, \{15, 4\}]_8]$	$[[31, 3, \{15, 5\}]_8]$
36	(3, 5, 2)		$[[31, 12, \{13, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 10, \{13, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 8, \{13, 4\}]_8]$	$[[31, 5, \{13, 5\}]_8]$
37	(3, 5, 4)		$[[31, 14, \{12, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 12, \{12, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 10, \{12, 4\}]_8]$	$[[31, 7, \{12, 5\}]_8]$
38	(3, 6, 4)		$[[31, 19, \{8, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 17, \{8, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 15, \{8, 4\}]_8]$	$[[31, 12, \{8, 5\}]_8]$
39	(3, 7, 6)		$[[31, 27, \{3, 2\}]_8]$	$[[31, 25, \{3, 3\}]_8]$	$[[31, 23, \{3, 4\}]_8]$	$[[31, 20, \{3, 5\}]_8]$
40	(4, 2, 0)		$[[32, 1, \{28, 2\}]_8^*]$			
41	(4, 2, 1)		$[[32, 2, \{27, 2\}]_8]$			
42	(4, 3, 1)		$[[32, 4, \{23, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 2, \{23, 3\}]_8]$		
43	(4, 3, 2)		$[[32, 5, \{22, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 3, \{22, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 1, \{22, 4\}]_8]$	
44	(4, 4, 1)		$[[32, 7, \{19, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 5, \{19, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 3, \{19, 4\}]_8]$	
45	(4, 4, 2)		$[[32, 8, \{18, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 6, \{18, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 4, \{18, 4\}]_8]$	
46	(4, 4, 3)		$[[32, 9, \{17, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 7, \{17, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 5, \{17, 4\}]_8]$	$[[32, 2, \{17, 5\}]_8]$
47	(4, 5, 0)	(16, 15)	$[[32, 10, \{16, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 8, \{16, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 6, \{16, 4\}]_8]$	$[[32, 3, \{16, 5\}]_8]$
48	(4, 5, 2)		$[[32, 12, \{14, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 10, \{14, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 8, \{14, 4\}]_8]$	$[[32, 5, \{14, 5\}]_8]$
49	(4, 5, 3)		$[[32, 13, \{13, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 11, \{13, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 9, \{13, 4\}]_8]$	$[[32, 6, \{13, 5\}]_8]$
50	(4, 6, 5)		$[[32, 20, \{8, 2\}]_8]$	$[[32, 18, \{8, 3\}]_8]$	$[[32, 16, \{8, 4\}]_8]$	$[[32, 13, \{8, 5\}]_8]$
51	(5, 2, 1)		$[[33, 2, \{28, 2\}]_8]$			
52	(5, 3, 1)		$[[33, 4, \{24, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 2, \{24, 3\}]_8]$		
53	(5, 3, 2)		$[[33, 5, \{23, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 3, \{23, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 1, \{23, 4\}]_8]$	
Note on		Remark	Note on	Remark	Note on	Remark
Entry 13		$\exists[[29, 9, \{16, 2\}]_8]$ BKLC	Entry 30	$\exists[[31, 4, \{23, 2\}]_8]$ BKLC	Entry 42	$\exists[[32, 4, \{24, 2\}]_8]$ BKLC
Entry 20		$\exists[[30, 4, \{22, 2\}]_8]$ BKLC	Entry 32	$\exists[[31, 7, \{19, 2\}]_8]$ BKLC	Entry 44	$\exists[[32, 7, \{20, 2\}]_8]$ BKLC

Table 4 Good 8-ary AQC's (Continued)

