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A novel heterostructure is developed by grafting 1D SnO2 nanorods onto both sides of pre-grown 2D Fe2O3 nanoflakes, forming a comb-like rather than tree-like branched nanostructure. The SnO2 nanorod branches are determined to grow along the [001] direction on the (001) planes of Fe2O3 nanoflakes. The resulting SnO2@Fe2O3 nanocombs show stabilized cycling performance and improved volumetric energy density compared to pristine Fe2O3 nanoflakes presumably due to the integration of SnO2 branches as well as the 3D hierarchical structural features.

With an ever increasing list of promising applications, there is a surge in developing efficient and scalable strategies for synthesizing nanostructures with diverse and tunable properties.1,2 Often the recruitment of other materials with new properties to form core-shell, hetero- and/or doped structures represents a facile pathway to modify materials properties.3–6 Heterostructures consisting of chemically distinct materials have thus attracted intense research interest due to the fact that desired properties and multifunctionality can be achieved by fine-tuning their compositions, shapes, and controlled alignments of the primary nanobuilding blocks.5,10–13 Another key motivation underlying the preparation of heterostructures is the potential to realize the integration of nanoscale devices at a level not possible with conventional top-down methods.5,14–18 As a result, architecturally assembling primary building blocks into the expected geometrical heterostructure is highly desired. Nevertheless, the complexity of the required structures and the difficulty in manipulating the entropic and/or energetic constraints of different building blocks remain formidable challenges awaiting to be resolved.

SnO2 and Fe2O3, two of the most investigated functional semiconductors, have attracted great attention due to their wide range of applications in photocatalytic degradation,19 gas sensing,20,21 and lithium-ion batteries (LIBs).22,23 Recent studies have revealed that the physical performances of SnO2 or Fe2O3 can be remarkably improved by forming Fe2O3–SnO2 heterostructures.24–28 Especially in photocatalytic application, it is well established that by integrating SnO2 and Fe2O3, the ease of the recombination between photogenerated holes and electrons in SnO2 is reduced, its short hole diffusion length (2–4 nm) can be effectively mitigated. This is because the photogenerated electrons in the conduction band of Fe2O3 tend to transfer to that of SnO2 driven by the increased potential energy.26,28–31 So far, there are generally three kinds of, i.e., core-shell,26,30,32–35 branched,25,26,31,36,37 and random,24,38,39 Fe2O3–SnO2 heterostructures. Compared with the other two heterostructures, branched nanostructures possess two outstanding merits. One is a well-defined interface. Different from most Fe2O3–SnO2 heterostructures with a simple physical contact between Fe2O3 and SnO2, Fe2O3–SnO2 branched heterostructures always have a definite epitaxial relationship between these two components.25,26,31,36,37 Note that novel properties distinct from individual constituents usually stem from the interface within the heterostructure.40,41 The other is greatly increased surface area. This is especially desirable for energy conversion and storage devices as sufficient light harvest and electrolyte infiltration can be ensured. However, regardless of the existence of diverse Fe2O3–SnO2 branched heterostructures, their implementation in energy storage such as LIBs application is rarely reported.

