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Two distinct approaches, the Frenkel-Dirac time-dependent variation and the Haken-Strobl model, are adopted to study energy transfer dynamics in single-ring and double-ring light-harvesting (LH) systems in purple bacteria. It is found that the inclusion of long-range dipolar interactions in the two methods results in significant increase in intra- or inter-ring exciton transfer efficiency. The dependence of exciton transfer efficiency on trapping positions on single rings of LH2 (B850) and LH1 is similar to that in toy models with nearest-neighbor coupling only. However, owing to the symmetry breaking caused by the dimerization of BCHls and dipolar couplings, such dependence has been largely suppressed. In the studies of coupled-ring systems, both methods reveal an interesting role of dipolar interactions in increasing energy transfer efficiency by introducing multiple intra/inter-ring transfer paths. Importantly, the time scale (4 ps) of inter-ring exciton transfer obtained from polaron dynamics is in good agreement with previous studies. In a double-ring LH2 system, non-nearest neighbor interactions can induce symmetry breaking, which leads to global and local minima of the average trapping time in the presence of a non-zero dephasing rate, suggesting that environment dephasing helps preserve quantum coherent energy transfer when the perfect circular symmetry in the hypothetic system is broken. This study reveals that dipolar coupling between chromophores may play an important role in the high energy transfer efficiency in the LH systems of purple bacteria and many other natural photosynthetic systems.

© 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4729786]

I. INTRODUCTION

An essential photochemical process in plants and photosynthetic bacteria is light-harvesting (LH), in which solar photons are first absorbed by antenna complexes (also known as the antenna protein), and the resulting excitonic energy is transferred with a high efficiency to specialized pigment-protein complexes or the reaction centers. In a photosynthetic system of bacteriochlorophylls aggregates embedded in protein scaffolds, it has been suggested that the protein environment plays a critical role in protecting excitonic coherence, and consequently, facilitating coherent energy transfer. The entire process of coherent energy transfer takes place in a very short period of time, from a few hundred femtoseconds to a few picoseconds. Recent studies have shown that the efficiency of energy transfer from antenna pigments to the reaction centers is remarkably high (e.g., >95%).1–3 Such a high efficiency of energy transfer has inspired the designs of efficient artificial systems to convert solar energy into other forms. However, an acute lack of reliable simulation techniques and theoretical tools for understanding the exact mechanisms of long time quantum coherence in such systems hinders the realistic application of the highly efficient energy transfer in artificial systems. Currently, the Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) theory, based on weak electronic coupling approximation, and the Redfield equation, based on the Markovian approximation, are the two commonly applied methods to deal with the energy transfer processes in these complexes. The classical Förster theory treats electronic coupling perturbatively and also assumes the incoherent hopping of exciton between single donor and acceptor induced by point dipole-dipole interaction of transition dipoles of the chromophores. Assumption of point dipole is inadequate in describing pigments’ aggregation, which results in the breakdown of the approximation.5 To overcome the drawback of the point-dipole approximation, Jang et al.6–10 developed a multi-chromophore version of FRET theory, which can be applied to cope with the transfer pathway interference that is not accounted for in earlier FRET treatments. However, when the electronic coupling is strong but the system-bath coupling is weak, it is necessary to consider relaxation of delocalized exciton states. In this limit, the dynamics of exciton energy transfer (EET) can be described by the coupled Redfield equations in the exciton basis.11–14 The Redfield equations, on the other hand, are applicable in the weak exciton-phonon coupling limit where the problem can be treated perturbatively. More importantly, in the Redfield equation,15 the bath degree of freedom has been projected out to obtain a reduced master equation for the density matrix evolution under the Markovian approximation. However, for a realistic photosynthetic system, the exciton-phonon coupling is not negligible, and the Markovian approximation is a poor one in describing the bath behavior since the typical phonon relaxation time scale is quite long. Recently, much work has been devoted to
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circumvent the difficulties in the traditional methods, and the efforts include the method of hierarchy equations, \cite{16-19} the linearized density matrix approach based on path integral, \cite{15,20-22} and quantum master equations using polaron transformations.\cite{23-28}

In purple bacteria, the antenna complexes have highly symmetric multi-ring structures,\cite{29-31} which are the subject of numerous studies regarding the mechanism underlying the highly efficient energy transfer processes.\cite{3,32-37} In Ref. 39, optimal energy transfer efficiency calculations, the Haken-Strobl model,\cite{41} equivalent to the Redfield equation at high temperatures, has been used. For a multiple-ring system, by assuming only exciton transfer integral, $\hat{b}_q^\dagger (\hat{b}_q)$ is the creation (annihilation) operator of a phonon with momentum $q$ and frequency $\omega_q$, and the Planck’s constant is set as $\hbar = 1$. $\hat{H}_{\text{ex-ph}}$ is the diagonal exciton-phonon coupling Hamiltonian with $\omega_q$ as the coupling constant, with $\sum_q g_q^2 \omega_q = S\omega_0$. Here $S$ is the well-known Huang-Rhys factor, and $\omega_0$ is the characteristic phonon frequency, which is set to unity in this paper for simplicity. It is noted that in this method, phonon dispersions in the Hamiltonian can have various forms to describe many phonon branches of different origins. For example, a linear phonon dispersion with $\omega_q = \omega_0[1 + W(2|q|/\pi - 1)]$ may be adopted, where $W$ is a constant between 0 and 1, and the bandwidth of the phonon frequency is $2\omega_0$. Furthermore, other forms of exciton-phonon coupling can also be included, demonstrating the capability of the current approach in imitating the phonon spectral density obtained with methods such as molecular dynamics.\cite{44}

For a multiple-ring system, by assuming only exciton transfer integral and dipolar interaction between rings, the original Holstein Hamiltonian can be modified as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ex}}' = \sum_{r_1r_2} \sum_{nm} J_n^{r_1r_2} \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_{m}^\dagger,$$

where $r_1 = 1, 2 \ldots N_{\text{ring}}$ and $r_2 = 1, 2 \ldots N_{\text{ring}}$ label a pair of rings (with total number of $N_{\text{ring}}$ rings) if $r_1 \neq r_2$, and the summation is over all rings. However, if $r_1 = r_2$, Eq. (5) becomes

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ex}}'' = \sum_{nm} J_n^{nm} \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_m^\dagger,$$

where $r$ again runs from 1 to $N_{\text{ring}}$. For each ring, the exciton Hamiltonian takes the form of the Frenkel exciton...
Hamiltonian with $J_{nm}$ given by\(^{45}\)

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_1 & J_1 & W_{1,3} & \cdots & J_2 \\
J_1 & \varepsilon_2 & J_2 & \cdots & W_{2,2N} \\
W_{3,1} & J_2 & \varepsilon_1 & \cdots & J_1 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
J_2 & \cdots & W_{2N,2N-2} & \cdots & J_1 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$

(7)

where $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$ are the on-site excitation energies of an individual BChl-a, $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are the transfer integral between nearest neighbors, $N$ equals 8 as the system is of C8 symmetry, and matrix elements $W_{ij}$ are the dipolar coupling for the non-nearest neighbors. The dipolar coupling between site $i$ and site $j$ takes the form

$$W_{i,j} = C \left[ \frac{d_i \cdot d_j}{|r_{ij}|^3} - \frac{3(d_i \cdot r_{ij})(d_j \cdot r_{ij})}{|r_{ij}|^5} \right].$$

(8)

where $C$ is the proportionality constant, $d_{ij}$ is the vector connecting the $i$th and $j$th monomers, and $d_i$ are the unit vectors of the $i$th BChl-a. In our calculations in this work, the following parameters\(^{33}\) are adopted: $J_1 = 594$ cm\(^{-1}\), $J_2 = 491$ cm\(^{-1}\), and $C = 640725$ Å\(^3\) cm\(^{-1}\). When the $J_{nm}$ matrix is applied to the polaron dynamics calculations, it must be scaled by the characteristic phonon frequency $\omega_0$. The Mg-Mg distance between neighboring B850 BChls is 9.2 Å within the $\alpha\beta$-heterodimer and 9.25 Å between neighboring heterodimers. For simplicity, the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ proteins are assumed to be evenly distributed with a distance of 9.25 Å.

