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Kagome antiferromagnet: A Schwinger-boson mean-field theory study
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The Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the kagome lattice is studied in the framework of Schwinger-boson mean-field theory. Two solutions with different symmetries are presented. One solution gives a conventional quantum state with \( q = 0 \) order for all spin values. Another gives a gapped spin liquid state for spin \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) and a mixed state with both \( q = 0 \) and \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) orders for spin \( S > \frac{1}{2} \). We emphasize that the mixed state exhibits two sets of peaks in the static spin structure factor. For the case of spin \( S = \frac{1}{2} \), the gap value we obtained is consistent with the previous numerical calculations by other means. We also discuss the thermodynamic quantities such as the specific heat and magnetic susceptibility at low temperatures and show that our result is in a good agreement with the Mermin-Wagner theorem.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) geometrically frustrated Heisenberg antiferromagnets (AFMs) are potential candidates in the search of spin liquids (spin-disordered states) from both theoretical and experimental considerations. The first suggested candidate for spin liquid is the triangular lattice with nearest-neighbor (NN) couplings, but unfortunately, it was finally revealed to exhibit 120° spin long-range order by extensive studies. People began to resort to interactions beyond the NN coupling to realize the spin-disordered state. Another potential candidate is the kagome AFM. It has been already known that there are two possible ordered states in this system, the so-called \( q = 0 \) state and \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) state, while numerical studies do not support any long-range orders for the spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) system. This debate is still going on since numerical studies are usually limited to finite lattices. It was also investigated extensively whether the excitation spectra are gapless or not even if the system is disordered. Numerical studies are usually limited to finite lattices. It was also investigated extensively whether the excitation spectra are gapless or not even if the system is disordered. Numerical study with up to 36 spins gives an estimation of the energy gap smaller than 1/20 of the exchange interaction. In a scenario of valence bond crystal with translational symmetry breaking, the gap of the system is found to be very small. Experimentally, several kagomelike systems have been found. One of the most intriguing experimental results is the large \( T^2 \) coefficient of the specific heat of spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) SrCr\(_3\)Ga\(_8\)O\(_{19}\), which suggests that a large linear term exists in the density of states (DOS), \( D(E) \sim \eta E \). A numerical study of the spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) system suggests that the \( T^2 \) law of the specific heat can be inferred from the Heisenberg Hamiltonian with the NN couplings at very low temperatures. A contractor renormalization calculation finds a columnar dimer order and reproduces the \( T^2 \) specific heat for the spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) system, but it still cannot tell whether it is gapless or not. A very recent projected wave function study suggests that the gapless mode may be missed due to the limitation of finite lattice sites, and the gapless U(1)-Dirac state produces the \( T^2 \) specific heat. So far, many aspects of the ground state remain to be mysteries.

In the theoretical aspect, it was known that the Schwinger-boson mean-field theory (SBMFT) may provide a reliable description for both quantum ordered and disordered antiferromagnets based on the picture of the resonating valence bond state. As a merit, it does not prescribe any long-range order for the ground state in advance, which should emerge naturally if the Schwinger bosons condense at low temperatures. It was supposed that such a mean-field theory should be reliable for large spins where quantum fluctuation is believed to be weak. The theory has successfully captured the long-range order (LRO) of the Heisenberg antiferromagnets on the square and triangular lattices at zero temperature, and is in excellent agreement with the Mermin-Wagner theorem even for small spins. Of course, it also has shortcomings, such as it wrongly predicts an energy gap for one-dimensional half-integer spin chains. In previous works, SBMFT had been already applied to the kagome system. Manuel et al. gave a Schwinger-boson approach to the \( q = 0 \) state and \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) state by including a third neighbor interaction. In a recent work by Wang and Vishwanath, a new quantum disordered state is proposed for the systems with physical spin values if ring exchange interactions are introduced. In this paper, we employ SBMFT to study the Heisenberg antiferromagnet with physical spin values on the kagome lattice in a different approach and discover some features of the system quantities. The gauge freedom due to the geometry gives two solutions corresponding to the cases of \( q = 0 \) and \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) ordered states.