No.	(t, m, ℓ)	(d_z, δ)	Q from Th. 4	Q from Th. 5	Q from Th. 6	Q from Th. 7
54	(5, 4, 1)		$[[33, 7, \{20, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 5, \{20, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 3, \{20, 4\}]_8]$	
55	(5, 4, 2)		$[[33, 8, \{19, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 6, \{19, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 4, \{19, 4\}]_8]$	
56	(5, 4, 3)		$[[33, 9, \{18, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 7, \{18, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 5, \{18, 4\}]_8]$	$[[33, 2, \{18, 5\}]_8]$
57	(5, 5, 2)		$[[33, 12, \{15, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 10, \{15, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 8, \{15, 4\}]_8]$	$[[33, 5, \{15, 5\}]_8]$
58	(5, 5, 3)		$[[33, 13, \{14, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 11, \{14, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 9, \{14, 4\}]_8]$	$[[33, 6, \{14, 5\}]_8]$
59	(5, 5, 4)		$[[33, 14, \{13, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 12, \{13, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 10, \{13, 4\}]_8]$	$[[33, 7, \{13, 5\}]_8]$
60	(5, 6, 5)		$[[33, 20, \{9, 2\}]_8]$	$[[33, 18, \{8, 3\}]_8]$	$[[33, 16, \{8, 4\}]_8]$	$[[33, 13, \{8, 5\}]_8]$
61	(6, 2, 1)		$[[34, 2, \{28, 2\}]_8]$			
62	(6, 3, 2)	(24, 23)	$[[34, 5, \{24, 2\}]_8]$	$[[34, 3, \{24, 3\}]_8]$	$[[34, 1, \{24, 4\}]_8]$	
63	(6, 4, 3)		$[[34, 9, \{19, 2\}]_8]$	$[[34, 7, \{19, 3\}]_8]$	$[[34, 5, \{19, 4\}]_8]$	$[[34, 2, \{19, 5\}]_8]$
64	(6, 5, 1)		$[[34, 11, \{16, 2\}]_8]$	$[[34, 9, \{16, 3\}]_8]$	$[[34, 7, \{16, 4\}]_8]$	$[[34, 4, \{16, 5\}]_8]$
65	(6, 5, 3)		$[[34, 13, \{15, 2\}]_8]$	$[[34, 11, \{15, 3\}]_8]$	$[[34, 9, \{15, 4\}]_8]$	$[[34, 6, \{15, 5\}]_8]$
66	(6, 6, 1)		$[[34, 16, \{12, 2\}]_8]$	$[[34, 14, \{12, 3\}]_8]$	$[[34, 12, \{12, 4\}]_8]$	$[[34, 9, \{12, 5\}]_8]$
67	(6, 6, 3)		$[[34, 18, \{11, 2\}]_8]$	$[[34, 16, \{11, 3\}]_8]$	$[[34, 14, \{11, 4\}]_8]$	$[[34, 11, \{11, 5\}]_8]$
68	(6, 7, 2)		$[[34, 23, \{7, 2\}]_8]$	$[[34, 21, \{7, 3\}]_8]$	$[[34, 19, \{7, 4\}]_8]$	$[[34, 16, \{7, 5\}]_8]$
69	(7, 3, 2)	(24, 23)	$[[35, 5, \{24, 2\}]_8]$	$[[35, 3, \{24, 3\}]_8]$	$[[35, 1, \{24, 4\}]_8]$	
70	(7, 4, 3)		$[[35, 9, \{19, 2\}]_8]$	$[[35, 7, \{19, 3\}]_8]$	$[[35, 5, \{19, 4\}]_8]$	$[[35, 2, \{19, 5\}]_8]$
71	(7, 5, 4)	(15, 14)	$[[35, 14, \{15, 2\}]_8]$	$[[35, 12, \{15, 3\}]_8]$	$[[35, 10, \{15, 4\}]_8]$	$[[35, 7, \{15, 5\}]_8]$
72	(7, 7, 3)		$[[35, 24, \{7, 2\}]_8]$	$[[35, 22, \{7, 3\}]_8]$	$[[35, 20, \{7, 4\}]_8]$	$[[35, 17, \{7, 5\}]_8]$
73	(8, 5, 4)	(15, 14)	$[[36, 14, \{15, 2\}]_8]$	$[[36, 12, \{15, 3\}]_8]$	$[[36, 10, \{15, 4\}]_8]$	$[[36, 7, \{15, 5\}]_8]$

This case is thus settled.

Case III. The elements a^{q+1} , b^{q+1} , and c^{q+1} are pairwise distinct. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^{q+1} & b^{q+1} & c^{q+1} \\ a^{2(q+1)} & b^{2(q+1)} & c^{2(q+1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Vandermonde matrix. Hence, the columns in (14) are linearly independent. The proof is now complete.

Appendix B: Proof of Linear Independence of the Column Vectors in (19)

Proof There are four cases to consider.

