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Effect of resonator dimensions on nonlinear standing waves
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An investigation of the effect of resonator dimensions on nonlinear standing waves in shaped resonators is conducted. Simple forms of the shear viscosity term in the momentum equations are developed for an axisymmetric (2D) resonator and a low aspect ratio rectangular (3D) resonator. The cross sections of the resonators are exponentially expanded and the one-dimensional wave equations are solved by using the Galerkin’s method. The quality factors, pressure waveforms, compression ratios, and resonance frequencies are calculated for different dimensionless cross sections and lengths of the resonators. The results show that, apart from the resonator length, the ratio of the cross-section dimension to the length of the resonator is an important parameter. If the ratio is greater than 0.04, the characteristics of the shaped resonator are not affected significantly. However, when the ratio is less than 0.01, the resonance becomes weak, the compression ratio drops substantially, and the frequency response changes as well. © 2005 Acoustical Society of America.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The generation of high-amplitude pressure oscillations has recently been achieved, both in experiment and in theoretical modelings, in shaped resonators. In contrast to a normal cylindrical resonator, the higher-order modal frequencies in the shaped resonators are no longer multiples of the fundamental frequency, so that the energy transfer from the fundamental frequency to the higher harmonics is less efficient and the large-amplitude pressures are therefore generated. Lawrenson et al. conducted experiments by shaking shaped resonators, and standing wave overpressures in excess of 340% ambient pressure were recorded. Ilinskii et al. developed a one-dimensional model to analyze the nonlinear standing waves in shaped resonators. The large-amplitude pressures, waveform distortion, and resonance frequency shift were calculated and compared with the experimental results. The one-dimensional model was later improved by including the shear viscosity term in the momentum equation, and the energy losses and quality factors were evaluated. An analytical study was conducted by Hamilton et al. to predict and explain the dependence of the nonlinear frequency response on resonator shapes. Most recently, Erickson and Zinn proposed a procedure to solve the one-dimensional wave equation for an exponentially shaped resonator by the Galerkin method. The compression ratio of the maximum to minimum pressures was calculated for different flare constants.

The large-amplitude pressure waves may have applications in miniature- or microscale fluid mechanics, known as microfluidics. This is currently an active research field driven by fast development in biomedical engineering and life science. In the microfluidics, conventional fluid devices are shrunk in size to control and deliver fluid in miniature- or microscales. The applications of nonlinear acoustics in microfluidics have been seen, for example, in acoustic streaming for liquid fluid manipulation in microchannels and in miniature synthetic jets for aerodynamics control.
of $r_0/l$ and $h/l$ ranging from 0.01 to 0.1, in which the shear viscosity may play an important role in affecting the characteristics of shaped resonators.

II. SHEAR VISCOSITY TERMS AND WAVE EQUATIONS

A. Basic equations

We consider the one-dimensional acoustic wave field in a 2D resonator driven by an acceleration $a(t)$ along the axial direction, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The resonator is closed at both ends and the cross section is expanded in the $x$ direction. The axial velocity along the $x$ direction is $u = u(x, \xi, t)$, depending on $x$, $t$, and the radial location $\xi$. The velocity is not uniform over the cross section of the resonator, especially $u(x, \xi, t) = 0$ on the wall of the resonator. The average velocity of $u$ over the cross section can be calculated by

$$
\bar{u}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_0^r 2\pi u(x, \xi, t) \xi d\xi ,
$$

and the mass flux through the cross section is therefore

$$
F = \int_0^r 2\pi p u(x, \xi, t) \xi d\xi = \rho \bar{u} \pi r^2 .
$$

It can be deduced, according to Eq. (2), that the velocity $u$ in the continuity equation and momentum equation developed by Ilinskii et al.\textsuperscript{7} was actually the average velocity $\bar{u}(x, t)$, and these equations can be rewritten as

$$
\rho \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (r^2 \rho \bar{u}) = 0 ,
$$

(3)

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - a(t)
$$

$$
+ \left( \frac{4}{3} \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (r^2 \bar{u}) \right) ,
$$