FIG. 1. (Color online) The \( q = 0 \) and \( \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \) ordered states.
to two different types of states. The first solution gives the $q=0$ ordered state, while the second solution gives a mixed state with $q=0$ order and $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ order for $S > \frac{1}{2}$ and a state with a very small gap for $S = \frac{1}{2}$. It was shown that the strong quantum fluctuation of quantum spin $\frac{1}{2}$ may destroy the order states of higher spin and drive the system to be disordered. The coexistence of two orders in a quantum state is one of the key results in this paper. This result is revealed by the detailed analysis of the static spin structure factors. For the ordered states in both solutions, we show that the low-energy spectra for the quasiparticles are linear in the momentum $|k - k'|$ at the gapless point $k'$. As a result, the density of state is linear in energy, the specific heat obeys the $T^2$ law, and the uniform magnetic susceptibility is finite at zero temperature.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. The general formalism of the Schwinger-boson mean-field theory is presented in Sec. II. We introduce two types of mean-field parameters, and expect to capture the key features of quantum spin state on the kagome lattice. A set of mean-field equations is established by means of the Matsubara Green’s function techniques. In Sec. III, the numerical solutions of the mean-field equations are given. We focus on the ground state properties for the system and show that the ground state of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ has a finite energy gap to the excited states and is spin disordered, while for larger spin the ground state is spin ordered. Finally, a brief discussion is presented in Sec. IV.

II. SCHWINGER-BOSON MEAN-FIELD THEORY

We start with the Heisenberg Hamiltonian on the kagome lattice,

$$H = J \sum_{(i,m,j,m')} S_{i,m} \cdot S_{j,m'},$$

where $i$ and $j$ are indices of the periodic Bravais lattice, $m$ and $m'$ are sublattice indices, $A$, $B$, or $C$ as indicated in Fig. 2, and the notation $(i,m;j,m')$ means all possible NN pairs of lattice sites. The exchange interaction will be set as the unit of energy, $J=1$. Note that the lattice constant is double of the triangle parameter $l_0$ and we set $2l_0=1$ for simplicity.

We choose the primitive cell and the first Brillouin zone as in Fig. 2. In the framework of Schwinger-boson theory, a pair of hard-core bosons is introduced to represent one quantum spin $S$ at each site,

$$S_{i,m}^+ = b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m}, S_{i,m}^- = b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m}, S_{i,m}^z = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m} - b_{i,m} b_{i,m}^\dagger),$$

with the constraint $b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m} + b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m} = 2S$. In this representation, the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$H = - \sum_{(i,m,j,m')} (2\Delta_{i,m,j,m'}^\dagger \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} + S^2) + \sum_{i,m} 2\lambda_{i,m}(b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m} + b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m} - 2S),$$

where $\Delta_{i,m,j,m'} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i,m}^\dagger b_{i,m'}^\dagger - b_{i,m} b_{i,m'}^\dagger)$ and $N_A$ is the number of primitive cells (i.e., the total number of lattice sites is $3N_A$). The Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_{i,m}$ are introduced to realize the constraints of the number of Schwinger bosons at each site. Due to translational symmetry, we will set $\lambda_{i,m}=\lambda$ to simplify the problem. In the mean-field approach, one can introduce the mean-field parameter $\langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} \rangle$ and decompose $\Delta_{i,m,j,m'} = \Delta_{i,m,j,m'}^\dagger \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} + \Delta_{i,m,j,m'}^\dagger \Delta_{i,m,j,m'}$, into $\langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'}^\dagger \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} \rangle - \langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'}^\dagger \rangle \langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$ represents the thermodynamic average of the physical quantity. This procedure can also be formulated equivalently as the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation. In a suitable gauge, the bond parameter $\langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} \rangle$ can be taken to be real. Notice that there are two different solutions that can be obtained by the relation of the mean fields on the two adjacent triangles (labeled as $g$ and $h$ in Fig. 2). Following the spirit of Sachdev’s discussion on gauge freedom of the mean-field parameter, we present two schemes: (i) $\Delta = \langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'}^\dagger \rangle = \langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} \rangle$ and (ii) $\Delta = \langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'}^\dagger \rangle = -\langle \Delta_{i,m,j,m'} \rangle$. They produce two physically distinct states that cannot be transformed into each other by gauge transformations.

