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Pyramidal structural defects, 5–8 μm wide, have been discovered in thin films of epitaxial ErSi$_{2-x}$ formed by annealing thin Er films on Si(001) substrates at temperatures of 500–800 °C. The formation of these defects is not due to oxidation. We propose that they form as a result of the separation of the silicide film from the substrate and its buckling in order to relieve the compressive, biaxial epitaxial stresses. Silicon can then diffuse through the silicide or along the interface to fully or partially fill the void between the buckled erbium disilicide film and the substrate. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2162862]

Rare earth (RE) silicides are of considerable technological interest for potential use as Schottky sources/drains in metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs) due to their low Schottky barriers (∼0.3 eV) to $n$-type Si. Such Schottky source/drain technology in semiconductor-on-insulator has advantages in scaling and fabrication compared with traditional MOSFETs. However, “pits” or “pinholes” are common in RE silicide films formed by solid phase reactions of rare earth metals and Si. Such defects can degrade the performance of Schottky barrier devices. The pits are of considerable lateral extent and penetrate deeply into the Si substrate relative to the silicide thickness. The shape of these defects is inherited from the substrate structure: triangular on Si(111) and square on Si(001). In this letter, we report the observation of a new type of structural defect in ErSi$_{2-x}$ films formed by rapid thermal annealing of Er films on Si(001) substrates. This defect has a pyramidal shape with the apex directed away from the substrate. We characterize this pyramidal structural defect and propose a mechanism for its formation. Unlike pits that form because of local depletion of Si atoms, the formation of these defects is associated with ErSi$_{2-x}$/Si epitaxial strains.

The ErSi$_{2-x}$ films were grown by sputtering depositing Er on $p$-doped Si wafers (5–10 Ω cm) followed by rapid thermal annealing. The wafers were cleaned using the standard Radio Corporation of America method, then dipped in dilute HF for 1 min prior to the deposition of a 50-nm-thick erbium film at room temperature in a chamber with a base pressure of 3 × 10$^{-7}$ Torr. In some samples, a 15-nm-thick TiN layer was deposited in situ on top of the 50 nm Er to prevent oxidation. This was followed by a rapid thermal anneal for 60 s at between 400 and 800 °C in a N$_2$ ambient or in vacuum (1 × 10$^{-6}$ Torr). The 400 °C anneals did not produce ErSi$_{2-x}$. Additional wafers were amorphized using Si ion implantation (30 keV, 1 × 10$^{15}$ ions/cm$^2$) prior to Er deposition in order to determine the role of epitaxy in the defect formation process.

Phases were identified using x-ray diffraction (XRD) [Bruker D8 general area detector diffraction system (GADDS) x-ray diffractometer with a two-dimensional detector], electron diffraction and secondary ion mass spectroscopy. Figure 1(a) shows a typical XRD pattern for an Er film deposited on Si(001) and annealed for 60 s at 500 °C (tem-
temperatures up to 800 °C showed similar results). This figure shows the \{1100\}, \{2200\}, \{1101\}, and \{1102\} peaks of AlB₂, hexagonal erbia disilicide. The observation of dominant \{1100\} and \{2200\} peaks in the 2θ scans suggests that ErSi₂₋ₓ, \{1100\} (prism plane) is oriented parallel to the (001) Si substrate surface, i.e., the c axis of ErSi₂₋ₓ is parallel to the substrate. Furthermore, the observation of the two \{1101\} peaks symmetrically displaced from the central axis (containing the \{1100\} and \{2200\} peaks) implies that this is not simply a fiber texture, but that there is a strong in-plane texture as well. The inset in Fig. 1(a) shows a pole figure of the same film. The fourfold symmetry (rather than the two-fold symmetry expected if the ErSi₂₋ₓ were a single crystal) confirms that there are two distinct ErSi₂₋ₓ epitaxial orientations relative to the Si substrate. That is, the ErSi₂₋ₓ film contains both regions where the \{0001\} (i.e., c axis) is parallel to Si[110] and regions where it is parallel to Si[110], in agreement with previous reports.⁵,¹⁰,¹¹ All films grown on the crystalline Si substrate and annealed between 500 and 800 °C with and without TiN capping exhibited epitaxy.