(4)

where $p$ is the pressure, $a(t)$ is the acceleration of the resonator, and $\xi$ and $\eta$ are coefficients of bulk and shear viscosities, respectively. We show in the following that the dissipative term in Eq. (4) can be derived from the viscous stress, together with an additional shear viscosity term. The general dissipative term used by Ilinskii et al.\textsuperscript{7} can be expressed as\textsuperscript{10}

$$
\eta \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} + \left( \frac{4}{3} \eta \frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (r^2 \bar{u}) \right)
$$

$$
= \eta (\nabla^2 u)_i + \left( \frac{4}{3} \eta \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot \bar{u} \right)
$$

$$
= \eta (\nabla^2 u)_i + \left( \frac{4}{3} \eta \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot u \right) .
$$

(5)

Let $i$ in Eq. (5) be the $x$ component of the velocity vector $u$; the second term on the right-hand side becomes

$$
\left( \frac{4}{3} \eta \nabla \cdot \nabla \cdot u \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (r^2 \bar{u}) .
$$

(6)

By taking average velocity over the cross section and using the continuity equation (3) for $\nabla \cdot u$, one obtains the dissipative term in Eq. (4), which is the viscosity term associated with the volume expansion of the gas and was derived by Ilinskii et al.\textsuperscript{7} The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (5) is expressed in the cylindrical coordinates $(x, \xi, \theta)$ for the 2D resonator, and for the $x$ component of the velocity it becomes

$$
\eta \left( \nabla^2 u - [\nabla (\nabla \cdot u)] \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{r^2 \bar{u}}{\xi} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right).
$$

(7)

Equation (7) is a term induced by the shear motion of the velocity and is referred as the shear viscosity term. The evaluation of this term and its average depends on the velocity profile over the cross section, i.e., $u(x, \xi, t)$. By including the average of Eq. (7) in Eq. (4), one obtains

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - a(t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{4}{3} \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (r^2 \bar{u}) \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (r^2 \bar{u}) \right) + \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} .
$$

(8)

A similar procedure has been applied to the 3D resonator, shown in Fig. 1(b), in a Cartesian coordinate system, and Eqs. (3) and (4) are modified as

$$
\rho \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{h b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (h b \rho \bar{u}) = 0 ,
$$

(9)

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - a(t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{4}{3} \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (r^2 \bar{u}) \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{h b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (h b \bar{u}) + \frac{\eta}{h b} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} .
$$

(10)

In Eq. (10), $\eta \frac{\partial^2 u / \partial \xi^2}$ is the shear viscosity term, and the evaluation of this term depends also on the velocity profile over the duct cross section. The shear viscosity terms in both Eqs. (8) and (10) are derived in the next section.

B. Velocity profiles and shear viscosity terms

For the 2D resonator, we consider a tube with a circular cross section of radius $r$, the linear equation associated with the shear viscosity is

$$
\rho \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right).
$$

(11)
By setting \( u = v(x, \xi) e^{i\omega t} \), \( \partial p/\partial x = p_x e^{j\omega t} \) (the real part will be taken from the results), and substituting them into Eq. (11), one obtains

\[
\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} + \beta^2 v = \frac{p_x}{\eta},
\]

where \( \beta^2 = -(i\rho\omega/\eta), \) and \( \beta = (1 - i) \sqrt{\rho\omega/2\eta}. \) The solution of Eq. (12), subject to boundary condition \( v|_{\xi = r} = 0 \) and \( v \) being finite at \( \xi = 0, \) is

\[
v = \frac{p_x}{\eta \beta^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{J_0(\beta \xi)}{J_0(\beta r)} \right]. \tag{13}
\]

Using the velocity profile (13), the shear viscosity term in the momentum equation can be evaluated as

\[
\eta \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \xi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right) = U(x, t) \frac{\eta \beta^2}{J_0(\beta r)} J_0(\beta \xi). \tag{15}
\]