In both schemes, the effective Hamiltonian is decomposed into the quadratic form of $b_{i,m}^\dagger$ and $b_{i,m}$, and the mean-field theories for the two schemes have almost the same formalism. In the following deduction, we shall point out their differences where it is appropriate. We can introduce three pairs of $b_{k,m}^\dagger$ and $b_{k,m}$ and $\mu = \uparrow$ or $\downarrow$ in the Fourier transform such that the effective Hamiltonian can be written in a compact form with the help of Kronecker product,

$$H_{eff} = \sum_k \Psi_k^\dagger H_{mf} \Psi_k + \epsilon_0,$$

where $H_{mf} = \lambda I_0 + \Delta \sigma_z \otimes [\gamma_1 \Omega_z - \gamma_2 \Omega_y + \gamma_3 \Omega_z] \otimes \sigma_y$, $\epsilon_0 = 12N_A \Delta^2 - 6\lambda N_A(2S + 1) + 6N_A S^2$, and the Nambu spinor is introduced.

FIG. 2. (Color online) The primitive cell and the first Brillouin zone (with an area of $A_{BZ}=8\pi^2/\sqrt{3}$) of the kagome lattice. The primitive translation vectors of the direct and reciprocal lattices are $a_1=(1,0)$, $a_2=(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ and $b_1=(2\pi, -2\pi/\sqrt{3})$, $b_2=(0, 4\pi/\sqrt{3})$, respectively.
\[ \Psi_k^I = (\phi_k^I, \psi_k^I), \quad \Psi_k^I = (b_{k,A}^I b_{k,B}^I, b_{k,A}^I b_{k,B}^I, b_{k,A}^I b_{k,B}^I), \]

(Io is a 12 × 12 unit matrix, \( \sigma_a (a=x,y,z) \) are 2×2 Pauli matrices, \( \Omega_2 (a=x,y,z) \) are 3×3 Hermitian matrices,
\[ \Omega_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

and for the two schemes, we have (i) \( \gamma_1 = \cos \frac{k}{2}, \gamma_2 = \cos \frac{k}{2}, \gamma_3 = \cos \frac{k}{2}, \gamma_4 = \sin \frac{k}{2}, \gamma_5 = \sin \frac{k}{4}, \gamma_6 = \sin \frac{k}{4}, \gamma_7 = \sin \frac{k}{4}, \) respectively.

Let us define the Matsubara Green’s function (12 × 12 matrix) by the outer product of the Nambu spinor [Eq. (5)],
\[ G(k, \tau) = -\langle T \Psi_k(\tau) \Psi_k^* \rangle \]

where \( \tau \) is the imaginary time and \( \Psi_k(\tau) = e^{iH_{eff} \tau} \Psi_k \).

Then, physical quantities concerning the average of two operators can be readily expressed by the matrix elements, e.g., \( \langle b_{k,1} b_{k,1}^\dagger \rangle = -G_{1,1}(k, \tau=0^+) \).

The physical quantities concerning the average of four operators, such as the correlation functions, can be decomposed into the averages of two operators through the Wick theorem. We shall use these facts to establish the mean-field equations and the static spin structure factors later.

