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Abstract—In this note, we study quasi-uniform distributions that are obtained from finite groups. We derive a few simple properties of entropic vectors obtained from Abelian groups, and consider the problem of determining when non-Abelian groups can provide richer entropic vectors than Abelian groups. We focus in particular on the family of dihedral groups $D_{2n}$, and show that when $2n$ is not a power of 2, the induced entropic vectors for two variables cannot be obtained from Abelian groups, contrary to the case of $D_8$ which does not provide more than Abelian groups.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quasi-uniform distributions have attracted increasing interest over the past years because of their connections to information theory. It was shown in [1] that the closure $\bar{\Gamma}_n^*$ of the set of all entropic vectors for $n$ random variables is equivalent to the minimal closed cone containing the set of all $n$-dimensional quasi-uniform functions, while understanding entropy vectors is a key to determine the capacity regions of many network information theory problems. It was further proven in [3] that one way to obtain quasi-uniform random variables is, surprisingly, through groups. By associating a collection of random variables to subgroups of a given group, one obtains a set of quasi-uniform random variables, whose correlation depends on the subgroup structure of the chosen group. In fact, it was established in [3] that $\bar{\Gamma}_n^*$ is equivalent to the minimal closed cone containing the set of all entropic vectors that can be “characterized” by groups. Finally considering only Abelian groups yields a non-trivial inner bound of $\bar{\Gamma}_n^*$.

Apart their applications to the problem of entropic vectors, quasi-uniform distributions appear in coding theory. Codes can be constructed from quasi-uniform distributions [5], and the resulting so-called quasi-uniform codes are shown to generalize linear codes and almost affine codes [7]. In particular, they can serve as network codes [6] for non-multicast networks.

The connection between groups and quasi-uniform distributions has been studied again in [4], where the authors identified the symmetric group $S_5$ of order 120 as being the smallest group which violates the Ingleton inequality [8]. Identifying such groups is hoped to both help to approach the problem of entropic vectors, as well as provide possible non-linear network codes. These groups however must be non-Abelian, since it is known from [2] that Abelian groups never violate the inequality.

Determining entropic vectors is a notoriously difficult problem, which we will not tackle here. Instead, we will focus on trying to understand better the connection between quasi-uniform random variables and groups, starting from group theory. The type of questions we are interesting in are:

1. Given a finite group, how does its subgroup structure determines the correlation of the random variables? In other words, is there some classification of finite groups in terms of the type of entropic vectors they induce? It is too ambitious to consider every finite group, considering the current classification of simple groups, but this makes sense for Abelian groups, whose classification is well known, as well as for some well known studied non-Abelian groups. Though Abelian groups are not improving on linear network codes, they are a starting point to understand more general groups, and to figure out how to design explicit code constructions out of groups.

2. It is clear that non-Abelian groups are needed, since Abelian groups are not sufficient to bring interesting examples of neither entropic vectors nor codes. However, whether every non-Abelian group can provide new entropic vectors that could not be obtained from Abelian groups is less obvious (and in fact not true). This question also depends on the number $n$ of random variables considered.

This note is organized as follows. We start with providing some background to define our framework. In Section III, we present a few immediate properties of Abelian groups, while we discuss non-Abelian groups and whether they provide new entropic vectors in Section IV, where we mainly focus on the family of dihedral groups. Since this is a preliminary work, numerous open questions are addressed in the conclusion.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be a collection of $n$ jointly distributed random variables over some alphabet of size $N$. We denote by $A$ a subset of indices from $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $X_A = \{X_i | i \in A\}$.