The average of the shear viscosity term (15) over the tube cross section is

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{1}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right) = U(x, t) \frac{\eta \beta^2}{J_0(\beta r)} \int_0^r \! 2\pi \xi J_0(\beta \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
\]

\[
= U(x, t) \frac{2\eta \beta J_1(\beta r)}{r J_0(\beta r)} \left[ 1 - \frac{2J_1(\beta r)}{(\beta J_0(\beta r))^2} \right]^{-1} \bar{u}, \tag{16}
\]

in which Eq. (14) has been used to eliminate \( U(x, t). \) It can be shown that for \( |\beta r| \gg 1, J_1(\beta r)/J_0(\beta r) \rightarrow -i; \) therefore

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{1}{\partial r} \left( \xi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right) \rightarrow - \frac{2\eta \beta}{r} \frac{J_0(\beta r)}{(\beta J_0(\beta r))^2} \bar{u}. \tag{17}
\]

By taking the real part of Eq. (17), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{1}{\partial r} \left( \xi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right) \mid_{\beta r} = - \frac{\sqrt{2\rho \omega}}{r} \bar{u}. \tag{18}
\]

For the 3D resonator, we consider a two-dimensional duct; Eqs. (11) and (12) in this case become

\[
\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \eta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}, \tag{19}
\]

where \( \beta^2 = i\rho\omega/\eta, \) and \( \beta = (1 + i) \sqrt{\rho\omega/2\eta}. \) The velocity \( u \) obtained from the solution of Eq. (20), subject to boundary conditions \( v|_{\xi = h} = v|_{\xi = 0} = 0, \) is

\[
u = \frac{\sqrt{2\rho \omega}}{r} \bar{u}. \tag{21}
\]

The average of shear stress \( \bar{\sigma} = \eta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} \) can be worked out based on Eq. (21). By following the same procedure for the tube, we have

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{1}{\partial x} \left( \xi \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \right) \rightarrow - \frac{\sqrt{2\rho \omega}}{r} \bar{u}. \tag{23}
\]

C. Dimensionless wave equations

With the shear viscosity term expressed in Eq. (18), the momentum equation (8) for the 2D resonator can now be written as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2\rho \omega}}{r} \bar{u} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (ru) \right) - \frac{\sqrt{2\rho \omega}}{r} \bar{u}. \tag{24}
\]

It can be seen from Eq. (24) that the shear viscosity term takes into account the effect of frequency \( \omega \) and dimension \( r. \) The dissipation increases as \( \omega \) increases and \( r \) decreases. By introducing the velocity potential

\[
\bar{u} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \tag{25}
\]
and following the same procedure developed by Ilinskii et al.\textsuperscript{2} and Erickson and Zinn,\textsuperscript{4} the dimensionless wave equation becomes

\[
\frac{1}{\pi^2 S} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left( \frac{S}{\partial X} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right) - \Omega^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial T^2} + \frac{G_B \Omega}{\pi S} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T \partial X} = \frac{G_S \Omega^{3/2}}{R} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T} \\
= \Omega \frac{\partial A(T) X + A(T) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X}}{\partial T} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{S} A(T) X \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left( S \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right) + 2 \Omega \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + \frac{(\gamma - 1) \Omega}{S} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2S} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left( S \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right). \tag{26}
\]

The dimensionless variables are

\[
X = \frac{x}{l}, \quad T = \frac{t \omega_0}{l}, \quad S = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{l^2}, \quad R = \frac{r}{l}, \quad A = \frac{a}{l \omega_0}, \tag{27}
\]

\[
\Phi = \frac{\omega}{l^2 \omega_0}, \quad \Omega = \frac{\omega}{\omega_0}, \\
G_B = \frac{\pi \epsilon \omega_0}{c_0}, \quad \delta = \frac{\zeta + 4 \eta / 3}{\rho_0}, \quad G_S = \sqrt{\frac{2 \eta}{\pi \rho_0 c_0}}, \tag{28}
\]

where \( H = h/l \) and \( B = [b(x)]/l \), and other parameters are the same as those in Eqs. (27) and (28). It has been assumed that the shear viscosity is important only on upper and lower walls in the 3D resonator.