Here, we demonstrate an integrative assembly of one-dimensional (1D) SnO2 nanorods and two-dimensional (2D) Fe2O3 nanoflakes into a novel comb-like SnO2@Fe2O3 branched nanostructure, in which the SnO2 nanorods are epitaxially aligned on both sides of Fe2O3 nanoflakes in a high density and parallel fashion. It should be highlighted for this fabrication that: (1) the overall synthetic procedure is very simple and low cost, involving only two steps: direct heating of the Fe foil in air for the preparation of Fe2O3 nanoflakes5,24,42 and subsequent hydrothermal epitaxial growth of SnO2 nanorod branches. Such a method does not contain any catalytic impurities and is capable of scaling up. More importantly, it allows the heterogeneous growth of ordered SnO2 nanorod arrays on Fe2O3 nanoflakes, overcoming the potential interfacial dislocation and stretch originating from their lattice-mismatch. (2) It is the first report on using 2D Fe2O3 nanoflakes as the backbones to anchor 1D SnO2 nanorod branches. The resulting comb-like nanostructure indicates the emergence of a new paradigm in branched nanostructures, in contrast to previous “tree-like” morphologies. (3) The interfacial
orientation relationship between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SnO$_2$ is preliminarily determined to be (001)$_{\text{SnO}_2}$//(001)$_{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$, different from previously reported SnO$_2$–Fe$_2$O$_3$ branched heterostructures.$^{25,26,31,36,37}$ This is essential for fundamental studies of the crystallographic link between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SnO$_2$. (4) The as-obtained SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ comb-like branched heterostructures are freestanding on conductive substrates (e.g., Fe foil). It should be noted that few reports are available on preparing self-supported Fe$_2$O$_3$–SnO$_2$ heterostructures till now.$^{28,44}$ Let alone branched heterostructures.$^{28}$ As aforementioned, SnO$_2$–Fe$_2$O$_3$ branched heterostructures are hardly ever reported for LIBs application except for our previous attempt on studying the electrochemical lithium storage performances of the reverse status, i.e. Fe$_2$O$_3$ on SnO$_2$ branched nanostructures.$^{28}$ Herein, given the high lithium storage capacities of both SnO$_2$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ and the freestanding feature of the resulting SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocombs, the yielding composites are further exploited as anodes for LIBs application. The significance in using such heterostructures for LIBs can be stressed as follows. This unique freestanding hybrid architecture can: (1) completely obviate the need for any ancillary additives such as carbon black and polymer binder; (2) show enhanced reversible capacity and cycling performance compared to pristine Fe$_2$O$_3$, which is due both to the prior integration with SnO$_2$ that can offer high capacity by electrochemically alloying with Li and the large electrode–electrolyte contact area; and (3) alleviate the inferior packing problems associated with nanostructures owing to the improved compactness.

Fig. 1a shows the optical images captured during the process flow for the synthesis of SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ heterostructures. The Fe foil is first polished, cleaned, and then annealed at 400 °C, enabling the growth of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman spectroscopy measurements show that two phases, Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$, coexist in the sample obtained at this stage (Fig. S1†), which is consistent with our previous results.$^{42}$ The signals of Fe$_3$O$_4$ are from a condensed Fe$_3$O$_4$ layer formed on top of the Fe foil, acting as the precursor for the growth of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes. An obvious color change can be viewed before and after annealing. Although eventual hydrothermal growth of SnO$_2$ branches does not lead to visible alteration in color, the branched nanostructures are indeed formed. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images exhibit the evolution from Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes to SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-combs (Fig. 1b and c). Interestingly, pristine Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes exhibit very similar morphologies to the grass (Fig. 1b and its inset). They are smooth and of the thickness of ~10 nm, having a non-constant planar width in the range of ca. 60–300 nm (Fig. 1b). After branching with SnO$_2$, the hierarchical SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructures look quite like dog’s tail grasses, possessing fuzzy surfaces (Fig. 1c and its inset). Further close observations from the SEM images show that SnO$_2$ branches emanate from both sides of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflake backbones and are arranged regularly and parallel to each other on each side, forming an intriguing double-sided comb-like structure (Fig. 1d and e). Albeit with dense coverage of SnO$_2$ nanorods on both sides, the edges of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes remain exposed. The average length and diameter of the secondary SnO$_2$ nanorods are measured to be ca. 150 nm and 20 nm, respectively.

