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Abstract—This paper proposes a new approach to modeling the common-mode (CM) current propagation path of electrical power-line cables for broadband power-line communications (PLC). In this approach, a CM current propagation model for a three-wire power-line cable is developed using the multiconductor transmission-line theory. The model is used to study the electromagnetic-interference radiation mechanism from the PLC network in the frequency range of 1 to 30 MHz. The accuracy of the model is verified through numerical simulations and practical measurements conducted on the actual power-line network. The developed model allows us to predict the CM current in the power-line cable with reasonable accuracy.

Index Terms—Common-mode current propagation model, electromagnetic-interference (EMI) analysis, power-line communications (PLC).

I. INTRODUCTION

POWER-LINE communications (PLC) is a term used to identify technologies, equipment, applications, and services that allow users to communicate over existing power lines. The most attractive advantage of this technology is that the power-line network is the most pervasive and accessible network that reaches every power socket in every home. Since the power-line network is already installed, there is no need to lay new cables. Efforts to use this technology began as early as in the 1830s [1], when narrowband applications were developed; however, they were restricted to power-line signaling electricity meters. It was only in the 1990s that the idea of using the residential power grid to offer value-added digital communication services became more popular due to the development of the HomePlug Powerline Alliance [2]. The HomePlug Powerline Alliance is an industry-led initiative to establish standards for PLC companies. It has established specifications heading for data rates as high as 200 Mb/s [2].

Although PLC technology has the advantage of requiring “no new wires,” the major obstacle to its widespread use in broadband communication is the risk of electromagnetic interference (EMI). The power-line cables in use are designed to carry electrical power, not radio signals. The broadband PLC signals have a frequency bandwidth of 1–30 MHz. Since the power-line cables are not shielded, they behave like long antennas when high-frequency PLC signals are transmitted. This can cause EMI for services operating in the same frequency range. The high levels of emitted radiation [3], [4] are a concern for many international regulatory bodies. Further, the frequency bands of 1–30 MHz are the same as those used for broadcasting, military communications, aeronautical/maritime safety services, radio amateurs, and others. As long as the EMI issue is not resolved, there would be a major concern for the widespread deployment of broadband PLC technology [5], [6].

There are two forms of electromagnetic disturbances, namely: 1) differential-mode (DM) and 2) common-mode (CM) signals [7]. DM signals are also known as symmetrical mode signals or transverse signals, while CM signals are also called asymmetrical mode signals or longitudinal signals. Since DM currents flow in the opposite direction, the electromagnetic fields generated by the currents actually cancel out each other and are not so much of an EMI concern. However, CM currents flow in the same direction, causing the electromagnetic fields to add up. This results in significant levels of EMI radiation, even if only a low level of CM current is flowing. In a PLC system, DM currents are the signals required for transmission, whereas CM currents are the undesired signals that are generated due to the unbalance of the power-line cable which converts a portion of the transmitted DM signals into CM signals [8]. Since the CM currents are the main cause of electromagnetic radiation from the PLC network, it is necessary to study the characteristics of the propagation of CM currents in the PLC network.
In this paper, a CM current propagation model for a three-wire power-line cable is derived using the multiconductor transmission-line (MTL) theory. The model is used to characterize and understand the behavior of CM currents in the PLC network. The developed model allows us to predict the CM currents in the PLC network with reasonable accuracy. With this knowledge, we can better understand the EMI radiation mechanisms from the PLC network and this will help us to explore new injection techniques to suppress radiated emissions from the PLC network.

II. CM CURRENT PROPAGATION MODEL

Fig. 1 shows a simple PLC system which consists of a computer connected to one PLC modem at one end of the power-line cable and another computer connected to another PLC modem at the other end. Communication signals are converted from digital to analog in the PLC modem and transmitted through the power-line cable. At the receiving end, the PLC modem converts the analog signals back into digital form.