It is easy to prove that the Matsubara Green’s function in the Matsubara frequency \( \omega_0 = 2n \pi / \beta \) (n is an integer for bosons) can be worked out by (also a 12 × 12 matrix)
\[ G(k, i\omega_n) = [i\omega_n \sigma_z \otimes \Omega_0 \otimes \sigma_0 - H_M]^{-1} \]

where \( \Omega_0 \) and \( \sigma_0 \) are 3×3 and 2×2 unit matrices. Because of symmetry, some elements are the same. Fortunately, these functions can be calculated analytically, and the lengthy expressions will be presented elsewhere. From the poles of a single particle Matsubara function, the six branches of the energy spectra of quasiparticles can be read out in the first Brillouin zone. Two are the flatbands \( \omega_{1,1} = \lambda \) and other four-fold degenerate bands are \( \omega_{2,\mu}(k) = \omega_{3,\mu}(k) = \omega(k) \), with \( \omega(k) = \sqrt{\Delta^2 - \gamma^2(k)} \) with \( \gamma(k) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \). The mean-field parameter \( \Delta \) and the Lagrangian multiplier \( \lambda \) should be determined self-consistently. The mean field can be evaluated by reading the elements of the Matsubara Green’s function matrix after the Fourier transformation, e.g.,
\[ \Delta = \frac{1}{2} (\langle b_{1,A}^I b_{1,B}^I \rangle - \langle b_{1,A}^I b_{1,B}^I \rangle) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2BN_{\Lambda k,\omega_n}} \sum e^{-ikz} e^{-i\omega_n \theta} [G_{1,1}(k, i\omega_n) + G_{1,2}(k, i\omega_n)]. \]

Another constraint is that we should use the average value in the thermodynamic limit \( \langle b_{1,m}^I b_{1,m}^I + b_{1,m}^I b_{1,m}^I \rangle = 2S \) to replace the original constraint. These two facts lead to a set of the mean-field equations for \( \Delta \) and \( \lambda \).

\[ 3S + 1 = 2n_B(\lambda) + \frac{1}{N_{\Lambda k}} \sum \frac{\lambda}{\omega(k)} [1 + 2n_B(\omega(k))], \]
\[ \Delta = \frac{1}{6N_{\Lambda k}} \sum \frac{\Delta \gamma^2(k)}{\omega(k)} [1 + 2n_B(\omega(k))], \]

where \( n_B(\omega(k)) = [e^{\omega(k)/T} - 1]^{-1} \) is the Bose-Einstein distribution function with temperature \( T \). In the thermodynamical limit \( N_\Lambda \to \infty \), the momentum sum is replaced by the integral over the first Brillouin zone (Fig. 2). \( \frac{1}{S} \sum_{k} \to \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \).

When the Schwinger-boson condensation occurs, i.e., the solution gives a gapless spectrum \( \omega(k) = 0 \), we can extract a condensation term \( \Delta \) in the momentum summation of the first equation [Eq. (10a)],[n]
\[ \rho_0(T) = \frac{\lambda}{N_{\Lambda k}(\omega(k))} [1 + 2n_B(\omega(k))]. \]

With the help of the mean-field equations at zero temperature, we can obtain the simplified form of ground energy per bond.
\[ E_g/6N_\Lambda = -2\Delta^2 + S^2. \]

III. SOLUTIONS

To solve the mean-field equations, let us introduce dimensionless quantities, \( \tilde{\Delta} = \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} \) and \( \tilde{T} = \frac{T}{\lambda} \). Then, the mean-field equations become
\[ 3S + 1 = \coth \frac{1}{2\tilde{T}} - 1 + \rho_0(\tilde{T}) + I_0(\tilde{T}), \]
\[ \Delta = \frac{\Delta \gamma^2(k)}{6} \rho_0(\tilde{T}) + I_1(\tilde{T}), \]

with the definitions of two integrals
\[ I_0(\tilde{T}) = \frac{1}{A_{BZ}} \int \frac{d^2k}{\sqrt{1 - \Delta^2 \gamma^2(k)}} \coth \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Delta^2 \gamma^2(k)}}{2\tilde{T}}, \]
\[ I_1(\tilde{T}) = \frac{1}{6} \frac{\Delta \gamma^2(k)}{A_{BZ}} \sqrt{1 - \Delta^2 \gamma^2(k)} \coth \frac{\sqrt{1 - \Delta^2 \gamma^2(k)}}{2\tilde{T}}. \]