For multiple-ring systems, the phonon and exciton-phonon interaction Hamiltonian can be described as

$$\hat{H}_{ph} = \sum_{r} \sum_{q} \omega_q \hat{b}_q \hat{b}_q^\dagger,$$

(9)

$$\hat{H}_{ex-ph} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{r} \sum_{q} \sum_{q'} g_{q',q} \omega'_{q'} \hat{a}_r^\dagger \hat{a}_r (e^{i q n} \hat{b}_q^\dagger + H.c.),$$

(10)

where $r$ is summed over 1 to $N_{ring}$, $\hat{b}_q^\dagger$ ($\hat{b}_q$) is the creation (annihilation) operator of a phonon with momentum $q$ and frequency $\omega_q$ in the $r$th ring. Similar to the single-ring case, we can obtain the Huang-Rhys factor $S$ from $\sum_q (\omega_q^2)^2 \omega_q = S_{0k}$. It is clearly shown in the formalism that the phonons in different LH2 rings are completely independent of each other in the current treatment.

**B. Polaron dynamics in LH2 systems**

The family of the time-dependent Davydov Ansätze, i.e., the $D_1$, $D_2$, and $D_2^*$ trial states, can be written in a general form as

$$|\Psi_D(t)\rangle = \sum_n \alpha_n(t) \hat{U}_n^\dagger(t) |0\rangle_{ex} |0\rangle_{ph},$$

(11)

where $\alpha_n(t)$ are the variational parameters representing exciton amplitudes, and $\hat{U}_n^\dagger(t)$ is the Glauber coherent operator

$$\hat{U}_n^\dagger(t) \equiv \exp \left\{ \sum_q [\mu_{n,q}(t) \hat{b}_q^\dagger - H.c.] \right\}.$$

(12)

For the $D_1$ Ansatz, $\lambda_{n,q}(t)$ are $N \times N$ variational parameters representing phonon displacements. The $D_2$ and $D_2^*$ Ansätze are two simplified cases of the $D_1$ Ansatz. In the $D_2$ Ansatz, $\lambda_{n,q}(t)$ are replaced by $N$ independent variational parameters $\beta_q(t)$, i.e., $\lambda_{n,q}(t) = \beta_q(t)$. While in the $D_2^*$ Ansatz, $\lambda_{n,q}(t)$ are replaced by $2N - 1$ variational parameters $\lambda(t)$, $\beta_q(t)$, and $\gamma_q \neq 0(t)$, where $\lambda_{n,q}(t) = \beta_q(t) + e^{-i q n} \gamma_q(t)$, $q \neq 0$.

The time evolution of the photon-excited state in a one-dimensional molecular aggregate follows the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. There are several approaches to solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. In the Hilbert space, for example, the time-dependent wave function $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ for the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is parameterized by a set of time-dependent variables $\alpha_m(t)$ ($m = 1, \ldots, M$):

$$|\Phi(t)\rangle \equiv |\alpha_m(t)\rangle.$$

(13)

Assuming that $|\Phi(t)\rangle$ satisfies the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, one has

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Phi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\Phi(t)\rangle.$$

(14)

Explicitly putting in the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ of Eq. (1) and writing $\partial |\Phi(t)\rangle / \partial t$ in terms of $\alpha_m(t)$ and their time-derivatives $\dot{\alpha}_m(t)$ ($m = 1, \ldots, M$), one obtains

$$\left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \hat{H} \right) |\Phi(t)\rangle = |\alpha_m(t)\rangle, |\dot{\alpha}_m(t)\rangle = 0.$$

(15)

Projecting Eq. (15) onto $M$ different states $|\Psi_m\rangle$ ($m = 1, \ldots, M$), one obtains $M$ equations of motion for the parameters $\alpha_m(t)$:

$$\langle \Psi_m | (\alpha_m(t)), \{ \dot{\alpha}_m(t) \} \rangle = 0.$$

(16)

The approach we adopt in this work is the Lagrangian formalism of the Dirac-Frenkel time-dependent variational method,\(^{46}\) a powerful technique to obtain approximate dynamics of many-body quantum systems for which exact solutions often elude researchers. We formulate the Lagrangian $L$ as follows:

$$L = \langle \Phi(t) | i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_m} \dot{\alpha}_m \langle \Phi(t) \rangle.$$

(17)

From this Lagrangian, equations of motion for the $M$ functions of time, parameters $\alpha_m(t)$, and their time-derivatives $\dot{\alpha}_m(t)$ ($m = 1, \ldots, M$), can be obtained by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\alpha}_m} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_m} = 0.$$

(18)

Furthermore, to better elucidate the correlation between the exciton and the phonons, the phonon displacement $\xi(t)$ is introduced and defined as

$$\xi_n(t) \equiv \langle \Psi_D(t) | \delta_n + \delta^*_n | \Psi_D(t) \rangle.$$

(19)

where $\delta_n = N^{-1/2} \sum_q e^{-i q n} \delta_q$. The reader is referred to Appendix A for discussions on the precision of the Davydov trial states (defined as $D(t)$) and Appendix B for detailed derivations of equations of motion for polaron dynamics in a multiple-ring system.
Applicability of the time-dependent variation approach to an exciton-phonon system has been tested systematically for a wide range of control parameters. The readers are referred to Refs. 48 and 49 for details, where it is shown that the D1 trial state has the best performance judging from the relative magnitude of the deviation vector (with the exact solution of the Schrödinger equation as the reference), a quantity characterizing the trial-state accuracy. It is noted that our polaron dynamics simulation is a Hamiltonian approach, and as such, it is performed in a microcanonical ensemble, which is at variance with other methods. On the other hand, excitonic energy transfer in realistic photosynthetic complexes often takes place in a rather short period of time (∼hundreds of fs). 38 Therefore, there is insufficient time to reach a full thermal equilibrium in those systems, as pointed out by a number of authors. 52–54 It is therefore interesting to compare a Hamiltonian trial state to the environment is given by the standard Bloch-Redfield equation, which can be written as

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H, \rho](t)/\hbar$$

where $H$ is the pure dephasing rate, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{decay}}$ represents the decay of the exciton to the ground state and can be expressed as $[\mathcal{L}_{\text{decay}}]_{nm} = (k_{d,n} + k_{d,m})/2$ with $k_{d,n}$ the decay rate on site $n$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{trap}}$ represents the trapping rate, and can be described as $[\mathcal{L}_{\text{trap}}]_{nm} = (k_{t,n} + k_{t,m})/2$ with $k_{t,n}$ the trapping rate on site $n$.