The signal propagation paths in the PLC system are shown in Fig. 2. In this model, we have a three-wire power-line cable in which the Earth wire is the reference conductor. The DM current \(I_{\text{dm}}\) flows in the Live wire from PLC modem 1 to PLC modem 2 and returns via the Neutral wire. The CM currents \(I_{\text{cm}}\) flow from PLC modem 1 to PLC modem 2 in the Live and Neutral wires and return to PLC modem 1 via the Earth wire.

The three-wire equivalent CM current propagation model is shown in Fig. 3, with PLC modem 1 as the CM noise source and PLC modem 2 as the load. The CM noise source consists of the CM source voltage \(V_{\text{cm}}\) and CM source impedances \(Z_{\text{Scm-L}}, Z_{\text{Scm-N}},\) and \(Z_{\text{Scm-E}}\). PLC modem 2 is represented by its own internal CM impedances \(Z_{\text{Lcm-L}}, Z_{\text{Lcm-N}},\) and \(Z_{\text{Lcm-E}}\), which act as the CM terminating load. \(R_0, R_1,\) and \(R_2\) are the effective CM resistances per unit length (in ohms per meter); \(G_{11}, G_{12},\) and \(G_{22}\) are the effective CM conductances per unit length (in Siemens (S) per meter); \(L_{11}, L_{12},\) and \(L_{22}\) are the effective CM inductances per unit length (in Henries (H) per meter); \(C_{11}, C_{12},\) and \(C_{22}\) are the effective CM capacitances per unit length (in Farads (F) per meter). All of the aforementioned parameters can be derived from the properties of the cable.

The effective per-unit-length CM resistance matrix, CM conductance matrix, CM inductance matrix, and CM capacitance matrix are as follows:

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
R_0 + R_1 & R_0 \\
R_0 & R_0 + R_2
\end{bmatrix} \\
G = \begin{bmatrix}
G_{11} + G_{12} & -G_{12} \\
-G_{12} & G_{12} + G_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \\
L = \begin{bmatrix}
L_{11} & L_{12} \\
L_{12} & L_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \\
C = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} + C_{12} & -C_{12} \\
-C_{12} & C_{12} + C_{22}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

With knowledge of these matrices, we can compute the \(Z\) and \(Y\) matrices which are the per-unit-length impedance and admittance matrices, respectively:

\[
Z = R + j\omega L \\
Y = G + j\omega C.
\]

Since the matrices obtained are symmetrical, we take the transformation matrix \(T\) as follows [9]:

\[
T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

A diagonal matrix \(\gamma^2\) is computed using the \(T\), \(Y\), and \(Z\) matrices

\[
\gamma^2 = T^{-1} Y Z T = \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_2^2 & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \gamma_n^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The characteristic impedance matrix \(Z_0\) can be calculated as

\[
Z_0 = Y^{-1} T \gamma^2 T^{-1} = Z T \gamma^2 T^{-1}
\]

and \(\sqrt{Y Z}\) can be derived as

\[
\sqrt{Y Z} = T \gamma T^{-1}.
\]
The generalized Thevenin equivalent representations at the terminals relating the voltages and the currents are as follows:

\[ V(0) = V_S - Z_S I(0) \]  
\[ V(L) = V_L + Z_L I(L) \]  

where

\[ V(0) = \begin{bmatrix} V_{cm1}(0) \\ V_{cm2}(0) \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_S = \begin{bmatrix} V_{cm1} \\ V_{cm2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{cm} \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ Z_S = \begin{bmatrix} 2Z_{S\text{cm}E} + Z_{S\text{cm}N} & 0 \\ 0 & 2Z_{S\text{cm}E} + Z_{S\text{cm}N} \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ I(0) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{cm1}(0) \\ I_{cm2}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{cm}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{cm} \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ V_L = \begin{bmatrix} V_{L1} \\ V_{L2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{cm}(L) \cdot 2Z_{L\text{cm}E} \\ I_{cm}(L) \cdot 2Z_{L\text{cm}E} \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ Z_L = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{L\text{cm}N} & 0 \\ 0 & Z_{L\text{cm}N} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and} \]
\[ I(L) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{cm1}(L) \\ I_{cm2}(L) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{cm}(L) \end{bmatrix}. \]

### III. Determination of Line Parameters

In order to obtain the chain parameter matrix, we need to first derive the per-unit-length matrices of the power-line cable based on its properties.