Definition 1: A set of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is quasi-uniform if for any $A \subset \mathcal{N}$, $X_A$ is uniformly distributed over its support $\lambda(X_A)$:

$$P(X_A = x_A) = \begin{cases} 1/|\lambda(X_A)| & \text{if } x_A \in \lambda(X_A), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
It is straightforward to compute the entropy of a quasi-uniform distribution. By definition, we have

\[ H(X_A) = - \sum_{x_A \in \lambda(X_A)} P(X_A = x_A) \log P(X_A = x_A) = \log |\lambda(X_A)|. \tag{1} \]

Surprisingly, one way of obtaining quasi-uniform random variables is through group theory [1]:

**Theorem 1:** For any finite group \( G \) and any subgroups \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) of \( G \), \( \exists \) a set of \( n \) jointly distributed quasi-uniform discrete random variables \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) such that for all non-empty subsets \( A \) of \( N \), \( H(X_A) = \log |G : G_A| \), where \( G_A = \cap_{i \in A} G_i \) and \( |G : G_A| \) is the index of \( G_A \) in \( G \).

Recall that a group \( G \) is a set endowed with a binary operation (denoted multiplicatively), satisfying the properties that (1) \( G \) is closed under the binary operation, (2) this binary operation is associative, (3) there exists an identity element \( e \) such that \( eg = g \) for all \( g \in G \), and (4) every element is invertible, namely there exists \( g \) such that \( gg^{-1} = e \) for all \( g \in G \). The subgroups \( G_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \) are subsets of \( G \) which form a group. Given a subgroup \( G_i \) of \( G \), one can define a left (respectively right) coset of \( G_i \) in \( G \) by

\[ gG_i = \{ gh, h \in G_i \} \text{ respectively } G_i g = \{ hg, h \in G_i \}. \]

The number of left (or right) cosets of \( G_i \) in \( G \) is called the index of \( G_i \) in \( G \), denoted by \( |G : G_i| \). Lagrange Theorem states that \( |G : G_i| = |G|/|G_i| \) where \( |G| \) denotes the order (cardinality) of \( G \). The idea of the above theorem is to associate to a random variable \( X_i \) whose outcome is some \( g \in G \) the left coset \( gG_i \) so that \( P(X_i = gG_i) = 1/|G : G_i| \). We then have that \( P(X_i = gG_i, i \in A) = 1/|G : G_A| \).

Note that a characterization of independent random variables is through group theory [1]:

**Theorem 2:** Suppose \( G \) is a finite Abelian group. Then \( G \) is a direct product of cyclic groups of order \( p^k \), with \( p \) prime.

This suggests to look at quasi-uniform distributions obtained from direct product of cyclic groups (the direct product of groups is given by their cartesian product where the binary operation is defined componentwise), as well as from cyclic groups of order \( p^k \) with \( p \) prime. Our first observation is that the most trivial example of correlation, that of independence, can be characterized by a direct product of cyclic groups!

**Proposition 1:** Quasi-uniform independent random variables can be represented as the direct product of cyclic groups.

**Proof:** Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) be a set of quasi-uniform independent random variables. By definition

\[ P(X_i = x_i) = \frac{1}{a_i} \]

for some integer \( a_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \). Since the \( X_i \) are independent, we get

\[ P(X_A = x_A) = \frac{1}{a_A} \]

for all \( A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and \( a_A = \prod_{i \in A} a_i \). From (1), the corresponding entropies are

\[ H(X_A) = \log a_A, \quad H(X_A) = \log a_A \]

for all \( A \). (This is of course coherent with \( H(X_1, \ldots, X_{i_A}) = H(X_{i_A}) + \ldots + H(X_{i_a}) \) since the variables are independent.)

We need to construct a group \( G \) and subgroups \( G_i \) such that

\[ \log |G : G_A| = H(X_A) = \log a_A \forall A, \]

where \( G_A = \cap_{i \in A} G_i \) and \( |G : G_i| = |G|/|G_i| \) is the index of \( G_i \) in \( G \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Let \( G = C_{a_1} \times \ldots \times C_{a_n} \) be the direct product of the cyclic groups \( C_{a_i} \). Note that the order of \( G \) is

\[ |G| = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i, \]

so that

\[ G : G_i = |G|/|G_i| = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i}{a_i} = a_i \]

and similarly

\[ G : G_A = |G|/|G_A| = \frac{\prod_{i \in A} a_i}{\prod_{j \notin A} a_j} = a_A \]

for all \( A \) and the result follows.

Note that a characterization of independent random variables in terms of subgroups is given in [2].