### III. EFFECT OF RESONATOR DIMENSIONS

#### A. Quality factors

The energy loss in a dynamic system may be measured by quality factors, which is the ratio of total energy stored in the system to the energy dissipated in one cycle. There are many ways to calculate the quality factor and one of them is to use the decay factor, \( \kappa \), from the time factor \( e^{-\kappa t} \), and the quality factor is obtained by\textsuperscript{12}

\[
Q = \frac{\pi f_0}{\kappa}, \tag{31}
\]

where \( f_0 \) is the fundamental frequency of the system. Equation (26) can be reduced to a linear equation by dropping all quadratic terms and the driving force \( A \)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial T^2} - \frac{1}{\Omega^2 \pi^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} = \frac{G_B}{\Omega \pi^2} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial T \partial X^2} - \frac{G_S}{\Omega \pi^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial X^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T}. \tag{32}
\]

By substituting the fundamental mode \( \Phi = A \cos(\pi x)e^{(i-\sigma)t} \)

\[
\Phi = A \cos(\pi x)e^{(i-\sigma)t} \text{ into Eq. (32), where } \sigma = \kappa / \omega_0 \text{ is the dimensionless decay factor, taking } \Omega = 1 \text{ (} \omega = \omega_0 \text{), at which the energy losses are the maximum}, \text{ and keeping terms of the first order of } \sigma, \text{ one obtains}
\]

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{G_B}{\pi} + \frac{G_S}{R} \right). \tag{33}
\]

In Eq. (33), \( R \) follows the resonator expansion profile \( R(X) = (r_0/l)f(X) \). By denoting

\[
K = \int_0^1 dX f(X), \tag{34}
\]

the average of \( \sigma \) over the resonator length can be calculated as

\[
\bar{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{G_B}{\pi} + \frac{G_S}{r_0/l} \int_0^1 dX f(X) \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{G_B}{\pi} + \frac{KG_S}{r_0/l} \right) \tag{35}
\]

The quality factor is calculated by

\[
Q = \frac{\pi f_0}{k} = \frac{\pi f_0}{\sigma \omega_0} \left( \frac{G_B}{\pi} + \frac{KG_S}{r_0/l} \right)^{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{Q_B} + \frac{1}{Q_S} \right)^{-1}, \tag{36}
\]

where

\[
Q_B = \frac{\pi}{G_B} = \frac{c_0 \rho_0 l}{\pi (\zeta + 4 \eta / 3)}, \tag{37}
\]

being the quality factor due to the dissipation associated with the volume change\textsuperscript{2}

\[
Q_S = \frac{r_0/l}{K G_S} = \frac{r_0}{l K} \sqrt{\frac{\pi l c_0 \rho_0}{2 \eta}}, \tag{38}
\]

which is the quality factor due to the dissipation associated with the shear motion in tubes.\textsuperscript{13} Since the viscosity coeffi-
The results are given in Table I for the resonators filled with refrigerant (R-134a). The results obtained by Ilinskii et al. are also listed in Table I, which are in a range for each resonator depending on the excitation level. It can be seen that most of quality factors are of order of 500, which was the value measured in the experiment. The quality factors predicted by the present study are generally higher than the values obtained by Ilinskii et al. especially for the straight cylinder. This is probably due to the additional energy loss associated with turbulence generated by the large-amplitude pressures in the shaped resonators or the shock waves in the straight cylinder.