Case I. When $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1} = c^{q+1} = d^{q+1}$, Lemma 1 says that $a^q + a, b^q + b, c^q + c$ and $d^q + d$ are pairwise distinct. The following Vandermonde matrix certifies linear independence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^q + a & b^q + b & c^q + c & d^q + d \\ (a^q + a)^2 & (b^q + b)^2 & (c^q + c)^2 & (d^q + d)^2 \\ (a^q + a)^3 & (b^q + b)^3 & (c^q + c)^3 & (d^q + d)^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case II. Let there be two distinct elements among $a^{q+1}, b^{q+1}, c^{q+1}$, and d^{q+1} . Lemma 1 says that if $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1} = c^{q+1} \neq d^{q+1}$, then $a^q + a, b^q + b$, and $c^q + c$ are pairwise distinct. Let $D_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ be the determinant of the matrix

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^q + a & b^q + b & c^q + c & d^q + d \\ (a^q + a)^2 & (b^q + b)^2 & (c^q + c)^2 & (d^q + d)^2 \\ a^{q+1} & b^{q+1} & c^{q+1} & d^{q+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Table 5 Good 9-ary AQC's

No.	(t, m, ℓ)	(d_z, δ)	Q from Th. 4	Q from Th. 5	Q from Th. 6	Q from Th. 7
1	(0, 2, 0)		[[36, 1, {32, 2}]]₉*			
2	(0, 2, 1)		[[36, 2, {31, 2}]]₉			
3	(0, 3, 1)		[[36, 4, {27, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 2, {27, 3}]] ₉		
4	(0, 3, 2)		[[36, 5, {26, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 3, {26, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 1, {26, 4}]] ₉	
5	(0, 4, 0)	(24, 23)	[[36, 6, {24, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 4, {24, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 2, {24, 4}]] ₉	
6	(0, 4, 2)		[[36, 8, {22, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 6, {22, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 4, {22, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 1, {22, 5}]] ₉
7	(0, 4, 3)		[[36, 9, {21, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 7, {21, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 5, {21, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 2, {21, 5}]] ₉
8	(0, 5, 3)		[[36, 13, {17, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 11, {17, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 9, {17, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 6, {17, 5}]] ₉
9	(0, 5, 4)		[[36, 14, {16, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 12, {16, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 10, {16, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 7, {16, 5}]] ₉
10	(0, 6, 2)		[[36, 17, {13, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 15, {13, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 13, {13, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 10, {13, 5}]] ₉
11	(0, 6, 4)		[[36, 19, {12, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 17, {12, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 15, {12, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 12, {12, 5}]] ₉
12	(0, 6, 5)		[[36, 20, {11, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 18, {11, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 16, {11, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 13, {11, 5}]] ₉
13	(0, 7, 3)		[[36, 24, {8, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 22, {8, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 20, {8, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 17, {8, 5}]] ₉
14	(0, 7, 5)		[[36, 26, {7, 2}]] ₉	[[36, 24, {7, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 22, {7, 4}]] ₉	[[36, 19, {7, 5}]] ₉
15	(0, 8, 4)		[[36, 32, {3, 2}]]₉	[[36, 30, {3, 3}]]₉	[[36, 28, {4, 3}]] ₉	[[36, 25, {5, 3}]] ₉
16	(1, 2, 1)		[[37, 2, {32, 2}]]₉			
17	(1, 3, 2)		[[37, 5, {27, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 3, {27, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 1, {27, 4}]] ₉	