Detailed microstructures and compositions of these 3D branched nanostructures are further characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). It is extremely difficult to analyze the epitaxial relationship from the lateral view of the heterostructures due to the large, varying planar dimension of these SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ hierarchical nanostructures. Consequently, we investigate the Fe$_3$O$_4$ stem and the SnO$_2$ branch individually and thereby determine their potential epitaxial relationship. Fig. 2a presents the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of a Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflake, showing three groups of parallel fringes with the same d-spacing of 0.25 nm. Combining with the corresponding Fast-Fourier Transformation (FFT) pattern taken
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Fig. 1 (a) Optical images of the samples captured during the process flow. Typical SEM images of (b) Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes and (c) SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ heterostructures. Insets in (b) and (c) are the photo images of grass and dog’s tail grass, respectively. (d and e) High-magnification SEM images of SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ heterostructures.
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Fig. 2 (a) HRTEM image, (b) FFT pattern taken from the rectangular area in (a), and (c) corresponding SAED pattern of a Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflake. (d) Crystal structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$ along the [001] zone axis. (e) HRTEM image and (f) corresponding SAED pattern of a SnO$_2$ nanorod separated from a SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomb via sonication. (g) TEM image and corresponding EDX elemental mappings of Fe, Sn, and O for a SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomb, showing the uniform distribution of each element.
from the rectangular area in Fig. 2a (Fig. 2b), the crystalline planes in the HRTEM image can be indexed to (120), (210), and (110) planes of rhombohedral Fe₂O₃, respectively. Besides, it can be observed that the FFT pattern is in high consistence with the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Fig. 2c), which can be indexed in accord with the [001] zone axis of rhombohedral Fe₂O₃ (Fig. 2d). Thermodynamically, the nanostructures are improved on their basal plane on the TEM grid with a fully coated carbon film. The [001] zone axis is observed with several of the nanostructures with minimal tilting of the samples. Therefore, it can be unambiguously deduced that the exposed surfaces of Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes are (±001) planes, acting as the substrates for the epitaxial growth of secondary branches. Fig. 2e shows the HRTEM image of a SnO₂ nanorod. Similarly, in combination with the corresponding SAED pattern (Fig. 2f), the marked interplanar d-spacings of 0.32 nm and 0.33 nm correspond to the (001) and (110) lattice fringes of tetragonal SnO₂, respectively. The SnO₂ nanorod can be determined to grow along the [001] direction. The TEM analyses suggest that the SnO₂ nanorods prefer to branch perpendicularly on the Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes such that the SnO₂ branches epitaxially grow along the [001] direction on the (±001) planes of Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes, forming a (001)SnO₂/(001)Fe₂O₃ interface. The composition of the single SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ nanocomb is further identified by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping. It can be seen that the Fe, Sn, and O signals appear all over the whole structure, showing exactly the same shape as observed under TEM (Fig. 2g). Notably, the SnO₂ nanorods are tightly adhered onto the surface of Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes even after 0.5 h sonication (Fig. S2†). This tough structural integrity is highly desirable for energy storage devices that require the active materials can endure repeated cycling processes.

In order to shed light on the evolution process of such a branched nanostructure, samples obtained at different reaction durations were characterized. As shown in Fig. 3a, after the initial 1 h of growth, no visible change occurred in pristine Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes, whose surfaces remained clean and smooth as before. However, small dot- and needle-shaped nuclei appeared on both sides of Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes 1 h later (Fig. 3b and S3†). Subsequent growth to 3 h led to the formation of double-sided comb-like SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ nanostructures (Fig. 3c). Further prolonging the growth time only induced the diameter increase of SnO₂ nanorods (Fig. 3d). The synthesis and branching process of the SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ hierarchical nanostructures is schematically illustrated for better understanding (Fig. 3e), consisting basically of three steps. Firstly, the Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes are grown on the Fe foil through a possible solid–liquid–solid (SLS) mechanism that we proposed previously.39 Secondly, the SnO₂ nanoparticles hetero-nucleate through dehydration of Sn(OH)₆²⁻, followed by epitaxial growth on the surfaces of Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes, establishing the (001)SnO₂/(001)Fe₂O₃ interfaces. Finally, these particles anisotropically grow up into aligned nanorod arrays on both sides of Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes along the [001] direction, resulting in the 2-fold symmetrically branched SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ nanostructures.