For the 2D resonator shown in Fig. 1(a), the radius expansion function is

\[ f(X) = e^{ax^2}, \]  

where \( a \) is the flare constant indicating the expansion rate. The quality factors are computed for different \( a \) and the results are presented in Fig. 2. The quality factor for the 3D resonator, shown in Fig. 1(b), can be obtained by simply replacing \( r_0/l \) with \( h/l \) and setting \( K = 1 \) in Eq. (39), which is the same for a straight cylinder. The quality factors for the 3D resonator and cylinder are also plotted in Fig. 2. It is seen, from Fig. 2, that the 2D resonators have higher quality factors than that of the 3D resonator and cylinder. This is because the energy dissipation due to the shear viscosity is reduced along the 2D resonators as the cross sections are expanded, whereas the energy dissipation in the 3D resonator is increased from the small end to the big end, as the height \( h \) between the upper/lower walls is fixed and wall areas are increased. Figure 2 also shows that the 2D resonators with larger flare numbers have higher quality factors. The difference of the quality factors between the 2D and 3D resonators affects the pressure waves in the resonators.

### B. Waveforms and compression ratios

The one-dimensional wave equations (26) and (30) are solved by the Galerkin’s method developed by Erickson and Zinn. For comparison purposes, all parameters of the gas inside the resonators are assumed to have the same values as those used by Erickson and Zinn. The coefficients of shear viscosity and bulk viscosity are of the same order, and for simplicity, we set them to be equal to 1.7\( E - 005 \) Pa·s. The resonators are oscillated at

\[ A = A_0 \cos(T), \]  

and the amplitude is fixed at \( A_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4} \) throughout the calculations. The cross sections of both resonators are expanded exponentially as

\[ S = S_0 e^{ax}, \]  

where \( S_0 = \pi r_0^2 / l^2 \) for the 2D resonator and \( S_0 = b_0 h / l^2 \) for the 3D resonator. The flare constant, \( a \), is fixed at \( a = 5.75 \) in the following calculations because the compression ratio is the maximum at this value. The dimensionless velocity potential, \( \Phi \), is expressed as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. Quality factors of resonators filled with R-134a.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Results of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilinskii et al. (Ref. 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horn-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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sures are calculated for the 2D resonator by

\[ \Phi(X, T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n(T) \Psi_n(X). \] (43)

The trial functions, \( \Psi_n(X) \), have the same forms as those used by Erickson and Zinn\(^5\) for the resonators sealed at both ends. The time-dependent amplitudes, \( \eta_n(T) \), are solved by the techniques suggested by Erickson and Zinn,\(^5\) in which the series of Eq. (43) is truncated at \( n = 20 \). Finally, the pressures are calculated for the 2D resonator by

\[
P / P_0 = \left[ 1 - (\gamma - 1) \frac{\pi^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right)^2 + \frac{AX}{2} \right) \right. \\
- \frac{G_B}{\pi^3} \left( \frac{S}{3} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + \frac{G_S \Omega^{1/2}}{R} \right) \right]^{\gamma/(\gamma - 1)}. (44)
\]

and for the 3D resonator by

\[
P / P_0 = \left[ 1 - (\gamma - 1) \frac{\pi^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right)^2 + \frac{AX}{2} \right) \right. \\
- \frac{G_B}{\pi^3} \left( \frac{S}{3} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + \frac{G_S \Omega^{1/2}}{H} \right) \right]^{\gamma/(\gamma - 1)}. (45)
\]

In Eqs. (44) and (45), \( P_0 \) is the static pressure in the resonators. As a reference, the pressure waves obtained by Erickson and Zinn\(^5\) at the small end in the 2D resonator are reproduced here with the same condition by setting \( G_S = 0 \) and assigning \( G_B = 0.01 \) in Eq. (26), shown in Fig. 3 by the dashed lines. In their results (the dashed line), the pressure waveforms were not dependent on the resonator dimensions, such as the resonator length \( l \) and ratio \( r_0/l \), because there was no shear viscosity term in the wave equation. The solid lines in Fig. 3 are the pressure waves calculated in the present study using Eqs. (26) and (44), in which \( G_B \) and \( G_S \) are evaluated according to their definitions and gas parameters, the resonator length is \( l = 0.2 \) m, \( R = r_0/l = 0.023 \). It is seen that two waveforms are very similar. In fact, the pressure waveforms in the resonator are dependent on the cross-section dimensions, \( r_0/l \), due to the shear viscosity term. 