We next consider cyclic groups of order a prime power, which yield highly correlated entropic vectors. More precisely:
**Proposition 2:** Let \( p \) be a prime and \( C_p \) be a cyclic group of order \( p^k \). Then the random variables \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) induced by \( n \) subgroups of \( C_p \) satisfy, up to a change of label, that \( H(X_1|X_n) = 0 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).

**Proof:** Let \( G = C_p \). Then its subgroup structure is

\[
G \supset C_{p^{k-1}} \supset \cdots \supset C_p \supset \{1\}.
\]

Set \( G_i = C_{p^{k-i}} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Note that for all \( i \)

\[
|G : G_i| = |G|/|G_i| = \frac{p^k}{p^{k-i}} = p^i.
\]

We know from Theorem 1 that corresponding to a group \( G \) and some subgroups \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) there exist jointly distributed random variables \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) such that \( H(X_A) = \log |G : G_A| \).

For \( i > j \), \( H(X_i, X_j) = \log |G : G_i \cap G_j| = \log |G : G_j| = H(X_j) \) which implies \( H(X_i|X_j) = H(X_i, X_j) - H(X_j) = 0 \).

Now let \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) be a subset of subgroups of \( G \) corresponding to \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) and without loss of generality we assume that up to reordering \( G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \ldots \subset G_1 \).

Then

\[
H(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \log |G : G_1 \cap \cdots \cap G_n| = \log |G : G_n| = H(X_n).
\]

By the chain rule of entropy \( H(X_n, X_{n-1}, \ldots, X_1) = H(X_n) + H(X_{n-1}|X_n) + \cdots + H(X_1|X_2, \ldots, X_2) \) implying that \( H(X_{n-1}|X_n) = \cdots = H(X_1|X_2, \ldots, X_2) = 0 \). This tells that given \( X_n, X_{n-1} \) is deterministic. By switching the role of \( X_{n-1} \) and \( X_n \) in the chain rule, we similarly get

\[
H(X_{n-j}|X_n) = 0, \ j = 1, \ldots, n-1,
\]

and \( X_n \) determines the random variables \( X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} \).

Note that functional dependency is characterized more generally in [2] in terms of subgroups.

**Example 1:** Consider the cyclic group \( C_8 \), whose subgroup structure is shown on Fig. 1. Take \( G_1 = C_4, G_2 = C_2 \). Then

\[
H(X_1) = \log 2, \ H(X_2) = \log 4, \ H(X_1, X_2) = \log 4.
\]

Thus

\[
H(X_1, X_2) = H(X_1|X_2) + H(X_2) = H(X_1|X_2) + \log 4
\]

showing that \( H(X_1|X_2) = 0 \).

**IV. NON-ABELIAN GROUPS AND ABELIAN GROUP REPRESENTABILITY**

We now turn to the case of non-Abelian groups, and wonder whether the entropic vectors they induce could as well be coming from Abelian groups, in which case we refer to them as being Abelian group representable. For that, we fix the number \( n \) of random variables we are interested in. To show that an Abelian group suffices, we need to explore all possible choices of \( n \), which can be at most the total number of subgroups of \( G \). Note that given a group \( G \), it always contains two trivial subgroups: the identity element \( \{1\} \) and the whole group \( G \) itself. If a subgroup \( G_i \) is chosen to be \( G \), then \( \log |G : G_i| = 0 \), that is \( H(X_i) = 0 \), corresponding to \( X_i \) actually taking values deterministically. If on the other hand

\[
G_i = \{1\}, \ \text{then} \ \log |G : \{1\}| = \log |G|,
\]

Thus the entropy chain rule yields

\[
H(X_i, X_A) = H(X_i) + H(X_A|X_i)
\]

for every \( A \) such that \( i \notin A \), but since \( H(X_i) = \log |G| \) and \( H(X_i, X_A) = \log |G : \{1\} \cap G_A| = \log |G| \), we conclude that

\[
H(X_A|X_i) = 0.
\]

In words, given \( X_i \), all the \( n - 1 \) other random variables become deterministic, that is, they are actually functions of \( X_i \). We will consequently assume that \( n \) is at most the number of non-trivial subgroups of \( G \).