18	(1, 4, 3)		[[37, 9, {22, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 7, {22, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 5, {22, 4}]] ₉	[[37, 2, {22, 5}]] ₉
19	(1, 5, 2)		[[37, 12, {18, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 10, {18, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 8, {18, 4}]] ₉	[[37, 5, {18, 5}]] ₉
20	(1, 5, 4)		[[37, 14, {17, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 12, {17, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 10, {17, 4}]] ₉	[[37, 7, {17, 5}]] ₉
21	(1, 6, 3)		[[37, 18, {13, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 16, {13, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 14, {13, 4}]] ₉	[[37, 11, {13, 5}]] ₉
22	(1, 6, 5)		[[37, 20, {12, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 18, {12, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 16, {12, 4}]] ₉	[[37, 13, {12, 5}]] ₉
23	(1, 7, 4)		[[37, 25, {8, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 23, {8, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 21, {8, 4}]] ₉	[[37, 18, {8, 5}]] ₉
24	(1, 7, 6)		[[37, 27, {7, 2}]] ₉	[[37, 25, {7, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 23, {7, 4}]] ₉	[[37, 20, {7, 5}]] ₉
25	(1, 8, 5)		[[37, 33, {3, 2}]]₉	[[37, 31, {3, 3}]]₉	[[37, 29, {4, 3}]] ₉	[[37, 26, {5, 3}]] ₉
26	(2, 2, 0)		[[38, 1, {33, 2}]]₉*			
27	(2, 2, 1)		[[38, 2, {32, 2}]] ₉			
28	(2, 3, 1)		[[38, 4, {28, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 2, {28, 3}]] ₉		
29	(2, 3, 2)		[[38, 5, {27, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 3, {27, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 1, {27, 4}]] ₉	
30	(2, 4, 2)		[[38, 8, {23, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 6, {23, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 4, {23, 4}]] ₉	[[38, 1, {23, 5}]] ₉
31	(2, 4, 3)		[[38, 9, {22, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 7, {22, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 5, {22, 4}]] ₉	[[38, 2, {22, 5}]] ₉
32	(2, 5, 3)		[[38, 13, {18, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 11, {18, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 9, {18, 4}]] ₉	[[38, 6, {18, 5}]] ₉
33	(2, 5, 4)		[[38, 14, {17, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 12, {17, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 10, {17, 4}]] ₉	[[38, 7, {17, 5}]] ₉
34	(2, 6, 4)		[[38, 19, {13, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 17, {13, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 15, {13, 4}]] ₉	[[38, 12, {13, 5}]] ₉
35	(2, 7, 5)		[[38, 26, {8, 2}]] ₉	[[38, 24, {8, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 22, {8, 4}]] ₉	[[38, 19, {8, 5}]] ₉
36	(2, 8, 6)		[[38, 34, {3, 2}]]₉	[[38, 32, {3, 3}]]₉	[[38, 30, {4, 3}]] ₉	[[38, 27, {5, 3}]] ₉
37	(3, 2, 0)		[[39, 1, {34, 2}]]₉*			
38	(3, 2, 1)		[[39, 2, {33, 2}]]₉			
39	(3, 3, 2)		[[39, 5, {28, 2}]] ₉	[[39, 3, {28, 3}]] ₉	[[39, 1, {28, 4}]] ₉	
40	(3, 4, 3)		[[39, 9, {23, 2}]] ₉	[[39, 7, {23, 3}]] ₉	[[39, 5, {23, 4}]] ₉	[[39, 2, {23, 5}]] ₉
41	(3, 5, 4)		[[39, 14, {18, 2}]] ₉	[[39, 12, {18, 3}]] ₉	[[39, 10, {18, 4}]] ₉	[[39, 7, {18, 5}]] ₉
42	(3, 6, 3)		[[39, 18, {14, 2}]] ₉	[[39, 16, {14, 3}]] ₉	[[39, 14, {14, 4}]] ₉	[[39, 11, {14, 5}]] ₉
43	(3, 6, 5)		[[39, 20, {13, 2}]] ₉	[[39, 18, {13, 3}]] ₉	[[39, 16, {13, 4}]] ₉	[[39, 13, {13, 5}]] ₉
44	(3, 7, 6)		[[39, 27, {8, 2}]] ₉	[[39, 25, {8, 3}]] ₉	[[39, 23, {8, 4}]] ₉	[[39, 20, {8, 5}]] ₉
45	(3, 8, 7)		[[39, 35, {3, 2}]]₉	[[39, 33, {3, 3}]]₉	[[39, 31, {4, 3}]] ₉	[[39, 28, {5, 3}]] ₉
46	(4, 2, 0)		[[40, 1, {35, 2}]]₉*			
47	(4, 2, 1)		[[40, 2, {34, 2}]]₉			
48	(4, 6, 4)		[[40, 19, {14, 2}]] ₉	[[40, 17, {14, 3}]] ₉	[[40, 15, {14, 4}]] ₉	[[40, 12, {14, 5}]] ₉
49	(4, 6, 5)		[[40, 20, {13, 2}]] ₉	[[40, 18, {13, 3}]] ₉	[[40, 16, {13, 4}]] ₉	[[40, 13, {13, 5}]] ₉
50	(4, 7, 3)		[[40, 24, {10, 2}]] ₉	[[40, 22, {10, 3}]] ₉	[[40, 20, {10, 4}]] ₉	[[40, 17, {10, 5}]] ₉
51	(5, 2, 0)		[[41, 1, {36, 2}]]₉*			
52	(5, 2, 1)		[[41, 2, {35, 2}]]₉			