First, we solve the equations at zero temperature. For scheme (i), we find that the first integral is bounded from above by the value \( I_0 = 1.75097 \) at \( \tilde{\Delta} = \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} = 0.25032 \). Numerical solutions are \( \rho_0 = 3S - 0.75097, \Delta = \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} = 0.091132, \) and \( \lambda = \frac{\Delta}{S} + 0.15785 \). The condensation occurs at the Γ point, \( k = (0,0) \). For scheme (ii), we find that the first integral is bounded from above by the value \( I_0 \)
The asymptotic behavior of the gap near zero temperature for the case of \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) in scheme (i). The dots are numerical solutions. The solid line is a linear fit with \( c_1 = -2.36 \) and \( c_2 = 0.34 \), which gives \( \Delta_{\text{gap}} = 0.094e^{-0.34/T} \) as \( T \to 0 \).

\[ \Delta_{\text{gap}} = 2.689 \pm 0.002 \text{ at } \Delta = \frac{2}{3} \text{ and the critical spin value is } S_c = 0.5631. \]

Thus, for \( S = \frac{1}{2} \), we obtain a spin liquid because the spectrum is gapped with numerical solution \( \rho_0 = 0, \Delta = 0.493 \pm 0.075, \text{ and } \lambda = 0.741 \pm 0.094 \). The value of the gap is \( \Delta_{\text{gap}} = 0.0434 \), which is coincident with the recent numerical estimation that the gap is smaller than \( \frac{1}{20} \). For larger spins \( S > \frac{1}{2} \), numerical solutions are \( \rho_0 = 3S - 1.689 \), \( \Delta = 0.181 \), and \( \lambda = 0.20 \pm 0.05 \). The condensation occurs at six corner \( K \) points, e.g., \( k^* = (\frac{4\pi}{3}, 0) \).

Now, we discuss the asymptotic behavior of the solution near zero temperature. Our numerical results show that the condensation only occurs at zero temperature, which is in agreement with the Mermin-Wagner theorem for two-dimensional Heisenberg systems. Numerical calculations tell us that the gapless solution can only exist at zero temperature, as shown in Fig. 3. The lowest finite temperature we approach is \( T = 0.031 \pm 0.001 \) (in unit of coupling \( J \)), where we get a small gap \( \Delta_{\text{gap}} = 1.944 \times 10^{-6} \).

In the following, we turn to the relevant thermodynamical quantities and the patterns of LRO at zero temperature. The gapless spectra for both ordered states are exemplified in Fig. 4. It is clear that the cone-shaped spectra are linear in the momentum,

\[ \omega(k) = \alpha |k - k^*|, \]

where \( \alpha = \frac{\Delta}{2} \) for scheme (i) and \( \alpha = \frac{\Delta}{2 \pi} \) for scheme (ii). Correspondingly, the DOS of the quasiparticles linear in the low energy is

\[ D(E) = \eta E + O(E^2), \]

where \( \eta = \frac{8}{3\pi^2} \) for scheme (i) and \( \eta = \frac{24}{\pi^2} \) for scheme (ii). As a result, the \( T^2 \) law of specific heat is anticipated for both ordered states.

\[ C_{1/3} = N_A \left( \eta \int_0^{\Delta_{\text{gap}}} \frac{T}{J} \right)^2 \]

at very low temperatures.

The nonzero value of \( \rho_0 \) means the condensation of the quasiparticles and the existence of LRO at zero temperature. To disclose the ordered patterns of the ground states, we need to calculate the static spin structure factor,

\[ \chi_S(Q) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} T \sum_{Q} \left( \sum_{n} e^{iQ \cdot r_n} \right)^2 \]