The resonance pressure waveforms at the small end of the 2D resonator are plotted for different values of \( r_0/l \) in Fig. 4. When \( r_0/l \) is 0.05, the pressure amplitudes are large with sharp peaks [Fig. 4(a)], indicating a strong resonance. When \( r_0/l \) is reduced to 0.02, the pressure amplitudes are reduced, as well as the sharp peaks [Fig. 4(b)]. When \( r_0/l \) is further reduced to 0.01 [Fig. 4(c)], the pressure amplitudes are reduced further and there are no sharp peaks, indicating that the resonance has been weakened due to the energy dissipation. The resonance frequency changes slightly when the ratio \( r_0/l \) varies, as denoted in the figure for each case. The similar situation is also observed for the pressure waves in the 3D resonator, which are plotted in Fig. 5. In this case, the waveforms have been smoothed down at \( r_0/l = 0.02 \), because the 3D resonator is more dissipative than the 2D resonator, as shown by the quality factors in Fig. 3.

The compression ratios are calculated based on the

\[
\frac{P}{P_0} = \left[ 1 - (\gamma - 1) \frac{\pi^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right)^2 + \frac{AX}{2} \right) \right. \\
- \frac{G_B}{\pi^3} \left( \frac{S}{3} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + \frac{G_S \Omega^{1/2}}{R} \right) \right]^{\gamma/(\gamma - 1)}. (44)
\]

and for the 3D resonator by

\[
\frac{P}{P_0} = \left[ 1 - (\gamma - 1) \frac{\pi^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} \right)^2 + \frac{AX}{2} \right) \right. \\
- \frac{G_B}{\pi^3} \left( \frac{S}{3} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + \frac{G_S \Omega^{1/2}}{H} \right) \right]^{\gamma/(\gamma - 1)}. (45)
\]

In Eqs. (44) and (45), \( P_0 \) is the static pressure in the resonators. As a reference, the pressure waves obtained by Erickson and Zinn\(^5\) at the small end in the 2D resonator are reproduced here with the same condition by setting \( G_S = 0 \) and assigning \( G_B = 0.01 \) in Eq. (26), shown in Fig. 3 by the dashed lines. In their results (the dashed line), the pressure waveforms were not dependent on the resonator dimensions, such as the resonator length \( l \) and ratio \( r_0/l \), because there was no shear viscosity term in the wave equation. The solid lines in Fig. 3 are the pressure waves calculated in the present study using Eqs. (26) and (44), in which \( G_B \) and \( G_S \) are evaluated according to their definitions and gas parameters, the resonator length is \( l = 0.2 \) m, \( R = r_0/l = 0.023 \). It is seen that two waveforms are very similar. In fact, the pressure waveforms in the resonator are dependent on the cross-section dimensions, \( r_0/l \), due to the shear viscosity term. 