**A. Non-Abelian Groups of order 8**

We start by looking at groups of small orders. The three smallest non-Abelian groups are the dihedral groups \( D_6 \) (of order 6), \( D_8 \) (of order 8) and the quaternion group \( Q_8 \) (of order 8 as well). The group \( D_6 \) will be treated next.

Consider first the quaternion group \( Q_8 \)

\[
Q_8 = \{1, -1, i, -i, j, -j, k, -k\}.
\]

If \( n = 2 \), we can either choose as subgroups two among \( \{i, j, k\} \), or one among this same list and \( \{\pm 1\} \) as the other one. In the first case, we have

\[
H(X_1) = \log 2, \ H(X_2) = \log 2, \ H(X_1, X_2) = \log 4.
\]

Such an entropic vector can be instead obtained from the Klein group \( C_2 \times C_2 \) (see Fig. 1) by choosing

\[
G = C_2 \times C_2, \ G_1 = C_2 \times \{1\}, \ G_2 = \{1\} \times C_2, \ G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}.
\]

In the second case, we have

\[
H(X_1) = \log 2, \ H(X_2) = \log 4, \ H(X_1, X_2) = \log 4.
\]

It can be obtained from \( G = C_8, \ G_1 = C_4, \ G_2 = C_2 \).

Consider next the dihedral group \( D_8 \) (see Fig. 2), whose description by generators and relations is:

\[
D_8 = \langle r, s \mid r^4 = s^2 = 1, \ rs = sr^{-1} \rangle.
\]
If $n = 2$, we have four possible choices of subgroups:
1) Pick for $G_1$ and $G_2$ any two subgroups of order 4, then $H(X_1) = \log 2$, $H(X_2) = \log 2$, $H(X_1, X_2) = \log 4$, which can obtained from the Klein group, as in (2).
2) Pick alternatively for $G_1$ and $G_2$ any two of the subgroups of order 2, yielding $H(X_1) = \log 4$, $H(X_2) = \log 4$, $H(X_1, X_2) = \log 8$, which corresponds to the Abelian group $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ (see Fig. 3) by choosing $G_1 = C_2 \times \{1\} \times \{1\}$, $G_2 = \{1\} \times C_2 \times \{1\}$, $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}$.
3) By combining one subgroup $G_2$ of order 4 with one subgroup $G_1$ of order 2, with $G_1 \subset G_2$, results in $H(X_1) = \log 4$, $H(X_2) = \log 2$, $H(X_1, X_2) = \log 4$ which can be seen as coming from the Abelian group $C_2 \times C_4$ (see Fig. 3) where $G_1 = \{1\} \times C_2$, $G_2 = \{1\} \times C_4$, $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\} \times C_2$.
4) Finally we can have $G_2$ of order 4, $G_1$ of order 2, with trivial intersection: $H(X_1) = \log 4$, $H(X_2) = \log 2$, $H(X_1, X_2) = \log 4$, which can again be obtained from $C_2 \times C_4$ with this time $G_1 = \{1\} \times C_2$, $G_2 = C_4 \times \{1\}$, $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}$.

In summary:

**Proposition 3:** Consider $n = 2$ quasi-uniform random variables. The two non-Abelian groups of order 8 induce entropic vectors that can be obtained from Abelian groups.

The above result shows that it should not be taken for granted that any non-Abelian group will provide richer entropic vectors. In order to see if any generality can be derived from these two examples, we now focus on dihedral groups.

### B. Dihedral Groups

The dihedral group $D_8$ of order 8 does not give new entropic vectors for $n = 2$ random variables, as shown above. It is natural to wonder whether this is true for dihedral groups in general. Computations in the case of $D_6$ (see Fig. 4) show that the answer is no, namely we cannot find an Abelian group yielding the same entropic vectors as $D_6$. This leads to distinguish the order $2m$ of dihedral groups. It is known that the subgroup structure of a general dihedral group

\[D_{2m} = \langle r, s | r^m = s^2 = 1, rs = sr^{-1}\rangle\]

differs depending on the parity of $m$. We can indeed show the following general result when $m$ is odd:

**Proposition 4:** Let $m$ be an odd positive integer. The dihedral group $D_{2m}$ induces entropic vectors for $n = 2$ random variables which cannot be obtained from an Abelian group.