#### A. Resistance

Skin effect is the phenomenon where more current flows near the outer surface of the wire instead of toward the center of the wire when an alternating current flows in the wire. This effect causes an increase in the effective resistance of the wire when the frequency of the current increases. In calculating this resistance, we assume that the current flows only within the skin depth of the wire. The skin depth $\delta$ is given by [9]

\[ \delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi f \mu \sigma}} \]  

where $\mu$ is the permeability of the metal wire and $\sigma$ is the conductivity of the metal wire. The high frequency per-unit-length CM resistance for a wire of radius $r_w$, in a homogeneous medium can be approximated by

\[ R_w = \frac{1}{2\pi f r_w \sigma \delta}. \]
Since the wires are all identical, the per-unit-length CM resistance matrix is obtained as
\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
2R_w & R_w \\
R_w & 2R_w
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (20)

B. Inductance

To derive the per-unit-length CM inductance and capacitance matrices of the power-line cable, knowledge of the static electromagnetic analysis is required. Basically, the inductance is determined based on the principle of magnetostatics. Deriving the inductances for the power-line cable requires consideration of the magnetic flux \( \psi \) that penetrates a surface that is parallel to the cable when a current flows [9].

Consider the case of three wires in a homogeneous medium. The self-inductance is obtained from Fig. 7 by considering the total magnetic flux \( \psi \) that penetrates the surface parallel to conductor \( i \) and conductor 0 when there is no current flowing in conductor \( j \) and a current \( I_j \) flows in conductor \( i \) and returns through conductor 0. This gives us self-inductance \( L_{ii} \) [9] as
\[
L_{ii} = \frac{\psi_i}{I_i} \bigg|_{I_j=0} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{r_{ui}} \right) + \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{r_{i0}} \right)
\] (21)

The mutual inductance is obtained from Fig. 8 by considering the total magnetic flux \( \psi_i \) that penetrates the surface parallel to conductor \( i \) and conductor 0 when there is no current flowing in conductor \( j \) and a current \( I_j \) flows in conductor \( j \) and returns through conductor 0. This gives us the mutual inductance \( L_{ij} \) [9] as
\[
L_{ij} = \frac{\psi_i}{I_j} \bigg|_{I_j=0} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{d_{ij}} \right) + \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{r_{ji}} \right)
\] (22)

However, again the skin effects need to be considered. The skin effects not only cause an increase in wire resistance but also cause a decrease in self-inductance. According to [10], the self-inductance for conductor \( i \) can be corrected by multiplying it by a correction factor of
\[
X_i = \frac{1}{0.315 \times 0.53 \times \frac{r_{ui}}{\varepsilon}}
\] (23)

The corrected self-inductance is finally obtained as
\[
L_{ii \text{ corr}} = X_i \cdot \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{r_{ui}} \right) + X_0 \cdot \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{r_{i0}} \right)
\] (24)

where \( X_i \) is the correction factor for the conductor \( i \) and \( X_0 \) is the correction factor for the conductor 0.

The mutual inductance also needs to be corrected in order to take into account the skin effects. The corrected mutual inductance is finally obtained as
\[
L_{ij \text{ corr}} = X_j \cdot \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{d_{ij}} \right) + X_0 \cdot \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{d_0}{r_{ji}} \right)
\] (25)

where \( X_j \) is the correction factor for the conductor \( j \).

The per-unit-length CM inductance matrix is finally written as
\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
L_{ii \text{ corr}} & L_{ij \text{ corr}} \\
L_{ji \text{ corr}} & L_{jj \text{ corr}}
\end{bmatrix}_{i=1,j=2}.
\] (26)

C. Capacitance

The per-unit-length CM capacitance matrix for the power-line cable is derived based on the principle of electrostatics. The equivalent coupling effects occurring inside the power-line cable are shown in Fig. 9. \( C_{\text{pair}} \) is the per-unit-length CM capacitance between any two parallel wires.