Table 5 Good 9-ary AQC's (Continued)

No.	(t, m, ℓ)	(d_z, δ)	Q from Th. 4	Q from Th. 5	Q from Th. 6	Q from Th. 7
53	(5, 3, 2)		$[[41, 5, \{29, 2\}]_9]$	$[[41, 3, \{29, 3\}]_9]$	$[[41, 1, \{29, 4\}]_9]$	
54	(5, 4, 3)		$[[41, 9, \{24, 2\}]_9]$	$[[41, 7, \{24, 3\}]_9]$	$[[41, 5, \{24, 4\}]_9]$	$[[41, 2, \{24, 5\}]_9]$
55	(5, 5, 3)	(20, 19)	$[[41, 13, \{20, 2\}]_9]$	$[[41, 11, \{20, 3\}]_9]$	$[[41, 9, \{20, 4\}]_9]$	$[[41, 6, \{20, 5\}]_9]$
56	(5, 5, 4)		$[[41, 14, \{19, 2\}]_9]$	$[[41, 12, \{19, 3\}]_9]$	$[[41, 10, \{19, 4\}]_9]$	$[[41, 7, \{19, 5\}]_9]$
57	(5, 6, 5)		$[[41, 20, \{14, 2\}]_9]$	$[[41, 18, \{14, 3\}]_9]$	$[[41, 16, \{14, 4\}]_9]$	$[[41, 13, \{14, 5\}]_9]$
58	(5, 7, 4)		$[[41, 25, \{10, 2\}]_9]$	$[[41, 23, \{10, 3\}]_9]$	$[[41, 21, \{10, 4\}]_9]$	$[[41, 18, \{10, 5\}]_9]$
59	(6, 2, 0)		$[[42, 1, \{37, 2\}]_9]^*$			
60	(6, 2, 1)		$[[42, 2, \{36, 2\}]_9]$			
61	(6, 3, 2)		$[[42, 5, \{30, 2\}]_9]$	$[[42, 3, \{30, 3\}]_9]$	$[[42, 1, \{30, 4\}]_9]$	
62	(6, 5, 4)	(20, 19)	$[[42, 14, \{20, 2\}]_9]$	$[[42, 12, \{20, 3\}]_9]$	$[[42, 10, \{20, 4\}]_9]$	$[[42, 7, \{20, 5\}]_9]$
63	(6, 7, 3)		$[[42, 24, \{11, 2\}]_9]$	$[[42, 22, \{11, 3\}]_9]$	$[[42, 20, \{11, 4\}]_9]$	$[[42, 17, \{11, 5\}]_9]$
64	(6, 7, 5)		$[[42, 26, \{10, 2\}]_9]$	$[[42, 24, \{10, 3\}]_9]$	$[[42, 22, \{10, 4\}]_9]$	$[[42, 19, \{10, 5\}]_9]$
65	(7, 2, 0)		$[[43, 1, \{38, 2\}]_9]^*$			
66	(7, 2, 1)		$[[43, 2, \{37, 2\}]_9]$			
67	(7, 3, 1)		$[[43, 4, \{32, 2\}]_9]$	$[[43, 2, \{32, 3\}]_9]$		
68	(7, 3, 2)		$[[43, 5, \{31, 2\}]_9]$	$[[43, 3, \{31, 3\}]_9]$	$[[43, 1, \{31, 4\}]_9]$	
69	(7, 7, 3)	(12, 11)	$[[43, 24, \{12, 2\}]_9]$	$[[43, 22, \{12, 3\}]_9]$	$[[43, 20, \{12, 4\}]_9]$	$[[43, 17, \{12, 5\}]_9]$