**Proof:** Take $G_1 = \langle s \rangle$ and $G_2 = \langle rs \rangle$ in $D_{2m}$. Then

\[|G : G_1| = |G : G_2| = m, \quad |G : G_1 \cap G_2| = 2m.\]

To prove our claim, we have to show that there does not exist any Abelian group $H$ and subgroups $H_1$ and $H_2$ such that

\[|H : H_1| = |H : H_2| = m, \quad |H : H_1 \cap H_2| = 2m.\]

If such a group $H$ exists, then $|H| = 2m|H_1 \cap H_2| = 2mk$, where $k = |H_1 \cap H_2| = 2^l k'$, $k'$ odd. This implies that

\[|H_1| = |H_2| = \frac{|H|}{m} = 2k = 2^{l+1} k'\]

and it follows from Theorem 2 that $H_1$ and $H_2$ are isomorphic to one of the following groups: $C_{2^{l+1} k'}$, $C_2 \times C_{2^{l+1} k'}$, $C_4 \times C_{2^{l-1} k'}$, $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_{2^{l-1} k'}$, ... Without loss of generality suppose that

\[H_1 = C_{2^{l+1} k'}, \quad H_2 = C_2 \times C_{2^{l+1} k'}, \quad H_3 = C_4 \times C_{2^{l-1} k'}, \quad \ldots\]

where $i_1 + \ldots + i_p = l + 1 = j_1 + \ldots + j_q$ and each $i_r, j_s$ are nonnegative integers. We can add $p - q$ components of $\{1\} \times \ldots \times \{1\}$ at the end of $H_2$ if $p > q$ and vice-versa.

Now we need to construct an Abelian group $H$ that contains both $H_1$ and $H_2$. By looking componentwise at $H_1$ and $H_2$, we see that if $C_{2^{i_r}} = C_{2^{j_s}}$, then $H$ must have as $s$th component a group that contains $C_{2^{i_r}}$, and if $C_{2^{i_r}} \neq C_{2^{j_s}}$, then this time the $s$th component of $H$ will contain $C_{2^{max(i_r, j_s)}}$.\]
Without loss of generality assume that
$$H_1 = C_{2^{i_1}} \times C_{2^{i_2}} \times \ldots \times C_{2^{i_{p-1}}} \times C_{2^{i_p}k'}$$
and
$$H_2 = C_{2^{j_1}} \times C_{2^{j_2}} \times \ldots \times C_{2^{j_{q-1}}} \times C_{2^{j_q}k'}$$
where $i_1 + \ldots + i_p = l + 1$ and $j_1 + \ldots + j_q = n + l$. Since $n \geq 2$, $|H_2| = 2^f|H_1|$, $f \geq 1$. This implies that there exists a component $t$ such that $2^{2^t} = 2^n$. Since $|H_1 \cap H_2| < |H_1|$, there exists a component $u$ such that $2^{2^u} = 2^n$. Then $2^u|H_2||H_1|$, $v \geq 1$ implies $2^v|m'$, a contradiction using a similar argument as above.

V. CONCLUSION

In this note, we looked at quasi-uniform distributions from a group theory point of view. After observing a few simple properties of Abelian groups in terms of their corresponding entropic vectors, we studied a few non-Abelian groups of small orders, as well as dihedral groups, to determine when non-Abelian groups induce entropic vectors that cannot be obtained from Abelian groups. This opens a series of questions, for example: (1) since Abelian groups are classified, is there some classification of entropic vectors generated by Abelian groups? (2) the same question can be asked for families of non-Abelian groups, it would be good to at least determine entropic vectors that cannot be obtained from Abelian groups. (3) This work shows a special behaviour for $D_2$ and $Q_8$: is there something special related to the structure of 2-groups? or maybe more generally of $p$-groups? Finally, once these connections will be better understood, an important open question is the design of explicit codes out of groups.
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