In fact, among $\mathcal{L}_{\text{decay}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{trap}}$, which represent two possible channels for irreversible exciton energy loss, the former is ineffective in the energy transfer. Efficiency of energy transfer can be gauged by the quantum yield $q$, namely, the trapping probability, 37

$$q = \frac{\sum k_{t,n} \tau_n}{\sum k_{d,n} \tau_n} \frac{1}{\sum k_{d,m} \tau_m},$$

where the mean residence time $\tau_n$ can be expressed as $\tau_n = \int_0^\infty \rho_{mn}(t) dt$, and the population $\rho_{nn} = \rho_{nn}$ is the diagonal element of the density matrix. In photosynthetic systems, $k_{t}^{-1}$ is on the order of ps and $k_{d}^{-1}$ is on the order of ns, so the trapping rate is much larger than decay rate, and the quantum yield is close to unity. The quantum yield can then be approximated as $q \approx (1 + k_p(t))^{-1}$, where $(t) = \sum \tau_n$ is the mean first passage time to the trap state without the presence of the constant decay, i.e., the average trapping time. Quantum yield and trapping time have been extensively studied in the context of molecule photon statistics 39 and experimental investigation was also carried out in a photosynthetic system. 47 A shorter trapping time corresponds to a higher efficiency of exciton transfer.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Polaron dynamics in LH2 systems

With the aid of the Frenkel-Dirac time-dependent variational method, a hierarchy of variational wave functions has been previously formulated to faithfully describe the dynamics of the Holstein polaron. 48, 49 The accuracy of the Davydov Ansätze has been discussed in length in Refs. 48 and 49, and it has been shown that for diagonal exciton-phonon coupling, the D1 Ansatz has the highest precision in the hierarchy of the Davydov trial states when applied to the solution of the Holstein Hamiltonian. The increase in the precision of the D1 Ansatz is achieved mainly by the improved sophistication of the phonon wave function. 48, 49 Such increased sophistication plays a dramatic role especially in the weak and moderate coupling regime, where the plane-wave-like phonon components render it impractical to use a simple linear superposition of phonon coherent states. The vastly increased number of variational parameters for the phonon wave function ultimately results in the higher precision of the D1 Ansatz, which can be applied reliably to the detailed excitonic energy transfer dynamics in the LH2 system (with a known moderate value of Huang-Rhys factor as 0.5).

We first apply the approach to an excitonic polaron evolving in an isolated LH2 ring. To assure the accuracy of our approach, the D1 Ansatz has been chosen to deal with the dynamics in this paper. For a case of a wide phonon bandwidth ($W = 0.8$) and a moderate exciton-phonon coupling strength ($S = 0.5$), the time evolution of exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$ has the highest precision in the hierarchy of the Davydov trial states when applied to the solution of the Holstein Hamiltonian. The increase in the precision of the D1 Ansatz is achieved mainly by the improved sophistication of the phonon wave function. 48, 49 Such increased sophistication plays a dramatic role especially in the weak and moderate coupling regime, where the plane-wave-like phonon components render it impractical to use a simple linear superposition of phonon coherent states. The vastly increased number of variational parameters for the phonon wave function ultimately results in the higher precision of the D1 Ansatz, which can be applied reliably to the detailed excitonic energy transfer dynamics in the LH2 system (with a known moderate value of Huang-Rhys factor as 0.5).

We first apply the approach to an excitonic polaron evolving in an isolated LH2 ring. To assure the accuracy of our approach, the D1 Ansatz has been chosen to deal with the dynamics in this paper. For a case of a wide phonon bandwidth ($W = 0.8$) and a moderate exciton-phonon coupling strength ($S = 0.5$), the time evolution of exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$...
and phonon displacement $\xi_n(t)$ in the presence of only nearest neighbor transfer integral have been displayed in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). The polaron state is initialized as follows: $\alpha_n(0) = \delta_{n,8}$ and $\lambda_{n,q}(0) = 0$. The corresponding dynamics with realistic LH2 transfer integral matrix [cf. Eq. (7)] has been given in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). Time-dependent exciton and phonon energies as well as the magnitude of deviation vector $\Delta(t)$ for both cases are plotted in Fig. 1(e). For all calculations, a characteristic phonon frequency of $\omega_0 = 1670 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and a Huang-Rhys factor of $S = 0.5$ are chosen. The phonon frequency obtained from molecular dynamics simulation is related to the stretching mode in either a C=O bond, or a methine bridge.

It is important to compare calculated absorption spectra with measured ones in order to further validate our approach and lend support to our simulation of excitation transfer dynamics in a photosynthetic complex. Linear absorption spectra are calculated, and compared to measured ones in Fig. 2. Detailed derivations for absorption spectral calculations can be found in Refs. 48 and 49. Reasonable agreement is found between theory and experimental data for B850 complexes are obtained from Ref. 55. It is noted that results from our approach can also be compared to those from Ref. 50. Measured data for B850 complexes are obtained from Ref. 55. Reasonable agreement is found between theory and experiment, pointing to the applicability of our model to the B850 ring for the parameter set chosen. We note that although the long tail shown in the measured spectrum is roughly reproduced by our approach, the agreement is only qualitative, and better understanding of the phonon sidebands is needed.

Owing to the aforementioned higher accuracy of the D1 Ansatz as recorded in Refs. 48 and 49 in comparison with other trial states, as shown in Fig. 1(e), it is therefore an ideal candidate for the study of excitation dynamics of the LH2 system. The real LH2 system contains pigments that interact via long-range dipolar couplings as given by the pure exciton Hamiltonian Eq. (7). By including dipole-dipole interactions into the Hamiltonian, the accuracy for the D1 Ansatz has to be rechecked and compared to that for a model system with nearest neighbor coupling only. A first step toward the accuracy checkup is the comparison of polaron dynamics in Figs. 1(a)–1(d), where dramatic changes can be found as a result of dipolar interactions.

In contrast to the case with only nearest neighbor $J$ shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), many new features have emerged in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). One major finding is that the inclusion of realistic dipolar interactions in the LH2 system breaks the symmetry of the ring structure, resulting in asymmetric and faster exciton dynamics compared to the model system with only nearest neighbor $J$. Such an effect can be related to an emergence of additional energy transfer paths when dipolar interactions are included. Although the dynamics here does not contain the coupling to the external heat bath, the essential physics is captured to a great extent. It is worth noting that in Figs. 1(b) and 1(d), during the time evolution of phonon displacements $\xi_n(t)$, the dominant V-shaped feature survives the change of the exciton Hamiltonian from nearest neighbor $J$ to a realistic matrix of $J_{nm}$. This can be explained as follows: the phonon dynamics of the system is not only determined by the coupling between the exciton and the phonons, but also has its own propagation pattern, determined by the linear dispersion relationship given earlier. As a result, once the excitation on site 8 starts to propagate as shown in the exciton dynamics, the strong lattice distortion in the vicinity of the excitation will also propagate. Moreover, the effect of the exciton on phonon dynamics becomes apparent by comparing Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), where an exact correspondence between the exciton and phonon dynamics can be found. However, the moderate value of exciton-phonon coupling strength $S$ implies that the exciton generated on site 8 can easily propagate through a larger distance similar to a bare exciton.