Figure 2(a) is an optical micrograph of a sample annealed at 500 °C for 1 min. The image shows that the film contains many pyramidal defects. These defects all have nearly square bases and all of the defects share the same orientation. This micrograph, together with the atomic force microscopy images in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), suggests that these defects are square-based pyramids (apex points away from the Si/ErSi₂₋ₓ interface). Similar observations were made on samples annealed at 500 ≤ T ≤ 800 °C. The pyramidal defects are 4–8 μm wide and have a density on the order of 10⁵ cm⁻². The presence of these defects in all samples, independently of whether the film was capped with TiN or not prior to annealing in vacuum or in N₂, indicates that the formation of these defects is not associated with oxidation. The presence of such pyramidal defects has not previously been reported. On the other hand, earlier reports suggest that pits penetrating into the Si substrate form when ErSi₂₋ₓ films are grown on Si(001) at low temperature.⁴,⁹

Figure 3 shows cross-sectional transmission electron micrographs (TEM) of pyramidal defects like those shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 3(a), the space between the ErSi₂₋ₓ film and the original Si substrate surface is filled with Si, as confirmed using electron dispersive spectroscopy. In Fig. 3(b), the intervening space is only partially filled with Si; i.e., there is a void below the pyramid apex that extends from the film to the substrate. In both cases, the silicon between the film and original substrate surface is highly defective. Electron diffractometry and high resolution TEM of the defective area [see the inset in Fig. 3(b)] show that the defective region of the Si consists of a dense array of parallel stacking faults on \{111\} [\{a/6\}(110)] translations.

The pyramidal defects are absent from ErSi₂₋ₓ films grown on preamorphized Si substrates (the amorphous region extended from the surface into the substrate to 45 nm). Figure 1(b) shows that the ErSi₂₋ₓ film formed on amorphized silicon is a random polycrystal rather than epitaxial as in growth on crystalline Si(001).

The hexagonal ErSi₂₋ₓ forms on Si(001) with its [0001] axis parallel to Si[110]. The mismatch parallel to the c axis is ∼ 6.5% (compressive) and +1.1% (tensile) perpendicular to the c axis. The diffraction data and previous reports¹¹–¹³ show that the ErSi₂₋ₓ film consists of alternating grains with the c axis parallel to [110] and [110]. The grain size is typically 50–150 nm,¹¹,¹² which is much smaller than the lateral extent of the pyramidal defects. Therefore, the misfit strain, as measured on a scale larger than several grains is biaxial and compressive, −2.7%.

The proposed pyramidal defect formation mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 4. The film buckles away from the substrate to relieve the very large epitaxial strain energy [Fig. 4(b)]. We note that the pyramidal defect density is too low to significantly relieve the total strain energy stored in the film. Such buckling or blistering must originate at a pre-existing defect or region where the bonding between substrate and film is weakened.

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) (a) Optical image of pyramid structural defects in an erbium silicide film annealed at 500 °C for 60 s. (b) Atomic force micrograph showing the pyramidal shape of one defect. (c) A linear scan through the peak in (b) showing the shape and height profile more clearly.

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Cross-sectional TEM micrographs of pyramidal structural defects on an erbium silicide film annealed at 500 °C for 60 s. (a) shows a buckled region that is completely filled with Si, while (b) shows a void at the center of the defect that extends from film to interface. The insets in (b) show a high resolution TEM image and the corresponding electron diffraction pattern of the defective Si region between the buckled film and the original interface.

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Schematic representation of the formation and evolution of the pyramidal defect, showing (a) the initial, compressively stressed ErSi₂₋ₓ film on a Si substrate, (b) the buckling of the silicide film and its separation from the substrate, (c) Si atom diffusion along Si(001) free surface and within the ErSi₂₋ₓ film, (d) a partially filled pyramidal defect as in Fig. 3(b) and 3(e), a completely filled defect as in Fig. 3(a) and 3(f), the formation of a crack in the ErSi₂₋ₓ film in the pyramidal defect upon cooling.
film is weak. These may either be processing defects or form as the result of plastic deformation. While it is possible for a compressively stressed film to buckle while remaining attached to the substrate, the observations of voids beneath the pyramidal defect [Fig. 3(b)] and the highly defected Si where the void was filled [insets to Fig. 3(b)], both suggest that the film separates from the substrate upon buckling. Further, if the film remained attached to the substrate upon buckling, the density of the pyramidal defects would be much larger than that observed (to relax much of the strain energy stored in the ErSi$_2$$_x$ film).