As far as LIBs are concerned, the use of nanomaterials is highly beneficial for improving the capacities and rate capabilities of solid-state electrodes due to the small diffusion lengths and large surface areas. Nevertheless, only those freestanding nanostructures directly grown onto a plane current collector, completely precluding the need for ancillaries and further processing, can well maintain the gains in diffusion length and electronic conductivity associated with the reduced active material size. Meanwhile, the addition of supplementary interfaces (current collector–active materials, active material–active material, active material–binder, etc.) can also be avoided. Recently, the use of composites is a very important study in finding a solution to the problem of the large capacity fading; therefore we intend to employ our resultant self-supported SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ heterostructures directly as a working electrode, aiming at achieving improved performances.

The performances of the bare Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes and SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ nanocombs as the anodes of LIBs were tested by galvanostatic discharge–charge and cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements. The preparation of these working electrodes can be accomplished simply by cutting the Fe-foil supported nanostructures into small platelets without further processing (Fig. S4†). As expected, the nano-architected SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ electrode manifests superior reversibility and cycling stability towards pristine Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes (Fig. 4). As shown in Fig. 4a, the SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ nanocombs deliver a stable areal capacity with an average value of 0.43 mA h cm⁻² up to 150 cycles after initial fast capacity fading, which is about 1.7 times of that for bare Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes (0.25 mA h cm⁻²). The coulombic efficiency of the composite electrode is also slightly improved, which is likely due to the synergetic effect between these two components. For example, the presence of Fe nanoparticles generated from the lithiation process of the inner Fe₂O₃ core can promote the reversibility of the reaction between SnO₂ and Li⁺.27 In addition, despite the severe capacity degradation in the first 10 cycles, the SnO₂@Fe₂O₃ heterostructures can always deliver twice the areal capacities of that for Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes under different current densities (Fig. 4b). Noticeably, both electrodes can exhibit high areal capacity again when the current density is lowered back to 0.18 mA cm⁻². The slightly reduced charge transfer resistance (23.6 °Ω) for the composite electrode towards bare Fe₂O₃ nanoflakes (29.6 °Ω) partially accounts for the high capacity at different current rates (inset in Fig. 4b). Fig. 4c and d depict the
The arrangement of SnO$_2$ nanorods, allowing sufficient electrolyte infiltration and the subsequent enhancement of electrode-electrolyte contact area. Although the specific capacity of the electrode cannot be determined due to the uncertainty of the mass of active materials, the volumetric energy density of the composite electrode is certainly improved. This is because the introduction of SnO$_2$ branches does not change the initial volume occupied by the bare Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes but fills the free spaces between the Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes, resulting in a more dense structure (Fig. 4e). In this case, the improved areal capacity will undoubtedly lead to the enhanced volumetric energy density. It is important to highlight that the lower volumetric energy density is one of the disadvantages that concomitantly appears when nanomaterials are used as the electrodes for LIBs due to the inferior packing of particles. It is even more difficult to improve the volumetric energy densities for those freestanding nanostructures as other materials are difficult to be added without destroying their structures. When such a situation arises, preparation of composites at the nanoscale is highly important.

In summary, we have demonstrated a novel comb-like branched heterostructure by hydrothermally grafting 1D SnO$_2$ nanorods onto both sides of pre-grown 2D Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes. The secondary SnO$_2$ nanorods are determined to grow on the (±001) planes of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes along the [001] direction, accounting for the unique 2-fold symmetrical growth. The resulting SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructures show stabilized cycling performance and improved volumetric energy density compared to pristine Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoflakes, which are presumably due to the integration of SnO$_2$ branches as well as the 3D hierarchical structural features. We believe such a novel comb-like SnO$_2$@Fe$_2$O$_3$ heterostructure is also a promising candidate in photocatalytic, water splitting, and photovoltaic applications.
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