More interestingly, the revival and localization phenomenon shown in Fig. 1(a) is nearly absent in Fig. 1(c) as a result of broken symmetry as well as multiple transfer channels due to the presence of dipolar interaction within the ring. However, there are certain possible positions such as on site 8 where it appears to exhibit possible revival of the exciton amplitude at around 6 phonon periods similar to those shown in Fig. 1(a) on site 8 at around 10 phonon periods. These observations lend further support to the speed up of exciton dynamics (in terms of delocalization) due to the inclusion of dipolar interactions. From above discussions, by including long-range excitonic coupling other than that between nearest neighbors (which is true for many realistic cases such as the LH2 system investigated in this paper), the exciton can more efficiently and evenly spread over the entire ring in the presence of exciton-phonon coupling. In other words, nature has designed a unique way for the light harvesting complexes such as LH2 to maximize their excitonic energy transfer efficiency in spite of exciton-phonon coupling that is responsible for the localization and dephasing of the excitons. It appears the symmetry breaking of the energy transfer pathways plays a positive role in LH2 complexes.

Furthermore, it is also important to note in Fig. 1(e) that for the chosen parameter sets, the D1 Ansatz is capable to describe the realistic LH2 system with great precision. Comparing to the maximum absolute value of phonon or exciton-phonon interaction energy shown in the figure, the magnitude of deviation vector $\Delta(t)$ is less than 30% of the average system energy (here we use phonon energy as the reference). Inclusion of complex dipolar coupling does not further increase the error of the D1 Ansatz in describing the system dynamics. Although the deviation magnitude does not change much, the exciton-phonon dynamics deviates substantially upon inclusion of dipolar coupling if one compares Figs. 1(a)–1(d).

Using the D1 Ansatz, we also examined the exciton-phonon dynamics of a realistic two-ring system with long-range dipolar interactions. Results from the dynamics calculations are shown in Figs. 3 and 4 for two inter-ring distances (15 and 20 Å, respectively). The choice of the distances for the two-ring system is in accordance with the one shown in Ref. 51, which suggests the Mg-Mg distance of around 24 Å for the closest chromophores between two adjacent LH2 rings. Considering the size of the chromophores, it is beneficial to slightly reduce the distance to consider the exciton transfer from the tip of one molecule to the other, thus two different distances, i.e., 15 and 20 Å are used in this study. To have better understanding of the roles of intra- and inter-ring excitonic transfer, the two-ring system is initialized as follows: $\alpha_n(0) = \delta_{n,8}$, and $\lambda_{n,q}(0) = 0$, i.e., a single excitation at site 8 of ring-1, after a subsequent spreading within
FIG. 1. Real space dynamics of (a) exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$ and (b) phonon displacement $\xi_n(t)$ for a single-ring with only nearest neighbor transfer integral $J = 0.3557$, a moderate exciton-phonon coupling strength $S = 0.5$, and a wide bandwidth $W = 0.8$ of phonon dispersion. Corresponding real space dynamics are displayed for (c) exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$ and (d) phonon displacement $\xi_n(t)$ for a single realistic LH2 ring transfer integral matrix. The D1 Ansatz is used for the simulation. The time evolution of energy components for exciton, phonon, exciton-phonon interaction, and deviation magnitude $\Delta(t)$ for both cases has also been given in (e). Note that the value of the nearest neighbor transfer integral is taken from the largest element (scaled by the characteristic phonon frequency $\omega_0$) of the realistic LH2 transfer integral matrix.
Due to strong interactions between site 1 of ring-1 and site 9 of ring-2 owing to their proximity, it is somewhat counterintuitive to observe the relatively smaller exciton probability on site 9 of ring-2. The explanation lies in the dimerization of LH2 rings, which results in opposite signs of dipole moments of site 1 of ring-1 and site 9 of ring-2, as shown in Fig. 3(f). Besides dimerization, it is also helpful to consider the intra-ring interference in ring-2 between exciton wave packets that travel through multiple paths from ring-1 to ring-2. Figures 3(c) and 4(c) suggest that sites 8 and 10 of ring-2 (especially, site 10) can be treated as input sites strongly connected to ring-1. Appearance of bright spots on sites 8 and 10 can be simply understood as a combined effect of exciton transfer from ring-1 to ring-2 and a constructive interference of exciton wave packets in ring-2. However, on locations far from site 10, such as sites 2, 5, 13, and 15 of the second ring, the effect of constructive interference is more prominent. As sites 2 and 16 of ring-2 are opposite to the aforementioned input sites, it is not surprising to observe bright spots on sites 2 and 16 as a result of constructive interference, as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 4(c). Effects of multiple pathways for inter-ring exciton transfer may thus play a role in the appearance of additional bright spots on ring-2.

Even more interestingly, one can also calculate the exciton population of a given ring, i.e., \( \sum_n |\alpha_n(t)|^2 \) in ring-\( r \) (with \( r \) the ring index) as a function of time to shed light on the overall exciton dynamics of the two-ring system with inter-ring distance of 15 and 20 Å. Calculation results are displayed in Fig. 5, where exciton populations corresponding to Figs. 3 and 4 are plotted as a function of time. A supplementary calculation for a much longer time is also given in the inset of Fig. 5 for an inter-ring distance of 15 Å. Short-time results suggest a nearly stepwise population transfer from ring-1 to ring-2. The stepwise features of population profiles in Fig. 5 coincide with the increase in the exciton probabilities on sites 8 to 10 in the second ring as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 4(c), lending further support to our previous discussion on inter-ring exciton transfer. Long-time calculation for the case with an inter-ring distance of 15 Å reveals that it takes about 200 phonon periods to have the complete transfer of the exciton population, as shown in the inset of Fig. 5. As one phonon period is equal to about 20 fs, the estimated total transfer time of 4 ps is in good agreement with the findings in Ref. 45.

Effects of static disorder on polaron dynamics have also been examined in order to test the applicability of the current approach to photosynthetic systems. Simulation results with Gaussian-distributed static disorder are included in Fig. 5. The on-site energy average is set to zero, and a standard deviation of \( \sigma = 0.1\omega_\text{0} \) is adopted in accordance with Ref. 56. For simplicity, only on-site energy disorder is included although it will not be difficult to examine off-diagonal disorder. Over 100 realizations have been simulated in the calculation. Figure 5 provides a comparison on the exciton population transfer with and without the energetic disorder. While some population fluctuations have been smoothened out, the overall energy transfer efficiency is not significantly affected by the addition of static disorder. Furthermore, in the absence of any exact or more reliable dynamics studies, our approach here provides a useful guide.