where \( \tau \) is the imaginary time and \( S_{\tau} = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{n} e^{iQ \cdot r_n} \). A detailed calculation shows that the static spin structure factor is isotropic, \( \langle S_{\tau}^z S_{\tau}^z \rangle = \langle S_{\tau}^x S_{\tau}^x \rangle = \langle S_{\tau}^y S_{\tau}^y \rangle \), which indicates that the ground state is invariant under the spin rotation. Analytically, we have that the total spin \( \langle S_{\tau}^z \rangle = 3N_A \chi_S(0) \) for both ordered states, which is consistent with the consequence of exact diagonalization techniques for Refs. 9 and 11. The divergent peaks of \( \chi_S(Q) \) signal the existence of LRO, as shown in Fig. 5. [Notice that for the case of \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) in scheme (ii), the peaks are of finite height because the solution is gapped. We do not show it here.] Careful calculation shows that the value of the divergent peak is proportional to the number of primitive cells,

\[ \chi_S(Q^*) \propto \frac{N_A \omega(k^* + Q^*)}{N_A \omega(k^*) \omega(k^* + Q^*)} = N_A \rho_0. \]

Notice that the primitive cell contains more than one site; the replicative area of \( \chi_S(Q) \) is four times the area of the first Brillouin zone \( A_{BZ} \). This can be easily verified by the definition in Eq. (18).
For scheme (i), a characteristic feature of the static structure factor $S_{a}(Q)$ is the six divergent peaks, which are located at the wave vectors $Q^* \in \{ \pm Q_1, \pm Q_2, \pm Q_1 \pm Q_2 \}$ with $Q_1 = b_1$ and $Q_2 = b_2$. At the divergent peaks, say, e.g., at $Q = \pm Q_1$, one gets $S_{a}(Q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left( S_i^z + e^{i(\pi/3)} S_i^x + e^{i(2\pi/3)} S_i^y \right)$. Combining it with the fact that $S_{a}(0) = 0$, we draw a conclusion that the configuration of LRO has the $q=0$ order. The $q=0$ order is marked by neutron scattering peak at the distance $|Q| = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}}$. (20)

For scheme (ii), a similar analysis leads to another type of order pattern. In this case, the divergent peaks are located at the wave vectors $Q^* \in \{ \pm Q_1, \pm Q_2, \pm Q_1 \pm Q_2, \pm Q_3, \pm Q_4, \pm (Q_3 + Q_4) \}$ with $Q_3 = \frac{2}{3}(2b_1 + b_2)$ and $Q_4 = \frac{2}{3}(-b_1 + b_2)$. The patterns corresponding to $Q_3$ and $Q_4$ peaks are the usual $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ order (Fig. 1). So for scheme (ii), we obtain a solution with mixed $q=0$ order and $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ order. Notice that the mixture of two orders originates from quantum mechanical superposition. This may be an exotic state with coexistence of two distinct orders. The $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ order is marked by neutron scattering peak at the distance $|Q| = \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{3}}$. (21)

Our calculation found that the peak at $|Q_5|$ is a little stronger than that at $|Q_1|$, since the ratio of the two sets of divergent peaks is

$$\frac{\chi_{a}(Q_5)}{\chi_{a}(Q_1)} = \frac{3}{2}. \quad (22)$$
The uniform magnetic susceptibility can be obtained by the analytic continuation
\[ \chi_M = \lim_{Q \to 0} \lim_{\omega_n \to 0} \chi_S(Q, i\omega_n) \]
\[ = \frac{\int d^2k}{2A_{BZ}} \Delta^4 [\gamma_1^2 \gamma_2^2 + \gamma_2^2 \gamma_3^2 + \gamma_3^2 \gamma_1^2] A_{BZ} \lambda \omega(k) [\lambda + \omega(k)]^2. \]  
\[ \chi_M \] has a finite value at zero temperature since the divergent denominator is annihilated by the linear DOS, \[ \chi_M - \int D(E) \frac{1}{E} dE \to \text{finite}. \]

**IV. DISCUSSION**

There are several materials which possess the structures of the spin kagome lattice. Experimental data by muon spin relaxation show that the compound SrCr₆Ga₄₋ₓO₁₀ lacks a long-range order until 0.05 K. The entropy measurement of low temperatures, which was regarded as an evidence to support the ground state can produce the spectra for the quasiparticles in the momentum space.