The capacitance between any two wires is given by [11]
\[
C_{\text{pair}} = \frac{\pi \varepsilon}{\ln[(D/2a) + \sqrt{(D/2a)^2 - 1}]}.
\] (27)
where $D$ is the distance between any two wires and $a$ is the radius of the wire. The per-unit-length CM capacitance matrix is given by

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix}
2C_{\text{pair}} & -C_{\text{pair}} \\
-C_{\text{pair}} & 2C_{\text{pair}}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(28)

D. Conductance

From [11], if the surrounding medium is homogenous, we can obtain

$$
\frac{C}{G} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_d} \Rightarrow G = \frac{\sigma_d C}{\varepsilon},
$$

(29)

where $\sigma_d$ and $\varepsilon$ are the conductivity and permittivity of the dielectric material, respectively and $G$ is the conductance of the wire.

Since the wires of the household power cable shown in Fig. 9 are in close proximity to each other, we assume that the dielectric material is homogenous and is made up of a mixture of insulation material and air. Based on this assumption, and from (28), we obtain

$$
G = \frac{\sigma_d}{\varepsilon} C = \frac{\sigma_d}{\varepsilon} \begin{bmatrix}
2C_{\text{pair}} & -C_{\text{pair}} \\
-C_{\text{pair}} & 2C_{\text{pair}}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(30)

IV. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 10. The CM source consists of a signal generator, an isolation transformer, and two 22 nF “Y” class coupling capacitors. The power-line cable used is a single-core PVC-insulated, nonsheathed cable with a voltage rating of 450/750 V. Its specifications match those of cables commonly used in the wiring of homes. The power-line cable is 3 m in length, and each wire is made up of copper conductors that are stranded and insulated with PVC. The wire has a cross-sectional area of 2.5 mm$^2$, and the diameter of a single copper strand is 0.67 mm. The power-line cable is terminated to 30 MHz. We limit the scope of the current experiments to scenarios in which the loads are balanced, and the cables are equidistant as they run parallel to each other.

With knowledge of the properties of the power-line cable, the chain parameter matrix can be calculated. The CM current values for the Live, Neutral, and Earth wires at the source end are measured using the RF current probe. Since the CM source is known, the CM current values for the Live, Neutral and Earth wires at the load end can be derived. The derived CM current values for the Live, Neutral, and Earth wires at the load end can then be compared to those measured using the RF current probe. This comparison serves as a verification of the CM noise propagation model.

The impedances of the source and the load are measured using a two-current-probe measurement approach. The advantage of using this approach is that it allows measurement of the impedances in the presence of a high-voltage level in the power-line network without damaging the network analyzer. The details on the theory behind the two-current-probe measurement methodology can be found in [12] and will not be discussed here.

The setup for the measurement of the impedances using the two-current-probe method is shown in Fig. 11. The impedances $Z_{LN}$, $Z_{LE}$, and $Z_{NE}$ are measured when the terminals of the two current probes are connected across the Live and Neutral wires, Live and Earth wires, and Neutral and Earth wires, respectively. From the aforementioned, we obtain

$$
Z_{LN} = Z_1 + Z_2,
$$

(31)

$$
Z_{LE} = Z_1 + Z_3,
$$

(32)

$$
Z_{NE} = Z_2 + Z_3.
$$

(33)
The relationship between the measured impedances and the network parameters $Z_1$, $Z_2$, and $Z_3$ is given by

$$Z_1 = \frac{Z_{LN} + Z_{LE} - Z_{NE}}{2}$$
$$Z_2 = \frac{Z_{LN} + Z_{NE} - Z_{LE}}{2}$$
$$Z_3 = \frac{Z_{LE} + Z_{NE} - Z_{LN}}{2}.$$ 

Fig. 12 shows the measured impedances of the source which comprises a signal generator, an isolation transformer, and two 22-nF capacitors as shown in Fig. 10. In Fig. 12, $Z_1$, $Z_2$, and $Z_3$ correspond to the values of the impedances of $Z_{SCM-L}$, $Z_{SCM-N}$, and $Z_{SCM-E}$ of Fig. 5, respectively. From the figure, it can be seen that from 0 MHz to around 6 MHz, the impedances of $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ fall from a maximum value of 100 $\Omega$ to a minimum of around 0.1 $\Omega$, and after 6 MHz, the impedances of $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ rise gradually. This is the property of a series resonant circuit with its CM capacitive impedance above the resonant frequency and its CM inductive impedance below it.