FIG. 2. Comparison of calculated linear absorption spectra and measured ones in a single B850 ring. A realistic matrix for the LH2 transfer integral is adopted. Parameters used are \( S = 0.5, \omega_\text{0} = 1670 \text{ cm}^{-1}, W = 0.8 \), and a damping factor of 0.12.
FIG. 3. Real space dynamics of (a) exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$ and (b) phonon displacement $\xi_n(t)$ in ring-1 for a two-LH2-ring system with realistic LH2 ring transfer integral matrix, a moderate exciton-phonon coupling strength $S = 0.5$, and a wide bandwidth $W = 0.8$ of phonon dispersion. The D$_1$ Ansatz is used for the simulation. Corresponding real space dynamics in ring-2 are displayed for (c) exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$ and (d) phonon displacement $\xi_n(t)$. The time evolution of energy components from exciton, phonon, exciton-phonon interaction, deviation magnitude $\Delta(t)$ has also been given in (e). The distance between two rings is set to 15 Å, with interaction between two rings given by Eq. (8). (f) The schematics of two connected LH2 complexes. $r$ is the distance between the tips of the rings.
FIG. 4. Real space dynamics of (a) exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$ and (b) phonon displacement $\xi_n(t)$ in ring-1 for a two-LH2-ring system with realistic LH2 ring transfer integral matrix, a moderate exciton-phonon coupling strength $S = 0.5$, and a wide bandwidth $W = 0.8$ of phonon dispersion. The D1 Ansatz is used for the simulation. Corresponding real space dynamics in ring-2 are displayed for (c) exciton probability $|\alpha_n(t)|^2$ and (d) phonon displacement $\xi_n(t)$. The time evolution of energy components from exciton, phonon, exciton-phonon interaction, deviation magnitude $\Delta(t)$ has also been given in (e). The distance between two rings is set to 20 Å, with interaction between two rings given by Eq. (8).
to the dynamics of excitonic energy transfer in LH1-LH2 systems.

**B. Exciton population dynamics of N-site symmetric simple ring systems and LH2 complexes**

Dynamics study in Sec. III A reveals the effect of dipolar interactions on exciton transfer efficiency at low temperatures, but it is also interesting to look at the opposite limit of high temperatures. As a starting point, it is preferable to use simple ring systems to analyze what may affect the energy transfer efficiency at high temperatures. Here, we first study the energy transfer in symmetric ring systems of three, four, six, seven, eight, and sixteen pigments. Efficiency of the energy transfer with respect to various trapping positions will be examined, and for an N-site ring system, analytical solutions will be obtained using the stationary condition on the off-diagonal density matrix element $\rho_{nm}$.

For a three-site ring system, we assume that all the site energies are the same, i.e., the energy difference is zero. The coupling between neighboring sites is assumed to be constant with $J_{12} = J_{23} = J_{31} = J_{32} = J$. Furthermore, the coupling with the environment (dephasing) is $\Gamma_{12} = \Gamma_{23} = \Gamma_{31} = \Gamma_{32} = \Gamma$. If the system is excited at site 1 and the excitation is trapped at the same location, the average trapping time is given by $\langle t \rangle = 3k_0^{-1}$, independent of $\Gamma$ and $J$. If a photon is absorbed at site 1, and the excitation is trapped at site 2, the average trapping time takes the form

$$\langle t \rangle = \frac{3}{k_0} + 2\Gamma + \frac{1}{2\Gamma + k_0} + \frac{2\Gamma + k}{4J^2},$$

which depends on $\Gamma$ and $J$. It is greater than that of the previous situation.

For a four-site ring system, if we only consider nearest neighbor couplings, the analytical expression for the residence time and the average trapping time can be easily obtained. If a photon is absorbed at site 1, and the excitation is also trapped at site 1, the average trapping time is given by $\langle t \rangle = 4k_0^{-1}$. If a photon is absorbed at site 1, and the excitation is trapped at site 2 (or equivalently, site 4), the average trapping time is

$$\langle t \rangle = \frac{4}{k_0} + \frac{1}{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{\Gamma + k_0/2} + \frac{3(2\Gamma + k_0)}{8J^2}. \quad (25)$$

If a photon is absorbed at site 1, and the excitation is trapped at site 3, the average trapping time is

$$\langle t \rangle = \frac{4}{k_0} + \frac{\Gamma}{J^2} + \frac{3k_0}{8J^2}. \quad (26)$$

By comparing the three equations for the four-site ring system, it is found that the average trapping time of the first system is the smallest among the three scenarios, and that of the second is larger than that of the third.

As indicated by the definition of $\langle t \rangle$, exciton population is proportional to the value of average trapping time. Thus, we can also probe the dynamics of the system using the exciton population. It is interesting to note that the exciton population of site 3 grows larger than that of site 2 and site 4 even though it is farther away from site 1, where the exciton is initially located. The phenomenon also happens for the second and third scenarios. To explain this, one can consider the two distinct paths for the exciton produced at site 1, in clockwise and and counterclockwise directions. They have the same phase when they meet at site 3, where the exciton population is greatly enhanced due to quantum interference. As a result, the exciton population of site 3 is larger than that of site 2 and site 4 even though they are closer to site 1.

Furthermore, we note a few differences among these scenarios: $\rho_1(t)$ is larger in the second scenario than others, therefore, it has the largest average trapping time, which leads to the lowest energy transfer efficiency, and $\rho_2(t)$ and $\rho_4(t)$ are exactly the same in the first scenario due to positional symmetry. $\rho_4(t)$ is slightly larger in the third scenario than the first, because the trap at site 2 destroys the symmetry.

**C. Energy transfer in single ring systems**

It is more interesting to look into the energy transfer efficiency of the ring system from the point of view of average trapping time, and as a starting point, simple single-ring systems with six, seven, eight, and sixteen sites have been studied. The average trapping times at different trapping positions for the ring systems (with other parameters $k_0 = 2$, $\Gamma_0 = 20$, $J = 100$) are given in Fig. 6(a). Site 1 is located at the origin ($0^\circ$), and other sites are distributed in the ring uniformly. Taking a six-site system as an example, the zero-degree trapping corresponds to the case in which a photon is initially absorbed at site one and the excitation is trapped at the same site. Similarly, ($180^\circ$) trapping corresponds to the situation in which a photon is absorbed at site 1, and the excitation is trapped at site 4. It is interesting to find that the systems with an even number of sites have a comparatively smaller average trapping time when the excitation is trapped at the exactly opposite position (e.g., $180^\circ$) of photon absorption. Since this phenomenon does not occur in systems with odd number of sites, it can be inferred from the simple ring systems that the
FIG. 6. (a) The average trapping time versus varying trapping positions for simple single-ring systems. From bottom upwards, the square, circle, triangle, down triangle, left triangle, and right triangle correspond to three, four, six, seven, eight, and sixteen-sites ring systems, respectively. For clarity, the schematics of the ring systems as well as trapping positions relative to the x-axis (with the angle measured in degrees) are shown in (b). For all systems, the parameters chosen for the Haken-Strobl model are: $k_t = 2$, $\Gamma_1 = 20$, and $J = 100$. The same quantity obtained from polaron dynamics for a sixteen-site ring system is also displayed in (a) as red line and triangles. The parameters chosen for polaron dynamics are: $\omega_0 = 1$, $\gamma_n = 0.007$, $J = 0.3$, $S = 0.5$, and $W = 0.8$. Be noted that the energy unit for the polaron dynamics calculation is the characteristic phonon frequency $\omega_0$. 
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constructive and destructive interference, closely related to the symmetry of a system, plays a significant role in determining the energy transfer efficiency.