70	(7, 7, 4)		$[[43, 25, \{11, 2\}]_9]$	$[[43, 23, \{11, 3\}]_9]$	$[[43, 21, \{11, 4\}]_9]$	$[[43, 18, \{11, 5\}]_9]$
71	(7, 7, 6)		$[[43, 27, \{10, 2\}]_9]$	$[[43, 25, \{10, 3\}]_9]$	$[[43, 23, \{10, 4\}]_9]$	$[[43, 20, \{10, 5\}]_9]$
72	(8, 2, 0)		$[[44, 1, \{39, 2\}]_9]^*$			
73	(8, 2, 1)		$[[44, 2, \{38, 2\}]_9]$			
74	(8, 3, 1)		$[[44, 4, \{33, 2\}]_9]$	$[[44, 2, \{33, 3\}]_9]$		
75	(8, 3, 2)		$[[44, 5, \{32, 2\}]_9]$	$[[44, 3, \{32, 3\}]_9]$	$[[44, 1, \{32, 4\}]_9]$	
76	(8, 4, 2)		$[[44, 8, \{27, 2\}]_9]$	$[[44, 6, \{27, 3\}]_9]$	$[[44, 4, \{27, 4\}]_9]$	$[[44, 1, \{27, 5\}]_9]$
77	(8, 4, 3)		$[[44, 9, \{26, 2\}]_9]$	$[[44, 7, \{26, 3\}]_9]$	$[[44, 5, \{26, 4\}]_9]$	$[[44, 2, \{26, 5\}]_9]$
78	(8, 7, 1)	(14, 13)	$[[44, 22, \{14, 2\}]_9]$	$[[44, 20, \{14, 3\}]_9]$	$[[44, 18, \{14, 4\}]_9]$	$[[44, 15, \{14, 5\}]_9]$
79	(8, 7, 5)		$[[44, 26, \{11, 2\}]_9]$	$[[44, 24, \{11, 3\}]_9]$	$[[44, 22, \{11, 4\}]_9]$	$[[44, 19, \{11, 5\}]_9]$
80	(9, 2, 0)		$[[45, 1, \{40, 2\}]_9]^*$			
81	(9, 2, 1)		$[[45, 2, \{39, 2\}]_9]$			
82	(9, 3, 1)		$[[45, 4, \{34, 2\}]_9]$	$[[45, 2, \{34, 3\}]_9]$		
83	(9, 3, 2)		$[[45, 5, \{33, 2\}]_9]$	$[[45, 3, \{33, 3\}]_9]$	$[[45, 1, \{33, 4\}]_9]$	
84	(9, 4, 2)		$[[45, 8, \{28, 2\}]_9]$	$[[45, 6, \{28, 3\}]_9]$	$[[45, 4, \{28, 4\}]_9]$	$[[45, 1, \{28, 5\}]_9]$
85	(9, 4, 3)		$[[45, 9, \{27, 2\}]_9]$	$[[45, 7, \{27, 3\}]_9]$	$[[45, 5, \{27, 4\}]_9]$	$[[45, 2, \{27, 5\}]_9]$
86	(9, 7, 0)	(15, 14)	$[[45, 21, \{15, 2\}]_9]$	$[[45, 19, \{15, 3\}]_9]$	$[[45, 17, \{15, 4\}]_9]$	$[[45, 14, \{15, 5\}]_9]$
87	(9, 7, 6)		$[[45, 27, \{11, 2\}]_9]$	$[[45, 25, \{11, 3\}]_9]$	$[[45, 23, \{11, 4\}]_9]$	$[[45, 20, \{11, 5\}]_9]$