The resonance pressure waveforms at the small end of the 2D resonator are plotted for different values of \( r_0/l \) in Fig. 4. When \( r_0/l \) is 0.05, the pressure amplitudes are large with sharp peaks [Fig. 4(a)], indicating a strong resonance. When \( r_0/l \) is reduced to 0.02, the pressure amplitudes are reduced, as well as the sharp peaks [Fig. 4(b)]. When \( r_0/l \) is further reduced to 0.01 [Fig. 4(c)], the pressure amplitudes are reduced further and there are no sharp peaks, indicating that the resonance has been weakened due to the energy dissipation. The resonance frequency changes slightly when the ratio \( r_0/l \) varies, as denoted in the figure for each case. The similar situation is also observed for the pressure waves in the 3D resonator, which are plotted in Fig. 5. In this case, the waveforms have been smoothed down at \( r_0/l = 0.02 \), because the 3D resonator is more dissipative than the 2D resonator, as shown by the quality factors in Fig. 3.
maximum and minimum pressures from the waveforms, and the results for both 2D resonator and 3D resonator are presented in Fig. 6, versus \( r_0/l \) for \( l = 0.2 \) and 0.05 m, respectively. It is seen that the compression ratios, for \( l = 0.2 \) m, can be greater than 10 when \( r_0/l \) is greater than 0.04 for the 2D resonator and \( h/l \) is greater than 0.05 for the 3D resonator, but drop to 2 or less when \( r_0/l \) and \( h/l \) are less than 0.01, showing that the cross-section dimension of the resonator has tremendous effect on the compression ratio. On the other hand, when the overall length of the resonator is reduced, the compression ratio is also reduced, as shown in Fig. 6 by the results of \( l = 0.05 \) m for both resonators. This is because the shorter length will lead to a higher resonance frequency, and therefore higher dissipation by the shear viscosity. It is seen from Fig. 6 that the compression ratio drops substantially at \( r_0/l = 0.02 \) for the 2D resonator when \( l \) is reduced from 0.2 to 0.05 m, and increases quickly afterward. A similar situation is also seen for the 3D resonator but at \( h/l = 0.05 \). The mechanism associated with these inconsistencies is not clear from the present modeling, and further study is needed. The results presented in Fig. 6 suggest that \( r_0/l \), \( h/l \), and \( l \) are probably the control parameters to the resonator dimensions for the given driving strength and required compression ratio. The comparison between the 2D resonator and 3D resonator in Fig. 6 shows that the compression ratios of the 3D resonator are always lower than that of 2D resonator. If one takes \( l = 0.05 \) m and \( h/l = 0.05 \), i.e., \( l = 50 \) mm and \( h = 2.5 \) mm, which is a small resonator, one may expect to have pressure waves with a compression ratio of 3, according to the results in Fig. 6. On the other hand, the resonance may be easier to be excited in a smaller resonator than in a bigger one for the same driving power. When the excitation is doubled by setting \( A_0 = 1 \times 10^{-3} \) in the above-mentioned small resonator, the calculation results show that the compression ratio is increased from 3 to 10.

The cross-section dimensions of the resonators are found to have minor effect on the resonance frequencies, which are primarily determined by the resonator lengths and the axial-expansion shape. The calculated results for both 2D and 3D resonators, plotted out in Fig. 7, show that the variation of the dimensionless frequency (\( \Omega \)) is less than 1% when the cross-section dimensions \( (r_0/l) \) change from 0.1 to 0.005. However, the changes in the resonance frequency and dimension parameters produce tremendous difference in the frequency response curves, which are illustrated in Fig. 8 by plotting the maximum pressure versus the frequency \( \Omega \) for the 2D resonator. The frequency response curves at different values of parameter \( r_0/l \) in this case are very similar to the hardening behaviors of a conical resonator under different excitation levels. This resemblance indicates that the resonator dimensions affect the dynamic energy of the system through the shear viscosities.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The effect of resonator dimensions on the nonlinear standing waves inside the shaped resonators has been studied. A shear viscosity term has been added to the one-dimensional momentum equation for the average axial veloc-
ity, and the wave equation has been solved by the Galerkin method for a 2D (axisymmetric) resonator and a 3D (low aspect ratio rectangular) resonator, whose cross sections are exponentially expanded. By calculations of quality factors, the pressure waveforms, and compression ratios, it is found that the shear viscosity dissipation plays a crucial role when the resonator sizes are reduced. The resonator length (l) and the ratio of the cross-section dimension to the length (r_0/l and h/l in the present study) are two controlling parameters. If r_0/l and h/l are greater than 0.04 for the present resonators, the typical characteristics of shaped resonators, such as high-amplitude pressures and hardening behaviors, are observed. When r_0/l and h/l are less than 0.01, the resonance becomes weak and the compression ratios drop to 2 and below, indicating that the resonators are not functioning in these cases to generate large-amplitude pressures, unless the excitation levels are increased. The results also show that the dimension ratios control the frequency response curves in the same way as the excitation level does, following the hardening behavior of the shaped resonators. Although the study is conducted based on only two specially shaped resonators, the results may be similar to other shaped resonators.
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