For a ring system with even number of sites, it is possible to have constructive interference at the site exactly opposite to the photon absorption site in the ring, where the exciton amplitudes are now in phase due to their symmetrical transfer path. In this scenario, the constructive interference provides almost exactly the same average trapping time compared to the case where exciton is created and trapped at the same site. However, it should be noted that for systems with larger sizes, dephasing becomes increasingly important, resulting in a slight reduction in the energy transfer efficiency.

It is also interesting to compare our polaron dynamics simulation with the Haken-Strobl approach. Here, we only consider the case of a toy model with 16 sites and nearest neighbor transfer integrals. The trapping rate on site \( n \), \( \gamma_n \), is assumed to be \( 0.007\omega_n \), which translates into \( k_t \approx 2ps^{-1} \).

To compare with the output of the Haken-Strobl approach, the polaron dynamics result is also included in Fig. 6(a) (red line and triangles). As indicated in Fig. 6(a), similar behavior in the dependence of the average trapping time on trapping positions is found in the polaron dynamics results and those calculated by the Haken-Strobl method, despite many differences between the two approaches. This is especially true on site 1 (0°), and the site opposite to site 1 (180°), where the trapping time reaches minima. On other sites, off diagonal elements of the density matrix, neglected in the Haken-Strobl approach, seem to play a more important role in determining the trapping time.

Now we turn to more realistic systems of photosynthesis, where capture of solar photons is often facilitated by light-harvesting antennae, and excitons, first created in the antennae, are transferred to reaction centers. Motivated by the results of simple ring systems, we further examine how the trapping position would affect excitonic energy transfer in a realistic ring system that includes dipole-dipole interactions between all transition-dipole pairs that are not nearest neighbors. Figure 7(a) displays the dependence of average trapping time on the trapping position, and the inset of Fig. 7(a), a schematic of B850 ring of LH2, in which solid dots denote the \( \alpha \)-apoprotein, and the hollow dots, the \( \beta \)-apoprotein. Interestingly, a dip corresponding to a minimal average trapping time is found to appear at site 9, in addition to the one at site 1. In comparison to the uniform ring systems shown in Fig. 6, there emerge zig-zag features in the trapping-time versus site-number plot in Fig. 7(a). Although the steepest dip can be simply explained with constructive interference, the appearance of multiple dips is directly related to the inclusion of long-range dipolar interactions, and therefore, multiple excitation transfer pathways. It is also noted that the dependence of average trapping time on the site index no longer has the symmetries that exist for uniform rings. Furthermore, the non-symmetric dependence of \(< t >\) on the trapping position makes it possible to have different clockwise and anti-clockwise paths, an effect that can be related to the dimerization of the LH2 ring.

Dependence of average trapping time on trapping position has also been examined for a single LH1 ring, and the results are shown in Fig. 7(b). The exciton Hamiltonian of LH1 has a form similar to Eq. (7) with the total number of sites increased from 16 to 32. Chromophores are assumed to be evenly distributed with a distance of about 10 Å, and other parameters for the LH1 system are taken from Ref. 33. Results similar to the LH2 case can be obtained from Fig. 7(b), and a dip appears around the middle point of the ring at site 17 with an obvious loss of symmetry. Due to the increase in the total site number, which mitigates interference effects, a much smoother curve is obtained in Fig. 7(b). For both the LH2 and LH1 rings, we have found that the long-range dipolar coupling reduces substantially the effect of quantum inference, in agreement with similar earlier findings in polaron dynamics simulations.

**FIG. 7.** Average trapping time versus trapping site for the inset schematics of B850 ring of (a) LH2, and (b) LH1. Solid dots represent the \( \alpha \)-apoproteins and hollow dots, the \( \beta \)-apoproteins. The directions of the dipoles are tangential to the circle, with \( \alpha \)-apoproteins pointing in clockwise direction, \( \beta \)-apoproteins, anti-clockwise. A photon is absorbed at 1, BCHl, trapped at different BCHls. For all calculations, the trapping rate \( k_t \) is set to 1 and dephasing rate \( \Gamma \) is equal to 0. The rest of parameters, i.e., \( J_{\text{int}} \), are given in Eqs. (7) and (8).
D. Energy transfer in multiple connected ring systems

To expand our study of energy transfer efficiency to multiple-ring systems, two-ring toy models, as depicted in Fig. 8, are examined first. Intra-ring nearest-neighbor interactions are assumed with coupling strength $J$, and site energies are set to be the same. The two rings are coupling through site 16 and site 17 with coupling strength $V$. Our findings are shown in Fig. 8, and an optimal coupling strength for efficient energy transfer is discovered at zero pure dephasing rate $\Gamma = 0$. This optimal strength found is close to the value of intra-ring coupling $J$, a result of system symmetry loss. If the trapping site is moved from site 32 to site 31, the optimal trapping time is found at a nonzero pure dephasing rate $\Gamma = 0.2$, as shown in Fig. 8(c). It can be speculated that, to optimize the energy transfer efficiency, system symmetry imperfections can be compensated by the introduction of the pure dephasing or the dissipation of system coherence by the environment. Such a finding is applicable for the design of artificial photosynthetic systems in general.

Next, we will look at a realistic two-ring LH2 system with long-range dipolar interactions as illustrated in Fig. 9(a). Two rings interact via dipole-dipole coupling, according to Eq. (8). An initial state in which all sites in the first ring are evenly excited is selected, i.e., at $t = 0$, $\rho_{nm} = 1/16$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, 16$ in the first ring. We also assume that the excitation is evenly trapped in the second ring. It is found that the trapping time increases with the edge-to-edge inter-ring distance $r$ as expected, and for $r > 20$ Å (or with higher dephasing rates), it increases rapidly. It is known that quantum coherence may help enhance the efficiency of energy transfer. At higher dephasing rates, with the destruction of coherence, the excitation is transported classically, resulting in longer trapping times and lower efficiency.

The findings on average trapping time in Fig. 9 suggest an extremely distance-dependent nature of energy transfer. As indicated in Ref. 57, the sites too close to each other in certain orientations will lead to non-fluorescent dimers, which can serve as excitation sinks that deplete excitations.