The same compound gives a law for the specific heat at low temperatures, which was regarded as an evidence to support the absence of long-range order. However, spontaneous breaking of a continuous symmetry produces massless Goldstone modes and LRO can only exist at zero temperature for 2D systems according to the Mermin-Wagner theorem. From the present calculation of SBMFT, the special structure of the kagome lattice leads to the cone structure of the spectra for the quasiparticles in the momentum space.

The existence of long-range correlation is consistent with the picture of the gapless spectrum of quasiparticles and the ordered ground state can produce the \( T^2 \) law of the specific heat. Of course, the possible existence of the additional next-nearest-neighbor coupling could further weaken the long-range correlation.
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**APPENDIX: STATIC SPIN STRUCTURE FACTORS**

The spin structure factor at zero temperature (see Fig. 5) contains both intrasublattice and intersublattice contributions,

\[ \chi_S(Q) = \langle S^x_a S^x_a \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{A,B,C}} \sum_{m,n,A,B,C} \langle S^x_m S^x_n \rangle e^{iQ \cdot (r_m - r_n)} \]
\[ = \chi_{AA}(Q) + \chi_{AB}(Q) + \chi_{AC}(Q) + \chi_{BA}(Q) + \chi_{CA}(Q) + \chi_{BC}(Q) + \chi_{CB}(Q), \]  
where we have defined the spin density wave operator
\[ \hat{S}^x \]
\[ = \sum_{m,n,A,B,C} S^x_m e^{iQ \cdot r_n}. \]  

The intrasublattice and intersublattice contributions are

\[ \chi_{AA}(Q) = \int \frac{d^2k}{2A_{BZ}} [O_{aa}(k + Q) + P_{aa}(k + Q)] Q_{aa}(k), \]
\[ \chi_{AB}(Q) = \int \frac{d^2k}{2A_{BZ}} [O_{ab}(k + Q) + P_{ab}(k + Q)] Q_{ab}(k), \]
\[ \chi_{AC}(Q) = \int \frac{d^2k}{2A_{BZ}} [O_{ac}(k + Q) + P_{ac}(k + Q)] Q_{ac}(k), \]
\[ \chi_{BA}(Q) = \int \frac{d^2k}{2A_{BZ}} [-O_{ba}(k + Q) + P_{ba}(k + Q)] Q_{ab}(k), \]
\[ \chi_{BC}(Q) = \int \frac{d^2k}{2A_{BZ}} [-O_{bc}(k + Q) + P_{bc}(k + Q)] Q_{bc}(k), \]
\[ \chi_{CB}(Q) = \int \frac{d^2k}{2A_{BZ}} [-O_{bc}(k + Q) + P_{bc}(k + Q)] Q_{bc}(k), \]
\[ O_{aa}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_1(k), \quad O_{ab}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_2(k), \]
\[ O_{ac}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_3(k), \quad O_{ba}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_2(k), \]
\[ O_{bc}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_3(k), \quad O_{cb}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_3(k), \]
\[ P_{aa}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_1(k), \quad P_{ab}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_2(k), \]
\[ P_{ac}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_3(k), \quad P_{ba}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_2(k), \]
\[ P_{bc}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_3(k), \quad P_{cb}(k) = \Delta^2 \gamma^2_3(k). \]
\[ P_{b13}(k) = \frac{\Delta^2 \gamma_1(k) \gamma_2(k)}{2 \omega(k)[1 - \omega(k)]}, \]

\[ Q_{b12}(k) = \frac{\Delta^2 \gamma_1(k) \gamma_2(k)}{2 \omega(k)[1 - \omega(k)]}, \]

\[ Q_{b23}(k) = \frac{\Delta^2 \gamma_1(k) \gamma_2(k)}{2 \omega(k)[1 + \omega(k)]}, \]

\[ P_{b23}(k) = \frac{\Delta^2 \gamma_1(k) \gamma_2(k)}{2 \omega(k)[1 + \omega(k)]}. \]