Fig. 13 shows the measured impedances of the load, with the load end being terminated with LISN. As the ac power mains has the property of varying impedance over time, the use of LISN as the terminating load provides the stabilized impedance and the repeatability of measurement results. In Fig. 13, $Z_1$, $Z_2$, and $Z_3$ correspond to the values of the impedances of $Z_{LM-L}$, $Z_{LM-N}$, and $Z_{LM-E}$ of Fig. 6, respectively. From Figs. 12 and 13, it can be seen that $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ in both graphs are almost equal to each other. When $Z_1$ is not equal to $Z_2$, a portion of the injected signal is converted into CM signals [8]. Since $Z_1$ is equal to $Z_2$ at the source and load, this means that both the source and load are balanced and no additional CM signals will be generated when we inject currents into the Live and Neutral wires. This will allow us to monitor the propagation path of the signals that we inject into the network. The value of $Z_3$ will not affect the symmetry of the whole network. It will only affect the level of CM current but not the level of DM current as only CM current will flow through $Z_3$. This means that if we can increase $Z_3$ without affecting $Z_1$ and $Z_2$, we can effectively decrease the CM current flowing through the PLC network.

In Figs. 14 and 15, the sum of the CM currents measured in the Live and Neutral wires with the CM current measured in the Earth wire at the source end of a 3-m cable. The comparison shows a close agreement between the sum of the CM currents measured in the Live and Neutral wires and the CM current measured in the Earth wire. This verifies the CM current propagation model that we proposed in Fig. 2, even at high frequencies, and demonstrates that the Earth wire is the dominant return path of the CM current in a three-wire cable.

In Figs. 16 and 17, the CM currents measured in the Live and Neutral wires are compared with those of the simulated results at the source end of a 3-m power-line cable. The comparison is made based on injecting a known CM voltage of $V_{cm} = 100$ mV using a signal generator. The CM currents $I_{cm1}(0), I_{cm2}(0), I_{cm1}(L)$, and $I_{cm2}(L)$ are measured using a current probe (see Fig. 10). With knowledge of the measured impedance of the load from Fig. 13, the CM voltage at the load end $V_{cm1}(L)$ and $V_{cm2}(L)$ can be calculated. Knowing $V_{cm1}(L), V_{cm2}(L), I_{cm1}(L)$, and $I_{cm2}(L)$ at the load end, the
CM currents $I_{cm1}(0)$ and $I_{cm2}(0)$ at the source end can be derived using (15). The calculated values are then compared with the measured values. From these figures, it can be seen that the graphs of the simulated results of the CM currents in the Live and Neutral wires at the source end are close to those of the measured results.

In Figs. 18 and 19, the CM currents measured in the Live and Neutral wires are compared with those of the simulated results at the load end of a 3-m power-line cable. Similarly, a known CM voltage of $V_{cm} = 100$ mV is injected using a signal generator. The CM currents $I_{cm1}(0)$, $I_{cm2}(0)$, $I_{cm1}(L)$, and $I_{cm2}(L)$ are measured using a current probe (see Fig. 10). With knowledge of the measured impedance of the source from Fig. 12, the CM voltage $V_{cm}$ injected and the CM currents $I_{cm1}(0)$ and $I_{cm2}(0)$ measured, the CM voltages at the source end $V_{cm1}(0)$ and $V_{cm2}(0)$ can be calculated using the basic circuit theorem.