One can also observe an optimal value of inter-ring distance of around 5 Å, corresponding to the highest strength of dipolar interaction between the nearest neighbor dipoles. This value is not close to the realistic inter-ring distance of LH2 complexes in a close packed membrane. Although it has been pointed out in Ref. 57 that typical inter-chromophore center-to-center distances of neighboring molecules are consequently close (6 to 25 Å). Thus, the global minimum obtained with the method introduced in this paper is only an ideal case such that two molecular aggregates are in contact with each other without any support of protein scaffold. Although the value only corresponds to the ideal case in which the local minimum is non-negligible. Such local minimum in average trapping time with non-zero dephasing rate appears in a continuous fashion throughout a large range of distances between the rings as shown in Figs. 9(b) and 9(c). Its existence can be explained by the role environment related dephasing plays in increasing energy transfer efficiency for a system with asymmetric properties. The symmetry-breaking dipolar interaction in the LH2 system leads to multiple non-nearest neighbor energy transfer pathways, which can enhance the energy transfer within the ring greatly as shown in the polaron dynamics calculations, however, it is more preferable to have more exciton populations that can be trapped at certain site. Thus, it is preferable to have finite dephasing to increase the inter-ring transfer efficiency by maximizing the amount of exciton populations that can be trapped in the
FIG. 9. (a) Schematic of a two-ring LH2 system. \( r \) is the distance between the edges of the rings. (b) Short distance \( \langle t \rangle \) contour map as a function of \( \Gamma \) and \( r \). (c) Long distance \( \langle t \rangle \) contour map as a function of \( \Gamma \) and \( r \). In the calculations of \( \langle t \rangle \), the first ring on the left is evenly excited, and exciton is evenly trapped in the ring-2. Inter-ring interaction takes same form of the intra-ring dipole-dipole coupling. The trapping rate in the calculations is assumed to be a constant, with \( k_t = 1 \).

trapping site of the second ring through multiple pathway interference. This small amount of dephasing is not sufficient to destroy the quantum coherence in the system, which gives us a hint on how important the unique arrangements and interactions between chromophores in the LH2 complexes as well as the way with which each complex interacts with others are in optimizing the energy transfer in the presence of an environment that is coupled to the system. More interestingly, in a recent work on FMO complex,\(^{58}\) even for this finite-size, disordered molecular network can effectively preserve coherent excitation energy transfer against ambient dephasing, which lends a further support to what we have revealed in this section. Furthermore, the role of dipolar interaction in increasing the exciton transfer efficiency through introducing multiple pathways for inter-ring exciton transfer has been made amply evident.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, two distinct approaches have been applied to study the excitation energy transfer in the ring systems. From both methods, non-nearest neighbor dipolar interaction is found to be helpful in increasing intra- or inter-ring exciton transfer efficiency as a result of multiple pathways.

Using the Haken-Strobl model, the energy transfer in the ring systems with coupling to environment is studied in details. The energy trapping efficiency is found to be dependent on the trapping position in both the hypothetic and the realistic ring systems. For the hypothetic system, with the trap positioned diametrically opposite to the site of the initial excitation (which is possible only in systems with even number of sites), we observe a sudden drop of the trapping time due to the constructive interference between the clockwise and anti-clockwise paths leading to the trap. The situations in a LH2 (B850) ring and LH1 ring are similar to the hypothetic systems, but owing to the broken symmetry caused by the dimerization of BCHls and dipolar couplings, the drop of the trapping time is not significant. In other words, the ring becomes more homogeneous in terms of energy transfer, which further supports the findings of polaron dynamics studies of one-ring system.

The Haken-Strobl method is a high-temperature treatment centering on the population dynamics while neglecting coherence dynamics among neighboring pigments. In comparison, the Holstein Hamiltonian dynamics adds an alternative, low-temperature perspective to the problem. Due to the femtosecond nature of the energy transfer in photosynthetic complexes, full thermal equilibrium may be hard to attain before the exciton is transferred from an antenna complex to a reaction center, and it makes sense that the system is also modeled as a microcanonical ensemble. The simulation on polaron dynamics adds far more quantum details to the femtosecond energy transfer using a non-equilibrium framework in a supposedly low-temperature setting.

The polaron dynamics of the coupled rings revealed an interesting role of dipolar interaction in increasing energy transfer efficiency by introducing multiple transfer paths between the rings, and the time scale of inter-ring exciton transfer is in good agreement with previous studies. Moreover, when the Haken-Strobl model is applied for the coupled rings, an optimal coupling strength is obtained between the hypothetic two-ring systems with zero dephasing rate, while in a two-LH2 system, owing to the intrinsic symmetry breaking, the global minimum and local minimum of average trapping time is always found with non-zero dephasing rate. In both the cases, the efficiency drops with a further increase of dephasing rate, due to the loss of quantum coherence. The findings in this paper regarding exciton transfer processes in ring
systems might assist us in designing more efficient artificial light harvesting systems.
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APPENDIX A: PRECISION OF THE TIME-DEPENDENT DAVYDOV ANSÄTZE

For a trial wave function $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ that does not strictly obey the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, the deviation vector $|\delta(t)\rangle$ can be defined as

$$|\delta(t)\rangle \equiv i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(t)\rangle - \hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle,$$

and the deviation amplitude $\Delta(t)$ is defined as

$$\Delta(t) \equiv \sqrt{|\langle \delta(t)|\delta(t)\rangle|}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

For the Holstein Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ defined in Eqs. (1)–(4), one can derive the explicit expression of $\langle \delta(t)|\delta(t)\rangle$ for the $D_1$ Ansatz,

$$\langle \delta_{D_1}(t)|\delta_{D_1}(t)\rangle = \sum_n |i \dot{\alpha}_n(t) + T_n(t) + \alpha_n(t) R_n(t)|^2$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha_n(t) \neq 0} \sum_q |\alpha_n(t)|^2 \left[ i \dot{\lambda}_{n,q}(t) + \frac{g_q}{\sqrt{N}} \omega_q e^{-iqn} - \omega_q \lambda_{n,q}(t) \right]$$

$$+ \Omega_{n,q}(t)^2 + \Delta_{D_1}^2(t) - \sum_{\alpha_n(t) \neq 0} \sum_q |\Omega_{n,q}(t)|^2,$$ \hspace{1cm} (A3)

with

$$R_n(t) \equiv \text{Re} \sum_q \left[ i \dot{\lambda}_{n,q}(t) + \frac{2g_q}{\sqrt{N}} \omega_q e^{-iqn} - \omega_q \lambda_{n,q}(t) \right] \lambda_{n,q}^*(t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (A4)

where $T_n(t)$, $\Omega_{n,q}(t)$, and $\Delta_{D_1}^2(t)$ are three expressions, which have no item of $\dot{\alpha}_n(t)$ or $\lambda_{n,q}(t)$.

For the $D_2$ Ansatz, Eq. (A3) can be further derived to

$$\langle \delta_{D_2}(t)|\delta_{D_2}(t)\rangle = \sum_n |i \dot{\alpha}_n(t) + T_n(t) + \alpha_n(t) R_n(t)|^2$$

$$+ \sum_q |\dot{\beta}_q(t) + \frac{g_q}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_n |\alpha_n(t)|^2 e^{-iqn} - \omega_q \beta_q(t)|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_q g_q^2 \omega_q^2 \left[ 1 - \sum_n |\alpha_n(t)|^2 e^{-iqn} \right]^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A5)

And for the $\tilde{D}$ Ansatz, Eq. (A3) can be further derived to

$$\langle \delta_{\tilde{D}}(t)|\delta_{\tilde{D}}(t)\rangle = \sum_n |i \dot{\alpha}_n(t) + T_n(t) + \alpha_n(t) R_n(t)|^2$$

$$+ |i \dot{\lambda}_0(t) + \frac{g_q}{\sqrt{N}} \omega_q \lambda_0(t)|^2$$

$$+ \sum_{q \neq 0} |\dot{\xi}_q(t) + \xi_q(t) \dot{\beta}_q(t) + \gamma_q(t) + \frac{d_q(t)}{1 - |\xi_q(t)|^2}|^2$$

$$+ \sum_{q \neq 0} \left[ \sum_n |\Theta_{n,q}(t)|^2 - \left[ |\gamma_q(t)|^2 + \frac{|d_q(t)|^2}{1 - |\xi_q(t)|^2} \right] \right]$$

$$+ \Delta_{\tilde{D}}^2(t) - \sum_{n,q} |\Omega_{n,q}(t)|^2,$$ \hspace{1cm} (A6)

where $\xi_q(t)$, $\Theta_{n,q}(t)$, $\gamma_q(t)$, and $d_q(t)$ are four expressions, which have no item of $\dot{\alpha}_n(t)$, $\dot{\beta}_q(t)$, $\gamma_q(t)$, or $\lambda_0(t)$.