After the pyramidal defect forms by buckling, Fig. 4(b), the open region between the film and substrate begins to fill with Si, Figs. 4(c)–4(e). This filling occurs in order to lessen the surface energies; filling the void below the buckled ErSi$_2$$_x$ film covers part of both the Si free surface and the ErSi$_2$$_x$ free surface. One indication that this filling occurs is the observation that the Si that fills in, under the buckled ErSi$_2$$_x$ film, has a very different defect structure from that in the original Si substrate. That is, this Si contains a high density of $\{111\}$ stacking faults [see Fig. 3(b)]. The Si atoms located at the ErSi$_2$$_x$/Si interface close to the defect diffuse along or near the interface towards the defect. The stoichiometry of ErSi$_2$$_x$, obtained from Rutherford backscattering spectrometry indicates that one in six Si sites is vacant$^{14}$—hence, the notation ErSi$_2$$_x$ with $x=1/3$. Therefore, we expect that the Si diffusivity through the ErSi$_2$$_x$, perpendicular to the $c$ axis, is very high (note that ErSi$_2$$_x$ consists of layers of Si and Er perpendicular to the $c$ axis). When the Si atoms reach the edge of the defect, they can diffuse either on the Si(001) surface or on the free ErSi$_2$$_x$ surface [Fig. 4(c)]. As shown in Fig. 4(c), silicon may grow simultaneously from the two surfaces. In the case of Si growing on the Si(001) surface, only one crystal orientation is possible. In contrast, there is considerable evidence that Si formed on free ErSi$_2$$_x$ surfaces may grow with different orientations (see Ref. 15). Eventually, the two recrystallization fronts meet as shown schematically in Fig. 4(e), resulting in the filled pyramidal defect of Fig. 3(a). Figure 3(b) shows the case where a void remains (i.e., where the two fronts have not yet met) as in Fig. 4(d).

Examination of a large number of the pyramidal defects in the scanning electron microscope shows that many of the defects are cracked [these can also be seen in the optical micrograph in Fig. 2(a)]. The cracking, represented in Fig. 4(f), is likely a result of the large tensile stresses formed near the four edges of the pyramid that meet at the apex and the contraction of the ErSi$_2$$_x$ film upon cooling. The coefficient of thermal expansion of erbium silicide is larger than that of silicon, hence, large differential strains occur on cooling from the annealing temperature to room temperature.

The mechanistic model described earlier suggests that a balance between the elastic energy stored in the unbuckled film and the surface and interface energies determines the size of the pyramidal defects. Therefore, once the ErSi$_2$$_x$ film forms and buckles on rapid thermal annealing, the size of the pyramidal defects should not increase on further annealing. As a check on the validity of the mechanistic model, we measured the pyramidal defect size in several films, formed by annealing for different times. The results are shown in Fig. 5. Except for very short times (corresponding to incomplete silicide formation), the pyramidal defect size is independent of annealing time—in agreement with the predictions of the mechanistic model.

ErSi$_2$$_x$ films formed by rapid thermal annealing of Er films on Si(001) exhibit a pyramidal structural defect that has not previously been reported. This defect forms in order to relax epitaxial misfit stresses through a buckling process. The bases of the pyramids are approximately square, indicating that the stresses in the film are biaxial. The biaxial nature of the stress is a result of the fact that the hexagonal ErSi$_2$$_x$ forms epitaxially on Si with two distinct variants; with the $c$ axis of the silicide parallel to Si[110] and Si[110]. The pyramidal defects nucleate at pre-existing defects and do not form at densities large enough to relax most of the epitaxial stresses. The buckling process occurs simultaneously with separation of the film from the substrate below the pyramidal defect. Silicon diffusion, subsequent to buckling can fill in the space between the buckled film and substrate. Depending on the size of the defect and the annealing time, this can lead to complete filling or partial voids inside the pyramidal defects. The defect size does not increase with annealing times that are long compared to that required for the silicide formation reaction to occur.