Knowing $V_{cm1}(0)$, $V_{cm2}(0)$, $I_{cm1}(0)$ and $I_{cm2}(0)$ at the source end, the CM currents $I_{cm1}(L)$ and $I_{cm2}(L)$ are calculated at the load end using (15). The calculated values are then compared with the measured values. It can be seen from these figures that the graphs of the simulated results of the CM currents in the Live and Neutral wires at the load end correspond well with those of the measured results.

The experiment was repeated using a 30-m-long power-line cable. In Figs. 20 and 21, the CM currents measured in the Live and Neutral wires are compared with those of the simulated results at the load end of a 30-m power-line cable. From these figures, it can be seen that the graphs of the CM currents measured in the Live and Neutral wires at the load end are close to those of the simulated results for the longer cable. It is noted that there are points of maxima and minima in these graphs which are the result of the quarter-wavelength effect in
the transmission line. The quarter-wavelength effect causes such maxima or minima to occur when the cable length is equal to multiples of the quarter wavelength of the operating signal. This quarter-wavelength effect is more visible in cables of longer length than in cables of shorter length. Another observation is that there is a general downward trend in the magnitude of the CM current with increasing operating frequency. For the 3-m-long power-line cable, the magnitude of the CM currents taken at 1 MHz in both the Live and Neutral wires is around 64 dB/μA and it falls to around 53 dB/μA at 27.5 MHz as seen in Figs. 18 and 19. This downward trend is also observed in the longer 30-m-long cable where the magnitude of the CM currents measured at 1 MHz is around 63 dB/μA and the value falls to around 46 dB/μA at 27.5 MHz in Figs. 20 and 21. This downward trend clearly demonstrates that the propagated signals attenuate more at higher frequencies which is the property of wave behavior propagating through the transmission line.

The six graphs that are shown, which compare the measured CM currents with the simulated CM currents, indicate that the two currents are close to one another. From these results, we have shown that the proposed CM noise propagation model can accurately predict the CM currents at the load end for any length of power-line cable when we know the CM currents at the source end, and vice versa.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a CM noise propagation model has been proposed for power-line cables based on the multiconductor transmission-line theory. The model uses a three-wire power-line cable as a realistic representation of the actual power-line network. The distributed per-unit-length CM propagation parameters of the power-line cable are derived based on the properties of the power-line cable using a bottom-up approach. Once these parameters are known, the chain parameter matrix can be derived for any length of the power-line cable and the CM noise current can then be calculated at any point of the power-line cable. With knowledge of the CM noise propagation model of the power-line cable, the level of the CM current in the power-line cable can be estimated with reasonable accuracy for different cable lengths and different loading conditions.

From the experimental results, we have seen that the dominant return path of the CM noise current is through the Earth wire of the three-wire cable. Also, the measured and simulated values of the CM noise current are compared, and it is concluded that the proposed CM noise propagation model is accurate in estimating the CM noise current at any point of the power-line cable.

We can see the experimental results from Figs. 12 and 13 that the value of $Z_3$ will not affect the symmetry of the whole network. It will only affect the level of the CM current. By increasing $Z_3$ without affecting $Z_1$ and $Z_2$, we can effectively decrease the CM current flowing in the PLC network. Currently, our PLC research group is working on a new injection technique to increase the value of $Z_3$ at the injection point to reduce the CM current, and the results thus far are encouraging.

In the proposed model, we have dealt only with a system that has balanced loads. The importance of this model is that it has shown that the CM noise currents can be accurately modeled. This gives us the foundation to further understand the PLC network. With this encouraging preliminary result, we can further work with loads which are unbalanced. Also, by combining this work with a DM current propagation model, we can study the conversion of DM signals into CM signals and the impact of asymmetry of the system on the CM noise currents generated. On top of that, this model can be extended to model CM noise currents in more complicated networks, such as in tree and ring topologies. With the ability to model such complex networks, we can apply it to model the CM noise currents in a room or even in a building. Once this is achieved, we can use the model to predict the level of radiation emitted from the PLC networks.
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