Substituting Eq. (11) into Eqs. (2)–(4), one obtains the expressions for the system energies by the Davydov Ansätze in the Holstein model,

$$E_{ph}(t) \equiv \langle \Psi_D(t)|\hat{H}_{ph}|\Psi_D(t)\rangle$$

$$= - \frac{2}{N} \text{Re} \sum_n \alpha_n(t) S_{n,n+1}(t) \alpha_{n+1}(t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (A7)

$$E_{ex}(t) \equiv \langle \Psi_D(t)|\hat{H}_{ex}|\Psi_D(t)\rangle$$

$$= - \frac{2}{N} \sum_n \left[ |\alpha_n(t)|^2 \sum_q \omega_q |\lambda_{n,q}(t)|^2 \right],$$ \hspace{1cm} (A8)

and

$$E_{ex-ph}(t) \equiv \langle \Psi_D(t)|\hat{H}_{ex-ph}|\Psi_D(t)\rangle$$

$$= - \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_n |\alpha_n(t)|^2 \text{Re} \sum_q g_q \omega_q \lambda_{n,q}(t) e^{iqn},$$ \hspace{1cm} (A9)

where $S_{n,m}(t)$ is the Debye-Waller factor.

Note that since the unit of $\Delta(t)$ is that of the energy, by comparing $\Delta(t)$ with the main component of the system energies such as $E_{ph}(t)$ and $E_{ex-ph}(t)$, one can observe whether the deviation of an Ansatz from obeying the Schrödinger equation is negligible or not, in the concerned case. From this perspective, the comparison between $\Delta(t)$ and energy components of the system provides a good reference for the validity of an Ansatz.

APPENDIX B: DETAIL DERIVATION OF POLARON DYNAMICS IN MULTIPLE-RING SYSTEM

The system energies of the multiple-ring system can be obtained as follows by apply Davydov Ansätze to the
modified Holstein Hamiltonian given in Eqs. (5), (9), and (10):

\[
E_{\text{ex}}(t) = \sum_{r_{1}r_{2}} \sum_{nm} J_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}} \alpha_{n}^{*}(t) \alpha_{m}^{*}(t) S_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}}(t),
\]

\[
E_{\text{ph}}(t) = \sum_{r} \sum_{n} |\alpha_{n}^{*}(t)|^{2} \sum_{q} \omega_{r}^{2} \left| \lambda_{nq}^{r}(t) \right|^{2},
\]

\[
E_{\text{ex-ph}}(t) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{r} \sum_{n} \sum_{q} g_{q}^{r} \omega_{r}^{2} |\alpha_{n}^{*}(t)|^{2} \text{Re}[\epsilon_{q}^{\text{nn}} \lambda_{nq}^{r}(t)],
\]

with Debye-Waller factor \( S_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}}(t) \) given by

\[
S_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}}(t) = \exp\left\{ \sum_{q} \left[ \lambda_{nq}^{r_{1}}(t) \lambda_{mq}^{r_{2}}(t) \delta_{r_{1}r_{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \left| \lambda_{nq}^{r_{1}}(t) \right|^{2} \right] \right\},
\]

and the index \( r_{1} \) and \( r_{2} \) all run over 1 to \( N_{\text{ring}} \).

Since \( D_{1} \) is the used for all calculations in this paper, here we only give the expression of \( \langle \delta(t) \delta(t) \rangle \) for \( D_{1} \), which can be derived as follows:

\[
\langle \delta_{D_{1}}(t) \delta_{D_{1}}(t) \rangle = \sum_{r} \sum_{n} \left| \dot{\alpha}_{n}^{e}(t) + \alpha_{n}^{e}(t) R_{n}^{e}(t) + T_{n}^{e}(t) \right|^{2}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r} \sum_{n} \sum_{q} g_{q}^{r} \alpha_{nq} \left| \alpha_{nq}(t) \right| \left( \alpha_{nq}^{*}(t) + \Omega_{nq}^{r}(t) \right) + \Delta_{D_{1}}^{2}(t)
\]

\[
- \sum_{r} \sum_{n} \sum_{q} \left| \Omega_{nq}^{r}(t) \right|^{2} - \sum_{r} \sum_{n} \sum_{q} \left| T_{n}^{e}(t) \right|^{2}.
\]

Minimization of the first two terms in Eq. (B5) leads to the equations of motions for the time-dependent variational parameters \( \alpha_{n}^{e}(t) \) and \( \lambda_{nq}^{r}(t) \) as

\[
\dot{\alpha}_{n}^{e}(t) = i \left[ T_{n}^{e}(t) + \alpha_{n}^{e}(t) R_{n}^{e}(t) \right],
\]

\[
\dot{\lambda}_{nq}^{r}(t) = \frac{g_{q}^{e}}{\sqrt{N}} \left[ e^{i\eta_{nq}} - i \omega_{r} \lambda_{nq}^{r}(t) \right].
\]

Each collected term in Eqs. (B6) and (B7) is given as

\[
\dot{\lambda}_{nq}^{r}(t) = i \left[ \lambda_{nq}^{r}(t) + \frac{g_{q}^{e}}{\sqrt{N}} \alpha_{n}^{e}(t) e^{-i\eta_{nq}} - \alpha_{n}^{e}(t) \lambda_{nq}^{r}(t) \right],
\]

\[
R_{n}^{e}(t) = \text{Re} \sum_{q} \left[ \alpha_{nq}^{*}(t) + \frac{g_{q}^{e}}{\sqrt{N}} \alpha_{n}^{e}(t) e^{-i\eta_{nq}} \right] \lambda_{nq}^{r}(t),
\]

\[
T_{n}^{e}(t) = -\sum_{r_{1}r_{2}} \sum_{m} J_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}} \alpha_{m}^{*}(t) S_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}}(t),
\]

\[
\Omega_{nq}^{e}(t) = -\sum_{r_{1}r_{2}} \sum_{m} J_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}} \alpha_{m}^{*}(t) S_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}}(t) \left[ \lambda_{nq}^{r_{1}}(t) \delta_{r_{1}r_{2}} - \lambda_{nq}^{r_{2}}(t) \right].
\]

\[
\Delta_{D_{1}}^{2}(t) = \sum_{r_{1}r_{2}} \sum_{n} \left[ \sum_{m} J_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}} J_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}} \alpha_{m}^{*}(t) \alpha_{m}^{*}(t) S_{nm}^{r_{1}r_{2}}(t) \right].
\]
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