Denote by \mathcal{N} the Vandermonde matrix with nonzero determinant $D_{\mathcal{N}}$ derived by deleting the last column and the last row of \mathcal{M}_1 . Subtracting c^{q+1} times the first row from the last row of \mathcal{M}_1 reveals that

$$D_{\mathcal{M}_1} = (d^{q+1} - c^{q+1})D_{\mathcal{N}} \neq 0.$$

When $a^{q+1} = b^{q+1} \neq c^{q+1} = d^{q+1}$, then, by Lemma 1, $a^q + a \neq b^q + b$ and $c^q + c \neq d^q + d$. For brevity, let $\lambda_y = y^{2q+1} + y^{q+2}$ for $y \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. Let $D_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ be the determinant of

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^{q+1} & b^{q+1} & c^{q+1} & d^{q+1} \\ a^q + a & b^q + b & c^q + c & d^q + d \\ \lambda_a & \lambda_b & \lambda_c & \lambda_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, subtract c^{q+1} times the first row from the second row of \mathcal{M}_2 . Then subtract d^{q+1} times the first row from the third row of the resulting matrix. Subtract d^{q+1} times the third row

from the fourth row of this last matrix to get a matrix which we call \mathcal{P} . Using the cofactor expansion along the fourth column of \mathcal{P} tells us that

$$D_{\mathcal{M}_2} = [(c^q + c) - (d^q + d)][(b^q + b) - (a^q + a)] \cdot (a^{q+1} - c^{q+1})(a^{q+1} - d^{q+1}) \neq 0.$$

Case III. Without loss of generality, let us assume that a^{q+1}, b^{q+1} , and c^{q+1} are pairwise distinct and $c^{q+1} = d^{q+1}$. Let $D_{\mathcal{M}_3}$ be the determinant of

$$\mathcal{M}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^{q+1} & b^{q+1} & c^{q+1} & d^{q+1} \\ a^{2(q+1)} & b^{2(q+1)} & c^{2(q+1)} & d^{2(q+1)} \\ a^q + a & b^q + b & c^q + c & d^q + d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, let \mathcal{N}' be the Vandermonde matrix with nonzero determinant $D_{\mathcal{N}'}$ derived by deleting the last column and the last row of \mathcal{M}_3 . Subtracting the third column from the fourth column of \mathcal{M}_3 and using the cofactor expansion along the fourth row of the resulting matrix shows that

$$D_{\mathcal{M}_3} = [(d^q + d) - (c^q + c)]D_{\mathcal{N}'} \neq 0.$$

We conclude that, in this case, the columns in (19) are linearly independent.

Case IV. In the case where $a^{q+1}, b^{q+1}, c^{q+1}$ and d^{q+1} are pairwise distinct, we have a Vandermonde matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a^q + a & b^q + b & c^q + c & d^q + d \\ (a^q + a)^2 & (b^q + b)^2 & (c^q + c)^2 & (d^q + d)^2 \\ (a^q + a)^3 & (b^q + b)^3 & (c^q + c)^3 & (d^q + d)^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The columns in (19) are, therefore, linearly independent.

Acknowledgements We thank Markus Grassl and Dimitrii Pasechnik for some computer algebra pointers, and the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions.

References

1. P. Aliferis and J. Preskill, "Fault-tolerant quantum computation against biased noise," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 78, no. 5, p. 52331, Nov. 2008.
2. S. A. Aly and A. Ashikhmin, "Nonbinary quantum cyclic and subsystem codes over asymmetrically-decohered quantum channels," *Proc. 2010 IEEE Inform. Theory Workshop*, pp. 1–5, 2010.
3. W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, "The Magma algebra system. I. The user language," *J. Symbolic Comput.*, vol. 24, no. 3-4, pp. 235–265, Oct. 1997.
4. M. F. Ezerman, S. Jitman, H. M. Kiah, and S. Ling, "Pure asymmetric quantum MDS codes from CSS construction: A complete characterization," *Int. J. of Quantum Information*, vol. 11, no. 3, 1350027 (10 pages), Apr. 2013.
5. M. F. Ezerman, S. Jitman, S. Ling, and D. V. Pasechnik, "CSS-like constructions of asymmetric quantum codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, pp. 6732–6754, Oct. 2013.
6. M. Grassl, *Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes*. Online available at <http://www.codetables.de>, accessed on Sept. 23, 2013.
7. L. Ioffe and M. Mézard, "Asymmetric quantum error-correcting codes," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 75, no. 3, p. 32345, Mar. 2007.
8. G. G. La Guardia, "Asymmetric quantum codes: new codes from old," *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 2771–2790, Aug. 2013. See also "Erratum to: Asymmetric quantum codes: new codes from old," *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 2791, Aug. 2013.
9. R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications vol. 20. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.

-
10. P. K. Sarvepalli, A. Klappenecker, and M. Rötteler, "Asymmetric quantum codes: constructions, bounds, and performance," *Proc. Royal Society London A*, vol. 465, no. 2105, pp. 1645–1672, May 2009.
 11. C. Xing and S. Ling, "A class of linear codes with good parameters," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 46, pp. 2184–2188, 2000.
 12. L. Wang, K. Feng, S. Ling, and C. Xing, "Asymmetric quantum codes: characterization and constructions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, pp. 2938–2945, 2010.